

JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

2002, 2nd QUARTER SURVEY RESULTS

FACILITIES STANDARDS AND OPERATIONS DIVISION

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
600 Bercut Drive
Sacramento, CA 95814-0185
(916) 445-5073
www.bdcorr.ca.gov

JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

EXPLANATION OF RESULTS

The following pages contain the Jail Profile Survey results for the second quarter of 2002. Page 1 contains the quarterly totals based upon the monthly and quarterly data submitted to us by the participating jurisdictions. Page 2 and 3 show the trend data for variables we have been tracking for the last ten years. The remainder of this page provides explanations and clarifications of the data presented.

Page 1

- Unless otherwise noted, "projected totals" are based upon the average per day for the variable in question. For example, there was an average of 75,604 inmates per day in local jails (excluding Type I's) during the three-month span of April to June 2002.
- For some variables (other than ADP), we did not receive data from all jurisdictions. We compute the percentage of the ADP that was housed in the jurisdictions that did supply their data for the variable in question. Next, we increase the received number for the variable in question by an amount that estimates the statewide total. For example, if 90% of the state's ADP was housed in jurisdictions that provided us with the number of Three Strike inmates, we would increase that number by 1/9th to estimate the state total.
- The number for "Bookings" is the average of the monthly totals for April, May, and June.
- For the following variables, the numbers presented are the totals as of the mid-quarter (i.e., May 15, 2002): Pretrial Release, Early Release, 2 and 3 Strikes, Felony Warrants, Misdemeanor Warrants, Juveniles in Custody and Undocumented Aliens.
- Assaults is the total number of serious inmate assaults on staff (resulting in a crime report), statewide, during the second quarter of 2002.

Pages 2 and 3

- The data in the 10-Year Summary tables are based upon survey data which the Board has gathered since the early 1980's.
- Prior to 1995, yearly averages were reported to the Board on an annual basis. Yearly averages from 1996 on have been the average across the 12 calendar months. In 1995, the yearly figure reported is the fourth quarter average, since we do not have data from the first three quarters.

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

Reporting Period: April to June, 2002

County Jail Populations

ADP ^a	75,604 ^b
Holding Areas	1,257
Average "Under the Roof" ^c	76,861 ^d
Highest One Day Count	79,949 ^e

Special Use Beds

Medical Beds	948
Mental Health Beds	3,493

County Jail Populations

Felony	54,445
Misdemeanor	21,159
Total	75,604
Non-Sentenced Males	41,406
Non-Sentenced Females	5,535
Sentenced Males	24,781
Sentenced Females	3,882
Total	75,604
Maximum Security Inmates	30,518
Medium Security Inmates	26,152
Minimum Security Inmates	18,934
Total	75,604

Released Per Month Due to Lack of Space

Pretrial Release	6,231 ^f
Early Release	5,922 ^f
Total	12,153

Inmates with 2 and 3 Strikes

2 Strike Inmates	3,016 ^g
3 Strike Inmates	1,476 ^g
Total	4,492

Unserviced Warrants

Felony Warrants	257,733 ^g
Misdemeanor Warrants	1,927,579 ^g
Total	2,185,312

Inmates From Other Jurisdictions

Housed on Federal Contract	2,852
Housed on Contract with CDC	2,689
From Other Counties on Contract	14
Awaiting Transport	1,161
Total	6,716

Other Jail Profile Survey Variables

Bookings Per Month	98,417 ^f
Juveniles in Custody	69 ^f
Criminal / Illegal Aliens	8,987
Assaults On Staff	274

Due to a major change in the determination of classification status within the San Diego Sheriff's Department, the aggregated statewide breakdown of security status within county jail populations has experienced a corresponding shift during this quarter.

