

JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

2002, 1st QUARTER SURVEY RESULTS

FACILITIES STANDARDS AND OPERATIONS DIVISION

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
600 Bercut Drive
Sacramento, CA 95814-0185
(916) 445-5073
www.bdcorr.ca.gov

JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

EXPLANATION OF RESULTS

The following pages contain the Jail Profile Survey results for the first quarter of 2002. Page 1 contains the quarterly totals based upon the monthly and quarterly data submitted to us by the participating jurisdictions. Page 2 and 3 show the trend data for variables we have been tracking for the last ten years. The remainder of this page provides explanations and clarifications of the data presented.

Page 1

- Unless otherwise noted, "projected totals" are based upon the average per day for the variable in question. For example, there was an average of 73,869 inmates per day in local jails (excluding Type I's) during the three-month span of January to March 2002.
- For some variables (other than ADP), we did not receive data from all jurisdictions. We compute the percentage of the ADP that was housed in the jurisdictions that did supply their data for the variable in question. Next, we increase the received number for the variable in question by an amount that estimates the statewide total. For example, if 90% of the state's ADP was housed in jurisdictions that provided us with the number of Three Strike inmates, we would increase that number by 1/9th to estimate the state total.
- The number for "Bookings" is the average of the monthly totals for January, February and March.
- For the following variables, the numbers presented are the totals as of the mid-quarter (i.e., February 15, 2002); Pretrial Release, Early Release, 2 and 3 Strikes, Felony Warrants, Misdemeanor Warrants, Juveniles in Custody and Undocumented Aliens.
- Assaults is the total number of serious inmate assaults on staff (resulting in a crime report), statewide, during the first quarter of 2002.

Pages 2 and 3

- The data in the 10-Year Summary tables are based upon survey data which the Board has gathered since the early 1980's.
- Prior to 1995, yearly averages were reported to the Board on an annual basis. Yearly averages from 1996 on have been the average across the 12 calendar months. In 1995, the yearly figure reported is the fourth quarter average, since we do not have data from the first three quarters.

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

Reporting Period: January to March, 2002

County Jail Populations

ADP ^a	73,869 ^b
Holding Areas	1,214
Average "Under the Roof" ^c	75,083 ^d
Highest One Day Count	78,688 ^e

Special Use Beds

Medical Beds	928
Mental Health Beds	3,525

County Jail Populations

Felony	53,401
Misdemeanor	20,468
Total	73,869
Non-Sentenced Males	40,779
Non-Sentenced Females	5,561
Sentenced Males	23,817
Sentenced Females	3,712
Total	73,869
Maximum Security Inmates	33,012
Medium Security Inmates	22,759
Minimum Security Inmates	18,098
Total	73,869

Released Per Month Due to Lack of Space

Pretrial Release	5,642 ^f
Early Release	5,134 ^f
Total	10,776

Inmates with 2 and 3 Strikes

2 Strike Inmates	3,806 ^g
3 Strike Inmates	1,538 ^g
Total	5,344

Unserviced Warrants

Felony Warrants	268,760 ^g
Misdemeanor Warrants	2,048,668 ^g
Total	2,317,428

Inmates From Other Jurisdictions

Housed on Federal Contract	2,825
Housed on Contract with CDC	2,627
From Other Counties on Contract	16
Awaiting Transport	1,222
Total	6,690

Other Jail Profile Survey Variables

Bookings Per Month	97,628 ^f
Juveniles in Custody	65 ^f
Criminal / Illegal Aliens	8,749
Assaults On Staff	252

- a. The Average Daily Population for all jurisdictions and does not include inmates in holding areas.
- b. Unless otherwise indicated, the numbers reported are the average across the days in the quarter.
- c. "Under the Roof" is the sum of the Average Daily Population plus the average daily number of inmates in Holding Areas.
- d. Totals may not be the exact sum of the subtotals due to rounding.
- e. The sum of all Highest One Day inmate population counts from all jurisdictions.
- f. These data are collected on a monthly basis, this figure is an average of the total numbers collected each month during this quarter.
- g. These data are one-day snapshots collected at the end of the quarter.


