Board of State and Community Corrections Juvenile Detention Profile Survey Data Caveats & Limitations

The following caveats and limitations have been identified for the Juvenile Detention Profile Survey data:

- Source data can be queried at http://app.bscc.ca.gov/jog/jds/QuerySelection.asp
- We make every effort to verify the submitted data to ensure its accuracy, but it is ultimately up to the jurisdictions (counties) to report reliable data.
- Due to rounding, numbers and percentages presented may not add up precisely to the totals provided.
- We do not collect details on policies that jurisdictions enforce that might influence these data.
- A number of juvenile facilities have opened and closed from 2002 through 2019. These changes might affect how the data are represented.
 - Inyo County: Inyo County's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall (SPJH) in January 2017.
 An SPJH is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Facility-level data of provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.
 - Mariposa County: Mariposa County operates a SPJH. Facility-level data provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.
 - Mono County: Mono County operated a SPJH between 2002 and March 2014. Facility-level data provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.
 - Plumas County: Plumas County operated a SPJH between 2002 and March 2008. Facility-level data provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.
 - Trinity County: Trinity County's Juvenile Hall converted to a SPJH in August 2014. Facility-level data provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.
 - Siskiyou County: Siskiyou County's Juvenile Hall converted to a SPJH in February 2018. Facility-level data provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.

Table 1. Statewide Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	11127.7	3548.3	32%	7579.4	68%	11112.8	3878.5	35%	7234.3	65%
2003	10919.1	3490.7	32%	7428.4	68%	10917.8	3706.3	34%	7211.5	66%
2004	10642.5	3799.5	36%	6843.0	64%	10663.5	3493.8	33%	7169.7	67%
2005	10915.4	3991.0	37%	6924.4	63%	10816.6	3637.8	34%	7178.8	66%
2006	11270.3	4201.7	37%	7068.6	63%	11062.8	3556.8	32%	7506.0	68%
2007	11136.9	4189.0	38%	6947.9	62%	10924.6	3271.3	30%	7653.3	70%
2008	10952.3	3816.3	35%	7136.0	65%	10781.1	3150.8	29%	7630.3	71%
2009	10042.0	3472.4	35%	6569.6	65%	9929.7	2832.8	29%	7096.9	71%
2010	9098.7	3036.9	33%	6061.8	67%	9081.4	2711.7	30%	6369.7	70%
2011	8243.4	2622.4	32%	5621.0	68%	8144.3	2440.8	30%	5703.5	70%
2012	7359.0	2377.5	32%	4981.5	68%	7345.9	2222.9	30%	5123.0	70%
2013	6627.8	2116.9	32%	4510.9	68%	6673.5	2080.2	31%	4593.3	69%
2014	5780.5	1952.7	34%	3827.8	66%	5787.6	1905.3	33%	3882.3	67%
2015	5306.6	1963.7	37%	3342.9	63%	5357.2	1956.3	37%	3400.9	63%
2016	4694.6	1790.3	38%	2904.3	62%	4687.4	1542.1	33%	3145.3	67%
2017	4463.9	1756.8	39%	2707.1	61%	4464.3	1403.1	31%	3061.2	69%
2018	4011.0	1661.1	41%	2349.9	59%	3999.0	1093.9	27%	2905.1	73%
2019	3686.3	1618.0	44%	2068.3	56%	3712.3	1006.5	27%	2705.8	73%

Table 2. Statewide Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	6572.7	3547.5	54%	3025.2	46%	6557.1	2363.3	36%	4193.8	64%
2003	6449.4	3489.8	54%	2959.6	46%	6406.8	2234.0	35%	4172.8	65%
2004	6460.5	3798.9	59%	2661.6	41%	6439.7	2196.8	34%	4242.9	66%
2005	6818.1	3990.5	59%	2827.6	41%	6715.1	2379.3	35%	4335.8	65%
2006	7017.8	4201.1	60%	2816.7	40%	6846.3	2261.4	33%	4584.9	67%
2007	6858.9	4188.5	61%	2670.4	39%	6668.3	1986.5	30%	4681.8	70%
2008	6614.0	3815.9	58%	2798.1	42%	6367.2	1881.0	30%	4486.2	70%
2009	6199.1	3472.0	56%	2727.1	44%	6015.8	1833.2	30%	4182.6	70%
2010	5723.8	3036.6	53%	2687.2	47%	5584.0	1890.3	34%	3693.7	66%
2011	5138.2	2622.3	51%	2515.9	49%	5002.9	1722.3	34%	3280.6	66%
2012	4548.1	2377.4	52%	2170.7	48%	4517.8	1569.5	35%	2948.3	65%
2013	4103.7	2116.5	52%	1987.2	48%	4132.9	1445.1	35%	2687.8	65%
2014	3639.7	1952.6	54%	1687.1	46%	3576.9	1341.1	37%	2235.8	63%
2015	3407.8	1963.4	58%	1444.4	42%	3389.0	1381.3	41%	2007.7	59%
2016	3030.4	1789.7	59%	1240.7	41%	2973.6	1091.8	37%	1881.8	63%
2017	2911.2	1756.7	60%	1154.5	40%	2887.4	951.8	33%	1935.6	67%
2018	2670.0	1661.0	62%	1009.0	38%	2641.8	776.8	29%	1865.0	71%
2019	2482.3	1617.9	65%	864.4	35%	2476.5	731.8	30%	1744.7	70%

Table 3. Statewide Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Dail	y Populatio	n	J		One-Day S	napshot				
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony			
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP			
2002	Juvenile C	Camps/Rar	nches only	4554.0	100%	4553.7	1513.8	33%	3039.9	67%			
2003		st-Dispositi		4468.8	100%	4509.7	1471.5	33%	3038.2	67%			
2004	juveniles,	therefore t	heir Total	4181.4	100%	4222.4	1296.0	31%	2926.4	69%			
2005		•	ed of Post-	4096.8	100%	4100.9	1258.3	31%	2842.6	69%			
2006	Dispositio	n juveniles		4251.9	100%	4215.9	1294.8	31%	2921.1	69%			
2007				4277.5	100%	4255.4	1284.2	30%	2971.2	70%			
2008				4337.8	100%	4413.8	1269.7	29%	3144.1	71%			
2009				3842.5	100%	3913.9	999.6	26%	2914.3	74%			
2010				3374.6	100%	3497.4	821.4	23%	2676.0	77%			
2011				3105.1	100%	3141.3	718.4	23%	2422.9	77%			
2012				2810.9	100%	2828.2	653.4	23%	2174.8	77%			
2013				2523.7	100%	2539.7	634.4	25%	1905.3	75%			
2014				2140.7	100%	2210.6	564.2	26%	1646.4	74%			
2015					100%	1967.2	574.2	29%	1393.0	71%			
2016							1661.1	100%	1712.3	449.7	26%	1262.6	74%
2017				1550.2	100%	1575.7	451.1	29%	1124.6	71%			
2018					100%	1355.9	316.4	23%	1039.5	77%			
2019				1201.8	100%	1234.2	273.0	22%	961.2	78%			

Figure 1.
Statewide ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 2. Population of Statewide Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 3.
Statewide Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1




Table 4. Combined Juvenile Facility Populations by County and Pre-Disposition, Post-Disposition, Misdemeanor, and Felony for the Second Quarter of 2019 1

012010		P	verage Daily	/ Population	n	Snapshot		One-Day S	Snapshot	
	ADP 2nd		position		sposition	AMP 2nd	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony
	Quarter				_	Quarter				
County	2019	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	2019	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
Alameda	79.0	43.4	55%	35.9	45%	79.0	29.3	37%	49.7	63%
Butte	23.7	9.7	41%	14.0	59%	23.7	0.3	1%	23.3	99%
Contra Costa	108.0	36.0	33%	72.0	67%	107.7	22.3	21%	85.3	79%
Del Norte	12.7	5.8	46%	7.0	55%	12.7	4.7	37%	8.0	63%
El Dorado	19.0	12.1	64%	6.9	36%	22.3	14.3	64%	8.0	36%
Fresno	189.3	57.7	30%	131.7	70%	193.7	35.0	18%	158.7	82%
Humboldt	19.7	18.8	96%	0.9	5%	20.3	11.3	56%	9.0	44%
Imperial	17.0	12.0	71%	5.0	29%	16.3	6.0	37%	10.3	63%
Kern	234.0	43.8	19%	190.3	81%	240.0	119.7	50%	120.3	50%
Kings	24.5	13.5	55%	11.0	45%	24.0	10.0	42%	14.0	58%
Lassen	2.7	0.0	0%	2.8	104%	1.3	0.7	50%	0.7	50%
Los Angeles	877.3	438.3	50%	438.8	50%	905.0	239.3	26%	665.7	74%
Madera	40.3	13.0	32%	27.3	68%	40.3	18.7	46%	21.7	54%
Marin	13.3	3.9	29%	9.4	70%	8.3	7.0	84%	1.3	16%
Mendocino	12.7	6.0	47%	6.8	53%	11.3	3.3	29%	8.0	71%
Merced	36.7	U^2	U	U	U	35.0	3.7	10%	31.3	90%
Monterey	87.3	37.7	43%	49.7	57%	89.7	18.0	20%	71.7	80%
Napa	16.7	10.7	64%	6.0	36%	16.0	6.3	40%	9.7	60%
Nevada	2.7	1.8	66%	1.0	36%	2.7	1.7	63%	1.0	38%
Orange	217.0	92.7	43%	124.2	57%	214.7	48.0	22%	166.7	78%
Placer	12.7	3.5	27%	9.1	71%	10.0	2.3	23%	7.7	77%
Riverside	117.3	43.4	37%	73.8	63%	117.7	16.0	14%	101.7	86%

Table 4 (continued). Combined Juvenile Facility Populations by County and Pre-Disposition, Post-Disposition, Misdemeanor, and Felony for the Second Quarter of 2019

		Δ	verage Daily	Population	n	Snapshot		One-Day S	napshot	
	ADP 2nd	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	AMP 2nd	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
	Quarter					Quarter				_
County	2019	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	2019	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
Sacramento	122.3	99.0	81%	23.3	19%	126.3	38.0	30%	88.3	70%
San Benito	9.3	8.3	88%	0.9	9%	8.3	1.7	20%	6.7	80%
San Bernardino	153.0	85.3	56%	67.7	44%	171.3	51.0	30%	120.3	70%
San Diego	313.3	111.7	36%	201.7	64%	319.7	51.7	16%	268.0	84%
San Francisco	38.3	14.3	37%	24.0	63%	36.0	14.3	40%	21.7	60%
San Joaquin	91.7	57.3	63%	34.3	37%	95.0	3.7	4%	91.3	96%
San Luis Obispo	23.3	10.7	46%	12.9	55%	24.7	12.3	50%	12.3	50%
San Mateo	63.3	36.7	58%	26.7	42%	64.0	26.0	41%	38.0	59%
Santa Barbara	59.3	19.3	33%	40.0	67%	61.7	7.3	12%	54.3	88%
Santa Clara	186.3	103.3	55%	83.0	45%	183.7	43.0	23%	140.7	77%
Santa Cruz	20.7	18.1	88%	2.7	13%	17.0	6.3	37%	10.7	63%
Shasta	26.0	11.3	44%	14.7	56%	26.7	8.7	33%	18.0	68%
Solano	42.7	22.0	52%	20.7	48%	41.0	13.3	33%	27.7	67%
Sonoma	56.7	26.2	46%	31.0	55%	55.7	29.0	52%	26.7	48%
Stanislaus	77.7	36.6	47%	41.2	53%	75.0	19.7	26%	55.3	74%
Tehama	29.0	12.9	44%	16.1	56%	30.7	12.3	40%	18.3	60%
Tulare	70.7	28.9	41%	41.8	59%	69.0	15.3	22%	53.7	78%
Tuolumne	9.3	3.8	40%	5.7	61%	9.7	3.3	34%	6.3	66%
Ventura	74.7	34.4	46%	40.1	54%	75.3	28.7	38%	46.7	62%
Yolo	12.0	2.8	23%	9.1	76%	2.7	0.3	13%	2.3	88%
Yuba	29.7	10.7	36%	19.2	65%	32.0	10.3	32%	21.7	68%

ALAMEDA COUNTY

Table 5. Alameda Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	327.8	173.6	53%	154.3	47%	328.7	188.6	57%	140.1	43%
2003	317.6	188.3	59%	129.3	41%	323.0	174.3	54%	148.7	46%
2004	332.7	200.1	60%	132.6	40%	346.2	183.8	53%	162.4	47%
2005	313.2	193.3	62%	120.0	38%	314.6	166.2	53%	148.4	47%
2006	323.5	186.0	57%	137.5	43%	284.1	130.0	46%	154.1	54%
2007	325.8	209.4	64%	116.3	36%	277.9	109.3	39%	168.6	61%
2008	358.6	187.7	52%	170.9	48%	261.7	91.5	35%	170.2	65%
2009	309.5	178.2	58%	131.3	42%	238.7	82.7	35%	156.0	65%
2010	283.9	164.2	58%	119.6	42%	206.8	60.3	29%	146.5	71%
2011	269.9	123.0	46%	146.8	54%	195.3	67.1	34%	128.3	66%
2012	251.0	90.0	36%	161.1	64%	249.1	108.8	44%	140.3	56%
2013	214.8	88.7	41%	126.1	59%	214.9	90.2	42%	124.8	58%
2014	198.5	88.9	45%	109.5	55%	197.4	70.8	36%	126.7	64%
2015	160.4	70.0	44%	90.4	56%	162.8	65.3	40%	97.6	60%
2016	105.5	52.2	49%	53.2	50%	107.3	40.5	38%	66.8	62%
2017	96.6	45.9	48%	50.7	52%	96.7	35.1	36%	61.6	64%
2018	82.3	40.7	49%	41.5	50%	82.3	28.1	34%	54.3	66%
2019	81.0	43.5	54%	37.8	47%	80.5	26.8	33%	53.7	67%

Table 6. Alameda Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	259.1	173.6	67%	85.5	33%	251.7	147.8	59%	103.9	41%
2003	242.8	188.3	78%	54.6	22%	234.2	125.3	54%	108.8	46%
2004	248.7	200.1	80%	48.6	20%	244.3	131.9	54%	112.3	46%
2005	238.3	193.3	81%	45.1	19%	237.2	128.0	54%	109.2	46%
2006	246.9	186.0	75%	60.9	25%	206.2	94.8	46%	111.4	54%
2007	254.9	209.4	82%	45.5	18%	202.1	82.7	41%	119.4	59%
2008	278.0	187.7	68%	90.3	32%	179.5	70.6	39%	108.9	61%
2009	245.3	178.2	73%	67.1	27%	174.3	62.8	36%	111.5	64%
2010	230.8	164.2	71%	66.5	29%	153.7	45.3	30%	108.3	70%
2011	219.9	123.0	56%	96.8	44%	143.6	45.3	32%	98.3	68%
2012	200.9	90.0	45%	111.0	55%	199.6	88.2	44%	111.4	56%
2013	174.8	88.7	51%	86.1	49%	173.5	72.0	41%	101.5	59%
2014	160.7	88.9	55%	71.7	45%	159.8	62.4	39%	97.4	61%
2015	132.8	70.0	53%	62.8	47%	135.5	57.4	42%	78.1	58%
2016	87.1	52.2	60%	34.9	40%	88.0	34.1	39%	53.9	61%
2017	78.1	45.9	59%	32.3	41%	77.2	30.1	39%	47.1	61%
2018	66.3	40.7	61%	25.5	38%	65.3	23.7	36%	41.6	64%
2019	66.5	43.5	65%	23.3	35%	65.2	22.5	35%	42.7	65%

Table 7. Alameda Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Daily	y Populatio	n	<u> </u>		One-Day S	napshot		
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	.luvenile (Camps/Rar	nches only	68.8	100%	77.0	40.8	53%	36.2	47%	
2003		st-Disposit	-	74.8	100%	88.8	49.0	55%	39.8	45%	
2004		therefore t		84.0	100%	101.9	51.8	51%	50.1	49%	
2005			ed of Post-	74.9	100%	77.4	38.2	49%	39.3	51%	
2006	Dispositio	n juveniles		76.6	100%	77.9	35.3	45%	42.7	55%	
2007				70.8	100%	75.8	26.7	35%	49.2	65%	
2008				80.6	100%	82.2	20.9	25%	61.3	75%	
2009				64.3	100%	64.3	19.8	31%	44.5	69%	
2010				53.1	100%	53.2	15.0	28%	38.2	72%	
2011				50.0	100%	51.8	21.8	42%	29.9	58%	
2012				50.1	100%	49.5	20.7	42%	28.8	58%	
2013				40.0	100%	41.4	18.2	44%	23.3	56%	
2014				37.8	100%	37.6	8.3	22%	29.3	78%	
2015				27.6	100%	27.3	7.8	29%	19.5	71%	
2016					18.4	100%	19.3	6.4	33%	12.9	67%
2017					100%	19.5	5.0	26%	14.5	74%	
2018					100%	17.1	4.4	26%	12.7	74%	
2019				14.5	100%	15.3	4.3	28%	11.0	72%	

Figure 4.

Alameda ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 5.

Population of Alameda Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







BUTTE COUNTY

Table 8. Butte Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_	А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	58.8	45.1	77%	13.7	23%	59.0	27.3	46%	31.7	54%
2003	57.3	31.8	55%	25.5	45%	56.2	14.8	26%	41.4	74%
2004	57.5	32.7	57%	24.8	43%	57.5	3.2	6%	54.3	94%
2005	55.1	31.3	57%	23.8	43%	55.3	2.2	4%	53.1	96%
2006	57.7	31.7	55%	26.0	45%	57.6	1.3	2%	56.3	98%
2007	54.9	30.8	56%	24.1	44%	55.0	8.0	1%	54.2	99%
2008	51.9	30.9	60%	21.0	40%	51.9	0.9	2%	51.0	98%
2009	49.4	27.0	55%	22.4	45%	49.4	0.4	1%	49.0	99%
2010	50.5	25.5	50%	25.0	50%	51.4	1.6	3%	49.8	97%
2011	48.2	24.7	51%	23.5	49%	48.1	1.8	4%	46.3	96%
2012	43.6	21.4	49%	22.2	51%	43.6	5.7	13%	37.9	87%
2013	40.5	19.8	49%	20.7	51%	40.5	0.6	1%	39.9	99%
2014	32.9	12.3	37%	20.6	63%	33.4	1.1	3%	32.3	97%
2015	30.4	13.1	43%	17.3	57%	30.2	0.4	1%	29.8	99%
2016	27.1	9.6	35%	17.5	65%	27.5	0.2	1%	27.3	99%
2017	33.8	9.6	28%	24.2	72%	33.1	0.2	1%	32.9	99%
2018	27.0	7.6	28%	19.4	72%	25.2	0.1	0%	25.1	100%
2019	22.7	9.0	40%	13.7	60%	22.7	0.2	1%	22.5	99%

Table 9. Butte Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	58.8	45.1	77%	13.7	23%	59.0	27.3	46%	31.7	54%
2003	57.3	31.8	55%	25.5	45%	56.2	14.8	26%	41.4	74%
2004	57.5	32.7	57%	24.8	43%	57.5	3.2	6%	54.3	94%
2005	55.1	31.3	57%	23.8	43%	55.3	2.2	4%	53.1	96%
2006	57.7	31.7	55%	26.0	45%	57.6	1.3	2%	56.3	98%
2007	54.9	30.8	56%	24.1	44%	55.0	0.8	1%	54.2	99%
2008	51.9	30.9	60%	21.0	40%	51.9	0.9	2%	51.0	98%
2009	49.4	27.0	55%	22.4	45%	49.4	0.4	1%	49.0	99%
2010	50.5	25.5	50%	25.0	50%	51.4	1.6	3%	49.8	97%
2011	48.2	24.7	51%	23.5	49%	48.1	1.8	4%	46.3	96%
2012	43.6	21.4	49%	22.2	51%	43.6	5.7	13%	37.9	87%
2013	40.5	19.8	49%	20.7	51%	40.5	0.6	1%	39.9	99%
2014	26.1	12.3	47%	13.8	53%	26.6	1.1	4%	25.5	96%
2015	21.8	13.1	60%	8.7	40%	21.6	0.3	1%	21.3	99%
2016	18.4	9.6	52%	8.8	48%	18.7	0.2	1%	18.5	99%
2017	21.6	9.6	44%	12.0	56%	21.0	0.2	1%	20.8	99%
2018	19.7	7.6	39%	12.1	61%	18.5	0.1	0%	18.4	100%
2019	16.5	9.0	55%	7.5	45%	16.5	0.2	1%	16.3	99%

Table 10. Butte Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2014² through 2019¹

			Average Daily	/ Population	on	_		One-Day S	Snapshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Di	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	luvenile (Camps/Rar	nches only							
2003		st-Dispositi								
2004		therefore t								
2005	=		ed of Post-							
2006	Dispositio	n juveniles								
2007										
2008										
2009										
2010										
2011										
2012										
2013					Butte C	ounty's Camp o	opened Jul	y 2014.		
2014				13.5	100%	13.5	0.0	0%	13.5	100%
2015				8.7	100%	8.7	0.2	2%	8.5	98%
2016				8.8	100%	8.8	0.0	0%	8.8	100%
2017				12.2	100%	12.2	0.0	0%	12.2	100%
2018				7.3	100%	6.7	0.0	0%	6.7	100%
2019				6.2	100%	6.2	0.0	0%	6.2	100%

Figure 7.

Butte ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 8. Population of Butte Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 9.

