

# **JAIL PROFILE SURVEY**

## **2003, 3rd QUARTER SURVEY RESULTS**

**FACILITIES STANDARDS AND OPERATIONS DIVISION**

**BOARD OF CORRECTIONS**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

600 Bercut Drive

Sacramento, CA 95814-0185

(916) 445-5073

[www.bdcorr.ca.gov](http://www.bdcorr.ca.gov)

# JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

## EXPLANATION OF RESULTS

The following pages contain the Jail Profile Survey results for the third quarter of 2003. Page 1 contains the quarterly totals based upon the monthly and quarterly data submitted to us by the participating jurisdictions. Pages 2 and 3 show the trend data for variables we have been tracking for the last ten years. The remainder of this page provides explanations and clarifications of the data presented.

### Page 1

- Unless otherwise noted, "projected totals" are based upon the average per day for the variable in question. For example, there was an average of 75,285 inmates per day in local jails (excluding Type I's) during the three-month span of July to September 2003.
- For some variables (other than ADP), we did not receive data from all jurisdictions. We compute the percentage of the ADP that was housed in the jurisdictions that did supply their data for the variable in question. Next, we increase the received number for the variable in question by an amount that estimates the statewide total. For example, if 90% of the state's ADP was housed in jurisdictions that provided us with the number of Three Strike inmates, we would increase that number by 1/9<sup>th</sup> to estimate the state total.
- The number for "Bookings" is the average of the monthly totals for July, August, and September.
- For the following variables, the numbers presented are the totals as of the mid-quarter (i.e., August 15, 2003): Pretrial Release, Early Release, 2 and 3 Strikes, Felony Warrants, Misdemeanor Warrants, Juveniles in Custody and Undocumented Aliens.
- "Assaults" is the total number of serious inmate assaults on staff (resulting in a crime report), statewide, during the third quarter of 2003.

### Pages 2 and 3

- The data in the 10-Year Summary tables are based upon survey data which the Board has gathered since the early 1980's.
- Prior to 1995, yearly averages were reported to the Board on an annual basis. Yearly averages from 1996 on have been the average across the 12 calendar months. In 1995, the yearly figure reported is the fourth quarter average, since we do not have data from the first three quarters.

# BOARD OF CORRECTIONS JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

Reporting Period: July to September, 2003

ADP <sup>a</sup>	75,285
Holding Areas	1,533
Average "Under the Roof" <sup>c</sup>	76,838
Highest One Day Count <sup>e</sup>	81,105

Felony	58,080
Misdemeanor	17,205
Total	75,285
Non-Sentenced Males	43,447
Non-Sentenced Females	6,068
Sentenced Males	22,204
Sentenced Females	3,566
Total	75,285
Maximum Security Inmates	22,497
Medium Security Inmates	34,811
Minimum Security Inmates	17,977
Total	75,285
Housed on Federal Contract	3,582
Housed on Contract with CDC	2,878
From Other Counties on Contract	136
Awaiting Transport	1,250
Total	7,846

County Jail Populations

Inmates From Other Jurisdictions

Released	6,607
Pretrial Release	8,630
Total	15,237

Released Per Month Due to Lack of Space

2 Strike Inmates	3,659
3 Strike Inmates	1,693
Total	5,352

Inmates with 2 and 3 Strikes

Felony Warrants	276,633
Misdemeanor Warrants	2,077,379
Total	2,354,012

Unservd Warrants


Bookings Per Month	106,678
Juveniles in Custody	88
Criminal / Illegal Aliens	8,520
Assaults On Staff	237

Other Jail Profile Survey Variables

Medical Beds	893
Mental Health Beds	3,441

Special Use Beds

a. The Average Daily Population for all jurisdictions (excluding Type I's) does not include inmates in holding areas.  
 b. Unless otherwise indicated, the numbers reported are the average across the days in the quarter.  
 c. "Under the Roof" is the sum of the Average Daily Population plus the average daily number of inmates in Holding Areas.  
 d. Totals may not be the exact sum of the subtotals due to rounding.  
 e. The sum of all Highest One Day inmate population counts from all jurisdictions.  
 f. These data are collected on a monthly basis, this figure is an average of the total numbers collected each month during this quarter.  
 g. These data are one-day snapshots collected at the end of the quarter.