Jail Profile Survey

2002

Average Daily Population

10 Year Summary									
1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
70,853	67,576	69,233	71,107	72,007	76,894	79,143	76,311	74,868	73,824

Quarterly Results				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	74,221	74,564	73,842	72,684
2002	73,869			73,869




As typically happens, the ADP for the 1st Quarter of 2002 is slightly higher than the 4th Quarter of 2001. This does not signify a reversal in the consistent downtrend in ADP we have experienced since 1998. One of the findings of the JPS is that the 4th Quarter ADP is always the lowest in a calendar year. A better trend indicator is the comparison between the 1st Quarter of 2001 versus the 1st Quarter of 2002. Based upon this comparison, the ADP continued to decline slightly (a 361-inmate decline) between 2001 and 2002. We have been investigating this issue and have concluded the following: 1) the decline in ADP is a statewide phenomenon, and 2) the bulk of the decreases have occurred in the largest jurisdictions (for example, this quarter the Los Angeles ADP declined by 609 inmates compared with a year ago). We will continue to investigate the causes of the decline in ADP.

Non-sentenced ADP

10 Year Summary									
1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
38,110	35,899	39,122	42,237	42,539	44,593	45,303	44,493	44,943	45,204

Quarterly Results				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	44,916	45,047	45,579	45,265
2002	46,341			46,341




Unlike the overall ADP, the non-sentenced ADP continues to rise. In the first Quarter of 2002, the Non-Sentenced ADP reached its highest level ever (even higher than when the total ADP was over 80,000 inmates in 2nd Quarter of 1998). At 46,341 inmates in the 1st Quarter of 2002, the Non-Sentenced ADP is over 1,400 inmates higher than it was a year ago. A continued rise in the non-sentenced population would have a significant impact on the type of beds needed in the future.

Sentenced ADP

10 Year Summary									
1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
32,743	31,677	30,142	28,870	29,468	32,301	33,841	31,819	29,925	28,620

Quarterly Results				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	29,304	29,518	28,263	27,419
2002	27,529			27,529




The sentenced ADP is at its lowest point in the history of the Jail Profile Survey. Ten years ago, in 1992, the ADP of Sentenced inmates was 32,743 for the year. The Sentenced ADP is currently 27,529 inmates. The decline in the sentenced population has direct implications for inmate programming, security classification, and staff responsibilities.

Jail Profile Survey

2002

Percentage Non-sentenced

10 Year Summary										
	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
	54%	53%	57%	59%	59%	58%	57%	58%	60%	61%




Due to the increase of Non-Sentenced ADP and decrease of Sentenced ADP, the percentage of inmates who are not sentenced has been increasing steadily for more than a decade. The percentage of inmates who are Non-Sentenced reached 63% this quarter. This is the highest percentage of Non-Sentenced ever recorded. Almost two-thirds of the inmates are now Non-Sentenced as opposed to the traditional 50%. This shift has resulted in significant changes in the operation of jails over the past decade.

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	61%	60%	62%	62%	61%
2002	63%				63%

Average Number of Persons Booked Per Month

10 Year Summary										
	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
	112,009	105,593	103,996	97,589	101,942	99,182	98,860	97,008	98,754	96,695




There were 97,628 bookings this quarter. This number represents an increase of about 1,300 bookings per month when compared with the 1st Quarter of 2001. While this might represent the beginning of the return to increasing jail populations, we must proceed with caution in making such an interpretation. The number of bookings fluctuates more than many of the other Jail Profile Survey variables. For example, in the year 2000, one quarter had an average of over 101,000 bookings per month, while another had an average of only 93,000 bookings per month. Time will tell if this quarter's increase is a harbinger of future increases in the ADP.

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001	96,292	97,503	97,898	95,087	96,695
2002	97,628				97,628

Average Length Of Stay

10 Year Summary										
	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001



You will notice a difference in the way that the average length of stay is reported; the 1st Quarter of 2002 is the first quarter that we are requesting jurisdictions to submit their length of stay. In the past, the average length of stay was calculated using the ADP and number of bookings. As new data accumulates for the average length of stay, we will be able to determine and monitor trends for this variable.