Butte Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹




COLUSA COUNTY

Table 11. Colusa Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2011 1


	_		Average Dail	y Populatio	n			One-Day S	Snapshot	
	_	Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile Cam	nn/Ranch	es only	96.9	100%	97.3	8.6	9%	88.8	91%
2003	house Post-D	•	•	90.7	100%	90.8	12.8	14%	77.9	86%
2004	therefore their			76.6	100%	75.4	9.3	12%	66.1	88%
2005	comprised of		•	50.9	100%	51.3	6.3	12%	45.0	88%
2006	juveniles.			53.4	100%	54.3	7.7	14%	46.6	86%
2007	,			57.8	100%	63.0	12.1	19%	50.9	81%
2008				57.4	100%	57.5	5.4	9%	52.1	91%
2009				44.6	100%	48.6	3.4	7%	45.2	93%
2010				44.5	100%	44.7	2.3	5%	42.3	95%
2011				45.2	100%	45.3	2.0	4%	43.3	96%
			Co	olusa Coun	ty's Camp clo	sed June 2011				

Figure 10.

Colusa Juvenile Camp/Ranch ADP for 2002 through 2011







CONTRA COSTA COUNTY

Table 12. Contra Costa Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n	_		Snapshot		
	_	Pre-Dis	position	osition Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	227.5	74.4	33%	153.1	67%	241.1	84.8	35%	156.3	65%
2003	227.3	79.1	35%	148.2	65%	224.2	89.4	40%	134.8	60%
2004	225.0	81.2	36%	143.8	64%	217.2	73.8	34%	143.4	66%
2005	247.6	97.3	39%	150.3	61%	189.8	56.4	30%	133.4	70%
2006	276.6	132.8	48%	143.8	52%	198.4	59.8	30%	138.6	70%
2007	260.8	121.3	47%	139.5	53%	262.2	89.9	34%	172.3	66%
2008	263.9	110.2	42%	153.7	58%	264.6	84.2	32%	180.4	68%
2009	272.9	115.3	42%	157.6	58%	275.0	94.3	34%	180.7	66%
2010	292.3	107.5	37%	184.8	63%	289.9	87.4	30%	202.5	70%
2011	247.1	77.7	31%	169.4	69%	235.2	68.9	29%	166.3	71%
2012	233.4	79.3	34%	154.1	66%	233.0	62.3	27%	170.7	73%
2013	224.4	76.5	34%	147.9	66%	222.0	71.7	32%	150.3	68%
2014	224.6	76.1	34%	148.5	66%	217.5	52.8	24%	164.7	76%
2015	214.3	75.7	35%	138.6	65%	212.0	57.8	27%	154.2	73%
2016	171.5	54.3	32%	117.2	68%	168.5	52.6	31%	115.9	69%
2017	147.3	52.5	36%	94.8	64%	145.0	45.4	31%	99.6	69%
2018	149.6	55.3	37%	94.3	63%	149.2	35.9	24%	113.3	76%
2019	116.8	43.0	37%	73.8	63%	123.5	27.5	22%	96.0	78%

Table 13. Contra Costa Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	135.7	74.4	55%	61.3	45%	149.2	56.8	38%	92.4	62%
2003	129.9	79.1	61%	50.8	39%	132.4	59.1	45%	73.3	55%
2004	139.9	81.2	58%	58.7	42%	131.4	49.3	38%	82.1	63%
2005	156.6	97.3	62%	59.3	38%	98.0	33.2	34%	64.8	66%
2006	179.9	132.8	74%	47.1	26%	101.5	28.1	28%	73.4	72%
2007	167.1	121.3	73%	45.8	27%	168.5	55.6	33%	112.9	67%
2008	164.0	110.2	67%	53.8	33%	164.9	53.6	32%	111.3	68%
2009	176.7	115.3	65%	61.4	35%	179.0	60.3	34%	118.7	66%
2010	193.3	107.5	56%	85.8	44%	191.0	55.9	29%	135.1	71%
2011	149.3	77.7	52%	71.6	48%	137.5	40.9	30%	96.6	70%
2012	144.1	79.3	55%	64.8	45%	143.4	41.1	29%	102.3	71%
2013	119.2	76.5	64%	42.7	36%	117.9	41.9	36%	76.0	64%
2014	121.0	76.1	63%	44.9	37%	114.0	28.3	25%	85.7	75%
2015	118.1	75.7	64%	42.4	36%	115.7	32.4	28%	83.3	72%
2016	86.3	54.3	63%	32.0	37%	84.0	31.6	38%	52.4	62%
2017	76.9	52.5	68%	24.4	32%	72.8	25.3	35%	47.5	65%
2018	75.8	55.3	73%	20.5	27%	74.4	21.4	29%	53.0	71%
2019	58.5	43.0	74%	15.5	26%	66.0	18.3	28%	47.7	72%

Table 14. Contra Costa Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Dail	y Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile C	venile Camps/Ranches only			100%	91.9	28.1	31%	63.8	69%
2003		ouse Post-Disposition veniles, therefore their Total		97.3	100%	91.7	30.3	33%	61.4	67%
2004				85.1	100%	85.9	24.6	29%	61.3	71%
2005		ADP is only comprised of Post- Disposition juveniles.			100%	92.0	23.3	25%	68.7	75%
2006					100%	97.0	31.8	33%	65.2	67%
2007					100%	93.6	34.3	37%	59.3	63%
2008				99.8	100%	99.7	30.6	31%	69.1	69%
2009				96.2	100%	96.0	34.0	35%	62.0	65%
2010				99.0	100%	98.9	31.5	32%	67.4	68%
2011				97.9	100%	97.7	28.0	29%	69.7	71%
2012				89.4	100%	89.6	21.2	24%	68.4	76%
2013				105.2	100%	104.1	29.8	29%	74.3	71%
2014				103.6	100%	103.6	24.6	24%	79.0	76%
2015					100%	96.2	25.3	26%	70.9	74%
2016					100%	84.5	21.0	25%	63.5	75%
2017					100%	72.2	20.1	28%	52.1	72%
2018				73.8	100%	74.8	14.5	19%	60.3	81%
2019				58.3	100%	57.5	9.2	16%	48.3	84%

Figure 12.
Contra Costa ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 13.
Population of Contra Costa Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 14.
Contra Costa Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



DEL NORTE COUNTY

Table 15. Del Norte Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Population	n		One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	41.3	5.5	13%	35.8	87%	41.4	8.3	20%	33.1	80%	
2003	43.8	5.9	13%	37.9	86%	43.7	13.9	32%	29.8	68%	
2004	41.1	6.2	15%	34.9	85%	41.8	15.8	38%	26.0	62%	
2005	40.1	6.8	17%	33.3	83%	39.3	14.2	36%	25.1	64%	
2006	38.3	6.5	17%	31.8	83%	36.9	13.5	37%	23.4	63%	
2007	37.8	6.2	17%	31.6	84%	28.4	3.3	11%	25.1	89%	
2008	40.5	6.8	17%	33.7	83%	30.3	2.5	8%	27.8	92%	
2009	32.8	5.8	18%	27.0	83%	25.8	3.1	12%	22.7	88%	
2010	36.0	5.4	15%	30.6	85%	34.0	10.3	30%	23.7	70%	
2011	32.2	6.2	19%	26.0	82%	31.3	6.6	21%	24.7	79%	
2012	34.2	6.1	18%	28.1	82%	35.5	10.3	29%	25.2	71%	
2013	31.5	5.2	16%	26.3	83%	32.6	9.3	29%	23.3	71%	
2014	26.4	4.3	16%	22.1	83%	24.6	6.4	26%	18.2	74%	
2015	31.6	5.4	17%	26.2	83%	31.4	3.8	12%	27.6	88%	
2016	20.7	3.6	18%	17.1	83%	21.0	5.8	27%	15.2	73%	
2017	12.1	4.0	33%	8.1	67%	12.2	5.8	47%	6.4	53%	
2018	9.0	4.1	45%	4.9	55%	8.6	4.0	47%	4.6	53%	
2019	11.7	6.1	52%	5.6	48%	11.8	5.3	45%	6.5	55%	

Table 16. Del Norte Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot				
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	13.6	5.5	40%	8.1	60%	12.6	8.3	66%	4.3	34%	
2003	18.1	5.9	32%	12.2	67%	18.0	13.9	77%	4.1	23%	
2004	18.3	6.2	34%	12.1	67%	19.4	15.8	82%	3.6	18%	
2005	17.5	6.8	39%	10.7	61%	16.5	14.2	86%	2.3	14%	
2006	15.3	6.5	42%	8.8	58%	16.0	13.5	84%	2.5	16%	
2007	14.9	6.2	42%	8.7	58%	5.3	3.3	62%	2.0	38%	
2008	14.9	6.8	46%	8.1	54%	4.8	2.5	52%	2.3	48%	
2009	11.5	5.8	51%	5.7	50%	4.5	3.1	69%	1.4	31%	
2010	11.7	5.4	46%	6.3	54%	12.1	10.3	86%	1.8	14%	
2011	12.5	6.2	50%	6.3	51%	11.3	6.5	57%	4.8	43%	
2012	12.0	6.1	51%	5.9	49%	12.7	9.7	76%	3.0	24%	
2013	8.8	5.2	59%	3.6	41%	10.1	8.8	88%	1.3	13%	
2014	9.6	4.3	45%	5.3	55%	7.6	4.8	63%	2.8	37%	
2015	8.4	5.4	63%	3.0	35%	8.2	3.5	43%	4.7	57%	
2016	8.1	3.6	44%	4.5	55%	8.0	5.8	73%	2.2	27%	
2017	8.4	4.0	48%	4.4	53%	8.5	5.4	64%	3.1	36%	
2018	9.0	4.1	45%	4.9	55%	8.6	4.0	47%	4.6	53%	
2019	11.7	6.1	52%	5.6	48%	11.8	5.3	45%	6.5	55%	

Table 17. Del Norte Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2017²

			Average Daily	y Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	Juvenile (Camps/Rar	nches only	27.7	100%	28.8	0.0	0%	28.8	100%	
2003		st-Dispositi		25.8	100%	25.8	0.0	0%	25.8	100%	
2004		juveniles, therefore their Total			100%	22.4	0.0	0%	22.4	100%	
2005			ed of Post-	22.6	100%	22.8	0.0	0%	22.8	100%	
2006		n juveniles		23.0	100%	20.9	0.0	0%	20.9	100%	
2007				22.9	100%	23.1	0.0	0%	23.1	100%	
2008				25.6	100%	25.5	0.0	0%	25.5	100%	
2009				21.3	100%	21.3	0.0	0%	21.3	100%	
2010				24.3	100%	21.9	0.0	0%	21.9	100%	
2011				19.7	100%	19.9	0.1	0%	19.8	100%	
2012				22.2	100%	22.8	0.6	3%	22.2	97%	
2013				22.7	100%	22.6	0.6	3%	22.0	97%	
2014				16.8	100%	16.9	1.6	9%	15.3	91%	
2015				23.2	100%	23.3	0.3	1%	23.0	99%	
2016				12.5	100%	13.0	0.0	0%	13.0	100%	
2017				4.9	100%	4.8	0.4	9%	4.4	91%	
2018				Del Norte	e's Camp clos	sed in Septemb	er 2017				

Figure 15.

Del Norte ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 16. Population of Del Norte Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 17.

Del Norte Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



EL DORADO COUNTY

Table 18. El Dorado Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	39.0	15.2	39%	23.8	61%	39.9	19.0	48%	20.9	52%
2003	38.8	14.8	38%	24.0	62%	37.9	20.1	53%	17.8	47%
2004	37.9	14.8	39%	23.1	61%	38.0	20.6	54%	17.4	46%
2005	41.1	13.0	32%	28.1	68%	41.6	25.9	62%	15.7	38%
2006	45.4	16.3	36%	29.1	64%	48.1	27.6	57%	20.5	43%
2007	47.2	15.1	32%	32.1	68%	51.4	29.4	57%	22.0	43%
2008	45.7	16.0	35%	29.7	65%	48.3	28.2	58%	20.1	42%
2009	54.4	21.4	39%	33.0	61%	54.5	32.0	59%	22.5	41%
2010	54.1	23.2	43%	30.9	57%	55.2	33.4	60%	21.8	40%
2011	50.4	18.1	36%	32.3	64%	49.8	35.3	71%	14.5	29%
2012	38.3	16.5	43%	21.8	57%	38.7	24.8	64%	13.9	36%
2013	35.2	15.8	45%	19.4	55%	35.4	25.6	72%	9.8	28%
2014	27.6	11.7	42%	15.9	58%	28.5	20.8	73%	7.7	27%
2015	26.4	15.3	58%	11.1	42%	25.6	17.5	68%	8.1	32%
2016	33.8	14.0	41%	19.8	59%	31.9	22.1	69%	9.8	31%
2017	31.5	18.3	58%	13.2	42%	31.7	21.3	67%	10.4	33%
2018	23.9	13.6	57%	10.3	43%	24.3	16.6	68%	7.7	32%
2019	19.9	12.4	62%	7.5	37%	21.8	14.8	68%	7.0	32%

Table 19. El Dorado Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	Snapshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	39.0	15.2	39%	23.8	61%	39.9	19.0	48%	20.9	52%
2003	38.8	14.8	38%	24.0	62%	37.9	20.1	53%	17.8	47%
2004	37.9	14.8	39%	23.1	61%	38.0	20.6	54%	17.4	46%
2005	41.1	13.0	32%	28.1	68%	41.6	25.9	62%	15.7	38%
2006	37.9	16.3	43%	21.6	57%	40.6	23.5	58%	17.1	42%
2007	36.9	15.1	41%	21.8	59%	41.1	24.7	60%	16.4	40%
2008	36.3	16.0	44%	20.3	56%	38.7	24.9	64%	13.8	36%
2009	45.7	21.4	47%	24.3	53%	45.9	27.7	60%	18.2	40%
2010	46.3	23.2	50%	23.1	50%	47.1	29.1	62%	18.0	38%
2011	40.9	18.1	44%	22.8	56%	40.2	29.9	74%	10.3	26%
2012	31.5	16.5	52%	15.0	48%	31.4	19.8	63%	11.6	37%
2013	29.3	15.8	54%	13.5	46%	29.7	21.7	73%	8.0	27%
2014	20.4	11.7	57%	8.7	43%	21.2	14.8	70%	6.4	30%
2015	22.8	15.3	67%	7.5	33%	22.2	14.8	67%	7.4	33%
2016	25.4	14.0	55%	11.4	45%	23.0	14.2	62%	8.8	38%
2017	25.1	18.3	73%	6.8	27%	24.9	16.1	65%	8.8	35%
2018	19.3	13.6	70%	5.7	29%	19.4	13.1	68%	6.3	32%
2019	16.2	12.4	77%	3.8	23%	17.1	11.8	69%	5.3	31%

Table 20. El Dorado Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2006 through 2019

Table 20.			Average Daily			oo unougnz	-	One-Day S	Snapshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misden	neanor	Fel	lony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002 2003 2004	house Pos	Camps/Rar st-Dispositi therefore t								
2005	•		ed of Post-	El Doi	rado County's	Camp opened	March 20	06.		
2006		n juveniles		9.0	100%	9.0	4.9	54%	4.1	46%
2007				10.3	100%	10.4	4.8	46%	5.6	54%
2008				9.3	100%	9.6	3.3	34%	6.3	66%
2009				8.8	100%	8.6	4.3	50%	4.3	50%
2010				7.8	100%	8.1	4.3	53%	3.8	47%
2011				9.6	100%	9.7	5.4	56%	4.3	44%
2012				6.8	100%	7.2	4.9	68%	2.3	32%
2013				5.9	100%	5.7	3.9	69%	1.8	31%
2014				7.3	100%	7.3	6.0	83%	1.3	17%
2015				3.6	100%	3.5	2.8	80%	0.7	20%
2016				8.4	100%	8.9	7.9	89%	1.0	11%
2017				6.4	100%	6.8	5.2	77%	1.6	23%
2018				4.6	100%	4.9	3.5	71%	1.4	29%
2019				3.7	100%	4.7	3.0	64%	1.7	36%

Figure 18. El Dorado ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 19.

Population of El Dorado Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 20.

El Dorado Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



FRESNO COUNTY

Table 21. Fresno Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	474.3	148.5	31%	325.8	69%	475.8	150.0	32%	325.8	68%
2003	470.7	151.5	32%	319.2	68%	474.4	168.1	35%	306.3	65%
2004	474.8	159.1	34%	315.7	66%	474.5	191.4	40%	283.1	60%
2005	471.9	160.1	34%	311.8	66%	470.1	196.3	42%	273.8	58%
2006	494.7	141.4	29%	353.3	71%	491.0	199.7	41%	291.3	59%
2007	512.8	139.4	27%	373.4	73%	512.7	228.6	45%	284.1	55%
2008	504.8	139.6	28%	365.2	72%	515.2	223.9	43%	291.3	57%
2009	413.9	133.3	32%	280.6	68%	417.6	138.3	33%	279.3	67%
2010	355.3	130.8	37%	224.5	63%	358.0	112.3	31%	245.7	69%
2011	334.2	110.7	33%	223.5	67%	338.9	103.8	31%	235.1	69%
2012	315.6	109.5	35%	206.1	65%	318.5	82.1	26%	236.4	74%
2013	300.6	104.3	35%	196.3	65%	302.1	64.8	21%	237.3	79%
2014	298.8	101.4	34%	197.4	66%	302.1	70.8	23%	231.3	77%
2015	246.7	89.2	36%	157.5	64%	252.4	65.6	26%	186.8	74%
2016	224.2	91.7	41%	132.5	59%	220.9	50.6	23%	170.3	77%
2017	225.2	87.5	39%	137.7	61%	228.3	45.2	20%	183.1	80%
2018	218.1	79.2	36%	138.9	64%	219.7	38.0	17%	181.7	83%
2019	197.5	64.5	33%	133.0	67%	199.8	35.5	18%	164.3	82%

Table 22. Fresno Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	287.8	148.5	52%	139.3	48%	289.9	91.9	32%	198.0	68%
2003	297.1	151.5	51%	145.6	49%	300.2	112.8	38%	187.4	62%
2004	303.9	159.1	52%	144.8	48%	305.4	131.7	43%	173.7	57%
2005	302.2	160.1	53%	142.1	47%	300.9	133.7	44%	167.2	56%
2006	268.2	141.4	53%	126.8	47%	263.3	107.1	41%	156.2	59%
2007	185.5	139.4	75%	46.1	25%	143.3	54.3	38%	89.0	62%
2008	191.2	139.6	73%	51.6	27%	146.1	46.5	32%	99.6	68%
2009	186.6	133.3	71%	53.3	29%	140.7	35.8	25%	104.9	75%
2010	165.6	130.8	79%	34.8	21%	137.0	32.0	23%	105.0	77%
2011	147.3	110.7	75%	36.6	25%	116.3	29.3	25%	87.0	75%
2012	140.4	109.5	78%	30.9	22%	114.1	25.3	22%	88.8	78%
2013	132.1	104.3	79%	27.8	21%	107.0	18.3	17%	88.7	83%
2014	133.1	101.4	76%	31.7	24%	106.4	21.6	20%	84.8	80%
2015	117.1	89.2	76%	27.9	24%	95.1	21.7	23%	73.4	77%
2016	117.5	91.7	78%	25.8	22%	91.6	20.5	22%	71.1	78%
2017	111.8	87.5	78%	24.3	22%	93.5	18.2	19%	75.3	81%
2018	104.3	79.2	76%	25.1	24%	86.6	14.3	16%	72.3	84%
2019	90.5	64.5	71%	26.0	29%	80.0	12.5	16%	67.5	84%

Table 23. Fresno Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Dail	y Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	186.5	100%	185.9	58.1	31%	127.8	69%
2003	house Pos			173.6	100%	174.1	55.3	32%	118.8	68%
2004	juveniles,			170.9	100%	169.2	59.8	35%	109.4	65%
2005			ed of Post-	169.8	100%	169.3	62.6	37%	106.7	63%
2006	Disposition	n juveniles		226.5	100%	227.8	92.6	41%	135.2	59%
2007				327.3	100%	369.4	174.3	47%	195.1	53%
2008				313.6	100%	369.1	177.4	48%	191.7	52%
2009				227.3	100%	276.9	102.6	37%	174.3	63%
2010				189.8	100%	221.0	80.3	36%	140.7	64%
2011				186.9	100%	222.6	74.5	33%	148.1	67%
2012				175.2	100%	204.4	56.8	28%	147.6	72%
2013				168.5	100%	195.1	46.4	24%	148.7	76%
2014				165.8	100%	195.6	49.2	25%	146.4	75%
2015				129.6	100%	157.3	43.9	28%	113.4	72%
2016				106.8	100%	129.3	30.1	23%	99.2	77%
2017				113.4	100%	134.8	27.0	20%	107.8	80%
2018				113.8	100%	133.2	23.8	18%	109.4	82%
2019	D " D .	(400)		107.0	100%	119.8	23.0	19%	96.8	81%

Figure 21.
Fresno ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 22.

Population of Fresno Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







GLENN COUNTY

Table 24. Glenn Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2017 1

Table 24.	Gleriii Juven		verage Daily					One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	12.3	7.2	59%	5.1	42%	12.8	8.6	67%	4.2	33%
2003	6.8	3.6	53%	3.1	47%	6.8	6.0	88%	0.8	12%
2004	17.7	8.7	49%	8.9	51%	16.8	10.9	65%	5.9	35%
2005	22.7	10.7	47%	12.1	53%	22.8	14.3	63%	8.4	37%
2006	19.1	8.4	44%	10.7	56%	19.5	12.7	65%	6.8	35%
2007	17.8	6.5	36%	11.4	64%	17.1	6.7	39%	10.4	61%
2008	16.8	8.2	49%	8.6	51%	16.8	3.3	19%	13.6	81%
2009	15.7	7.0	45%	8.6	55%	15.8	5.8	37%	10.0	63%
2010	15.1	8.4	55%	6.7	45%	15.1	7.9	52%	7.2	48%
2011	13.1	6.1	46%	6.9	53%	13.4	4.2	31%	9.3	69%
2012	11.2	5.6	50%	5.5	49%	10.2	5.0	49%	5.2	51%
2013	14.5	6.8	47%	7.7	53%	14.6	5.8	39%	8.8	61%
2014	11.8	4.3	37%	7.6	64%	11.6	6.1	53%	5.5	47%
2015	7.8	3.5	45%	4.1	53%	8.6	6.4	75%	2.2	25%
2016	7.8	3.1	39%	4.8	61%	8.3	6.7	80%	1.7	20%
2017	6.0	3.2	54%	2.8	46%	7.5	4.7	62%	2.8	38%
1			Glenn C	ounty clos	ed their Juve	nile Hall in June	2017			

Figure 24.