# Average Daily Population

## Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female

### California County Jails - For Months Of July - September 2003

Jurisdiction	Non-sentenced				Sentenced				Total
	Male		Female		Male		Female		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
1. Alameda Sheriff's Dept.	2,686	90%	288	10%	923	84%	173	16%	4,071
2. Amador Sheriff's Dept.	27	87%	4	13%	37	82%	8	18%	77
3. Butte Sheriff's Dept.	277	84%	51	16%	171	87%	26	13%	525
4. Calaveras Sheriff's Dept.	43	87%	7	13%	23	85%	4	15%	77
5. Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	26	90%	3	10%	28	92%	2	8%	59
6. Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.	848	87%	128	13%	522	90%	58	10%	1,556
7. Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.	45	80%	12	20%	42	69%	19	31%	119
8. El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.	144	89%	18	11%	141	88%	20	12%	323
9. Fresno Sheriff's Dept.	2,112	89%	255	11%	568	87%	84	13%	3,018
10. Glenn Sheriff's Dept.	65	80%	17	20%	47	93%	3	7%	132
11. Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.	236	87%	36	13%	104	89%	12	11%	389
12. Imperial Sheriff's Dept.	208	88%	29	12%	143	91%	14	9%	393
13. Inyo Sheriff's Dept.	35	85%	6	15%	30	76%	10	24%	82
14. Kern Sheriff's Dept.	1,313	87%	202	13%	572	79%	150	21%	2,238
15. Kings Sheriff's Dept.	113	87%	17	13%	143	85%	26	15%	298
16. Lake Sheriff's Dept.	139	87%	20	13%	37	75%	12	25%	208
17. Lassen Sheriff's Dept.	49	80%	12	20%	45	71%	18	29%	124
18. Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.	10,623	88%	1,514	12%	4,113	89%	515	11%	16,766
19. Madera Corrections Dept.	204	90%	22	10%	80	88%	11	12%	317
20. Marin Sheriff's Dept.	219	86%	37	14%	28	83%	6	17%	290
21. Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.	13	86%	2	14%	19	91%	2	9%	36
22. Mendocino Sheriff's Dept.	116	87%	18	13%	76	84%	15	16%	224
23. Merced Sheriff's Dept.	461	91%	46	9%	115	88%	16	12%	637
24. Modoc Sheriff's Dept.	15	92%	1	8%	13	84%	2	16%	32
25. Mono Sheriff's Dept.	11	85%	2	15%	11	72%	4	28%	29
26. Monterey Sheriff's Dept.	510	88%	67	12%	433	89%	54	11%	1,063
27. Napa Corrections Dept.	39	90%	4	10%	161	86%	27	14%	231
28. Nevada Sheriff's Dept.	68	83%	14	17%	64	85%	11	15%	158
29. Oakland Police Dept.	75	81%	17	19%	0	0%	0	0%	93
30. Orange Sheriff's Dept.	2,151	88%	284	12%	2,218	85%	387	15%	5,039
31. Placer Sheriff's Dept.	242	90%	26	10%	183	83%	37	17%	488
32. Plumas Sheriff's Dept.	29	89%	4	11%	18	87%	3	13%	54
33. Riverside Sheriff's Dept.	2,167	89%	257	11%	796	85%	135	15%	3,356
34. Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.	1,358	87%	196	13%	1,546	88%	215	12%	3,315
35. San Benito Sheriff's Dept.	54	90%	6	10%	51	85%	9	15%	119

# Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female  
California County Jails - For Months Of July - September 2003