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2001					
2002	38.8				38.8

Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female

California County Jails - For Month Of January - March 2002

Jurisdiction	Non-sentenced			Sentenced			Total
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	
1. Alameda Sheriff's Dept.	2,574	269	2,843	892	166	1,058	3,901
2. Amador Sheriff's Dept.	29	2	31	27	6	34	65
3. Butte Sheriff's Dept.	274	36	309	157	20	178	487
4. Calaveras Sheriff's Dept.	33	5	38	29	4	33	71
5. Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	24	3	27	23	6	29	55
6. Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.	1,053	163	1,216	469	57	525	1,741
7. Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.	64	10	74	46	9	55	129
8. El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.	124	17	141	101	23	123	265
9. Fresno Sheriff's Dept.	1,690	195	1,885	308	46	355	2,240
10. Glenn Sheriff's Dept.	58	12	70	5	2	7	77
11. Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.	212	26	238	118	19	138	375
12. Imperial Sheriff's Dept.	239	29	269	118	10	127	396
13. Inyo Sheriff's Dept.	26	6	32	20	4	24	57
14. Kern Sheriff's Dept.	1,159	184	1,343	651	145	796	2,140
15. Kings Sheriff's Dept.	81	7	88	192	31	224	311
16. Lake Sheriff's Dept.	104	20	125	66	14	80	204
17. Lassen Sheriff's Dept.	45	11	56	52	12	64	120
18. Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.	9,788	1,260	11,049	6,623	945	7,568	18,616
19. Madera Corrections Dept.	265	32	297	29	5	34	331
20. Marin Sheriff's Dept.	219	38	257	34	8	42	299
21. Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.	19	2	21	19	1	20	41
22. Mendocino Sheriff's Dept.	150	20	170	100	22	122	291
23. Merced Sheriff's Dept.	433	47	480	135	19	154	634
24. Modoc Sheriff's Dept.	12	2	15	15	3	18	32
25. Mono Sheriff's Dept.	11	2	13	10	2	12	25
26. Monterey Sheriff's Dept.	366	42	408	453	52	505	912
27. Napa Corrections Dept.	58	8	66	149	19	168	234
28. Nevada Sheriff's Dept.	67	4	71	50	10	60	132
29. Oakland Police Dept.	100	24	124	0	0	0	124
30. Orange Sheriff's Dept.	2,035	256	2,291	2,053	311	2,365	4,656
31. Placer Sheriff's Dept.	230	26	256	177	36	213	469
32. Plumas Sheriff's Dept.	16	4	20	16	2	18	38
33. Riverside Sheriff's Dept.	1,954	226	2,180	924	139	1,063	3,243
34. Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.	1,322	232	1,554	1,295	153	1,448	3,002
35. San Benito Sheriff's Dept.	56	8	64	36	3	39	103

Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female

California County Jails - For Month Of January - March 2002

Jurisdiction	Non-sentenced				Sentenced				Total #		
	Male		Female		Male		Female				
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
36. San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	3,227	88%	444	12%	3,671	75%	1,023	82%	1,247	25%	4,918
37. San Diego Sheriff's Dept.	2,394	86%	392	14%	2,786	59%	1,622	85%	1,907	41%	4,693
38. San Diego WorkFurlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	190	94%	12	6%	202
39. San Francisco Sheriff's Dept.	1,525	86%	249	14%	1,774	88%	191	81%	46	19%	2,011
40. San Joaquin Sheriff's Dept.	554	87%	80	13%	633	52%	496	85%	89	15%	584
41. San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept.	141	86%	22	14%	163	45%	178	89%	21	11%	199
42. San Mateo Sheriff's Dept.	487	92%	45	8%	532	50%	447	84%	85	16%	363
43. Santa Ana Police Dept.	273	94%	19	6%	292	100%	0	100%	0	0%	1,065
44. Santa Barbara Sheriff's Dept.	415	87%	60	13%	474	61%	278	90%	31	10%	292
45. Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	2,370	89%	305	11%	2,674	70%	1,009	89%	121	11%	783
46. Santa Clara Probation Dept.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	144	76%	46	24%	3,804
47. Santa Cruz Sheriff's Dept.	231	89%	29	11%	260	47%	260	90%	30	10%	191
48. Scapular House	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	185	92%	16	8%	549
49. Shasta Sheriff's Dept.	230	86%	38	14%	268	65%	123	84%	24	16%	201
50. Sierra Sheriff's Dept.	2	100%	0	0%	2	36%	3	100%	0	0%	414
51. Siskiyou Sheriff's Dept.	62	85%	11	15%	73	73%	23	86%	4	14%	5
52. Solano Sheriff's Dept.	636	85%	116	15%	752	72%	258	87%	37	13%	100
53. Sonoma Sheriff's Dept.	503	87%	78	13%	581	56%	392	85%	69	15%	1,047
54. Stanislaus Sheriff's Dept.	617	87%	93	13%	710	65%	325	84%	63	16%	1,042
55. Sutter Sheriff's Dept.	138	84%	26	16%	164	66%	66	79%	17	21%	1,097
56. Tehama Sheriff's Dept.	88	86%	15	14%	102	59%	62	87%	9	13%	248
57. Trinity Sheriff's Dept.	27	89%	3	11%	30	56%	17	69%	7	31%	173
58. Tulare Sheriff's Dept.	588	88%	79	12%	667	56%	468	89%	58	11%	54
59. Tuolumne Sheriff's Dept.	52	83%	11	17%	63	49%	51	79%	14	21%	1,192
60. Ventura Sheriff's Dept.	766	87%	112	13%	878	65%	423	88%	58	12%	128
61. Ventura Work Furlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	80	82%	18	18%	1,358
62. Yolo Sheriff's Dept.	277	85%	51	15%	328	81%	64	82%	14	18%	98
63. Yuba Sheriff's Dept.	287	84%	56	16%	344	86%	47	81%	11	19%	407
Statewide	40,780	88%	5,561	12%	46,341	63%	23,817	87%	3,712	13%	73,869