Glenn Juvenile Hall Population 2002 through 2017




Figure 25.

Population of Glenn Juvenile Hall by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2017





Figure 26.



-----Felony

HUMBOLDT COUNTY

Table 25. Humboldt Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_	Д	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	49.1	17.1	35%	32.0	65%	47.7	26.8	56%	20.9	44%
2003	40.7	15.7	39%	25.0	62%	40.4	21.5	53%	18.9	47%
2004	39.3	14.9	38%	24.4	62%	39.0	16.7	43%	22.3	57%
2005	40.1	14.2	35%	25.9	65%	39.6	15.3	39%	24.3	61%
2006	40.4	17.8	44%	22.6	56%	40.1	20.2	50%	19.9	50%
2007	37.7	16.8	44%	20.9	55%	37.3	14.8	40%	22.5	60%
2008	40.9	21.7	53%	19.2	47%	40.6	15.6	38%	25.0	62%
2009	38.6	20.3	52%	18.3	47%	37.6	26.7	71%	10.9	29%
2010	33.4	15.8	47%	17.6	53%	33.2	25.9	78%	7.3	22%
2011	30.5	18.8	61%	11.7	38%	30.1	19.7	65%	10.4	35%
2012	29.6	18.3	62%	11.3	38%	28.0	19.2	69%	8.8	31%
2013	27.3	13.9	51%	13.4	49%	26.7	18.9	71%	7.8	29%
2014	26.4	14.9	56%	11.5	43%	25.7	18.8	73%	6.9	27%
2015	24.1	13.9	58%	10.2	42%	23.7	20.8	88%	2.9	12%
2016	24.2	11.6	47%	12.6	51%	23.7	17.8	75%	5.9	25%
2017	18.6	15.9	86%	2.7	15%	18.2	11.8	65%	6.4	35%
2018	20.5	19.7	95%	0.8	4%	20.1	14.0	70%	6.1	30%
2019	19.9	18.9	96%	1.0	5%	20.4	12.7	62%	7.7	38%

Figure 27. Humboldt Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019 ¹




Figure 28.
Population of Humboldt Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 29.

Humboldt Juvenile Hall Felony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



IMPERIAL COUNTY

Table 26. Imperial Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	43.4	29.9	69%	13.5	31%	44.7	0.0	0%	44.7	100%
2003	43.2	30.8	71%	12.4	29%	43.8	0.0	0%	43.8	100%
2004	42.7	29.9	70%	12.8	30%	44.5	0.0	0%	44.5	100%
2005	45.9	31.4	68%	14.5	32%	40.5	0.0	0%	40.5	100%
2006	47.1	35.9	76%	11.2	24%	47.8	0.0	0%	47.8	100%
2007	46.8	33.0	71%	13.8	29%	47.3	0.0	0%	47.3	100%
2008	30.8	24.5	79%	6.3	21%	29.3	0.3	1%	29.0	99%
2009	27.3	21.5	78%	5.8	21%	25.9	0.1	0%	25.8	100%
2010	27.5	22.2	81%	5.3	19%	26.8	0.0	0%	26.8	100%
2011	20.3	15.0	73%	5.3	26%	20.1	5.4	27%	14.7	73%
2012	14.5	9.1	62%	5.4	37%	13.5	6.6	49%	6.9	51%
2013	17.1	13.5	79%	3.6	21%	16.6	6.8	41%	9.8	59%
2014	12.2	8.8	72%	3.4	28%	12.6	6.2	49%	6.4	51%
2015	11.4	8.1	71%	3.3	29%	12.0	5.7	48%	6.3	52%
2016	11.9	8.2	69%	3.7	31%	11.5	6.4	56%	5.1	44%
2017	14.0	9.9	71%	4.1	29%	13.6	6.4	47%	7.2	53%
2018	21.3	14.8	70%	6.5	30%	20.7	6.2	30%	14.5	70%
2019	17.3	12.5	72%	4.8	28%	17.0	5.5	32%	11.5	68%

Figure 30. *Imperial Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019* ¹




Figure 31.

Population of Imperial Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 32.

Imperial Juvenile Hall Felony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



INYO COUNTY

Table 27. Inyo Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2016

		A	verage Daily	Population	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	6.3	2.6	41%	3.7	59%	6.0	3.8	64%	2.2	36%
2003	9.5	3.9	41%	5.6	59%	10.0	7.8	78%	2.2	22%
2004	11.4	4.9	43%	6.5	57%	11.6	7.7	66%	3.9	34%
2005	10.8	3.6	33%	7.2	66%	11.1	6.8	61%	4.3	39%
2006	10.3	3.4	33%	6.9	67%	10.2	7.6	75%	2.6	25%
2007	9.9	2.8	29%	7.1	71%	10.0	8.6	86%	1.4	14%
2008	10.9	4.9	45%	6.0	55%	11.6	8.6	74%	3.0	26%
2009	11.1	4.3	38%	6.8	62%	11.4	8.8	77%	2.6	23%
2010	11.8	4.3	37%	7.5	63%	12.4	9.1	74%	3.3	26%
2011	7.6	3.8	50%	3.8	50%	7.2	3.4	47%	3.8	53%
2012	6.7	2.9	44%	3.8	56%	6.6	2.7	41%	3.9	59%
2013	6.5	4.0	62%	2.5	38%	6.0	2.2	37%	3.8	63%
2014	4.6	2.2	48%	2.4	51%	4.7	2.6	55%	2.1	45%
2015	3.8	2.1	54%	1.7	46%	3.9	3.1	80%	0.8	20%
2016	2.3	1.9	82%	0.4	18%	2.3	1.2	52%	1.1	48%

Inyo County converted their Juvenile Hall to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in January 2017 1

Note. ¹ Inyo County's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall (SPJH) in January 2017. An SPJH is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Facility-level data of provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Figure 33. Inyo Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2016




Figure 34.

Population of Inyo Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2016





Figure 35.



KERN COUNTY

Table 28. Kern Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	384.2	58.5	15%	325.7	85%	387.4	180.2	47%	207.2	53%
2003	379.3	53.2	14%	326.1	86%	380.9	177.3	47%	203.6	53%
2004	392.6	62.4	16%	330.2	84%	391.6	207.3	53%	184.3	47%
2005	378.6	55.6	15%	323.0	85%	378.2	183.9	49%	194.3	51%
2006	403.9	64.6	16%	339.3	84%	412.5	154.4	37%	258.1	63%
2007	436.8	71.1	16%	365.7	84%	437.4	141.8	32%	295.6	68%
2008	449.8	71.1	16%	378.7	84%	446.6	175.5	39%	271.1	61%
2009	401.5	64.6	16%	336.9	84%	396.3	175.0	44%	221.3	56%
2010	385.7	75.9	20%	309.8	80%	376.4	138.8	37%	237.6	63%
2011	377.2	61.5	16%	315.7	84%	376.2	122.8	33%	253.4	67%
2012	350.7	52.0	15%	298.7	85%	344.8	131.7	38%	213.1	62%
2013	342.0	51.4	15%	290.6	85%	379.2	176.8	47%	202.4	53%
2014	338.5	46.9	14%	291.6	86%	345.5	170.7	49%	174.8	51%
2015	299.8	49.7	17%	250.1	83%	301.5	178.8	59%	122.7	41%
2016	251.3	42.5	17%	208.8	83%	259.2	150.3	58%	108.9	42%
2017	258.8	55.5	21%	203.3	78%	279.1	152.8	55%	126.3	45%
2018	253.6	56.6	22%	197.0	78%	253.0	122.4	48%	130.6	52%
2019	237.4	48.0	20%	189.4	80%	242.8	116.0	48%	126.8	52%

Table 29. Kern Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	121.9	58.5	48%	63.4	52%	123.4	79.9	65%	43.5	35%
2003	105.0	53.2	51%	51.8	49%	105.3	62.1	59%	43.2	41%
2004	125.7	62.4	50%	63.3	50%	126.5	88.8	70%	37.7	30%
2005	136.0	55.6	41%	80.4	59%	135.8	90.0	66%	45.8	34%
2006	148.1	64.6	44%	83.5	56%	156.0	65.2	42%	90.8	58%
2007	159.6	71.1	45%	88.5	55%	161.5	64.3	40%	97.2	60%
2008	157.0	71.1	45%	85.9	55%	156.3	71.4	46%	84.9	54%
2009	154.9	64.6	42%	90.3	58%	152.6	67.3	44%	85.3	56%
2010	154.6	75.9	49%	78.7	51%	141.9	53.6	38%	88.3	62%
2011	140.7	61.5	44%	79.2	56%	139.1	45.3	33%	93.8	67%
2012	114.6	52.0	45%	62.6	55%	113.3	38.8	34%	74.5	66%
2013	103.7	51.4	50%	52.3	50%	131.4	51.9	40%	79.5	60%
2014	92.0	46.9	51%	45.1	49%	98.2	42.5	43%	55.7	57%
2015	83.3	49.7	60%	33.6	40%	85.1	45.9	54%	39.2	46%
2016	67.1	42.5	63%	24.6	37%	68.6	33.3	49%	35.3	51%
2017	75.5	55.5	73%	20.0	26%	87.6	36.3	41%	51.3	59%
2018	82.2	56.6	69%	25.6	31%	79.9	30.3	38%	49.6	62%
2019	72.3	48.0	66%	24.3	34%	75.3	31.0		44.3	59%

Table 30. Kern Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Disposition Post-Disposition			sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile Camps/Ranches only			262.3	100%	264.0	100.3	38%	163.7	62%
2003	house Post-Disposition		274.3	100%	275.6	115.2	42%	160.4	58%	
2004		juveniles, therefore their Total ADP is only comprised of Post-Disposition juveniles.			100%	265.0	118.4	45%	146.6	55%
2005	ADP is on				100%	242.5	93.9	39%	148.6	61%
2006	Disposition				100%	256.6	89.3	35%	167.3	65%
2007					100%	275.8	77.4	28%	198.4	72%
2008					100%	290.3	104.1	36%	186.2	64%
2009				246.6	100%	243.9	107.8	44%	136.1	56%
2010				231.1	100%	234.5	85.2	36%	149.3	64%
2011				236.4	100%	237.1	77.5	33%	159.6	67%
2012				236.1	100%	231.5	92.9	40%	138.6	60%
2013				238.3	100%	247.8	124.9	50%	122.9	50%
2014				246.5	100%	247.4	128.2	52%	119.2	48%
2015				216.5	100%	216.3	132.8	61%	83.5	39%
2016				184.2	100%	190.5	116.9	61%	73.6	39%
2017				183.3	100%	191.4	116.4	61%	75.0	39%
2018				171.4	100%	173.2	92.1	53%	81.1	47%
2019	D 11 D 1			165.1	100%	167.5	85.0	51%	82.5	49%

Figure 36. Kern ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 ¹




Figure 37.
Population of Kern Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 38.

Kern Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



KINGS COUNTY

Table 31. Kings Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	104.0	23.1	22%	80.9	78%	105.1	61.5	59%	43.6	41%
2003	104.9	22.0	21%	82.9	79%	104.4	53.8	52%	50.6	48%
2004	101.6	22.2	22%	79.4	78%	98.5	44.0	45%	54.5	55%
2005	93.7	24.3	26%	69.4	74%	94.7	43.0	45%	51.7	55%
2006	90.6	23.8	26%	66.8	74%	89.3	45.8	51%	43.5	49%
2007	83.6	20.5	25%	63.1	75%	83.9	53.5	64%	30.4	36%
2008	88.4	22.8	26%	65.6	74%	89.8	72.2	80%	17.6	20%
2009	84.8	24.0	28%	60.8	72%	91.7	79.7	87%	12.0	13%
2010	75.0	24.6	33%	50.4	67%	75.2	63.8	85%	11.4	15%
2011	86.6	28.8	33%	57.8	67%	92.3	58.8	64%	33.5	36%
2012	73.9	26.4	36%	47.5	64%	73.9	10.6	14%	63.3	86%
2013	62.7	26.6	42%	36.1	58%	64.0	11.4	18%	52.6	82%
2014	51.9	26.5	51%	25.4	49%	48.4	3.6	7%	44.8	93%
2015	44.4	24.8	56%	19.6	44%	40.8	2.5	6%	38.3	94%
2016	52.9	24.5	46%	28.4	54%	52.7	9.9	19%	42.8	81%
2017	39.8	23.9	60%	15.9	40%	41.0	6.3	15%	34.7	85%
2018	33.8	18.1	54%	15.7	46%	32.9	2.6	8%	30.3	92%
2019	27.0	14.2	53%	12.8	47%	27.2	6.2	23%	21.0	77%

Table 32. Kings Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Average Daily Population				One-Day Snapsh			napshot	
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	56.8	23.1	41%	33.7	59%	57.7	26.3	46%	31.4	54%
2003	58.4	22.0	38%	36.4	62%	57.9	24.1	42%	33.8	58%
2004	59.9	22.2	37%	37.7	63%	59.7	25.3	42%	34.4	58%
2005	60.6	24.3	40%	36.3	60%	60.2	23.9	40%	36.3	60%
2006	60.2	23.8	39%	36.4	61%	60.2	29.2	48%	31.0	52%
2007	61.1	20.5	34%	40.6	66%	62.1	37.4	60%	24.7	40%
2008	59.3	22.8	38%	36.5	62%	59.7	47.7	80%	12.0	20%
2009	57.4	24.0	42%	33.4	58%	63.1	55.8	88%	7.3	12%
2010	37.1	24.6	66%	12.5	34%	36.8	34.8	95%	2.0	5%
2011	40.6	28.8	71%	11.8	29%	41.0	25.1	61%	15.9	39%
2012	35.4	26.4	75%	9.0	26%	35.2	3.8	11%	31.4	89%
2013	38.2	26.6	70%	11.6	30%	38.2	5.1	13%	33.1	87%
2014	35.6	26.5	74%	9.1	26%	32.6	2.3	7%	30.3	93%
2015	29.8	24.8	83%	5.0	17%	26.5	1.8	7%	24.7	93%
2016	36.9	24.5	67%	12.4	34%	37.3	7.1	19%	30.2	81%
2017	29.0	23.9	82%	5.1	18%	29.9	3.6	12%	26.3	88%
2018	23.0	18.1	79%	4.9	21%	23.2	1.8	8%	21.4	92%
2019	21.6	14.2	66%	7.4	34%	21.0	5.0	24%	16.0	76%

Table 33. Kings Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		•	Average Dail	y Populatio	n	•		One-Day S	napshot				
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony			
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP			
2002	Juvenile C	:amps/Rar	nches only	47.2	100%	47.5	35.3	74%	12.2	26%			
2003	house Pos	•		46.5	100%	46.6	29.8	64%	16.8	36%			
2004	juveniles,			41.8	100%	38.8	18.7	48%	20.1	52%			
2005	•		ed of Post-	33.2	100%	34.5	19.1	55%	15.4	45%			
2006	Disposition	n juveniles		30.3	100%	29.2	16.7	57%	12.5	43%			
2007				22.5	100%	21.9	16.1	74%	5.8	26%			
2008				29.1	100%	30.1	24.5	81%	5.6	19%			
2009				27.4	100%	28.6	23.9	84%	4.7	16%			
2010					100%	38.4	29.0	75%	9.4	25%			
2011				46.0	100%	51.4	33.8	66%	17.6	34%			
2012				38.5	100%	38.6	6.8	18%	31.8	82%			
2013				24.5	100%	25.8	6.3	25%	19.5	75%			
2014				16.2	100%	15.8	1.3	8%	14.5	92%			
2015				14.6	100%	14.3	0.7	5%	13.6	95%			
2016							16.0	100%	15.4	2.8	18%	12.6	82%
2017				10.8	100%	11.2	2.8	25%	8.4	75%			
2018				10.8	100%	9.7	0.8	8%	8.9	92%			
2019					100%	6.2	1.2	19%	5.0	81%			

Figure 39.
Kings ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 40.

Population of Kings Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 41.

Kings Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



LAKE COUNTY

Table 34. Lake Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2015 1

		Α	verage Daily	Population	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	30.2	16.8	56%	13.4	44%	17.4	7.5	43%	9.9	57%
2003	35.2	14.8	42%	20.3	58%	18.3	7.5	41%	10.8	59%
2004	35.8	15.8	44%	20.0	56%	20.6	9.5	46%	11.1	54%
2005	35.2	14.9	42%	20.3	58%	23.9	9.8	41%	14.2	59%
2006	38.5	16.3	42%	22.2	58%	27.1	12.0	44%	15.1	56%
2007	38.4	16.5	43%	21.9	57%	27.6	12.9	47%	14.7	53%
2008	32.0	11.4	36%	20.6	64%	19.3	11.1	58%	8.2	42%
2009	21.0	9.8	47%	11.2	53%	14.9	6.6	44%	8.3	56%
2010	14.3	6.4	45%	7.9	55%	6.8	2.6	38%	4.3	62%
2011	12.4	5.7	46%	6.8	54%	6.6	3.8	58%	2.8	42%
2012	17.0	9.8	57%	7.3	43%	11.4	3.8	34%	7.6	66%
2013	19.6	9.1	46%	10.5	54%	11.5	4.4	38%	7.1	62%
2014	13.7	7.8	57%	5.8	43%	7.5	5.0	67%	2.5	33%
2015	9.5	6.1	64%	3.4	36%	5.2	3.3	63%	1.9	37%
			Lake Cou	nty closed	their Juveni	le Hall in Octobe	er 2015			

Figure 42. *Lake Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2015*




Figure 43. Population of Lake Juvenile Hall by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2015





Figure 44.
Population of Lake Juvenile Hall by Misdemeanor and Felony as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2015



LASSEN COUNTY

Table 35. Lassen Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	Snapshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	21.1	15.0	71%	6.1	29%	20.4	7.4	36%	13.0	64%
2003	15.9	13.3	84%	2.6	16%	15.4	5.6	36%	9.8	64%
2004	14.3	11.8	83%	2.5	18%	13.7	2.4	18%	11.3	82%
2005	14.1	12.0	85%	2.1	15%	11.4	6.5	57%	4.9	43%
2006	14.1	11.5	81%	2.6	18%	13.3	10.4	78%	2.9	22%
2007	18.2	12.8	70%	5.4	30%	18.1	12.4	69%	5.7	31%
2008	16.5	6.1	37%	10.4	63%	14.9	8.6	58%	6.3	42%
2009	8.6	5.1	59%	3.5	41%	9.3	6.8	73%	2.5	27%
2010	10.3	6.1	59%	4.2	41%	10.4	6.2	60%	4.2	40%
2011	11.0	5.4	49%	5.6	51%	11.0	5.2	47%	5.8	53%
2012	8.3	3.0	36%	5.3	64%	8.3	4.3	52%	4.0	48%
2013	9.2	2.4	26%	6.8	74%	9.2	4.1	45%	5.1	55%
2014	10.1	3.8	38%	6.3	62%	10.1	0.8	8%	9.3	92%
2015	8.8	7.3	83%	1.5	17%	8.2	5.8	70%	2.4	30%
2016	5.3	5.3	100%	0.0	0%	5.3	5.3	100%	0.0	0%
2017	4.2	4.2	100%	0.0	0%	4.3	4.3	100%	0.0	0%
2018	3.4	3.0	88%	0.4	12%	3.6	3.3	91%	0.3	9%
2019	3.0	0.2	6%	2.8	94%	1.5	0.3	22%	1.2	78%

Figure 45. Lassen Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019 ¹




Figure 46. Population of Lassen Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 ¹





Figure 47.