- a. The Average Daily Population for all jurisdictions and does not include inmates in holding areas.
- b. Unless otherwise indicated, the numbers reported are the average across the days in the quarter.
- c. "Under the Roof" is the sum of the Average Daily Population plus the average daily number of inmates in Holding Areas.
- d. Totals may not be the exact sum of the subtotals due to rounding.
- e. The sum of all Highest One-Day inmate population counts from all jurisdictions.
- f. These data are collected on a monthly basis, this figure is an average of the total numbers collected each month during this quarter.
- g. These data are one-day snapshots collected at the end of the quarter.

Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female

California County Jails - For Months Of April - June 2002

Jurisdiction	Non-sentenced				Sentenced				Total #				
	Male		Female		Male		Female						
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
1. Alameda Sheriff's Dept.	2,551	91%	261	9%	2,812	72%	934	85%	165	15%	1,099	28%	3,911
2. Amador Sheriff's Dept.	29	87%	4	13%	33	49%	29	85%	5	15%	34	51%	67
3. Butte Sheriff's Dept.	258	89%	32	11%	291	61%	157	86%	25	14%	183	39%	473
4. Calaveras Sheriff's Dept.	33	85%	6	15%	39	54%	29	89%	4	11%	33	46%	72
5. Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	23	86%	4	14%	27	51%	23	91%	2	9%	26	49%	52
6. Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.	1,109	88%	153	12%	1,261	70%	494	90%	53	10%	547	30%	1,808
7. Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.	66	85%	12	15%	78	72%	23	77%	7	23%	30	28%	107
8. El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.	123	87%	18	13%	141	51%	115	85%	21	15%	136	49%	277
9. Fresno Sheriff's Dept.	1,662	91%	170	9%	1,833	84%	306	88%	43	12%	348	16%	2,181
10. Glenn Sheriff's Dept.	57	85%	10	15%	68	86%	8	72%	3	28%	11	14%	78
11. Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.	202	87%	31	13%	233	63%	115	86%	19	14%	134	37%	367
12. Imperial Sheriff's Dept.	221	93%	16	7%	236	57%	169	95%	9	5%	178	43%	414
13. Inyo Sheriff's Dept.	29	87%	4	13%	33	49%	27	80%	7	20%	34	51%	67
14. Kern Sheriff's Dept.	1,150	86%	194	14%	1,344	61%	692	81%	159	19%	851	39%	2,195
15. Kings Sheriff's Dept.	114	88%	16	12%	129	40%	162	85%	29	15%	191	60%	320
16. Lake Sheriff's Dept.	104	85%	19	15%	122	62%	64	83%	13	17%	76	38%	199
17. Lassen Sheriff's Dept.	48	81%	11	19%	59	53%	42	80%	11	20%	52	47%	112
18. Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.	10,189	89%	1,305	11%	11,495	58%	7,218	88%	975	12%	8,193	42%	19,688
19. Madera Corrections Dept.	220	90%	25	10%	245	75%	68	86%	11	14%	80	25%	325
20. Marin Sheriff's Dept.	221	87%	33	13%	254	86%	33	82%	7	18%	40	14%	294
21. Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.	22	87%	3	13%	25	57%	17	89%	2	11%	19	43%	44
22. Mendocino Sheriff's Dept.	135	90%	16	10%	151	58%	90	82%	20	18%	110	42%	261
23. Merced Sheriff's Dept.	408	90%	45	10%	453	74%	144	89%	18	11%	161	26%	614
24. Modoc Sheriff's Dept.	12	87%	2	13%	13	45%	12	75%	4	25%	16	55%	29
25. Mono Sheriff's Dept.	7	78%	2	22%	9	34%	12	66%	6	34%	18	66%	27
26. Monterey Sheriff's Dept.	362	89%	46	11%	408	43%	487	89%	58	11%	545	57%	952
27. Napa Corrections Dept.	84	87%	12	13%	96	41%	117	85%	21	15%	138	59%	234
28. Nevada Sheriff's Dept.	88	91%	9	9%	97	63%	48	83%	10	17%	57	37%	154
29. Oakland Police Dept.	99	81%	23	19%	122	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	122
30. Orange Sheriff's Dept.	2,046	89%	258	11%	2,304	48%	2,126	86%	339	14%	2,465	52%	4,769
31. Placer Sheriff's Dept.	239	90%	26	10%	265	55%	184	83%	37	17%	221	45%	486
32. Plumas Sheriff's Dept.	23	84%	4	16%	27	59%	17	88%	2	12%	19	41%	46
33. Riverside Sheriff's Dept.	1,960	88%	255	12%	2,215	68%	915	88%	129	12%	1,044	32%	3,259
34. Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.	1,317	86%	209	14%	1,525	51%	1,272	88%	170	12%	1,441	49%	2,967
35. San Benito Sheriff's Dept.	59	92%	5	8%	64	58%	41	88%	5	12%	46	42%	110

Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female
California County Jails - For Months Of April - June 2002

Jurisdiction	Non-sentenced						Sentenced						Total #
	Male		Female		Total		Male		Female		Total		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
36. San Bernardino Sheriffs Dept.	3,168	87%	488	13%	3,656	72%	1,171	81%	267	19%	1,438	28%	5,094
37. San Diego Sheriffs Dept.	2,607	87%	401	13%	3,008	64%	1,444	84%	267	16%	1,711	36%	4,720
38. San Diego Work Furlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	199	94%	13	6%	211	100%	211
39. San Francisco Sheriffs Dept.	1,485	89%	185	11%	1,670	80%	361	84%	67	16%	428	20%	2,098
40. San Joaquin Sheriffs Dept.	531	87%	82	13%	613	52%	488	87%	74	13%	562	48%	1,175
41. San Luis Obispo Sheriffs Dept.	148	87%	22	13%	170	45%	183	88%	26	12%	209	55%	379
42. San Mateo Sheriffs Dept.	477	92%	40	8%	517	49%	452	85%	79	15%	531	51%	1,048
43. Santa Ana Police Dept.	278	93%	20	7%	298	99%	4	92%	0	8%	4	1%	302
44. Santa Barbara Sheriffs Dept.	444	87%	69	13%	513	62%	272	87%	40	13%	313	38%	826
45. Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	2,450	89%	294	11%	2,745	71%	995	87%	145	13%	1,140	29%	3,885
46. Santa Clara Probation Dept.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	154	78%	44	22%	198	100%	198
47. Santa Cruz Sheriffs Dept.	244	89%	30	11%	275	49%	255	89%	32	11%	287	51%	562
48. Scapular House	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	176	91%	18	9%	194	100%	194
49. Shasta Sheriffs Dept.	216	86%	35	14%	251	63%	126	84%	24	16%	149	37%	400
50. Sierra Sheriffs Dept.	3	100%	0	0%	3	67%	2	100%	0	0%	2	33%	5
51. Siskiyou Sheriffs Dept.	51	89%	6	11%	58	72%	17	79%	5	21%	22	28%	79
52. Solano Sheriffs Dept.	631	85%	114	15%	745	70%	267	85%	48	15%	315	30%	1,060
53. Sonoma Sheriffs Dept.	494	88%	70	12%	564	56%	377	86%	63	14%	440	44%	1,004
54. Stanislaus Sheriffs Dept.	625	88%	87	12%	712	63%	358	84%	68	16%	426	37%	1,138
55. Sutter Sheriffs Dept.	132	85%	23	15%	155	63%	76	84%	15	16%	90	37%	246
56. Tehama Sheriffs Dept.	90	86%	15	14%	106	57%	69	88%	10	12%	78	43%	184
57. Trinity Sheriffs Dept.	23	91%	2	9%	25	53%	19	84%	4	16%	22	47%	48
58. Tulare Sheriffs Dept.	602	89%	76	11%	677	55%	488	88%	68	12%	556	45%	1,233
59. Tuolumne Sheriffs Dept.	46	75%	15	25%	61	49%	53	82%	11	18%	64	51%	125
60. Ventura Sheriffs Dept.	779	88%	110	12%	889	65%	406	86%	69	14%	475	35%	1,364
61. Ventura Work Furlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	77	83%	16	17%	93	100%	93
62. Yolo Sheriffs Dept.	301	83%	60	17%	361	84%	53	79%	14	21%	67	16%	428
63. Yuba Sheriffs Dept.	312	86%	51	14%	364	85%	53	86%	9	14%	62	15%	425
Statewide	41,406	88%	5,535	12%	46,941	62%	24,781	86%	3,882	14%	28,663	38%	75,604