Jail Populations: Quarter 1, 2001 Versus Quarter 1, 2002

Jurisdiction	Qtr 1, 2001	Qtr 1, 2002	Qtr 1, 2002 Minus Qtr 1, 2001	Qtr 1, 2001 To Qtr 1, 2002 % +/-	% of Total Increase or Decrease	Cum %	Cum Total
Riverside Sheriff's Dept.	2,731	3,244	513	18.8%	26.9%	26.90%	513
San Francisco Sheriff's Dept.	1,814	2,010	196	10.8%	10.3%	37.18%	709
San Diego Sheriff's Dept.	4,517	4,692	175	3.9%	9.2%	46.36%	884
Alameda Sheriff's Dept.	3,740	3,901	161	4.3%	8.4%	54.80%	1,045
Solano Sheriff's Dept.	892	1,047	155	17.4%	8.1%	62.93%	1,200
Kern Sheriff's Dept.	2,040	2,140	100	4.9%	5.2%	68.17%	1,300
Stanislaus Sheriff's Dept.	1,008	1,098	90	8.9%	4.7%	72.89%	1,390
Merced Sheriff's Dept.	571	635	64	11.2%	3.4%	76.25%	1,454
San Diego Work Furlough	147	202	55	37.4%	2.9%	79.13%	1,509
Sutter Sheriff's Dept.	199	248	49	24.6%	2.6%	81.70%	1,558
Placer Sheriff's Dept.	424	468	44	10.4%	2.3%	84.01%	1,602
Mendocino Sheriff's Dept.	261	292	31	11.9%	1.6%	85.63%	1,633
Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.	1,715	1,740	25	1.5%	1.3%	86.94%	1,658
Yolo Sheriff's Dept.	382	406	24	6.3%	1.3%	88.20%	1,682
Calaveras Sheriff's Dept.	49	70	21	42.9%	1.1%	89.30%	1,703
Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	35	56	21	60.0%	1.1%	90.40%	1,724
Trinity Sheriff's Dept.	35	55	20	57.1%	1.0%	91.45%	1,744
Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.	112	129	17	15.2%	0.9%	92.34%	1,761
Amador Sheriff's Dept.	48	64	16	33.3%	0.8%	93.18%	1,777
Shasta Sheriff's Dept.	398	414	16	4.0%	0.8%	94.02%	1,793
Napa Corrections Dept.	218	233	15	6.9%	0.8%	94.81%	1,808
Glenn Sheriff's Dept.	64	77	13	20.3%	0.7%	95.49%	1,821
San Benito Sheriff's Dept.	91	103	12	13.2%	0.6%	96.12%	1,833
El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.	252	264	12	4.8%	0.6%	96.75%	1,845
Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.	364	375	11	3.0%	0.6%	97.33%	1,856
Marin Sheriff's Dept.	289	299	10	3.5%	0.5%	97.85%	1,866
Siskiyou Sheriff's Dept.	91	99	8	8.8%	0.4%	98.27%	1,874
Tulare Sheriff's Dept.	1,185	1,192	7	0.6%	0.4%	98.64%	1,881
Scapular House	195	201	6	3.1%	0.3%	98.95%	1,887
Madera Corrections Dept.	327	331	4	1.2%	0.2%	99.16%	1,891
Tuolumne Sheriff's Dept.	124	128	4	3.2%	0.2%	99.37%	1,895
Inyo Sheriff's Dept.	53	56	3	5.7%	0.2%	99.53%	1,898
Yuba Sheriff's Dept.	398	401	3	0.8%	0.2%	99.69%	1,901
Butte Sheriff's Dept.	485	487	2	0.4%	0.1%	99.79%	1,903
Modoc Sheriff's Dept.	31	33	2	6.5%	0.1%	99.90%	1,905
Sierra Sheriff's Dept.	3	4	1	33.3%	0.1%	99.95%	1,906
Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.	40	41	1	2.5%	0.1%	100.00%	1,907
Nevada Sheriff's Dept.	132	132	0	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%	1,907
Kings Sheriff's Dept.	314	312	-2	-0.6%	0.1%	0.09%	-2
Mono Sheriff's Dept.	27	25	-2	-7.4%	0.1%	0.18%	-4
Oakland Police Dept.	127	124	-3	-2.4%	0.1%	0.31%	-7
Plumas Sheriff's Dept.	43	39	-4	-9.3%	0.2%	0.49%	-11
Tehama Sheriff's Dept.	179	173	-6	-3.4%	0.3%	0.75%	-17
Lake Sheriff's Dept.	210	204	-6	-2.9%	0.3%	1.01%	-23
Lassen Sheriff's Dept.	128	120	-8	-6.2%	0.4%	1.37%	-31
San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept.	376	362	-14	-3.7%	0.6%	1.98%	-45
San Mateo Sheriff's Dept.	1,080	1,064	-16	-1.5%	0.7%	2.69%	-61