Jurisdiction	Non-sentenced			Sentenced			Total						
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total							
	#	%	#	%	#	%		#					
36. San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	3,379	88%	475	12%	3,854	72%	1,202	82%	271	18%	1,474	28%	5,327
37. San Diego Sheriff's Dept.	2,715	87%	410	13%	3,125	63%	1,598	86%	262	14%	1,860	37%	4,985
38. San Diego Work Furlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	201	95%	12	5%	213	100%	213
39. San Francisco Sheriff's Dept.	1,324	90%	147	10%	1,471	78%	365	86%	59	14%	424	22%	1,896
40. San Joaquin Sheriff's Dept.	634	88%	90	12%	724	55%	511	85%	92	15%	602	45%	1,326
41. San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept.	142	85%	24	15%	166	41%	212	89%	27	11%	239	59%	405
42. San Mateo Sheriff's Dept.	524	91%	53	9%	577	54%	418	84%	80	16%	499	46%	1,076
43. Santa Ana Police Dept.	320	91%	31	9%	352	95%	14	84%	3	16%	17	5%	369
44. Santa Barbara Sheriff's Dept.	453	85%	82	15%	535	59%	326	89%	40	11%	366	41%	901
45. Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	2,412	88%	324	12%	2,736	70%	1,052	88%	146	12%	1,198	30%	3,934
46. Santa Clara Probation Dept.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	153	78%	43	22%	196	100%	196
47. Santa Cruz Sheriff's Dept.	283	90%	32	10%	315	51%	266	87%	41	13%	307	49%	621
48. Shasta Sheriff's Dept.	206	84%	40	15%	246	69%	88	79%	23	21%	111	31%	357
49. Sierra Sheriff's Dept.	5	100%	0	0%	5	65%	3	100%	0	0%	3	35%	8
50. Siskiyou Sheriff's Dept.	63	91%	6	9%	69	69%	28	88%	4	12%	32	31%	101
51. Solano Sheriff's Dept.	658	85%	114	15%	772	71%	278	87%	41	13%	319	29%	1,091
52. Sonoma Sheriff's Dept.	520	88%	71	12%	592	57%	382	87%	57	13%	439	43%	1,031
53. Stanislaus Sheriff's Dept.	656	87%	97	13%	753	64%	356	82%	77	18%	433	36%	1,186
54. Sutter Sheriff's Dept.	144	88%	20	12%	164	66%	72	83%	14	17%	86	34%	250
55. Tehama Sheriff's Dept.	77	86%	13	14%	90	50%	77	86%	12	14%	89	50%	179
56. Trinity Sheriff's Dept.	13	70%	6	30%	19	55%	12	80%	3	20%	15	45%	34
57. Tulare Sheriff's Dept.	677	88%	91	12%	768	62%	399	85%	68	15%	466	38%	1,235
58. Tuolumne Sheriff's Dept.	52	75%	18	25%	69	51%	56	83%	12	17%	68	49%	137
59. Ventura Sheriff's Dept.	880	84%	171	16%	1,051	67%	468	92%	42	8%	509	33%	1,561
60. Ventura Work Furlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	85	84%	16	16%	100	100%	100
61. Yolo Sheriff's Dept.	319	85%	56	15%	375	88%	38	76%	12	24%	51	12%	426
62. Yuba Sheriff's Dept.	266	78%	74	22%	340	84%	47	72%	18	28%	65	16%	405
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>43,447</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>6,068</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>49,515</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>22,204</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>3,566</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>25,770</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>75,285</b>

# Jail Profile Survey

2003

## Average Daily Population


10 Year Summary									
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
67,576	69,233	71,107	72,007	76,894	79,143	76,311	74,868	73,824	75,156



1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003

## Non-sentenced ADP


10 Year Summary									
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
35,899	39,122	42,237	42,539	44,593	45,303	44,493	44,943	45,204	46,817