Jail Prone Survey

2002

Average Daily Population

10 Year Summary									
1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
70,853	67,576	69,233	71,107	72,007	76,894	79,143	76,311	74,868	73,824

Quarterly Results				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	74,221	74,564	73,842	72,684
2002	73,869	75,604		74,742




The ADP reached its highest level in the 2nd Quarter of 1998 (80,391 inmates). Since then the ADP has decreased in subsequent 2nd Quarter findings: 1999 = 76,199 inmates, 2000 = 75,314 inmates, and 2001 = 74,564 inmates. We have been expecting the decline in ADP to stop, which might have finally happened this quarter. The ADP for the 2nd Quarter of 2002 was 75,604, an increase of over 1,000 inmates compared with the same period in 2001. It will take a few more months to determine whether or not ADP increases will be the trend for the future.

Non-sentenced ADP

10 Year Summary									
1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
38,110	35,899	39,122	42,237	42,539	44,593	45,303	44,493	44,943	45,204

Quarterly Results				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	44,916	45,047	45,579	45,265
2002	46,341	46,941		46,642




The most remarkable result for this quarter is in the area of the non-sentenced ADP. For the 2nd Quarter of 2002, the non-sentenced ADP was 46,941 inmates, the highest level in history and a record setting number for the second quarter in a row. Since 1985, the number of non-sentenced inmates has almost doubled.

Sentenced ADP

10 Year Summary									
1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
32,743	31,677	30,142	28,870	29,468	32,301	33,841	31,819	29,925	28,620

Quarterly Results				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	29,304	29,518	28,263	27,419
2002	27,529	28,663		28,099




In contrast to the non-sentenced ADP, the sentenced ADP has declined recently. At 28,663 for the 2nd Quarter of 2002, the sentenced ADP was almost 900 inmates lower than in the 2nd Quarter of 2001. As an interesting comparison, in 1985, the non-sentenced ADP and sentenced ADP were 24,206 and 25,674 inmates respectively. In the intervening 17 years, the sentenced ADP has increased by about 3,000 inmates, while the non-sentenced ADP has increased by almost 23,000 inmates! Since 1985, the number of non-sentenced inmates has gone from being about 1,400 less than the number of sentenced inmates to being over 18,000 inmates greater.

Jail Profile Survey

2002

Percentage Non-sentenced

10 Year Summary										
	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
54%	53%	57%	57%	59%	59%	58%	57%	58%	60%	61%



1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002


The percentage of non-sentenced inmates for the 2nd Quarter of 2002 was 62%. This percentage has been steadily rising since 1985 when only 49% of the inmates were non-sentenced. At its rate of growth over the last 20 years, the non-sentenced population could account for over 70% of the total inmate population within the next decade. This will have a considerable impact on many aspects of jail system design and management.

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	61%	60%	62%	62%	61%
2002	63%	62%			62%

Average Number of Persons Booked Per Month

10 Year Summary										
	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
112,009	105,593	103,996	97,589	97,589	101,942	99,182	98,860	97,008	98,754	96,695



1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002


The number of persons booked appears to be on the rise. The bookings per month for the 2nd Quarter of 2002 (98,417) was slightly higher than all of the 2001 Quarter results. If the current trend continues, the bookings for 2002 will be at a five-year high. This lends additional support to the possibility of continued increases in the overall ADP.

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	96,292	97,503	97,898	95,087	96,695
2002	97,628	98,417			98,025

Average Length Of Stay

10 Year Summary										
	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001



1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001					
2002	38.8	43.2			41.0

Note: In 2002 we began to compute the Average Length of Stay differently, and we believe more accurately, than we did in the past. It will take a year or two before we have sufficient data to discern any Average Length of Stay trends.