Lassen Juvenile Hall Felony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



LOS ANGELES COUNTY

Table 36. Los Angeles Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	3686.2	892.3	24%	2793.9	76%	3669.4	819.4	22%	2850.0	78%
2003	3728.8	903.2	24%	2825.6	76%	3694.4	771.3	21%	2923.1	79%
2004	3528.0	979.8	28%	2548.2	72%	3534.2	694.9	20%	2839.3	80%
2005	3540.6	1071.8	30%	2468.8	70%	3515.6	696.5	20%	2819.1	80%
2006	3437.2	1137.8	33%	2299.4	67%	3377.7	596.9	18%	2780.8	82%
2007	3276.2	1110.2	34%	2166.0	66%	3171.3	582.5	18%	2588.8	82%
2008	3161.8	1056.8	33%	2105.0	67%	3167.3	576.4	18%	2590.9	82%
2009	2986.8	981.1	33%	2005.7	67%	2989.1	531.3	18%	2457.8	82%
2010	2569.8	747.8	29%	1822.0	71%	2605.3	633.5	24%	1971.8	76%
2011	2294.8	646.8	28%	1648.0	72%	2303.4	598.9	26%	1704.5	74%
2012	2057.8	547.3	27%	1510.5	73%	2053.8	549.0	27%	1504.8	73%
2013	1753.1	396.7	23%	1356.4	77%	1727.4	492.0	28%	1235.4	72%
2014	1440.4	373.8	26%	1066.6	74%	1473.2	409.3	28%	1063.9	72%
2015	1310.8	391.0	30%	919.8	70%	1366.0	407.9	30%	958.1	70%
2016	1168.9	367.3	31%	801.6	69%	1201.3	329.2	27%	872.1	73%
2017	1129.7	362.8	32%	766.9	68%	1122.2	318.9	28%	803.3	72%
2018	956.5	324.1	34%	632.4	66%	963.6	256.0	27%	707.6	73%
2019	858.7	361.3	42%	497.4	58%	869.0	229.3	26%	639.7	74%

Table 37. Los Angeles Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	1614.5	892.3	55%	722.2	45%	1595.0	305.8	19%	1289.2	81%
2003	1625.3	903.2	56%	722.1	44%	1586.2	275.3	17%	1310.9	83%
2004	1557.8	979.8	63%	578.0	37%	1550.1	299.3	19%	1250.8	81%
2005	1656.0	1071.8	65%	584.2	35%	1635.0	334.5	20%	1300.5	80%
2006	1722.7	1137.8	66%	584.9	34%	1715.6	351.6	20%	1364.0	80%
2007	1683.9	1110.2	66%	573.7	34%	1650.9	350.1	21%	1300.8	79%
2008	1578.3	1056.8	67%	521.5	33%	1575.3	360.8	23%	1214.5	77%
2009	1475.2	981.1	67%	494.1	33%	1473.7	351.4	24%	1122.3	76%
2010	1260.6	747.8	59%	512.8	41%	1299.7	486.0	37%	813.7	63%
2011	1125.1	646.8	57%	478.3	43%	1140.1	467.2	41%	672.9	59%
2012	980.1	547.3	56%	432.8	44%	987.8	416.2	42%	571.6	58%
2013	897.5	396.7	44%	500.8	56%	893.6	383.8	43%	509.8	57%
2014	802.5	373.8	47%	428.7	53%	795.1	343.8	43%	451.3	57%
2015	734.9	391.0	53%	343.9	47%	748.1	337.8	45%	410.3	55%
2016	657.3	367.3	56%	290.0	44%	662.4	287.7	43%	374.7	57%
2017	702.5	362.8	52%	339.7	48%	701.1	274.3	39%	426.8	61%
2018	638.0	324.1	51%	313.9	49%	644.6	238.4	37%	406.2	63%
2019	581.1	361.3	62%	219.8	38%	585.9	212.7	36%	373.2	64%

Table 38. Los Angeles Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	<u> </u>		Average Dail	y Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot								
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony							
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP							
2002	Juvenile C	:amps/Rar	nches only	2071.8	100%	2074.4	513.6	25%	1560.8	75%							
2003	house Pos			2103.5	100%	2108.2	496.0	24%	1612.2	76%							
2004	juveniles,			1970.2	100%	1984.2	395.7	20%	1588.5	80%							
2005			ed of Post-	1884.6	100%	1880.6	362.0	19%	1518.6	81%							
2006	Disposition	n juveniles	3.	1714.5	100%	1662.1	245.3	15%	1416.8	85%							
2007				1592.3	100%	1520.4	232.4	15%	1288.0	85%							
2008				1583.5	100%	1592.0	215.6	14%	1376.4	86%							
2009				1511.6	100%	1515.3	179.8	12%	1335.5	88%							
2010				1309.2	100%	1305.6	147.5	11%	1158.1	89%							
2011				1169.8	100%	1163.4	131.8	11%	1031.6	89%							
2012				1077.8	100%	1066.1	132.8	12%	933.3	88%							
2013				855.7	100%	833.9	108.2	13%	725.7	87%							
2014				637.9	100%	678.2	65.5	10%	612.7	90%							
2015											575.8	100%	618.0	70.2	11%	547.8	89%
2016							511.6	100%	538.9	41.5	8%	497.4	92%				
2017				427.3	100%	421.2	44.7	11%	376.5	89%							
2018					100%	319.0	17.6	6%	301.4	94%							
2019	D 11 D 1				100%	283.2	16.7	6%	266.5	94%							

Figure 48.
Los Angeles ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 49.
Population of Los Angeles Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 50.
Los Angeles Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



MADERA COUNTY

Table 39. Madera Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Δ	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	91.4	20.4	22%	71.0	78%	89.2	38.0	43%	51.2	57%
2003	82.9	21.9	26%	61.0	74%	83.7	42.1	50%	41.6	50%
2004	75.2	20.9	28%	54.3	72%	76.0	34.2	45%	41.8	55%
2005	76.5	21.8	28%	54.7	71%	77.0	38.6	50%	38.4	50%
2006	82.8	26.0	31%	56.8	69%	82.2	41.3	50%	40.9	50%
2007	73.2	21.6	29%	51.6	70%	73.4	28.9	39%	44.5	61%
2008	75.7	22.6	30%	53.1	70%	75.8	26.8	35%	49.0	65%
2009	73.4	29.8	41%	43.6	59%	73.2	18.4	25%	54.8	75%
2010	53.6	26.5	50%	27.1	51%	53.4	16.3	30%	37.1	70%
2011	46.6	21.8	47%	24.8	53%	46.3	14.9	32%	31.4	68%
2012	51.1	21.2	41%	29.9	59%	51.4	16.2	31%	35.2	69%
2013	56.9	25.1	44%	31.8	56%	56.9	18.1	32%	38.8	68%
2014	54.0	21.1	39%	32.9	61%	54.2	20.6	38%	33.6	62%
2015	44.1	17.8	40%	26.3	60%	44.2	26.4	60%	17.8	40%
2016	43.8	17.0	39%	26.8	61%	43.7	24.4	56%	19.3	44%
2017	48.6	16.8	34%	31.8	66%	48.8	26.5	54%	22.3	46%
2018	43.4	16.0	37%	27.4	63%	43.4	17.9	41%	25.5	59%
2019	39.5	14.8	38%	24.7	62%	39.5	17.2	43%	22.3	57%

Table 40. Madera Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Д	verage Daily	/ Population	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	45.3	20.4	45%	24.9	55%	43.3	20.1	46%	23.2	54%
2003	39.4	21.9	56%	17.5	44%	39.7	21.4	54%	18.3	46%
2004	39.6	20.9	53%	18.7	47%	39.6	18.8	48%	20.8	52%
2005	37.4	21.8	58%	15.6	42%	37.5	20.2	54%	17.3	46%
2006	43.2	26.0	60%	17.2	40%	42.9	30.0	70%	12.9	30%
2007	40.7	21.6	53%	19.1	47%	40.6	14.8	36%	25.8	64%
2008	36.6	22.6	62%	14.0	38%	36.6	9.4	26%	27.2	74%
2009	45.1	29.8	66%	15.3	34%	45.1	10.8	24%	34.3	76%
2010	34.4	26.5	77%	7.9	23%	34.4	11.1	32%	23.3	68%
2011	27.1	21.8	80%	5.3	20%	27.2	8.7	32%	18.5	68%
2012	28.5	21.2	74%	7.3	26%	28.4	8.4	30%	20.0	70%
2013	31.3	25.1	80%	6.2	20%	31.1	6.8	22%	24.3	78%
2014	30.0	21.1	70%	8.9	30%	30.0	10.1	34%	19.9	66%
2015	26.6	17.8	67%	8.8	33%	26.5	15.2	57%	11.3	43%
2016	29.3	17.0	58%	12.3	42%	29.4	15.2	52%	14.2	48%
2017	27.6	16.8	61%	10.8	39%	27.6	15.3	55%	12.3	45%
2018	25.9	16.0	62%	9.9	38%	25.9	11.9	46%	14.0	54%
2019	26.0	14.8	57%	11.2	43%	26.0	13.3	51%	12.7	49%

Table 41. Madera Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Dail	y Populatio	n	<u> </u>		One-Day S	napshot				
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony			
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP			
2002	Juvenile C	amps/Rar	nches only	46.0	100%	45.9	17.9	39%	28.0	61%			
2003	house Pos			43.5	100%	44.0	20.7	47%	23.3	53%			
2004	juveniles,			35.6	100%	36.3	15.3	42%	21.0	58%			
2005	•		ed of Post-	39.1	100%	39.6	18.4	47%	21.2	53%			
2006	Disposition	n juveniles	.	39.6	100%	39.3	11.3	29%	28.0	71%			
2007				32.5	100%	32.8	14.1	43%	18.7	57%			
2008				39.1	100%	39.2	17.4	44%	21.8	56%			
2009				28.3	100%	28.1	7.6	27%	20.5	73%			
2010				19.2	100%	19.0	5.2	27%	13.8	73%			
2011				19.5	100%	19.2	6.3	33%	12.9	67%			
2012				22.7	100%	23.0	7.8	34%	15.2	66%			
2013				25.7	100%	25.8	11.3	44%	14.5	56%			
2014				24.0	100%	24.2	10.5	43%	13.7	57%			
2015							17.6	100%	17.7	11.3	64%	6.4	36%
2016								14.4	100%	14.5	9.3	64%	5.2
2017				21.1	100%	21.3	11.3	53%	10.0	47%			
2018				17.5	100%	17.5	6.0	34%	11.5	66%			
2019	D 11 D 1				100%	13.5	3.8	28%	9.7	72%			

Figure 51.

Madera ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 52.

Population of Madera Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







MARIN COUNTY

Table 42. Marin Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Δ	verage Daily	/ Population	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	22.1	10.8	49%	11.3	51%	22.0	14.3	65%	7.7	35%
2003	22.6	12.6	55%	10.0	44%	22.8	15.5	68%	7.3	32%
2004	25.7	12.4	48%	13.3	52%	25.2	15.8	63%	9.4	37%
2005	29.9	16.1	54%	13.8	46%	31.0	15.8	51%	15.2	49%
2006	25.7	15.1	59%	10.6	41%	25.4	12.2	48%	13.2	52%
2007	24.8	14.3	57%	10.5	42%	24.8	12.3	50%	12.5	50%
2008	25.4	12.2	48%	13.2	52%	25.4	13.2	52%	12.2	48%
2009	22.2	8.8	39%	13.4	60%	23.7	15.4	65%	8.3	35%
2010	20.6	8.3	40%	12.3	59%	23.7	15.3	64%	8.4	36%
2011	16.0	7.1	44%	8.9	55%	18.6	9.2	49%	9.4	51%
2012	12.6	5.1	41%	7.5	60%	13.6	8.9	66%	4.7	34%
2013	10.8	3.8	35%	7.0	65%	11.4	7.4	65%	4.0	35%
2014	8.2	3.2	39%	5.0	61%	8.9	6.3	71%	2.6	29%
2015	13.9	6.8	49%	7.1	51%	14.9	9.5	64%	5.4	36%
2016	14.9	9.1	61%	5.8	39%	14.2	7.3	51%	6.9	49%
2017	12.9	5.9	46%	7.0	54%	13.9	8.9	64%	5.0	36%
2018	12.4	5.4	43%	7.0	56%	10.5	7.6	72%	2.9	28%
2019	13.2	4.8	36%	8.4	63%	10.2	8.2	80%	2.0	20%

Figure 54. *Marin Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019* ¹







Figure 55.
Population of Marin Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







MENDOCINO COUNTY

Table 43. Mendocino Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	_
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	32.4	19.6	60%	12.8	39%	33.0	23.3	71%	9.7	29%
2003	33.6	19.4	58%	14.2	42%	35.9	24.8	69%	11.1	31%
2004	32.5	18.2	56%	14.3	44%	34.5	25.8	75%	8.7	25%
2005	37.0	18.6	50%	18.4	50%	39.5	27.8	70%	11.7	30%
2006	30.2	16.6	55%	13.6	45%	32.5	23.0	71%	9.5	29%
2007	35.4	20.6	58%	14.8	42%	36.6	23.8	65%	12.8	35%
2008	33.7	18.2	54%	15.5	46%	34.0	25.6	75%	8.4	25%
2009	28.9	14.6	50%	14.3	50%	29.1	23.4	81%	5.7	19%
2010	25.9	14.4	55%	11.5	44%	26.1	18.5	71%	7.6	29%
2011	22.1	12.6	57%	9.5	43%	24.6	18.3	74%	6.3	26%
2012	23.4	13.2	56%	10.2	44%	23.8	18.5	78%	5.3	22%
2013	20.3	11.3	56%	9.0	44%	22.1	16.3	74%	5.8	26%
2014	10.5	6.8	65%	3.7	35%	11.7	8.9	76%	2.8	24%
2015	12.1	8.0	67%	4.1	34%	12.9	9.5	74%	3.4	26%
2016	21.6	15.3	71%	6.3	29%	22.1	14.9	68%	7.2	32%
2017	17.0	11.4	67%	5.6	33%	17.9	13.6	76%	4.3	24%
2018	15.1	11.1	74%	4.0	26%	17.1	10.3	60%	6.8	40%
2019	13.3	7.1	54%	6.2	47%	12.3	3.5	28%	8.8	72%

Figure 57.

Mendocino Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019 ¹




Figure 58.
Population of Mendocino Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 59.

Mendocino Juvenile Hall Felony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



MERCED COUNTY

Table 44. Merced Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	Snapshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	42.3	36.8	87%	5.5	13%	42.9	2.9	7%	40.0	93%
2003	43.2	38.5	89%	4.7	11%	42.3	3.9	9%	38.4	91%
2004	48.1	42.6	88%	5.5	11%	48.3	6.6	14%	41.7	86%
2005	69.7	53.5	77%	16.2	23%	65.6	14.3	22%	51.3	78%
2006	92.0	52.3	57%	39.7	43%	86.3	21.2	25%	65.1	75%
2007	99.0	44.6	45%	54.4	55%	88.1	25.6	29%	62.5	71%
2008	96.0	42.0	44%	54.0	56%	101.4	29.3	29%	72.1	71%
2009	98.4	41.2	42%	57.2	58%	102.9	24.6	24%	78.3	76%
2010	105.9	47.9	45%	58.0	55%	108.8	26.8	25%	82.0	75%
2011	91.7	44.4	48%	47.3	52%	77.1	13.6	18%	63.5	82%
2012	77.2	35.8	46%	41.4	54%	57.5	6.8	12%	50.7	88%
2013	65.1	36.0	55%	29.1	45%	47.7	6.8	14%	40.9	86%
2014	56.9	30.1	53%	26.8	47%	43.1	5.9	14%	37.2	86%
2015	52.0	28.2	54%	23.8	46%	42.2	7.1	17%	35.1	83%
2016	54.9	29.4	54%	25.5	46%	40.1	4.6	11%	35.5	89%
2017	49.4	23.4	48%	26.0	53%	35.6	4.3	12%	31.3	88%
2018	47.7	24.4	51%	23.3	49%	38.2	4.8	12%	33.4	88%
2019 ²	37.8	U ³	U	U	U	37.0	2.8	8%	34.2	92%

Note. ² 2019 Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition breakdown is currently unavailable. ³ U is defined as unavailable. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Table 45. Merced Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_	Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	42.3	36.8	87%	5.5	13%	42.9	2.9	7%	40.0	93%
2003	43.2	38.5	89%	4.7	11%	42.3	3.9	9%	38.4	91%
2004	48.1	42.6	88%	5.5	11%	48.3	6.6	14%	41.7	86%
2005	69.7	53.5	77%	16.2	23%	65.6	14.3	22%	51.3	78%
2006	57.8	52.3	90%	5.5	10%	54.2	10.7	20%	43.5	80%
2007	46.3	44.6	96%	1.7	4%	42.8	6.3	15%	36.5	85%
2008	42.9	42.0	98%	0.9	2%	50.1	14.5	29%	35.6	71%
2009	41.8	41.2	99%	0.6	1%	48.9	14.9	30%	34.0	70%
2010	49.0	47.9	98%	1.1	2%	50.9	10.1	20%	40.8	80%
2011	45.1	44.4	98%	0.7	1%	39.3	4.8	12%	34.5	88%
2012	36.4	35.8	98%	0.6	2%	28.8	3.4	12%	25.4	88%
2013	36.3	36.0	99%	0.3	1%	28.2	3.4	12%	24.8	88%
2014	30.8	30.1	98%	0.7	2%	26.5	3.6	14%	22.9	86%
2015	28.3	28.2	100%	0.1	0%	25.7	4.5	18%	21.2	82%
2016	29.5	29.4	99%	0.1	0%	26.6	2.8	11%	23.8	89%
2017	23.7	23.4	99%	0.3	1%	21.1	1.8	9%	19.3	91%
2018	24.7	24.4	99%	0.3	1%	22.3	3.0	13%	19.3	87%
2019 ²	21.0	U^3	U	U	U	20.3	2.5	12%	17.8	88%

Note. ² 2019 Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition breakdown is currently unavailable. ³ U is defined as unavailable. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the

Table 46. Merced Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2006² through 2019¹

			Average Daily		on <u>2000</u>	unougnzon	One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	.luvenile (Camps/Rar	nches only							
2003		st-Disposit	•							
2004		therefore t								
2005	•		ed of Post-	Merced County's Camp opened February 2006.						
2006	Disposition juveniles.			37.3	100%	35.0	11.5	33%	23.5	67%
2007				52.8	100%	45.3	19.3	43%	26.0	57%
2008				53.1	100%	51.3	14.8	29%	36.5	71%
2009				56.6	100%	54.0	9.7	18%	44.3	82%
2010				56.8	100%	58.0	16.8	29%	41.2	71%
2011				46.7	100%	37.8	8.8	23%	29.0	77%
2012				40.8	100%	28.7	3.4	12%	25.3	88%
2013				28.8	100%	19.5	3.3	17%	16.2	83%
2014				26.1	100%	16.6	2.3	14%	14.3	86%
2015				23.7	100%	16.5	2.6	16%	13.9	84%
2016				25.4	100%	13.5	1.8	13%	11.7	87%
2017				25.7	100%	14.5	2.4	17%	12.1	83%
2018				23.0	100%	15.9	1.8	11%	14.1	89%
2019				17.2	100%	16.6	0.3	2%	16.3	98%

Figure 60.

Merced ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 61.
Population of Merced Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2018²



Note. ² 2019 data is currently unavailable





MONTEREY COUNTY

Table 47. Monterey Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019

	_	Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	154.7	81.5	53%	73.2	47%	154.6	98.8	64%	55.8	36%
2003	125.6	58.9	47%	66.7	53%	125.6	76.8	61%	48.8	39%
2004	133.5	49.9	37%	83.6	63%	131.4	65.3	50%	66.1	50%
2005	141.8	51.9	37%	89.9	63%	141.8	84.0	59%	57.8	41%
2006	148.8	58.7	39%	90.1	61%	148.7	89.4	60%	59.3	40%
2007	148.2	66.6	45%	81.6	55%	148.2	86.5	58%	61.7	42%
2008	152.7	61.0	40%	91.7	60%	152.7	97.3	64%	55.4	36%
2009	164.4	65.4	40%	99.0	60%	164.4	104.3	63%	60.1	37%
2010	162.9	59.3	36%	103.6	64%	162.8	84.5	52%	78.3	48%
2011	140.3	58.0	41%	82.3	59%	140.4	54.1	39%	86.3	61%
2012	126.6	48.8	39%	77.8	61%	128.6	55.8	43%	72.8	57%
2013	118.8	44.7	38%	74.1	62%	119.4	33.2	28%	86.2	72%
2014	93.9	33.9	36%	60.0	64%	94.0	33.6	36%	60.4	64%
2015	92.6	32.4	35%	60.2	65%	93.1	33.3	36%	59.8	64%
2016	90.0	31.8	35%	58.2	65%	87.6	32.8	37%	54.8	63%
2017	75.5	32.8	43%	42.7	57%	75.5	23.3	31%	52.2	69%
2018	77.9	33.4	43%	44.5	57%	76.0	13.6	18%	62.4	82%
2019	87.0	38.2	44%	48.8	56%	86.4	17.7	20%	68.7	80%

Table 48. Monterey Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	111.4	81.5	73%	29.9	27%	111.5	73.8	66%	37.7	34%
2003	87.5	58.9	67%	28.6	33%	87.5	56.8	65%	30.7	35%
2004	87.3	49.9	57%	37.4	43%	85.3	40.2	47%	45.1	53%
2005	97.2	51.9	53%	45.3	47%	97.2	54.4	56%	42.8	44%
2006	98.5	58.7	60%	39.8	40%	98.4	54.1	55%	44.3	45%
2007	99.8	66.6	67%	33.2	33%	99.8	50.8	51%	49.0	49%
2008	100.3	61.0	61%	39.3	39%	100.4	55.3	55%	45.1	45%
2009	110.3	65.4	59%	44.9	41%	110.4	61.3	56%	49.1	44%
2010	109.6	59.3	54%	50.3	46%	109.6	62.4	57%	47.2	43%
2011	93.3	58.0	62%	35.3	38%	93.3	52.0	56%	41.3	44%
2012	78.1	48.8	63%	29.3	38%	79.9	54.8	69%	25.1	31%
2013	71.8	44.7	62%	27.1	38%	72.3	31.8	44%	40.5	56%
2014	53.7	33.9	63%	19.8	37%	53.9	24.7	46%	29.2	54%
2015	54.2	32.4	60%	21.8	40%	55.0	30.7	56%	24.3	44%
2016	51.7	31.8	61%	19.9	39%	50.1	30.8	61%	19.3	39%
2017	50.4	32.8	65%	17.6	35%	50.2	20.4	41%	29.8	59%
2018	50.7	33.4	66%	17.3	34%	48.7	11.6	24%	37.1	76%
2019	54.2	38.2	70%	16.0	30%	53.3	14.3	27%	39.0	73%

Table 49. Monterey Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot					
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	position	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony				
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP				
2002	Juvenile (Camps/Rar	ches only	43.3	100%	43.3	25.1	58%	18.2	42%				
2003		st-Dispositi		38.1	100%	38.1	20.0	53%	18.1	47%				
2004		therefore t		46.2	100%	46.2	25.2	55%	21.0	45%				
2005			ed of Post-	44.7	100%	44.7	29.6	66%	15.1	34%				
2006	Dispositio	n juveniles		50.3	100%	50.3	35.3	70%	15.0	30%				
2007				48.4	100%	48.5	35.8	74%	12.7	26%				
2008				52.3	100%	52.3	42.0	80%	10.3	20%				
2009				54.1	100%	54.1	43.1	80%	11.0	20%				
2010			53.3	100%	53.3	22.1	41%	31.2	59%					
2011									47.0	100%	47.0	2.1	4%	44.9
2012				48.6	100%	48.6	0.9	2%	47.7	98%				
2013				47.0	100%	47.0	1.3	3%	45.7	97%				
2014				40.2	100%	40.2	8.9	22%	31.3	78%				
2015			38.4	100%	38.2	2.6	7%	35.6	93%					
2016				38.3	100%	37.5	2.0	5%	35.5	95%				
2017				25.1	100%	25.2	2.8	11%	22.4	89%				
2018					100%	27.3	2.0	7%	25.3	93%				
2019					100%	33.0	3.3	10%	29.7	90%				

Figure 63.

