

How to Use Regulation Revision Documents  
May 25, 2021 ESC Meeting

The information below explains how to use the regulation revision documents. The ESC is tasked with approving, modifying, or requesting additional information or research for each proposed regulation revision. It is critical that this information is reviewed prior to the meeting and it is recommended that you take personal notes or make indications for yourself where you would like to discuss a proposed revision. Attached is a summary chart that indicates which regulations are proposed to be revised and where further ESC review is indicated.

- The **Summary Chart** provides a quick overview of (1) which regulations have revisions, (2) which revisions are substantive, and (3) which revisions require further discussion by the ESC. Regulations highlighted in gray require ESC review and should be the focus of your attention.
- The **Title 15 and 24 Regulation Revision Worksheets** contain all the applicable regulations (except for nutritional health and environmental health)
  - Proposed revisions are indicated in ~~strike-out~~ (deleted text) and underline (new text).
  - Public Comments and ESC Recommendations received during the first ESC meeting are provided where applicable.
  - Discussion Notes following each regulation section contain a summary of workgroup dialogue and related intent for revisions. They are included for reference.
  - Regulations requiring further ESC review and discussion are indicated with a yellow highlighted **Note to ESC**.
- There is a separate document that contains revisions to definitions.

**Questions to consider when reviewing regulations and proposed revisions:**

1. Is the regulation and revision acceptable as is?
2. Did the workgroup and BSCC staff capture the ESC recommendations and public comment?
3. Does the regulation align with best practices?
  - a. If not:
    - i. What best practices should be considered, and how could the language in the regulation be improved?
    - ii. Should the ESC require BSCC staff to conduct further research into best practice?