Jail Populations: Quarter 2, 2001 Versus Quarter 2, 2002

Jurisdiction	Qtr 2, 2001	Qtr 2, 2002	Qtr 2, 2002 Minus Qtr 2, 2001	Qtr 2, 2001 To Qtr 2, 2002 % +/-	% of Total Increase or Decrease	Cum %	Cum Total
Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.	19,193	19,687	494	2.6%	18.4%	18.43%	494
Riverside Sheriff's Dept.	2,809	3,258	449	16.0%	16.8%	35.19%	943
Orange Sheriff's Dept.	4,387	4,769	382	8.7%	14.3%	49.44%	1,325
San Francisco Sheriff's Dept.	1,793	2,098	305	17.0%	11.4%	60.82%	1,630
Alameda Sheriff's Dept.	3,763	3,912	149	4.0%	5.6%	66.38%	1,779
San Diego Sheriff's Dept.	4,586	4,719	133	2.9%	5.0%	71.34%	1,912
Solano Sheriff's Dept.	945	1,060	115	12.2%	4.3%	75.63%	2,027
Stanislaus Sheriff's Dept.	1,043	1,137	94	9.0%	3.5%	79.14%	2,121
Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.	1,756	1,808	52	3.0%	1.9%	81.08%	2,173
Placer Sheriff's Dept.	436	487	51	11.7%	1.9%	82.99%	2,224
Ventura Sheriff's Dept.	1,317	1,364	47	3.6%	1.8%	84.74%	2,271
Merced Sheriff's Dept.	572	614	42	7.3%	1.6%	86.31%	2,313
Yolo Sheriff's Dept.	388	428	40	10.3%	1.5%	87.80%	2,353
Tulare Sheriff's Dept.	1,196	1,234	38	3.2%	1.4%	89.22%	2,391
Sutter Sheriff's Dept.	214	245	31	14.5%	1.2%	90.37%	2,422
San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept.	350	380	30	8.6%	1.1%	91.49%	2,452
El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.	251	277	26	10.4%	1.0%	92.46%	2,478
Madera Corrections Dept.	299	324	25	8.4%	0.9%	93.40%	2,503
Calaveras Sheriff's Dept.	53	72	19	35.8%	0.7%	94.10%	2,522
Shasta Sheriff's Dept.	382	400	18	4.7%	0.7%	94.78%	2,540
Yuba Sheriff's Dept.	408	425	17	4.2%	0.6%	95.41%	2,557
Napa Corrections Dept.	219	234	15	6.8%	0.6%	95.97%	2,572
Trinity Sheriff's Dept.	33	47	14	42.4%	0.5%	96.49%	2,586
San Diego Work Furlough	197	211	14	7.1%	0.5%	97.01%	2,600
Inyo Sheriff's Dept.	54	67	13	24.1%	0.5%	97.50%	2,613
Nevada Sheriff's Dept.	140	153	13	9.3%	0.5%	97.99%	2,626
Marin Sheriff's Dept.	282	294	12	4.3%	0.4%	98.43%	2,638
Amador Sheriff's Dept.	56	67	11	19.6%	0.4%	98.84%	2,649
Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	43	52	9	20.9%	0.3%	99.18%	2,658
San Benito Sheriff's Dept.	104	110	6	5.8%	0.2%	99.40%	2,664
Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.	38	44	6	15.8%	0.2%	99.63%	2,670
Tuolumne Sheriff's Dept.	120	125	5	4.2%	0.2%	99.81%	2,675
Mono Sheriff's Dept.	24	27	3	12.5%	0.1%	99.93%	2,678
Oakland Police Dept.	119	121	2	1.7%	0.1%	100.00%	2,680
Mendocino Sheriff's Dept.	261	261	0	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%	2,680
Sierra Sheriff's Dept.	6	6	0	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%	2,680
Plumas Sheriff's Dept.	47	46	-1	-2.1%	0.1%	0.06%	-1
Tehama Sheriff's Dept.	187	184	-3	-1.6%	0.2%	0.24%	-4
Modoc Sheriff's Dept.	34	30	-4	-11.8%	0.2%	0.49%	-8
Lassen Sheriff's Dept.	117	112	-5	-4.3%	0.3%	0.80%	-13
Kings Sheriff's Dept.	324	319	-5	-1.5%	0.3%	1.10%	-18
Siskiyou Sheriff's Dept.	88	80	-8	-9.1%	0.5%	1.59%	-26
Scapular House	202	194	-8	-4.0%	0.5%	2.08%	-34
Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.	379	368	-11	-2.9%	0.7%	2.75%	-45
Glenn Sheriff's Dept.	93	79	-14	-15.1%	0.9%	3.61%	-59
Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.	124	107	-17	-13.7%	1.0%	4.65%	-76