Monterey ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 64.
Population of Monterey Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







NAPA COUNTY

Table 50. Napa Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	32.4	17.4	53%	15.0	46%	34.9	16.8	48%	18.1	52%
2003	31.9	18.5	58%	13.4	42%	32.2	16.6	52%	15.6	48%
2004	30.9	21.5	70%	9.4	30%	30.9	14.3	46%	16.6	54%
2005	32.6	21.0	64%	11.6	36%	35.1	16.4	47%	18.7	53%
2006	37.8	23.7	62%	14.1	37%	39.6	15.8	40%	23.8	60%
2007	43.0	28.3	66%	14.7	34%	43.4	16.7	38%	26.7	62%
2008	41.1	24.6	60%	16.5	40%	41.4	17.1	41%	24.3	59%
2009	43.2	27.3	63%	15.9	37%	42.3	13.8	33%	28.5	67%
2010	36.4	19.6	54%	16.8	46%	36.5	14.3	39%	22.2	61%
2011	30.2	17.2	57%	13.0	43%	29.4	9.4	32%	20.0	68%
2012	25.1	17.1	68%	8.0	32%	26.9	8.9	33%	18.0	67%
2013	22.7	14.6	64%	8.1	36%	23.6	6.7	28%	16.9	72%
2014	24.4	14.3	59%	10.1	42%	24.0	8.2	34%	15.8	66%
2015	16.6	10.6	64%	6.0	36%	17.0	5.9	35%	11.1	65%
2016	14.2	9.6	67%	4.6	32%	14.5	6.1	42%	8.4	58%
2017	15.9	10.2	64%	5.7	36%	14.3	7.0	49%	7.3	51%
2018	12.5	8.3	67%	4.2	33%	12.6	5.3	42%	7.3	58%
2019	16.0	10.5	66%	5.5	34%	15.4	6.7	43%	8.7	57%

Figure 66. Napa Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019 ¹






Figure 67.

Population of Napa Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







NEVADA COUNTY

Table 51. Nevada Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	_
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	20.7	9.8	47%	10.9	53%	20.6	10.8	52%	9.8	48%
2003	20.1	12.0	60%	8.1	40%	19.6	14.5	74%	5.1	26%
2004	24.6	12.4	50%	12.2	50%	25.9	14.9	58%	11.0	42%
2005	23.4	13.1	56%	10.3	44%	22.7	13.3	58%	9.4	42%
2006	16.0	9.9	62%	6.1	38%	15.9	9.2	58%	6.7	42%
2007	16.3	10.0	61%	6.3	39%	16.3	11.6	71%	4.7	29%
2008	17.5	13.9	80%	3.6	20%	17.8	9.8	55%	8.0	45%
2009	18.5	11.4	62%	7.1	38%	17.5	11.7	67%	5.8	33%
2010	19.5	11.4	59%	8.1	41%	19.5	14.0	72%	5.5	28%
2011	19.6	11.8	60%	7.8	40%	19.5	14.2	73%	5.3	27%
2012	15.8	7.5	47%	8.3	53%	15.3	11.3	74%	4.0	26%
2013	12.1	5.3	44%	6.8	56%	11.6	9.1	78%	2.5	22%
2014	17.9	11.8	66%	6.1	34%	17.9	11.1	62%	6.8	38%
2015	15.9	11.4	72%	4.5	28%	16.2	8.8	54%	7.4	46%
2016	10.3	7.0	68%	3.3	32%	10.4	5.1	49%	5.3	51%
2017	6.3	4.0	61%	2.3	36%	6.2	2.1	34%	4.1	66%
2018	4.8	3.7	75%	1.1	23%	5.1	1.3	25%	3.8	75%
2019	3.7	2.5	69%	1.2	32%	3.5	2.0	57%	1.5	43%

Figure 69. *Nevada Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019* ¹







Figure 70.
Population of Nevada Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







ORANGE COUNTY

Table 52. Orange Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	745.2	168.9	23%	576.3	77%	740.2	212.9	29%	527.3	71%
2003	675.2	169.6	25%	505.6	75%	677.8	172.5	25%	505.3	75%
2004	709.4	185.9	26%	523.5	74%	710.4	177.7	25%	532.7	75%
2005	743.2	173.5	23%	569.7	77%	746.8	207.5	28%	539.3	72%
2006	816.5	213.8	26%	602.7	74%	815.8	291.1	36%	524.7	64%
2007	864.8	241.2	28%	623.6	72%	859.8	192.5	22%	667.3	78%
2008	926.4	202.6	22%	723.8	78%	928.3	216.3	23%	712.0	77%
2009	727.2	162.4	22%	564.8	78%	728.4	170.3	23%	558.1	77%
2010	610.9	155.4	25%	455.5	75%	605.9	123.3	20%	482.6	80%
2011	573.9	135.6	24%	438.3	76%	571.4	117.1	20%	454.3	80%
2012	489.7	162.5	33%	327.2	67%	489.6	102.2	21%	387.4	79%
2013	445.8	131.3	29%	314.5	71%	446.5	111.3	25%	335.2	75%
2014	377.8	103.6	27%	274.2	73%	375.4	101.1	27%	274.3	73%
2015	307.1	89.6	29%	217.5	71%	306.9	100.7	33%	206.2	67%
2016	270.3	94.8	35%	175.5	65%	272.7	81.9	30%	190.8	70%
2017	282.8	118.5	42%	164.3	58%	284.2	69.6	24%	214.6	76%
2018	246.8	96.8	39%	150.0	61%	250.7	54.0	22%	196.7	78%
2019	221.6	90.7	41%	130.9	59%	226.2	54.2	24%	172.0	76%

Table 53. Orange Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Д	verage Daily	Population	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	441.7	168.9	38%	272.8	62%	438.1	133.7	31%	304.4	69%
2003	399.5	169.6	42%	229.9	58%	401.5	105.8	26%	295.7	74%
2004	431.5	185.9	43%	245.6	57%	431.5	112.2	26%	319.3	74%
2005	451.2	173.5	38%	277.7	62%	454.2	137.4	30%	316.8	70%
2006	487.5	213.8	44%	273.7	56%	486.2	173.7	36%	312.5	64%
2007	504.7	241.2	48%	263.5	52%	503.2	106.8	21%	396.4	79%
2008	501.3	202.6	40%	298.7	60%	502.1	107.2	21%	394.9	79%
2009	412.0	162.4	39%	249.6	61%	414.2	96.7	23%	317.5	77%
2010	404.3	155.4	38%	248.9	62%	399.9	79.7	20%	320.2	80%
2011	380.4	135.6	36%	244.8	64%	378.2	74.0	20%	304.2	80%
2012	309.3	162.5	53%	146.8	47%	307.9	58.1	19%	249.8	81%
2013	262.2	131.3	50%	130.9	50%	264.0	59.0	22%	205.0	78%
2014	203.9	103.6	51%	100.3	49%	201.7	51.4	25%	150.3	75%
2015	143.3	89.6	63%	53.7	37%	142.4	44.4	31%	98.0	69%
2016	136.4	94.8	70%	41.6	30%	139.4	39.2	28%	100.2	72%
2017	154.4	118.5	77%	35.9	23%	154.3	30.0	19%	124.3	81%
2018	129.1	96.8	75%	32.3	25%	133.1	24.8	19%	108.3	81%
2019	116.2	90.7	78%	25.5	22%	119.0	20.0	17%	99.0	83%

Table 54. Orange Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Dail	y Populatio	n	<u> </u>		One-Day S	napshot								
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Feld	ony							
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP							
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	303.5	100%	302.2	79.3	26%	222.9	74%							
2003	house Pos			275.7	100%	276.3	66.7	24%	209.6	76%							
2004	juveniles,			278.0	100%	278.8	65.5	23%	213.3	77%							
2005			ed of Post-	292.0	100%	292.7	70.1	24%	222.6	76%							
2006	Disposition	n juveniles		329.0	100%	329.6	117.4	36%	212.2	64%							
2007				360.1	100%	356.6	85.8	24%	270.8	76%							
2008				425.1	100%	426.2	109.1	26%	317.1	74%							
2009				315.2	100%	314.2	73.6	23%	240.6	77%							
2010				206.7	100%	206.1	43.7	21%	162.4	79%							
2011								22%	150.1	78%							
2012									180.5	100%	181.8	44.1	24%	137.7	76%		
2013										183.6	100%	182.5	52.3	29%	130.2	71%	
2014								173.8	100%	173.7	49.7	29%	124.0	71%			
2015												163.8	100%	164.5	56.3	34%	108.2
2016						133.9	100%	133.5	42.8	32%	90.7	68%					
2017				128.4	100%	129.9	39.6	30%	90.3	70%							
2018				117.7	100%	117.5	29.2	25%	88.3	75%							
2019	D 11 D 1	(400)		105.4	100%	107.2	34.2	32%	73.0	68%							

Figure 72.
Orange ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 73.

Population of Orange Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 74.
Orange Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



PLACER COUNTY

Table 55. Placer Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		A	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	56.3	36.1	64%	20.2	36%	57.7	34.2	59%	23.5	41%
2003	51.2	24.8	48%	26.4	52%	57.3	33.9	59%	23.4	41%
2004	42.1	20.8	49%	21.3	51%	45.5	32.7	72%	12.8	28%
2005	46.2	24.9	54%	21.3	46%	45.1	26.3	58%	18.8	42%
2006	46.7	25.3	54%	21.4	46%	47.6	18.3	38%	29.3	62%
2007	44.9	17.0	38%	27.9	62%	44.7	11.8	26%	32.9	74%
2008	50.3	14.8	29%	35.5	70%	50.3	9.6	19%	40.7	81%
2009	38.8	13.4	34%	25.4	65%	38.8	12.3	32%	26.5	68%
2010	36.3	10.6	29%	25.7	71%	34.3	10.5	31%	23.8	69%
2011	33.6	8.9	26%	24.7	73%	32.6	11.9	37%	20.7	63%
2012	30.4	9.1	30%	21.3	70%	30.1	9.3	31%	20.8	69%
2013	25.3	14.1	56%	11.2	44%	25.3	9.3	37%	16.0	63%
2014	22.9	7.3	32%	15.6	69%	22.8	7.0	31%	15.8	69%
2015	18.2	5.6	31%	12.6	70%	18.4	9.6	52%	8.8	48%
2016	16.9	5.8	34%	11.1	66%	14.9	6.6	44%	8.3	56%
2017	22.7	7.3	32%	15.4	68%	19.9	11.3	57%	8.6	43%
2018	19.9	7.0	35%	12.9	65%	17.8	7.0	39%	10.8	61%
2019	12.6	3.2	25%	9.4	74%	10.1	2.8	28%	7.3	72%

Figure 75.

Placer Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 76.

Population of Placer Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 77.

Placer Juvenile Hall Felony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



RIVERSIDE COUNTY

Table 56. Riverside Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	421.8	140.5	33%	281.3	67%	423.3	219.8	52%	203.5	48%
2003	478.1	150.6	32%	327.5	69%	486.6	228.4	47%	258.2	53%
2004	413.4	154.3	37%	259.1	63%	420.4	144.8	34%	275.6	66%
2005	429.0	178.8	42%	250.2	58%	432.6	178.3	41%	254.3	59%
2006	441.5	200.8	45%	240.7	55%	443.1	171.8	39%	271.3	61%
2007	437.6	208.5	48%	229.1	52%	433.1	158.1	37%	275.0	63%
2008	366.0	144.1	39%	221.9	61%	362.5	118.7	33%	243.8	67%
2009	366.0	106.8	29%	259.2	71%	370.1	54.0	15%	316.1	85%
2010	357.6	90.8	25%	266.8	75%	362.3	34.2	9%	328.1	91%
2011	326.6	69.5	21%	257.1	79%	328.9	33.4	10%	295.5	90%
2012	272.9	61.4	22%	211.5	78%	272.2	32.9	12%	239.3	88%
2013	262.1	61.6	23%	200.5	76%	264.0	35.3	13%	228.7	87%
2014	199.6	53.9	27%	145.7	73%	202.1	94.1	47%	108.0	53%
2015	186.2	52.3	28%	133.9	72%	185.9	130.6	70%	55.3	30%
2016	181.3	53.9	30%	127.4	70%	177.0	27.8	16%	149.2	84%
2017	174.3	27.3	16%	147.0	84%	171.4	29.3	17%	142.1	83%
2018	146.0	33.3	23%	112.7	77%	147.2	23.4	16%	123.8	84%
2019	130.9	53.0	40%	77.9	59%	131.3	20.3	15%	111.0	85%

Table 57. Riverside Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	336.3	140.5	42%	195.8	58%	336.0	171.8	51%	164.2	49%
2003	377.9	150.6	40%	227.3	60%	382.3	172.3	45%	210.0	55%
2004	312.9	154.3	49%	158.6	51%	318.5	103.5	32%	215.0	68%
2005	322.3	178.8	55%	143.5	45%	325.2	128.8	40%	196.4	60%
2006	333.7	200.8	60%	132.9	40%	334.7	118.4	35%	216.3	65%
2007	330.1	208.5	63%	121.6	37%	325.0	107.4	33%	217.6	67%
2008	258.9	144.1	56%	114.8	44%	252.6	73.7	29%	178.9	71%
2009	248.0	106.8	43%	141.2	57%	253.9	32.1	13%	221.8	87%
2010	235.3	90.8	39%	144.5	62%	238.9	21.5	9%	217.4	91%
2011	206.0	69.5	34%	136.5	66%	208.7	19.9	10%	188.8	90%
2012	182.4	61.4	34%	121.0	66%	180.6	21.8	12%	158.8	88%
2013	181.2	61.6	34%	119.6	66%	182.8	23.3	13%	159.5	87%
2014	138.3	53.9	39%	84.4	61%	141.4	71.4	51%	70.0	49%
2015	134.8	52.3	39%	82.5	61%	132.8	93.0	70%	39.8	30%
2016	126.8	53.9	42%	72.9	57%	121.3	19.1	16%	102.2	84%
2017	120.5	27.3	23%	93.2	77%	118.8	21.5	18%	97.3	82%
2018	105.7	33.3	32%	72.4	68%	106.8	19.0	18%	87.8	82%
2019	88.7	53.0	60%	35.7	40%	89.1	16.8	19%	72.3	81%

Table 58. Riverside Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Dail			oz unougn zore		One-Day S	napshot			
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP		
2002	.luvenile (amps/Rar	nches only	85.5	100%	87.3	48.0	55%	39.3	45%		
2003		st-Dispositi		100.3	100%	104.3	56.1	54%	48.2	46%		
2004		therefore t		100.5	100%	101.9	41.3	41%	60.6	59%		
2005	ADP is on	ly comprise	ed of Post-	106.7	100%	107.2	49.4	46%	57.8	54%		
2006	Dispositio	n juveniles		107.8	100%	108.4	53.3	49%	55.1	51%		
2007				107.5	100%	108.1	50.7	47%	57.4	53%		
2008				107.2	100%	109.9	45.0	41%	64.9	59%		
2009				118.0	100%	116.2	21.9	19%	94.3	81%		
2010				122.3	100%	123.4	12.7	10%	110.7	90%		
2011				120.6	100%	120.3	13.5	11%	106.8	89%		
2012				90.6	100%	91.6	11.2	12%	80.4	88%		
2013				81.0	100%	81.3	12.1	15%	69.2	85%		
2014				61.3	100%	60.7	22.7	37%	38.0	63%		
2015				51.4	100%	53.0	37.6	71%	15.4	29%		
2016								54.5	100%	55.7	8.7	16%
2017				53.8	100%	52.6	7.8	15%	44.8	85%		
2018					100%	40.5	4.4	11%	36.1	89%		
2019					100%	42.2	3.5	8%	38.7	92%		

Figure 78.

Riverside ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 79.

Population of Riverside Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







SACRAMENTO COUNTY

Table 59. Sacramento Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_	Average Daily Population				One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	511.9	193.6	38%	318.3	62%	509.6	268.3	53%	241.3	47%
2003	487.4	187.4	38%	300.0	62%	490.5	256.7	52%	233.8	48%
2004	497.6	196.6	40%	301.0	60%	499.6	251.1	50%	248.5	50%
2005	508.7	208.2	41%	300.5	59%	505.3	278.2	55%	227.1	45%
2006	538.1	234.8	44%	303.3	56%	535.4	261.0	49%	274.4	51%
2007	503.6	210.9	42%	292.7	58%	504.8	200.3	40%	304.5	60%
2008	484.9	206.8	43%	278.1	57%	455.3	178.0	39%	277.3	61%
2009	372.5	152.5	41%	220.0	59%	369.6	142.7	39%	226.9	61%
2010	271.4	131.4	48%	140.0	52%	260.9	100.1	38%	160.8	62%
2011	186.2	101.3	54%	84.9	46%	187.6	62.9	34%	124.7	66%
2012	178.2	98.4	55%	79.8	45%	179.0	56.5	32%	122.5	68%
2013	191.7	109.4	57%	82.3	43%	197.7	65.3	33%	132.4	67%
2014	183.7	109.9	60%	73.8	40%	177.4	58.3	33%	119.1	67%
2015	192.1	130.8	68%	61.3	32%	195.0	61.3	31%	133.7	69%
2016	143.2	91.1	64%	52.1	36%	145.2	40.2	28%	105.0	72%
2017	136.0	106.8	79%	29.2	21%	137.8	30.0	22%	107.8	78%
2018	120.0	100.9	84%	19.1	16%	122.0	32.6	27%	89.4	73%
2019	117.7	95.5	81%	22.2	19%	119.7	43.0	36%	76.7	64%

Table 60. Sacramento Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	307.1	193.6	63%	113.5	37%	313.0	143.7	46%	169.3	54%
2003	317.4	187.4	59%	130.0	41%	320.5	150.5	47%	170.0	53%
2004	340.7	196.6	58%	144.1	42%	342.6	169.0	49%	173.6	51%
2005	341.4	208.2	61%	133.2	39%	338.2	178.8	53%	159.4	47%
2006	335.1	234.8	70%	100.3	30%	331.9	153.3	46%	178.6	54%
2007	287.2	210.9	73%	76.3	27%	289.6	86.3	30%	203.3	70%
2008	277.9	206.8	74%	71.1	26%	247.0	64.8	26%	182.2	74%
2009	242.7	152.5	63%	90.2	37%	240.6	82.3	34%	158.3	66%
2010	234.7	131.4	56%	103.3	44%	222.9	79.9	36%	143.0	64%
2011	186.2	101.3	54%	84.9	46%	187.6	62.9	34%	124.7	66%
2012	178.2	98.4	55%	79.8	45%	179.0	56.5	32%	122.5	68%
2013	191.7	109.4	57%	82.3	43%	197.7	65.3	33%	132.4	67%
2014	183.7	109.9	60%	73.8	40%	177.4	58.3	33%	119.1	67%
2015	192.1	130.8	68%	61.3	32%	195.0	61.3	31%	133.7	69%
2016	143.2	91.1	64%	52.1	36%	145.2	40.2	28%	105.0	72%
2017	136.0	106.8	79%	29.2	21%	137.8	30.0	22%	107.8	78%
2018	120.0	100.9	84%	19.1	16%	122.0	32.6	27%	89.4	73%
2019	117.7	95.5	81%	22.2	19%	119.7	43.0	36%	76.7	64%

Table 61. Sacramento Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2010²

Table 01.	Gaoramoni		Average Daily	•		One-Day Snapshot				
	_	Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Disposition		Snapshot _	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile Camps/Ranches only house Post-Disposition juveniles, therefore their Total ADP is only comprised of Post-Disposition juveniles.			204.8	100%	196.7	124.6	63%	72.1	37%
2003				170.0	100%	170.0	106.2	62%	63.8	38%
2004				156.9	100%	157.0	82.1	52%	74.9	48%
2005				167.3	100%	167.0	99.3	59%	67.7	41%
2006				203.0	100%	203.5	107.7	53%	95.8	47%
2007				216.4	100%	215.2	114.0	53%	101.2	47%
2008				207.1	100%	208.3	113.2	54%	95.1	46%
2009				129.9	100%	129.0	60.3	47%	68.7	53%
2010				73.3	100%	76.0	40.3	53%	35.7	47%
2011					ramento Co	unty's Camp clo	sed June 2	2010.		
2012										
2013										
2014										
2015										
2016										
2017										
2018										
2019							_			

Figure 81.
Sacramento ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 82.
Population of Sacramento Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 83. Sacramento Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



SAN BENITO COUNTY

Table 62. San Benito Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	_
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	14.8	9.4	64%	5.4	37%	14.3	8.0	56%	6.3	44%
2003	19.6	10.4	53%	9.2	47%	21.6	14.3	66%	7.3	34%
2004	18.8	10.0	53%	8.8	47%	20.6	13.7	66%	6.9	34%
2005	16.4	9.4	57%	7.0	43%	16.5	7.0	42%	9.5	58%
2006	14.6	10.0	69%	4.6	31%	14.7	8.0	55%	6.7	45%
2007	17.2	11.2	65%	6.0	35%	16.6	4.9	30%	11.7	70%
2008	17.0	10.7	63%	6.3	37%	15.8	6.7	42%	9.1	58%
2009	18.5	8.8	48%	9.7	52%	18.5	2.5	14%	16.0	86%
2010	16.1	9.4	59%	6.7	41%	16.1	0.7	4%	15.4	96%
2011	10.1	5.4	54%	4.7	46%	10.0	0.3	3%	9.7	97%
2012	10.6	6.3	59%	4.3	41%	10.6	0.1	1%	10.5	99%
2013	10.8	5.9	55%	4.9	45%	10.8	0.0	0%	10.8	100%
2014	10.9	6.6	60%	4.3	40%	11.1	1.6	14%	9.5	86%
2015	11.7	7.2	62%	4.5	39%	11.6	2.3	20%	9.3	80%
2016	6.5	3.0	45%	3.5	54%	6.2	2.6	42%	3.6	58%
2017	8.3	4.9	59%	3.4	41%	8.1	4.7	58%	3.4	42%
2018	5.5	3.3	61%	2.2	40%	5.5	3.0	55%	2.5	45%
2019	7.3	5.3	72%	2.0	27%	7.0	2.8	40%	4.2	60%