1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003

## Sentenced ADP

10 Year Summary									
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
31,677	30,142	28,870	29,468	32,301	33,841	31,819	29,925	28,620	28,338



1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003

## Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	73,869	75,604	75,544	75,581	75,156
2003	75,553	75,257	75,285		75,364

It has seemed that with the recent budget crisis, the State has been "on hold" in many respects. It has certainly been true with regard to jail populations. The ADP (75,285 in the 3rd Quarter), has, uncharacteristically, changed by fewer than 300 inmates in the last four Quarters. Budgetary considerations have certainly affected the ADP. Jurisdictions have recently lowered "time served percentages," increased bail amounts below which individuals are automatically released, and even closed facilities due to budget restrictions. Until the budget picture improves, about 75,000 inmates will continue to be an arbitrary ADP ceiling for jails in California.

## Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	46,341	46,941	47,438	46,541	46,817
2003	48,296	49,088	49,515		48,971

In contrast to the very stable ADP, the number of non-sentenced inmates has increased by almost 500 inmates since the previous Quarter, and by over 2,000 inmates when compared to the same Quarter in 2002. Since the year 2000, the non-sentenced ADP has increased by more than 5,000 inmates (compared with an increase in overall ADP of only about 400 inmates).

## Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	27,529	28,663	28,107	29,041	28,338
2003	27,257	26,169	25,770		26,393


The sentenced ADP is at its lowest point in more than a decade. The sentenced ADP for 1993 was 31,677 inmates. The sentenced ADP for the 3rd Quarter 2003 was 25,770 inmates. The number of sentenced inmates in the past 12 months dropped by about 2,400. Currently over 8,500 inmates a month are being released early from their sentences due solely to lack of jail space. Therefore, this decline in the sentenced ADP is primarily due to lack of jail capacity.

# Jail Profile Survey

2003

## Percentage Non-sentenced


10 Year Summary									
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
53%	57%	59%	59%	58%	57%	58%	60%	61%	62%



The percentage of inmates who are non-sentenced is at its highest point in history (66% of the ADP). A new record for this percentage has been set every year since 2000, and every Quarter of 2003. Ten years ago, only 53% of the ADP consisted of non-sentenced inmates. Of course, jail administrators have more flexibility with regard to sentenced inmates (for example, the changing of "time served percentages"). With a budgetary ceiling on jail capacity, and limited flexibility regarding the incarceration of non-sentenced individuals, the percentage of non-sentenced inmates will continue to rise.

## Average Number of Persons Booked Per Month


10 Year Summary									
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
105,593	103,996	97,589	101,942	99,182	98,860	97,008	98,754	96,695	98,668



Given what's been said above about jail-capacity limits, it is ominous that the number of bookings per month is higher than it's been in quite some time. In the 3rd Quarter of 2003, 106,678 individuals per month were booked into Type II, III or IV facilities. The average number of bookings per month was 105,593 in 1993. Since then, the number has been lower than that (dropping to 96,695 in 2001), until the current Quarter. This Quarter's number of bookings per month is over 6,000 per month higher than one year ago. Considering the fact that currently over 15,000 individuals are given pretrial releases or are released early from their sentences due solely to lack of jail space, we appear to be heading toward a jail-capacity crisis.

## Average Length Of Stay

10 Year Summary									
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
									32.3



The current Average Length of Stay (ALS) statistic was initiated in 2002 on a trial basis. It was intended to be an improvement over the former computational procedure that was an estimate based upon bookings and average inmate population. The new statistic was intended to be an actual average number of days in custody of individuals released during the Quarter. As the reader can see, the reported ALS has bounced around quite a bit in the two-year trial period. We are investigating to determine whether this lack of consistency is accurate or not. In future reports, we will provide the results of our research.

## Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	63%	62%	63%	62%	62%
2003	64%	65%	66%		65%

## Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	97,628	98,417	100,008	98,592	98,668
2003	97,465	103,966	106,678		102,737

## Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	38.8	30.8	29.1	30.7	32.3
2003	23.7	30.7	34.2		29.6



Jail Populations: Quarter 3, 2002 Versus Quarter 3, 2003

Jurisdiction	Qtr 3, 2002	Qtr 3, 2003	Qtr 3, 2003 Minus Qtr 3, 2002	Qtr 3, 2003 To +/- Qtr 3, 2002 %	% of Total Increase or Decrease	Cum %	Cum Total
Fresno Sheriff's Dept.	2,216	3,019	803	36.2%	28.7%	28.7%	80
San Diego Sheriff's Dept.	4,883	4,985	302	6.4%	10.8%	39.5%	1,105
Orange Sheriff's Dept.	4,814	5,040	226	4.7%	8.1%	47.6%	1,331
San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	5,122	5,927	205	4.0%	7.3%	54.9%	1,536
Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.	3,122	3,314	192	6.1%	6.9%	61.8%	1,728
San Joaquin Sheriff's Dept.	1,207	1,327	120	9.9%	4.3%	66.0%	1,848
Ventura Sheriff's Dept.	1,441	1,560	119	8.3%	4.3%	70.3%	1,967
Monterey Sheriff's Dept.	975	1,063	88	9.0%	3.1%	73.5%	2,055
Riverside Sheriff's Dept.	3,287	3,566	279	8.5%	2.5%	75.9%	2,124
Alameda Sheriff's Dept.	4,003	4,071	68	1.7%	2.4%	78.4%	2,192
Glenn Sheriff's Dept.	76	132	56	73.7%	2.0%	80.4%	2,248
San Mateo Sheriff's Dept.	1,025	1,076	51	5.0%	1.8%	82.2%	2,299
Stanislaus Sheriff's Dept.	1,139	1,186	47	4.1%	1.7%	83.9%	2,346
Butte Sheriff's Dept.	483	526	43	8.9%	1.5%	85.4%	2,389
Sonoma Sheriff's Dept.	992	1,030	38	3.8%	1.4%	86.8%	2,427
Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.	354	390	36	10.2%	1.3%	88.0%	2,463
Solano Sheriff's Dept.	1,057	1,091	34	3.2%	1.2%	89.3%	2,497
Santa Ana Police Dept.	335	369	34	10.1%	1.2%	90.5%	2,531
Santa Cruz Sheriff's Dept.	590	622	32	5.4%	1.1%	91.6%	2,563
Santa Barbara Sheriff's Dept.	870	902	32	3.7%	1.1%	92.8%	2,595
Marin Sheriff's Dept.	268	290	22	8.2%	0.8%	93.6%	2,617
El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.	302	323	21	7.0%	0.8%	94.3%	2,638
Nevada Sheriff's Dept.	140	158	18	12.9%	0.6%	94.9%	2,656
Ventura Work Furlough	82	100	18	22.0%	0.6%	95.6%	2,674
Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.	102	119	17	16.7%	0.6%	96.2%	2,691
Lake Sheriff's Dept.	193	207	14	7.3%	0.5%	96.7%	2,705
Siskiyou Sheriff's Dept.	87	101	14	16.1%	0.5%	97.2%	2,719
Inyo Sheriff's Dept.	72	82	10	13.9%	0.4%	97.6%	2,729
San Diego Work Furlough	203	212	9	4.4%	0.3%	97.9%	2,738
San Benito Sheriff's Dept.	110	119	9	8.2%	0.3%	98.2%	2,747
Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	51	60	9	17.6%	0.3%	98.5%	2,75
Modoc Sheriff's Dept.	23	31	8	34.8%	0.3%	98.8%	2,76
Tuolumne Sheriff's Dept.	131	137	6	4.6%	0.2%	99.0%	2,770
Kings Sheriff's Dept.	294	298	4	1.4%	0.1%	99.2%	2,774
Pumas Sheriff's Dept.	50	54	4	8.0%	0.1%	99.3%	2,778
Sierra Sheriff's Dept.	3	7	4	133.3%	0.1%	99.5%	2,782
Calaveras Sheriff's Dept.	74	77	3	4.1%	0.1%	99.6%	2,785
Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	3,931	3,933	2	0.1%	0.1%	99.68%	2,787
Merced Sheriff's Dept.	635	637	2	0.3%	0.1%	99.75%	2,789
Yolo Sheriff's Dept.	424	426	2	0.5%	0.1%	99.82%	2,791
Placer Sheriff's Dept.	488	488	2	0.4%	0.1%	99.89%	2,793
Mono Sheriff's Dept.	27	28	1	3.7%	0.0%	99.93%	2,794
Tehama Sheriff's Dept.	178	179	1	0.6%	0.0%	99.96%	2,795