Monterey Sheriff's Dept.	932	912	-20	-2.1%	0.9%	3.67%	-81
Orange Sheriff's Dept.	4,679	4,656	-23	-0.5%	1.0%	4.59%	-104
San Joaquin Sheriff's Dept.	1,242	1,218	-24	-1.9%	1.1%	5.64%	-128
Ventura Work Furlough	130	98	-32	-24.6%	1.4%	7.05%	-160
Ventura Sheriff's Dept.	1,404	1,359	-45	-3.2%	2.0%	9.04%	-205
Santa Cruz Sheriff's Dept.	597	549	-48	-8.0%	2.1%	11.16%	-253
Sonoma Sheriff's Dept.	1,090	1,041	-49	-4.5%	2.2%	13.32%	-302
Santa Ana Police Dept.	344	292	-52	-15.1%	2.3%	15.61%	-354
Santa Clara Probation Dept.	260	190	-70	-26.9%	3.1%	18.69%	-424
Santa Barbara Sheriff's Dept.	865	783	-82	-9.5%	3.6%	22.31%	-506
Imperial Sheriff's Dept.	491	396	-95	-19.3%	4.2%	26.50%	-601
Fresno Sheriff's Dept.	2,342	2,239	-103	-4.4%	4.5%	31.04%	-704
San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	5,083	4,917	-166	-3.3%	7.3%	38.36%	-870
San Diego Probation Dept.	192	192	0	0.0%	8.5%	46.83%	-1,062
Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.	3,221	3,002	-219	-6.8%	9.7%	56.48%	-1,281
Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	4,182	3,804	-378	-9.0%	16.7%	73.15%	-1,659
Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.	19,225	18,616	-609	-3.2%	26.9%	100.00%	-2,268
	74,223	73,862	-361				
		Total Increase:	1,907				
		Total Decrease:	-2,268				

Note: Totals subject to slight variation due to rounding

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 1st Quarter '01 versus 1st Quarter '02

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 64 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column B);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column A);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column C);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column D);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column G); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 1,907) and "total decrease" in ADP (-2,268). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 1,907 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 2,268 ADP. Subtracting 1,907 from 2,268 produces the overall decrease of 361 between the first quarter of 2001 and the first quarter of 2002.
2. The Riverside ADP increase of 513 is 26.9% of the total increase of 1,907. Thirty-seven jurisdictions had increases (down to Mariposa Sheriff's Department) with one jurisdiction reporting no increase (Nevada Sheriff's Department). When you get to Nevada Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 1,907).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Kings Sheriff's Department to Los Angeles Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 2,268 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Riverside Sheriff's Department to Alameda Sheriff's Department) is 54.8%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for about 55% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (Sacramento, Santa Clara and Los Angeles Sheriff's Departments) account for about 53% of the decreases. Please note that San Diego Probation Department will no longer be reporting on the Jail Profile Survey, which accounts for approximately nine percent (9%) of the total decrease.