Figure 84.
San Benito ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 85.
Population of San Benito Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 86.
San Benito Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Table 63. San Bernardino Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	Snapshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	494.1	267.4	54%	226.7	46%	484.4	160.1	33%	324.3	67%
2003	478.8	256.6	54%	222.2	46%	475.1	154.3	32%	320.8	68%
2004	482.8	247.4	51%	235.4	49%	471.6	149.3	32%	322.3	68%
2005	530.6	266.8	50%	263.8	50%	521.7	189.3	36%	332.4	64%
2006	528.7	266.7	50%	262.0	50%	523.2	158.5	30%	364.7	70%
2007	475.3	244.2	51%	231.1	49%	477.4	87.8	18%	389.6	82%
2008	450.3	148.2	33%	302.1	67%	453.1	41.5	9%	411.6	91%
2009	370.5	118.7	32%	251.8	68%	369.5	87.4	24%	282.1	76%
2010	355.4	108.2	30%	247.2	70%	354.2	95.2	27%	259.0	73%
2011	367.4	94.5	26%	272.9	74%	365.1	103.4	28%	261.7	72%
2012	308.6	84.0	27%	224.6	73%	317.7	78.1	25%	239.6	75%
2013	272.5	99.4	36%	173.1	64%	275.7	68.6	25%	207.1	75%
2014	277.5	143.2	52%	134.3	48%	275.4	64.1	23%	211.3	77%
2015	271.4	141.2	52%	130.2	48%	271.9	66.8	25%	205.1	75%
2016	242.2	130.3	54%	111.9	46%	240.3	57.6	24%	182.7	76%
2017	192.9	101.3	53%	91.6	47%	192.7	44.6	23%	148.1	77%
2018	172.7	93.6	54%	79.1	46%	173.9	32.2	19%	141.7	81%
2019	150.0	79.0	53%	71.0	47%	161.3	41.8	26%	119.5	74%

Table 64. San Bernardino Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	433.7	267.4	62%	166.3	38%	424.1	134.5	32%	289.6	68%
2003	416.7	256.6	62%	160.1	38%	413.0	128.3	31%	284.7	69%
2004	420.2	247.4	59%	172.8	41%	408.9	128.0	31%	280.9	69%
2005	468.6	266.8	57%	201.8	43%	461.1	169.8	37%	291.3	63%
2006	471.1	266.7	57%	204.4	43%	466.0	131.5	28%	334.5	72%
2007	435.9	244.2	56%	191.7	44%	435.9	71.4	16%	364.5	84%
2008	425.2	148.2	35%	277.0	65%	428.8	34.2	8%	394.6	92%
2009	351.5	118.7	34%	232.8	66%	350.6	85.8	24%	264.8	76%
2010	322.8	108.2	34%	214.6	66%	322.5	93.4	29%	229.1	71%
2011	329.5	94.5	29%	235.0	71%	327.8	99.6	30%	228.2	70%
2012	271.6	84.0	31%	187.6	69%	280.9	69.8	25%	211.1	75%
2013	235.7	99.4	42%	136.3	58%	239.0	64.5	27%	174.5	73%
2014	243.7	143.2	59%	100.5	41%	241.8	64.1	27%	177.7	73%
2015	233.7	141.2	60%	92.5	40%	234.5	66.8	28%	167.7	72%
2016	205.2	130.3	63%	74.9	37%	203.1	57.6	28%	145.5	72%
2017	158.6	101.3	64%	57.3	36%	158.4	44.6	28%	113.8	72%
2018	140.8	93.6	66%	47.2	34%	141.6	32.2	23%	109.4	77%
2019	124.0	79.0	64%	45.0	36%	135.0	41.8	31%	93.2	69%

Table 65. San Bernardino Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

'			Average Daily	y Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot				
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony			
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP			
2002	luvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	60.3	100%	60.4	25.6	42%	34.8	58%			
2003	house Pos			62.1	100%	62.2	26.1	42%	36.1	58%			
2004	juveniles,			62.6	100%	62.6	21.3	34%	41.3	66%			
2005			ed of Post-	61.9	100%	60.6	19.5	32%	41.1	68%			
2006		n juveniles		57.6	100%	57.2	27.0	47%	30.2	53%			
2007				39.4	100%	41.4	16.3	39%	25.1	61%			
2008				25.1	100%	24.3	7.3	30%	17.0	70%			
2009					100%	18.9	1.6	8%	17.3	92%			
2010				32.6	100%	31.7	1.8	6%	29.9	94%			
2011				37.9	100%	37.3	3.8	10%	33.5	90%			
2012				37.0	100%	36.8	8.3	23%	28.5	77%			
2013				36.8	100%	36.7	4.1	11%	32.6	89%			
2014							33.8	100%	33.6	0.0	0%	33.6	100%
2015		37.7	100%	37.4	0.0	0%	37.4	100%					
2016								37.0	100%	37.2	0.0	0%	37.2
2017				34.3	100%	34.3	0.0	0%	34.3	100%			
2018				31.9	100%	32.3	0.0	0%	32.3	100%			
2019				26.0	100%	26.3	0.0	0%	26.3	100%			

Figure 87.
San Bernardino ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 88.

Population of San Bernardino Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 89.
San Bernardino Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



SAN DIEGO COUNTY

Table 66. San Diego Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	747.2	127.5	17%	619.7	83%	749.1	309.8	41%	439.3	59%
2003	727.8	128.0	18%	599.8	82%	743.4	300.1	40%	443.3	60%
2004	650.9	261.2	40%	389.7	60%	667.7	223.8	34%	443.9	66%
2005	743.0	287.3	39%	455.7	61%	747.9	231.5	31%	516.4	69%
2006	888.0	325.5	37%	562.5	63%	891.6	276.3	31%	615.3	69%
2007	858.1	319.9	37%	538.2	63%	861.9	249.2	29%	612.7	71%
2008	821.1	291.1	35%	530.0	65%	831.1	222.9	27%	608.2	73%
2009	836.2	268.3	32%	567.9	68%	846.1	231.3	27%	614.8	73%
2010	784.0	218.2	28%	565.8	72%	871.9	211.2	24%	660.7	76%
2011	758.4	200.5	26%	557.9	74%	773.7	213.2	28%	560.5	72%
2012	714.0	187.2	26%	526.8	74%	729.8	206.2	28%	523.6	72%
2013	630.1	167.5	27%	462.6	73%	645.9	161.1	25%	484.8	75%
2014	483.6	149.4	31%	334.2	69%	496.1	135.5	27%	360.6	73%
2015	422.5	138.2	33%	284.3	67%	434.5	127.2	29%	307.3	71%
2016	375.5	129.8	35%	245.7	65%	383.6	115.0	30%	268.6	70%
2017	349.7	118.4	34%	231.3	66%	363.9	95.6	26%	268.3	74%
2018	324.3	114.5	35%	209.8	65%	336.9	75.8	23%	261.1	77%
2019	311.5	115.0	37%	196.5	63%	318.3	55.0	17%	263.3	83%

Table 67. San Diego Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	^r Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	435.2	127.5	29%	307.7	71%	437.3	193.0	44%	244.3	56%
2003	425.4	128.0	30%	297.4	70%	423.0	183.5	43%	239.5	57%
2004	417.7	261.2	63%	156.5	37%	419.3	137.3	33%	282.0	67%
2005	495.3	287.3	58%	208.0	42%	493.3	149.6	30%	343.7	70%
2006	569.3	325.5	57%	243.8	43%	563.3	172.3	31%	391.0	69%
2007	525.2	319.9	61%	205.3	39%	526.1	156.7	30%	369.4	70%
2008	485.4	291.1	60%	194.3	40%	490.5	132.3	27%	358.2	73%
2009	509.1	268.3	53%	240.8	47%	508.2	136.4	27%	371.8	73%
2010	487.8	218.2	45%	269.6	55%	489.1	127.8	26%	361.3	74%
2011	470.4	200.5	43%	269.9	57%	479.6	132.8	28%	346.8	72%
2012	446.5	187.2	42%	259.3	58%	455.0	126.7	28%	328.3	72%
2013	386.3	167.5	43%	218.8	57%	394.5	96.8	25%	297.7	75%
2014	313.8	149.4	48%	164.4	52%	316.1	86.4	27%	229.7	73%
2015	303.8	138.2	45%	165.6	55%	311.9	89.9	29%	222.0	71%
2016	276.9	129.8	47%	147.1	53%	282.5	84.3	30%	198.2	70%
2017	251.6	118.4	47%	133.2	53%	262.4	63.3	24%	199.1	76%
2018	240.8	114.5	48%	126.3	52%	249.8	51.7	21%	198.1	79%
2019	228.2	115.0	50%	113.2	50%	232.8	37.0	16%	195.8	84%

Table 68. San Diego Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	<u> </u>		Average Dail	y Populatio	n			One-Day S	Snapshot					
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony				
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP				
2002	Juvenile C	:amns/Rar	nches only	312.1	100%	311.9	116.8	37%	195.1	63%				
2003		st-Disposit		302.5	100%	320.4	116.6	36%	203.8	64%				
2004	juveniles,			233.2	100%	248.4	86.5	35%	161.9	65%				
2005			ed of Post-	247.7	100%	254.7	81.9	32%	172.8	68%				
2006	Dispositio	n juveniles		318.7	100%	328.4	104.1	32%	224.3	68%				
2007				332.8	100%	335.8	92.5	28%	243.3	72%				
2008				335.7	100%	340.7	90.7	27%	250.0	73%				
2009			327.2	100%	337.9	94.9	28%	243.0	72%					
2010				296.2	100%	382.6	83.3	22%	299.3	78%				
2011				288.0	100%	294.1	80.4	27%	213.7	73%				
2012				267.5	100%	274.8	79.5	29%	195.3	71%				
2013				243.8	100%	251.5	64.3	26%	187.2	74%				
2014			169.8	100%	180.0	49.1	27%	130.9	73%					
2015				118.8	100%	122.6	37.3	30%	85.3	70%				
2016								98.6	100%	101.2	30.8	30%	70.4	70%
2017				98.1	100%	101.6	32.3	32%	69.3	68%				
2018				83.5	100%	87.2	24.2	28%	63.0	72%				
2019	D 11 D 1	·· (ADD)		83.3	100%	85.5	18.0	21%	67.5	79%				

Figure 90.
San Diego ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 91.

Population of San Diego Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 92. San Diego F*elony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019* ¹



SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY

Table 69. San Francisco Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	123.4	74.2	60%	49.2	40%	127.3	47.8	38%	79.5	62%
2003	113.6	58.7	52%	54.9	48%	117.4	37.4	32%	80.0	68%
2004	108.3	51.8	48%	56.5	52%	110.1	35.8	33%	74.3	67%
2005	116.9	57.1	49%	59.8	51%	120.1	39.3	33%	80.8	67%
2006	133.1	62.6	47%	70.5	53%	134.2	41.7	31%	92.5	69%
2007	147.7	60.3	41%	87.4	59%	146.8	41.8	28%	105.0	72%
2008	139.9	59.9	43%	80.0	58%	140.6	34.9	25%	105.7	75%
2009	123.4	54.8	44%	68.6	56%	125.1	33.4	27%	91.7	73%
2010	108.3	50.0	46%	58.3	54%	108.0	32.0	30%	76.0	70%
2011	107.7	37.0	34%	70.7	66%	105.6	33.8	32%	71.8	68%
2012	92.6	28.5	31%	64.1	69%	89.1	29.5	33%	59.6	67%
2013	88.1	28.8	33%	59.3	67%	86.0	28.5	33%	57.5	67%
2014	87.1	27.9	32%	59.2	68%	83.1	28.8	35%	54.3	65%
2015	68.8	19.6	28%	49.2	72%	66.6	27.2	41%	39.4	59%
2016	58.0	15.2	26%	42.8	74%	56.4	29.3	52%	27.1	48%
2017	58.0	17.2	30%	40.8	70%	58.1	29.6	51%	28.5	49%
2018	51.6	20.8	40%	30.8	60%	50.6	20.8	41%	29.8	59%
2019	41.2	21.2	51%	20.0	49%	39.3	14.8	38%	24.5	62%

Table 70. San Francisco Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_	А	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	100.9	74.2	74%	26.7	27%	106.1	40.1	38%	66.0	62%
2003	90.0	58.7	65%	31.3	35%	94.1	30.6	33%	63.5	67%
2004	93.1	51.8	56%	41.3	44%	94.4	29.3	31%	65.1	69%
2005	100.7	57.1	57%	43.6	43%	104.1	32.7	31%	71.4	69%
2006	108.3	62.6	58%	45.7	42%	109.2	32.8	30%	76.4	70%
2007	119.0	60.3	51%	58.7	49%	119.4	34.4	29%	85.0	71%
2008	124.0	59.9	48%	64.1	52%	125.3	32.2	26%	93.1	74%
2009	109.5	54.8	50%	54.7	50%	111.2	31.8	29%	79.4	71%
2010	94.0	50.0	53%	44.0	47%	93.6	28.8	31%	64.8	69%
2011	86.8	37.0	43%	49.8	57%	84.4	24.7	29%	59.7	71%
2012	74.4	28.5	38%	45.9	62%	71.9	22.3	31%	49.6	69%
2013	73.7	28.8	39%	44.9	61%	71.6	23.8	33%	47.8	67%
2014	70.0	27.9	40%	42.1	60%	66.6	22.8	34%	43.8	66%
2015	56.7	19.6	35%	37.1	65%	54.7	23.3	43%	31.4	57%
2016	45.5	15.2	33%	30.3	67%	44.2	21.6	49%	22.6	51%
2017	46.1	17.2	37%	28.9	63%	46.3	22.0	48%	24.3	52%
2018	46.3	20.8	45%	25.5	55%	45.5	18.7	41%	26.8	59%
2019	41.2	21.2	51%	20.0	49%	39.3	14.8	38%	24.5	62%

Table 71. San Francisco Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Dail	y Populatio	n	J		One-Day S	napshot							
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony						
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP						
2002	Juvenile (Camps/Rar	nches only	22.5	100%	21.3	7.8	36%	13.5	64%						
2003		st-Disposit	•	23.6	100%	23.3	6.8	29%	16.5	71%						
2004		therefore t		15.2	100%	15.7	6.5	41%	9.2	59%						
2005	•		ed of Post-	16.2	100%	16.0	6.6	41%	9.4	59%						
2006	Dispositio	n juveniles	uveniles.		100%	25.0	8.9	36%	16.1	64%						
2007				28.8	100%	27.3	7.3	27%	20.0	73%						
2008					100%	15.4	2.8	18%	12.6	82%						
2009				13.9	100%	14.0	1.7	12%	12.3	88%						
2010				14.3	100%	14.5	3.3	23%	11.2	77%						
2011				20.9	100%	21.3	9.2	43%	12.1	57%						
2012				18.2	100%	17.2	7.2	42%	10.0	58%						
2013				14.4	100%	14.5	4.8	33%	9.7	67%						
2014				17.1	100%	16.6	6.1	37%	10.5	63%						
2015										12.1	100%	11.9	3.9	33%	8.0	67%
2016								12.5	100%	12.3	7.8	63%	4.5	37%		
2017				11.9	100%	11.9	7.6	64%	4.3	36%						
2018				5.3	100%	5.2	2.2	42%	3.0	58%						
2019				0.0	100%	0.0	0.0	n/a	0.0	n/a						

Figure 93.
San Francisco ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 94.

Population of San Francisco Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 95.
San Francisco Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY

Table 72. San Joaquin Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	192.0	101.6	53%	90.4	47%	193.9	39.1	20%	154.8	80%
2003	208.9	112.7	54%	96.2	46%	206.2	36.3	18%	169.9	82%
2004	209.0	121.4	58%	87.6	42%	210.3	40.5	19%	169.8	81%
2005	198.0	117.1	59%	80.9	41%	197.5	53.2	27%	144.3	73%
2006	187.1	101.2	54%	85.9	46%	185.5	22.8	12%	162.7	88%
2007	182.8	89.0	49%	93.8	51%	182.0	38.7	21%	143.3	79%
2008	193.6	104.4	54%	89.2	46%	192.3	65.9	34%	126.4	66%
2009	179.4	89.9	50%	89.5	50%	177.7	11.1	6%	166.6	94%
2010	172.8	84.8	49%	88.0	51%	165.5	8.2	5%	157.3	95%
2011	155.5	86.9	56%	68.6	44%	152.4	5.3	3%	147.1	97%
2012	142.0	87.3	62%	54.7	38%	142.7	5.4	4%	137.3	96%
2013	120.0	72.3	60%	47.7	40%	120.7	8.4	7%	112.3	93%
2014	110.0	58.3	53%	51.7	47%	109.5	6.9	6%	102.6	94%
2015	113.8	64.7	57%	49.1	43%	114.8	9.8	9%	105.0	91%
2016	123.2	75.0	61%	48.2	39%	125.4	8.4	7%	117.0	93%
2017	115.9	70.3	61%	45.6	39%	119.4	7.2	6%	112.2	94%
2018	109.7	65.4	60%	44.3	40%	109.7	3.9	4%	105.8	96%
2019	98.8	59.0	60%	39.8	40%	100.3	5.0	5%	95.3	95%

Table 73. San Joaquin Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	154.2	101.6	66%	52.6	34%	156.1	33.2	21%	122.9	79%
2003	167.0	112.7	67%	54.3	33%	164.4	30.2	18%	134.2	82%
2004	166.4	121.4	73%	45.0	27%	167.7	33.3	20%	134.4	80%
2005	159.4	117.1	73%	42.3	27%	159.7	42.0	26%	117.7	74%
2006	150.6	101.2	67%	49.4	33%	147.2	19.6	13%	127.6	87%
2007	147.4	89.0	60%	58.4	40%	145.5	34.8	24%	110.7	76%
2008	156.5	104.4	67%	52.1	33%	155.5	54.7	35%	100.8	65%
2009	142.6	89.9	63%	52.7	37%	138.3	10.4	8%	127.9	92%
2010	137.8	84.8	62%	53.0	38%	129.4	8.1	6%	121.3	94%
2011	119.6	86.9	73%	32.7	27%	116.8	5.3	4%	111.5	96%
2012	114.3	87.3	76%	27.0	24%	114.5	4.0	3%	110.5	97%
2013	95.4	72.3	76%	23.1	24%	94.1	8.4	9%	85.7	91%
2014	84.2	58.3	69%	25.9	31%	83.0	6.9	8%	76.1	92%
2015	91.9	64.7	70%	27.2	30%	91.2	8.9	10%	82.3	90%
2016	103.3	75.0	73%	28.3	27%	103.5	8.4	8%	95.1	92%
2017	92.5	70.3	76%	22.2	24%	95.6	7.2	7%	88.4	93%
2018	88.7	65.4	74%	23.3	26%	88.3	3.9	4%	84.4	96%
2019	75.0	59.0	79%	16.0	21%	72.8	5.0	7%	67.8	93%

Table 74. San Joaquin Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	,		Average Dail		n	<u> </u>	One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile C	:amps/Rar	nches only	37.9	100%	37.8	5.9	16%	31.9	84%
2003		buse Post-Disposition			100%	42.0	6.2	15%	35.8	85%
2004		veniles, therefore their Total		42.6	100%	42.7	7.3	17%	35.4	83%
2005		OP is only comprised of Post- sposition juveniles.			100%	37.9	11.2	30%	26.7	70%
2006	Disposition				100%	38.4	3.3	8%	35.1	92%
2007					100%	36.4	3.8	11%	32.6	89%
2008				37.1	100%	36.9	11.3	31%	25.6	69%
2009				36.8	100%	39.4	0.7	2%	38.7	98%
2010				35.0	100%	36.0	0.1	0%	35.9	100%
2011				35.9	100%	35.7	0.1	0%	35.6	100%
2012				27.7	100%	28.2	1.4	5%	26.8	95%
2013				24.6	100%	26.6	0.0	0%	26.6	100%
2014				25.8	100%	26.5	0.0	0%	26.5	100%
2015					100%	23.6	0.9	4%	22.7	96%
2016					100%	21.9	0.0	0%	21.9	100%
2017					100%	23.8	0.0	0%	23.8	100%
2018					100%	21.3	0.0	0%	21.3	100%
2019				23.8	100%	27.5	0.0	0%	27.5	100%

Figure 96.
San Joaquin ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 97.
Population of San Joaquin Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 98.
San Joaquin Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY

Table 75. San Luis Obispo Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n	_	One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Dis	Disposition Post-Disp		position Snapshot		Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	33.7	29.0	86%	4.7	14%	34.3	17.6	51%	16.7	49%
2003	35.0	28.7	82%	6.3	18%	35.7	20.3	57%	15.4	43%
2004	31.6	24.1	76%	7.5	24%	31.7	19.3	61%	12.4	39%
2005	40.4	27.2	67%	13.2	33%	41.1	24.3	59%	16.8	41%
2006	41.6	25.0	60%	16.6	40%	42.2	26.7	63%	15.5	37%
2007	41.2	26.6	65%	14.6	35%	41.0	23.5	57%	17.5	43%
2008	33.5	26.8	80%	6.7	20%	34.2	21.5	63%	12.7	37%
2009	40.2	29.9	74%	10.3	26%	40.6	20.0	49%	20.6	51%
2010	37.8	27.4	72%	10.4	28%	37.7	13.9	37%	23.8	63%
2011	33.0	22.1	67%	10.9	33%	33.9	15.4	45%	18.5	55%
2012	29.3	20.3	69%	9.0	31%	32.2	19.4	60%	12.8	40%
2013	29.5	21.1	72%	8.4	28%	29.2	12.6	43%	16.6	57%
2014	28.6	19.4	68%	9.2	32%	25.2	14.9	59%	10.3	41%
2015	25.9	15.8	61%	10.1	39%	26.1	15.5	59%	10.6	41%
2016	23.9	14.3	60%	9.6	40%	23.0	12.4	54%	10.6	46%
2017	30.7	14.0	45%	16.7	54%	30.6	16.8	55%	13.8	45%
2018	23.4	10.5	45%	12.9	55%	23.1	11.3	49%	11.8	51%
2019	23.0	10.4	46%	12.6	56%	23.0	11.7	51%	11.3	49%

Table 76. San Luis Obispo Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	33.7	29.0	86%	4.7	14%	34.3	17.6	51%	16.7	49%
2003	35.0	28.7	82%	6.3	18%	35.7	20.3	57%	15.4	43%
2004	31.6	24.1	76%	7.5	24%	31.7	19.3	61%	12.4	39%
2005	40.4	27.2	67%	13.2	33%	41.1	24.3	59%	16.8	41%
2006	41.6	25.0	60%	16.6	40%	42.2	26.7	63%	15.5	37%
2007	41.2	26.6	65%	14.6	35%	41.0	23.5	57%	17.5	43%
2008	33.5	26.8	80%	6.7	20%	34.2	21.5	63%	12.7	37%
2009	40.2	29.9	74%	10.3	26%	40.6	20.0	49%	20.6	51%
2010	37.8	27.4	72%	10.4	28%	37.7	13.9	37%	23.8	63%
2011	33.0	22.1	67%	10.9	33%	33.9	15.4	45%	18.5	55%
2012	29.3	20.3	69%	9.0	31%	32.2	19.4	60%	12.8	40%
2013	29.5	21.1	72%	8.4	28%	29.2	12.6	43%	16.6	57%
2014	28.6	19.4	68%	9.2	32%	25.2	14.9	59%	10.3	41%
2015	25.9	15.8	61%	10.1	39%	26.1	15.5	59%	10.6	41%
2016	23.9	14.3	60%	9.6	40%	23.0	12.4	54%	10.6	46%
2017	27.0	14.0	52%	13.0	48%	26.8	13.8	52%	13.0	48%
2018	17.1	10.5	62%	6.6	39%	17.0	7.8	46%	9.2	54%
2019	17.6	10.4	60%	7.2	41%	17.7	9.7	55%	8.0	45%

Table 77. San Luis Obispo Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2017² through 2019¹

			Average Daily	/ Populati	on	_	One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misde	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	Juvenile (Camps/Rar	oches only								
2003		st-Disposit	-								
2004		therefore t									
2005	•		ed of Post-								
2006	Dispositio	n juveniles									
2007											
2008											
2009											
2010											
2011											
2012											
2013											
2014											
2015											
2016					San Luis	Obispo County	bispo County's Camp opened June 2017.				
2017				6.3	3 100%	6.5	5.1	78%	1.4	22%	
2018				6.3	3 100%	6.2	3.5	5 57%	2.7	43%	
2019				5.5	5 100%	5.3	2.0	38%	3.3	63%	

Figure 99.
San Luis Obispo ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 100.

Population of San Luis Obispo Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 101.
San Luis Obispo Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



SAN MATEO COUNTY

Table 78. San Mateo Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	Snapshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	195.4	49.1	25%	146.3	75%	202.2	11.9	6%	190.3	94%
2003	205.2	56.9	28%	148.3	72%	206.1	4.8	2%	201.3	98%
2004	224.8	57.6	26%	167.2	74%	224.2	6.8	3%	217.4	97%
2005	237.4	65.6	28%	171.8	72%	236.9	9.5	4%	227.4	96%
2006	223.5	65.3	29%	158.2	71%	224.6	15.8	7%	208.8	93%
2007	217.8	71.8	33%	146.0	67%	221.6	18.3	8%	203.3	92%
2008	214.0	70.7	33%	143.3	67%	213.6	19.9	9%	193.7	91%
2009	208.6	73.8	35%	134.8	65%	210.6	20.8	10%	189.8	90%
2010	185.9	62.6	34%	123.3	66%	183.3	68.7	37%	114.6	63%
2011	167.2	62.1	37%	105.1	63%	166.6	74.8	45%	91.8	55%
2012	136.1	53.6	39%	82.5	61%	139.5	64.6	46%	74.9	54%
2013	122.3	43.1	35%	79.2	65%	144.3	78.4	54%	65.9	46%
2014	111.4	40.7	37%	70.7	63%	113.2	59.6	53%	53.6	47%
2015	112.4	41.1	37%	71.3	63%	113.4	61.2	54%	52.2	46%
2016	101.4	36.3	36%	65.1	64%	99.0	53.8	54%	45.2	46%
2017	87.2	36.7	42%	50.5	58%	85.9	43.3	50%	42.6	50%
2018	74.0	32.8	44%	41.2	56%	67.9	25.6	38%	42.3	62%
2019	60.5	34.3	57%	26.2	43%	61.7	25.5	41%	36.2	59%

Table 79. San Mateo Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	141.2	49.1	35%	92.1	65%	148.1	0.5	0%	147.6	100%
2003	149.4	56.9	38%	92.5	62%	150.3	0.5	0%	149.8	100%
2004	172.4	57.6	33%	114.8	67%	171.9	0.6	0%	171.3	100%
2005	183.0	65.6	36%	117.4	64%	181.7	1.8	1%	179.9	99%
2006	166.6	65.3	39%	101.3	61%	167.0	2.1	1%	164.9	99%
2007	153.6	71.8	47%	81.8	53%	156.6	0.9	1%	155.7	99%
2008	158.0	70.7	45%	87.3	55%	158.5	2.3	1%	156.2	99%
2009	157.9	73.8	47%	84.1	53%	159.8	2.3	1%	157.5	99%
2010	133.5	62.6	47%	70.9	53%	130.1	47.4	36%	82.7	64%
2011	132.4	62.1	47%	70.3	53%	131.6	66.1	50%	65.5	50%
2012	110.8	53.6	48%	57.2	52%	113.8	57.0	50%	56.8	50%
2013	95.9	43.1	45%	52.8	55%	117.6	71.8	61%	45.8	39%
2014	85.4	40.7	48%	44.7	52%	87.1	50.8	58%	36.3	42%
2015	83.2	41.1	49%	42.1	51%	84.2	47.0	56%	37.2	44%
2016	74.0	36.3	49%	37.7	51%	72.4	39.6	55%	32.8	45%
2017	61.1	36.7	60%	24.4	40%	59.9	31.5	53%	28.4	47%
2018	56.9	32.8	58%	24.1	42%	50.8	17.7	35%	33.1	65%
2019	51.5	34.3	67%	17.2	33%	51.5	20.8	40%	30.7	60%

Table 80. San Mateo Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Dail	y Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile C	:amps/Rar	nches only	54.2	100%	54.1	11.4	21%	42.7	79%
2003	house Pos			55.8	100%	55.7	4.3	8%	51.4	92%
2004	juveniles,			52.3	100%	52.4	6.2	12%	46.2	88%
2005			ed of Post-	54.4	100%	55.2	7.7	14%	47.5	86%
2006		n juveniles		56.8	100%	57.7	13.8	24%	43.9	76%
2007				64.2	100%	65.1	17.4	27%	47.7	73%
2008				56.1	100%	55.2	17.7	32%	37.5	68%
2009				50.8	100%	50.9	18.6	36%	32.3	64%
2010				52.3	100%	53.2	21.3	40%	31.9	60%
2011				34.8	100%	35.1	8.8	25%	26.3	75%
2012				25.3	100%	25.8	7.6	29%	18.2	71%
2013				26.4	100%	26.9	6.7	25%	20.2	75%
2014				26.0	100%	26.1	8.8	34%	17.3	66%
2015				29.2	100%	29.2	14.2	49%	15.0	51%
2016				27.4	100%	26.6	14.2	53%	12.4	47%
2017				26.1	100%	26.0	11.8	46%	14.2	54%
2018				17.1	100%	17.2	7.9	46%	9.3	54%
2019				9.0	100%	10.2	4.7	46%	5.5	54%

Figure 102.
San Mateo ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 ¹




Figure 103.
Population of San Mateo Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 104.
San Mateo Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



SANTA BARBARA COUNTY

Table 81. Santa Barbara Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	171.4	60.5	35%	110.9	65%	168.3	114.0	68%	54.3	32%
2003	171.1	58.8	34%	112.3	66%	172.4	117.3	68%	55.1	32%
2004	144.8	56.5	39%	88.3	61%	145.5	94.7	65%	50.8	35%
2005	149.8	67.3	45%	82.5	55%	153.6	101.4	66%	52.2	34%
2006	183.7	74.3	40%	109.4	60%	186.9	107.0	57%	79.9	43%
2007	186.8	73.8	39%	113.0	61%	188.0	105.3	56%	82.7	44%
2008	184.2	46.6	25%	137.6	75%	179.3	118.2	66%	61.1	34%
2009	189.2	35.4	19%	153.8	81%	188.4	120.6	64%	67.8	36%
2010	183.4	31.6	17%	151.8	83%	187.2	124.7	67%	62.5	33%
2011	173.6	27.3	16%	146.3	84%	169.0	115.9	69%	53.1	31%
2012	156.3	31.6	20%	124.7	80%	157.8	111.4	71%	46.4	29%
2013	121.0	18.7	15%	102.3	85%	119.1	84.9	71%	34.2	29%
2014	98.3	13.0	13%	85.3	87%	98.6	74.3	75%	24.3	25%
2015	102.0	31.8	31%	70.2	69%	104.0	76.6	74%	27.4	26%
2016	103.3	37.1	36%	66.2	64%	106.5	69.7	65%	36.8	35%
2017	98.9	32.2	33%	66.7	67%	98.0	65.2	66%	32.8	34%
2018	65.1	22.6	35%	42.5	65%	64.9	41.8	64%	23.1	36%
2019	57.0	18.3	32%	38.7	68%	59.3	9.3	16%	50.0	84%

Table 82. Santa Barbara Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Д	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	87.7	60.5	69%	27.2	31%	83.9	57.2	68%	26.7	32%
2003	90.6	58.8	65%	31.8	35%	91.8	60.9	66%	30.9	34%
2004	83.5	56.5	68%	27.0	32%	83.8	54.8	65%	29.0	35%
2005	90.6	67.3	74%	23.3	26%	94.3	64.9	69%	29.4	31%
2006	111.6	74.3	67%	37.3	33%	111.6	70.3	63%	41.3	37%
2007	116.8	73.8	63%	43.0	37%	118.4	68.1	58%	50.3	42%
2008	112.2	46.6	42%	65.6	59%	108.7	71.9	66%	36.8	34%
2009	111.7	35.4	32%	76.3	68%	110.0	69.0	63%	41.0	37%
2010	106.9	31.6	30%	75.3	70%	109.6	71.8	66%	37.8	34%
2011	100.4	27.3	27%	73.1	73%	100.2	67.4	67%	32.8	33%
2012	90.9	31.6	35%	59.3	65%	91.6	64.0	70%	27.6	30%
2013	67.0	18.7	28%	48.3	72%	65.5	45.3	69%	20.2	31%
2014	57.9	13.0	22%	44.9	78%	58.9	41.8	71%	17.1	29%
2015	64.9	31.8	49%	33.1	51%	64.3	46.7	73%	17.6	27%
2016	64.8	37.1	57%	27.7	43%	66.0	41.3	63%	24.7	37%
2017	60.7	32.2	53%	28.5	47%	61.1	37.5	61%	23.6	39%
2018	37.1	22.6	61%	14.5	39%	37.1	21.0	57%	16.1	43%
2019	40.8	18.3	45%	22.5	55%	43.1	7.8	18%	35.3	82%

Note. Santa Barbara's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in October 2011. A Special Purpose Juvenile Hall is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Table 83. Santa Barbara Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Dail	y Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile C	amps/Rar	nches only	83.8	100%	84.4	56.8	67%	27.6	33%
2003	house Pos			80.6	100%	80.6	56.4	70%	24.2	30%
2004	juveniles,			61.3	100%	61.6	39.8	65%	21.8	35%
2005	ADP is on	ly compris	ed of Post-	59.2	100%	59.3	36.5	62%	22.8	38%
2006	Disposition	n juveniles	3.	72.1	100%	75.3	36.7	49%	38.6	51%
2007				70.0	100%	69.6	37.2	53%	32.4	47%
2008				72.0	100%	70.6	46.3	66%	24.3	34%
2009				77.5	100%	78.4	51.6	66%	26.8	34%
2010				76.6	100%	77.5	52.8	68%	24.7	32%
2011				73.3	100%	68.8	48.5	71%	20.3	29%
2012				65.4	100%	66.2	47.4	72%	18.8	28%
2013				53.9	100%	53.7	39.7	74%	14.0	26%
2014				40.4	100%	39.9	32.6	82%	7.3	18%
2015				37.1	100%	39.7	29.9	75%	9.8	25%
2016				38.5	100%	40.4	28.3	70%	12.1	30%
2017				38.2	100%	37.0	27.7	75%	9.3	25%
2018				28.0	100%	27.8	20.8	75%	7.0	25%
2019				16.2	100%	16.2	1.5	9%	14.7	91%

Figure 105.
Santa Barbara ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 106.

Population of Santa Barbara Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 107.
Santa Barbara Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



SANTA CLARA COUNTY

Table 84. Santa Clara Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		A	verage Daily	[,] Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	446.1	153.3	34%	292.8	66%	441.0	193.8	44%	247.2	56%
2003	356.5	117.6	33%	238.9	67%	353.9	161.8	46%	192.1	54%
2004	341.5	151.4	44%	190.1	56%	339.6	214.3	63%	125.3	37%
2005	352.5	166.6	47%	185.9	53%	349.6	192.5	55%	157.1	45%
2006	340.7	139.5	41%	201.2	59%	334.3	167.4	50%	166.9	50%
2007	414.5	162.7	39%	251.8	61%	410.6	204.3	50%	206.3	50%
2008	422.7	146.5	35%	276.2	65%	421.7	193.4	46%	228.3	54%
2009	387.4	137.2	35%	250.2	65%	387.7	195.4	50%	192.3	50%
2010	380.9	125.1	33%	255.8	67%	380.3	213.5	56%	166.8	44%
2011	271.0	114.8	42%	156.2	58%	270.5	141.1	52%	129.4	48%
2012	220.2	103.9	47%	116.3	53%	218.5	95.0	43%	123.5	57%
2013	209.3	91.7	44%	117.6	56%	209.3	100.2	48%	109.1	52%
2014	172.4	70.4	41%	102.0	59%	171.5	90.9	53%	80.6	47%
2015	149.0	58.1	39%	90.9	61%	149.9	79.8	53%	70.1	47%
2016	114.2	50.8	44%	63.4	56%	111.8	52.8	47%	59.0	53%
2017	131.8	76.1	58%	55.7	42%	133.7	29.9	22%	103.8	78%
2018	160.8	96.5	60%	64.3	40%	160.7	28.9	18%	131.8	82%
2019	184.2	104.5	57%	79.7	43%	181.8	39.0	21%	142.8	79%

Table 85. Santa Clara Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	282.6	153.3	54%	129.3	46%	281.3	124.5	44%	156.8	56%
2003	224.4	117.6	52%	106.8	48%	222.4	107.5	48%	114.9	52%
2004	246.8	151.4	61%	95.4	39%	249.8	160.3	64%	89.5	36%
2005	257.6	166.6	65%	91.0	35%	259.1	145.8	56%	113.3	44%
2006	253.9	139.5	55%	114.4	45%	256.1	129.0	50%	127.1	50%
2007	315.4	162.7	52%	152.7	48%	312.9	157.7	50%	155.2	50%
2008	319.6	146.5	46%	173.1	54%	316.8	151.3	48%	165.5	52%
2009	284.3	137.2	48%	147.1	52%	283.0	152.4	54%	130.6	46%
2010	268.0	125.1	47%	142.9	53%	266.3	159.2	60%	107.1	40%
2011	194.3	114.8	59%	79.5	41%	192.3	109.3	57%	83.0	43%
2012	162.2	103.9	64%	58.3	36%	158.1	75.3	48%	82.8	52%
2013	150.0	91.7	61%	58.3	39%	147.7	77.8	53%	69.9	47%
2014	130.2	70.4	54%	59.8	46%	128.4	75.1	58%	53.3	42%
2015	110.6	58.1	53%	52.5	47%	110.5	67.2	61%	43.3	39%
2016	84.1	50.8	60%	33.3	40%	81.5	41.0	50%	40.5	50%
2017	88.4	76.1	86%	12.3	14%	87.8	16.8	19%	71.0	81%
2018	110.1	96.5	88%	13.6	12%	109.4	19.3	18%	90.1	82%
2019	125.2	104.5	83%	20.7	17%	120.5	25.5	21%	95.0	79%

Table 86. Santa Clara Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Dail	y Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	.luvenile C	:amns/Rar	nches only	163.4	100%	159.7	69.3	43%	90.4	57%
2003	house Pos			132.2	100%	131.5	54.3	41%	77.2	59%
2004	juveniles,			94.8	100%	89.8	54.0	60%	35.8	40%
2005			ed of Post-	94.9	100%	90.5	46.7	52%	43.8	48%
2006		n juveniles		86.8	100%	78.2	38.4	49%	39.8	51%
2007				99.1	100%	97.9	46.7	48%	51.2	52%
2008				103.1	100%	104.9	42.1	40%	62.8	60%
2009				103.1	100%	104.8	43.0	41%	61.8	59%
2010				112.9	100%	114.0	54.3	48%	59.7	52%
2011				76.7	100%	78.2	31.8	41%	46.4	59%
2012				58.0	100%	60.5	19.7	33%	40.8	67%
2013				59.3	100%	61.5	22.3	36%	39.2	64%
2014				42.3	100%	43.1	15.8	37%	27.3	63%
2015				38.4	100%	39.5	12.7	32%	26.8	68%
2016				30.1	100%	30.3	11.8	39%	18.5	61%
2017				43.3	100%	45.9	13.1	28%	32.8	72%
2018				50.8	100%	51.3	9.6	19%	41.7	81%
2019				59.0	100%	61.3	13.5	22%	47.8	78%

Figure 108.
Santa Clara ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 109.

Population of Santa Clara Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 110.
Santa Clara Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



SANTA CRUZ COUNTY

Table 87. Santa Cruz Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	33.0	22.3	68%	10.7	32%	34.8	22.5	65%	12.3	35%
2003	26.2	20.3	78%	5.9	23%	27.4	14.2	52%	13.2	48%
2004	22.8	17.0	75%	5.8	25%	23.5	12.3	52%	11.2	48%
2005	16.5	12.7	77%	3.8	23%	15.5	9.3	60%	6.2	40%
2006	22.3	15.6	70%	6.7	30%	22.2	10.7	48%	11.5	52%
2007	22.6	14.8	65%	7.8	34%	22.7	9.8	43%	12.9	57%
2008	20.3	12.8	62%	7.5	37%	19.7	7.8	40%	11.9	60%
2009	22.4	14.1	63%	8.3	37%	22.4	9.3	41%	13.1	59%
2010	19.9	10.1	50%	9.8	49%	21.1	8.7	41%	12.4	59%
2011	18.3	11.2	61%	7.1	39%	19.5	7.8	40%	11.7	60%
2012	23.0	12.0	52%	11.0	48%	22.5	7.9	35%	14.6	65%
2013	20.5	13.1	64%	7.4	36%	20.0	6.6	33%	13.4	67%
2014	18.0	10.8	60%	7.2	40%	17.6	5.8	33%	11.8	67%
2015	20.2	12.5	62%	7.7	38%	19.7	7.1	36%	12.6	64%
2016	18.2	12.0	66%	6.2	34%	18.0	7.8	44%	10.2	56%
2017	17.9	11.4	64%	6.5	36%	17.3	7.5	43%	9.8	57%
2018	15.7	12.9	82%	2.8	18%	14.6	5.6	38%	9.0	62%
2019	19.0	16.0	85%	3.0	16%	17.0	6.3	37%	10.7	63%

Figure 111. Santa Cruz Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019 ¹




Figure 112.