Napa Corrections Dept.	230	231	1	0.4%	0.0%	100.00%	2,796
Amador Sheriff's Dept.	80	76	-4	-5.0%	0.1%	0.13%	-4
Lassen Sheriff's Dept.	128	124	-4	-3.1%	0.1%	0.26%	-8
Kern Sheriff's Dept.	2,243	2,238	-5	-0.2%	0.2%	0.43%	-13
San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept.	411	404	-7	-1.7%	0.2%	0.65%	-20
Sutter Sheriff's Dept.	261	251	-10	-3.8%	0.3%	0.98%	-30
Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.	48	36	-12	-25.0%	0.4%	1.37%	-42
Trinity Sheriff's Dept.	46	33	-13	-28.3%	0.4%	1.80%	-55
Tulare Sheriff's Dept.	1,252	1,235	-17	-1.4%	0.6%	2.38%	-72
Madera Corrections Dept.	334	317	-17	-5.1%	0.6%	2.91%	-89
Mendocino Sheriff's Dept.	243	225	-18	-7.4%	0.6%	3.50%	-107
Santa Clara Probation Dept.	218	197	-21	-9.6%	0.7%	4.19%	-128
Oakland Police Dept.	115	83	-22	-19.1%	0.7%	4.91%	-150
Yuba Sheriff's Dept.	430	406	-24	-5.6%	0.8%	5.69%	-174
Imperial Sheriff's Dept.	418	393	-25	-6.0%	0.8%	6.51%	-199
Shasta Sheriff's Dept.	394	357	-37	-9.4%	1.2%	7.72%	-236
Scapular House	163	-163	-100.0%	-100.0%	5.3%	13.05%	-399
Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.	1,751	1,556	-195	-11.1%	6.4%	19.43%	-594
San Francisco Sheriff's Dept.	2,111	1,895	-216	-10.2%	7.1%	26.50%	-810
Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.	19,013	16,766	-2,247	-11.8%	73.5%	100.00%	-3,057

Note: Totals subject to slight variation due to rounding

Total Increase: 2,796  
Total Decrease: -3,057

**Interpretation of Table:  
JAIL POPULATIONS: 3rd Quarter '02 versus 3rd Quarter '03**

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 63 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;

- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column C);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column B);

- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column D);

- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column F);

- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column G);

- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column H); and,

- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 2,796) and "total decrease" in ADP (-3,057). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 2,796 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 3,057 ADP. Subtracting 2,796 from 3,057 produces the overall decrease of 261 between the third quarter of 2002 and the third quarter of 2003.

2. The Fresno ADP increase of 803 is 36.2% of the total increase of 2,796. Forty-four jurisdictions had increases (down to Napa Corrections Department). When you get to Napa Corrections Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 2,796).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Amador Sheriff's Department to Los Angeles Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 3,057 inmates.

4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Fresno Sheriff's Department to San Bernardino Sheriff's Department) is 54.94%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for about 55% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (Contra Costa, San Francisco and Los Angeles Sheriff's Departments) account for about 87% of the decreases. Please note that Scapular House will not be reporting on the Jail Profile Survey for 2003, which accounts for approximately 5% of the total decrease.