Monterey Sheriff's Dept.	969	952	-17	-1.8%	1.0%	5.69%	-93
Butte Sheriff's Dept.	492	473	-19	-3.9%	1.2%	6.85%	-112
Ventura Work Furlough	114	93	-21	-18.4%	1.3%	8.14%	-133
Lake Sheriff's Dept.	222	199	-23	-10.4%	1.4%	9.55%	-156
Santa Cruz Sheriff's Dept.	591	562	-29	-4.9%	1.8%	11.32%	-185
San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	5,125	5,094	-31	-0.6%	1.9%	13.22%	-216
Santa Clara Probation Dept.	248	198	-50	-20.2%	3.1%	16.28%	-266
San Mateo Sheriff's Dept.	1,100	1,048	-52	-4.7%	3.2%	19.46%	-318
Santa Barbara Sheriff's Dept.	881	826	-55	-6.2%	3.4%	22.83%	-373
Santa Ana Police Dept.	369	302	-67	-18.2%	4.1%	26.93%	-440
Sonoma Sheriff's Dept.	1,081	1,004	-77	-7.1%	4.7%	31.84%	-517
Imperial Sheriff's Dept.	501	414	-87	-17.4%	5.3%	36.96%	-604
San Joaquin Sheriff's Dept.	1,266	1,175	-91	-7.2%	5.6%	42.53%	-695
Kern Sheriff's Dept.	2,294	2,195	-99	-4.3%	6.1%	48.69%	-794
San Diego Probation Dept.	153	153	0	0.0%	9.4%	57.96%	-947
Fresno Sheriff's Dept.	2,360	2,181	-179	-7.6%	11.0%	68.91%	-1,126
Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	4,109	3,884	-225	-5.5%	13.8%	82.68%	-1,351
Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.	3,250	2,967	-283	-8.7%	17.3%	100.00%	-1,634
	74,557	75,603	1,046				
		Total Increase:	2,680				
		Total Decrease:	-1,634				

Note: Totals subject to slight variation due to rounding

**Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 2nd Quarter '01 versus 2nd Quarter '02**

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 64 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column B);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column A);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column C);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column D);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column G); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 2,680) and "total decrease" in ADP (-1,634). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 2,680 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 1,634 ADP. Subtracting 2,680 from 1,634 produces the overall increase of 1046 between the second quarter of 2001 and the second quarter of 2002.
2. The Los Angeles ADP increase of 494 is 18.4% of the total increase of 2,680. Thirty-four jurisdictions had increases (down to Oakland Police Department) with two jurisdictions reporting no increase (Mendocino and Sierra Sheriff's Departments). When you get to Sierra Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 2,680).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Plumas Sheriff's Department to Sacramento Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 1,634 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Los Angeles Sheriff's Department to San Francisco Sheriff's Department) is 60.8%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for about 61% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (Sacramento, Santa Clara and Fresno Sheriff's Departments) account for about 42% of the decreases. Please note that San Diego Probation Department will no longer be reporting on the Jail Profile Survey, which accounts for approximately nine percent (9%) of the total decrease.