Population of Santa Cruz Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 113.
Santa Cruz Juvenile Hall Felony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



SHASTA COUNTY

Table 88. Shasta Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_	Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	103.8	27.6	27%	76.2	73%	105.3	63.3	60%	42.0	40%
2003	101.1	26.3	26%	74.8	74%	102.1	70.3	69%	31.8	31%
2004	94.3	28.2	30%	66.1	70%	96.1	59.8	62%	36.3	38%
2005	95.7	26.6	28%	69.1	72%	95.8	62.5	65%	33.3	35%
2006	96.1	26.5	28%	69.6	72%	96.1	67.3	70%	28.8	30%
2007	94.5	27.5	29%	67.0	71%	96.4	60.1	62%	36.3	38%
2008	77.1	25.3	33%	51.8	67%	78.4	48.3	62%	30.1	38%
2009	53.6	20.6	38%	33.0	62%	55.0	34.7	63%	20.3	37%
2010	31.7	21.4	68%	10.3	32%	31.5	19.8	63%	11.7	37%
2011	27.6	18.7	68%	8.9	32%	28.7	18.3	64%	10.4	36%
2012	29.0	19.8	68%	9.2	32%	29.0	16.8	58%	12.2	42%
2013	25.5	16.3	64%	9.2	36%	25.9	15.4	59%	10.5	41%
2014	23.8	13.8	58%	10.0	42%	23.8	14.8	62%	9.0	38%
2015	18.3	9.1	50%	9.2	50%	18.8	14.0	74%	4.8	26%
2016	17.9	10.5	59%	7.4	41%	17.5	7.6	43%	9.9	57%
2017	23.5	12.8	55%	10.7	45%	24.9	12.5	50%	12.4	50%
2018	22.3	11.6	52%	10.7	48%	22.3	7.3	33%	15.0	67%
2019	25.3	12.0	47%	13.3	53%	25.6	8.3	32%	17.3	68%

Table 89. Shasta Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	54.8	27.6	50%	27.2	50%	56.0	37.6	67%	18.4	33%
2003	54.9	26.3	48%	28.6	52%	54.8	39.4	72%	15.4	28%
2004	53.0	28.2	53%	24.8	47%	53.6	33.8	63%	19.8	37%
2005	54.4	26.6	49%	27.8	51%	53.9	38.2	71%	15.7	29%
2006	53.9	26.5	49%	27.4	51%	53.2	42.9	81%	10.3	19%
2007	53.5	27.5	51%	26.0	49%	54.1	37.9	70%	16.2	30%
2008	45.8	25.3	55%	20.5	45%	45.4	30.8	68%	14.6	32%
2009	35.3	20.6	58%	14.7	42%	34.1	23.9	70%	10.2	30%
2010	31.7	21.4	68%	10.3	32%	31.5	19.8	63%	11.7	37%
2011	27.6	18.7	68%	8.9	32%	28.7	18.3	64%	10.4	36%
2012	29.0	19.8	68%	9.2	32%	29.0	16.8	58%	12.2	42%
2013	25.5	16.3	64%	9.2	36%	25.9	15.4	59%	10.5	41%
2014	23.8	13.8	58%	10.0	42%	23.8	14.8	62%	9.0	38%
2015	18.3	9.1	50%	9.2	50%	18.8	14.0	74%	4.8	26%
2016	17.9	10.5	59%	7.4	41%	17.5	7.6	43%	9.9	57%
2017	23.5	12.8	55%	10.7	45%	24.9	12.5	50%	12.4	50%
2018	22.3	11.6	52%	10.7	48%	22.3	7.3	33%	15.0	67%
2019	25.3	12.0	47%	13.3	53%	25.6	8.3	32%	17.3	68%

Table 90. Shasta Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2010²

			Average Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Di	isposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony			
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP		
2002	.luvenile C	:amps/Rar	nches only	49.0	100%	49.3	25.7	52%	23.6	48%		
2003	house Pos		_	46.3	100%	47.3	30.9	65%	16.4	35%		
2004	juveniles,	•		41.3	100%	42.6	26.0	61%	16.6	39%		
2005			ed of Post-	41.3	100%	42.0	24.3	58%	17.7	42%		
2006	Disposition	n juveniles	S.	42.2	100%	42.8	24.4	57%	18.4	43%		
2007				41.0	100%	42.3	22.2	52%	20.2	48%		
2008				31.3	100%	33.0	17.5	53%	15.5	47%		
2009				18.3	100%	20.8	10.8	52%	10.1	48%		
2010				0.0	n/a	0.0	0.0	n/a	0.0	n/a		
2011				Shasta	County's Ca	mp closed July	2010.					
2012												
2013												
2014												
2015												
2016												
2017												
2018												
2019												

Figure 114.
Shasta ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 115.

Population of Shasta Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







SISKIYOU COUNTY

Table 91. Siskiyou Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2017

		Д	verage Daily	/ Population	n	One-Day Sr			napshot	
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	14.2	7.3	51%	6.9	49%	14.3	5.4	38%	8.8	62%
2003	17.4	7.8	45%	9.6	55%	17.3	10.3	60%	7.0	40%
2004	15.0	5.8	38%	9.3	62%	14.7	4.3	30%	10.3	70%
2005	13.8	5.8	42%	7.9	58%	13.1	3.8	29%	9.3	71%
2006	14.6	7.5	51%	7.1	49%	13.9	3.8	28%	10.1	72%
2007	15.4	9.5	62%	5.9	38%	14.8	5.4	37%	9.3	63%
2008	17.6	6.6	37%	11.0	63%	17.4	7.6	44%	9.8	56%
2009	13.8	6.3	45%	7.5	55%	11.3	4.8	42%	6.6	58%
2010	13.8	4.7	34%	9.2	66%	14.5	4.3	29%	10.3	71%
2011	14.3	6.8	48%	7.4	52%	14.9	5.5	37%	9.4	63%
2012	15.0	7.2	48%	7.8	52%	14.0	3.5	25%	10.5	75%
2013	8.6	4.3	50%	4.3	50%	7.9	2.9	37%	5.0	63%
2014	11.8	4.6	39%	7.3	61%	11.5	2.8	25%	8.7	75%
2015	11.7	4.2	36%	7.5	64%	12.3	1.6	13%	10.7	87%
2016	9.5	4.2	44%	5.3	56%	9.5	0.3	4%	9.2	96%
2017	7.9	4.2	53%	3.8	47%	8.0	1.1	14%	6.9	86%
	Sisl	kiyou Coul	nty converte	d their Juv	enile Hall to	a Special Purpo	se Juvenil	le Hall in Feb	ruary 2018	3 ¹

Note. ¹ Siskiyou County's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall (SPJH) in February 2018. An SPJH is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Facility-level data of provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Figure 117. Siskiyou Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2017




Figure 118.

Population of Siskiyou Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2017





Figure 119. Siskiyou Juvenile Hall Felony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2017



SOLANO COUNTY

Table 92. Solano Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	95.6	57.4	60%	38.2	40%	96.5	37.2	39%	59.3	61%	
2003	99.0	70.9	72%	28.1	28%	103.3	37.3	36%	66.0	64%	
2004	103.4	70.8	69%	32.6	32%	103.8	30.3	29%	73.5	71%	
2005	104.0	71.8	69%	32.2	31%	102.3	33.0	32%	69.3	68%	
2006	115.3	84.3	73%	31.0	27%	114.1	35.2	31%	78.9	69%	
2007	100.3	66.0	66%	34.3	34%	100.3	41.0	41%	59.3	59%	
2008	110.2	72.1	65%	38.1	35%	110.7	45.8	41%	64.9	59%	
2009	108.6	54.1	50%	54.5	50%	108.5	50.3	46%	58.2	54%	
2010	103.1	64.0	62%	39.1	38%	102.9	45.4	44%	57.5	56%	
2011	98.1	50.2	51%	47.9	49%	97.2	45.2	46%	52.0	54%	
2012	93.6	44.0	47%	49.6	53%	90.6	42.8	47%	47.8	53%	
2013	89.0	40.3	45%	48.7	55%	85.6	39.6	46%	46.0	54%	
2014	71.4	34.2	48%	37.2	52%	69.5	33.0	47%	36.5	53%	
2015	77.9	37.6	48%	40.3	52%	75.7	33.6	44%	42.1	56%	
2016	73.9	32.8	44%	41.1	56%	67.2	23.8	35%	43.4	65%	
2017	50.1	24.5	49%	25.6	51%	50.8	11.9	23%	38.9	77%	
2018	38.3	21.8	57%	16.5	43%	37.9	7.6	20%	30.3	80%	
2019	41.3	22.9	56%	18.4	45%	40.0	11.8	30%	28.2	70%	

Table 93. Solano Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_	Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	69.9	57.4	82%	12.5	18%	70.0	23.3	33%	46.7	67%	
2003	76.8	70.9	92%	5.9	8%	79.7	27.1	34%	52.6	66%	
2004	78.5	70.8	90%	7.7	10%	78.4	18.8	24%	59.6	76%	
2005	78.3	71.8	92%	6.5	8%	77.2	21.2	27%	56.0	73%	
2006	90.5	84.3	93%	6.2	7%	89.1	23.7	27%	65.4	73%	
2007	77.9	66.0	85%	11.9	15%	77.7	29.9	38%	47.8	62%	
2008	84.7	72.1	85%	12.6	15%	85.0	32.7	38%	52.3	62%	
2009	83.2	54.1	65%	29.1	35%	83.6	37.8	45%	45.8	55%	
2010	78.1	64.0	82%	14.1	18%	77.9	30.3	39%	47.6	61%	
2011	73.9	50.2	68%	23.7	32%	72.3	33.1	46%	39.2	54%	
2012	71.1	44.0	62%	27.1	38%	68.1	31.5	46%	36.6	54%	
2013	66.8	40.3	60%	26.5	40%	63.5	25.7	40%	37.8	60%	
2014	58.4	34.2	59%	24.2	41%	56.6	23.7	42%	32.9	58%	
2015	64.7	37.6	58%	27.1	42%	62.2	22.9	37%	39.3	63%	
2016	62.1	32.8	53%	29.3	47%	55.9	14.7	26%	41.2	74%	
2017	46.3	24.5	53%	21.8	47%	47.9	10.3	21%	37.6	79%	
2018	30.4	21.8	72%	8.6	28%	30.0	6.8	23%	23.2	77%	
2019	35.6	22.9	64%	12.7	36%	34.4	11.7	34%	22.7	66%	

Table 94. Solano Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Dail	y Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot			
	•	Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	25.7	100%	26.6	13.9	52%	12.7	48%
2003		e Post-Disposition illes, therefore their Total		22.2	100%	23.6	10.2	43%	13.4	57%
2004				25.0	100%	25.4	11.5	45%	13.9	55%
2005		ADP is only comprised of Post- Disposition juveniles.			100%	25.1	11.8	47%	13.3	53%
2006	Disposition				100%	25.0	11.5	46%	13.5	54%
2007					100%	22.5	11.1	49%	11.4	51%
2008				25.4	100%	25.9	13.2	51%	12.7	49%
2009				25.4	100%	24.8	12.5	50%	12.3	50%
2010				25.0	100%	25.1	15.2	60%	9.9	40%
2011				24.2	100%	24.9	12.1	48%	12.8	52%
2012				22.6	100%	22.6	11.3	50%	11.3	50%
2013				22.2	100%	22.1	13.9	63%	8.2	37%
2014				13.0	100%	12.9	9.3	72%	3.6	28%
2015				13.2	100%	13.5	10.7	79%	2.8	21%
2016					100%	11.4	9.1	80%	2.3	20%
2017					100%	3.0	1.7	56%	1.3	44%
2018				7.9	100%	8.0	0.8	10%	7.2	90%
2019				5.7	100%	5.7	0.2	3%	5.5	97%

Figure 120.
Solano ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 121.

Population of Solano Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 122. Solano Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



SONOMA COUNTY

Table 95. Sonoma Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_	Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	96.7	29.2	30%	67.5	70%	96.1	60.8	63%	35.3	37%
2003	104.1	31.5	30%	72.6	70%	105.3	56.8	54%	48.5	46%
2004	115.2	33.8	29%	81.4	71%	115.3	61.6	53%	53.7	47%
2005	112.0	38.4	34%	73.6	66%	115.3	59.6	52%	55.7	48%
2006	133.9	47.3	35%	86.6	65%	134.2	74.2	55%	60.0	45%
2007	134.0	43.7	33%	90.3	67%	135.7	63.6	47%	72.1	53%
2008	125.0	39.1	31%	85.9	69%	126.5	60.8	48%	65.7	52%
2009	106.8	41.0	38%	65.8	62%	107.4	48.6	45%	58.8	55%
2010	112.2	42.7	38%	69.5	62%	113.5	61.5	54%	52.0	46%
2011	109.4	36.2	33%	73.2	67%	109.6	61.1	56%	48.5	44%
2012	108.7	35.7	33%	73.0	67%	110.8	63.3	57%	47.5	43%
2013	79.1	26.4	33%	52.7	67%	78.8	44.0	56%	34.8	44%
2014	84.0	27.0	32%	57.0	68%	87.2	52.1	60%	35.1	40%
2015	76.4	24.4	32%	52.0	68%	76.8	44.3	58%	32.5	42%
2016	64.8	24.0	37%	40.8	63%	66.8	37.8	57%	29.0	43%
2017	57.2	23.2	40%	34.0	59%	57.5	27.5	48%	30.0	52%
2018	44.2	18.6	42%	25.6	58%	44.7	21.4	48%	23.3	52%
2019	53.8	23.2	43%	30.6	57%	54.2	28.0	52%	26.2	48%

Table 96. Sonoma Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP _	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	62.6	29.2	47%	33.4	53%	62.7	40.0	64%	22.7	36%
2003	68.3	31.5	46%	36.8	54%	69.2	37.2	54%	32.0	46%
2004	75.0	33.8	45%	41.2	55%	75.0	41.2	55%	33.8	45%
2005	75.9	38.4	51%	37.5	49%	79.1	39.3	50%	39.8	50%
2006	93.4	47.3	51%	46.1	49%	92.7	48.8	53%	43.9	47%
2007	97.4	43.7	45%	53.7	55%	98.2	44.9	46%	53.3	54%
2008	88.1	39.1	44%	49.0	56%	88.9	43.6	49%	45.3	51%
2009	81.1	41.0	51%	40.1	49%	79.7	35.8	45%	43.9	55%
2010	84.9	42.7	50%	42.2	50%	86.8	48.9	56%	37.9	44%
2011	81.9	36.2	44%	45.7	56%	82.0	46.8	57%	35.2	43%
2012	81.7	35.7	44%	46.0	56%	84.1	49.3	59%	34.8	41%
2013	63.4	26.4	42%	37.0	58%	62.8	37.4	60%	25.4	40%
2014	71.9	27.0	38%	44.9	63%	74.6	44.3	59%	30.3	41%
2015	64.5	24.4	38%	40.1	62%	65.0	38.0	58%	27.0	42%
2016	54.9	24.0	44%	30.9	56%	56.8	33.8	59%	23.0	41%
2017	44.4	23.2	52%	21.2	48%	44.9	21.6	48%	23.3	52%
2018	36.9	18.6	51%	18.3	50%	37.6	17.8	47%	19.8	53%
2019	39.5	23.2	59%	16.3	41%	42.0	21.5	51%	20.5	49%

Table 97. Sonoma Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Dail	y Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	isposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile C	:amps/Rar	nches only	34.1	100%	33.4	20.8	62%	12.6	38%
2003	house Pos	•	•	35.8	100%	36.1	19.6	54%	16.5	46%
2004	juveniles,			40.3	100%	40.3	20.4	51%	19.9	49%
2005			ed of Post-	36.0	100%	36.2	20.3	56%	15.9	44%
2006	Disposition	ition juveniles.		40.5	100%	41.4	25.3	61%	16.1	39%
2007				36.6	100%	37.5	18.7	50%	18.8	50%
2008				36.9	100%	37.5	17.2	46%	20.3	54%
2009				25.7	100%	27.6	12.8	46%	14.8	54%
2010				27.4	100%	26.7	12.6	47%	14.1	53%
2011				27.5	100%	27.6	14.3	52%	13.3	48%
2012				27.0	100%	26.8	14.0	52%	12.8	48%
2013				15.8	100%	15.9	6.6	41%	9.3	59%
2014				12.1	100%	12.6	7.8	62%	4.8	38%
2015				12.0	100%	11.8	6.3	54%	5.5	46%
2016				9.9	100%	10.1	4.1	40%	6.0	60%
2017				12.8	100%	12.6	5.9	47%	6.7	53%
2018				7.3	100%	7.2	3.7	51%	3.5	49%
2019				14.3	100%	12.2	6.5	53%	5.7	47%

Figure 123.
Sonoma ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 124.

Population of Sonoma Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 125.
Sonoma Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



STANISLAUS COUNTY

Table 98. Stanislaus Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	117.7	67.5	57%	50.2	43%	119.8	26.7	22%	93.1	78%
2003	106.0	55.6	52%	50.4	48%	105.4	20.8	20%	84.6	80%
2004	129.8	76.4	59%	53.4	41%	134.3	18.0	13%	116.3	87%
2005	140.1	71.8	51%	68.3	49%	136.1	18.7	14%	117.4	86%
2006	145.3	69.0	48%	76.3	52%	149.9	20.8	14%	129.1	86%
2007	144.5	80.8	56%	63.7	44%	145.2	13.9	10%	131.3	90%
2008	132.3	70.8	54%	61.5	47%	136.3	21.8	16%	114.5	84%
2009	147.7	83.0	56%	64.7	44%	147.3	18.6	13%	128.7	87%
2010	145.2	81.2	56%	64.0	44%	149.0	15.0	10%	134.0	90%
2011	133.7	66.3	50%	67.4	50%	132.7	13.0	10%	119.7	90%
2012	121.3	60.0	49%	61.3	51%	121.1	17.1	14%	104.0	86%
2013	104.1	45.1	43%	59.0	57%	109.4	22.4	20%	87.0	80%
2014	94.8	37.5	39%	57.3	60%	95.6	16.1	17%	79.5	83%
2015	91.6	64.2	70%	27.4	30%	93.1	23.2	25%	69.9	75%
2016	85.3	41.5	49%	43.8	51%	83.3	13.5	16%	69.8	84%
2017	68.6	35.6	52%	33.0	48%	68.2	14.1	21%	54.1	79%
2018	78.6	47.2	60%	31.4	40%	79.0	17.3	22%	61.7	78%
2019	81.2	42.8	53%	38.4	47%	79.5	17.0	21%	62.5	79%

Table 99. Stanislaus Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	117.7	67.5	57%	50.2	43%	119.8	26.7	22%	93.1	78%
2003	106.0	55.6	52%	50.4	48%	105.4	20.8	20%	84.6	80%
2004	129.8	76.4	59%	53.4	41%	134.3	18.0	13%	116.3	87%
2005	140.1	71.8	51%	68.3	49%	136.1	18.7	14%	117.4	86%
2006	145.3	69.0	48%	76.3	52%	149.9	20.8	14%	129.1	86%
2007	144.5	80.8	56%	63.7	44%	145.2	13.9	10%	131.3	90%
2008	132.3	70.8	54%	61.5	47%	136.3	21.8	16%	114.5	84%
2009	147.7	83.0	56%	64.7	44%	147.3	18.6	13%	128.7	87%
2010	145.2	81.2	56%	64.0	44%	149.0	15.0	10%	134.0	90%
2011	133.7	66.3	50%	67.4	50%	132.7	13.0	10%	119.7	90%
2012	121.3	60.0	49%	61.3	51%	121.1	17.1	14%	104.0	86%
2013	82.3	45.1	55%	37.2	45%	89.1	17.8	20%	71.3	80%
2014	62.1	37.5	60%	24.6	40%	62.2	10.3	17%	51.9	83%
2015	67.7	64.2	95%	3.5	5%	68.9	15.9	23%	53.0	77%
2016	57.7	41.5	72%	16.2	28%	56.6	8.8	16%	47.8	84%
2017	47.0	35.6	76%	11.4	24%	46.5	8.3	18%	38.2	82%
2018	57.6	47.2	82%	10.4	18%	58.0	11.0	19%	47.0	81%
2019	54.7	42.8	78%	11.9	22%	53.4	8.2	15%	45.2	85%

Table 100. Stanislaus Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2013² through 2019¹

			Average Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	position	Snapshot	Misder	meanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile (Camps/Rar	nches only							
2003		st-Dispositi								
2004		therefore t								
2005	•		ed of Post-							
2006	Dispositio	n juveniles								
2007										
2008										
2009										
2010										
2011										
2012					Stan	islaus County'	s Camp op	ened June 2	013.	
2013				37.3	100%	34.9	7.9	23%	27.0	77%
2014				32.7	100%	33.4	5.8	17%	27.6	83%
2015				23.9	100%	24.2	7.3	30%	16.9	70%
2016				27.6	100%	26.8	4.7	17%	22.1	83%
2017				21.6	100%	21.7	5.8	27%	15.9	73%
2018				20.9	100%	21.0	6.3	30%	14.7	70%
2019				26.6	100%	26.1	8.8	34%	17.3	66%

Figure 126.
Stanislaus ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 127.

Population of Stanislaus Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 128. Stanislaus Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



TEHAMA COUNTY

Table 101. Tehama Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	20.6	7.9	38%	12.7	61%	19.1	13.8	72%	5.3	28%
2003	29.7	8.0	27%	21.7	73%	30.8	23.1	75%	7.7	25%
2004	32.7	8.1	25%	24.6	75%	33.4	20.8	62%	12.6	38%
2005	30.2	5.3	18%	24.9	82%	30.0	21.5	72%	8.5	28%
2006	28.2	5.8	21%	22.4	79%	28.3	18.1	64%	10.2	36%
2007	32.4	5.8	18%	26.6	82%	32.2	22.3	69%	9.9	31%
2008	28.6	6.2	22%	22.4	78%	27.6	14.8	54%	12.8	46%
2009	18.5	4.1	22%	14.4	77%	17.3	11.9	69%	5.4	31%
2010	15.0	3.0	20%	12.0	80%	14.8	10.3	69%	4.5	31%
2011	18.6	4.5	24%	14.1	76%	18.3	9.5	52%	8.8	48%
2012	15.4	5.6	36%	9.8	63%	15.6	9.0	58%	6.6	42%
2013	14.7	6.7	45%	8.0	55%	15.3	6.2	40%	9.1	60%
2014	15.1	6.8	45%	8.3	54%	15.0	13.0	87%	2.0	13%
2015	16.9	7.9	47%	9.0	54%	11.9	9.4	79%	2.5	21%
2016	15.1	5.5	36%	9.6	64%	12.5	9.8	79%	2.7	21%
2017	15.1	6.8	45%	8.3	55%	16.0	10.7	67%	5.3	33%
2018	23.9	11.6	48%	12.3	51%	24.6	13.6	55%	11.0	45%
2019	27.8	13.5	49%	14.3	52%	28.8	11.8	41%	17.0	59%

Figure 129. *Tehama Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019* ¹






Figure 130.

Population of Tehama Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







TRINITY COUNTY

Table 102. Trinity Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2014 1

		А	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	11.3	3.4	30%	7.9	70%	11.5	5.7	50%	5.8	50%
2003	7.3	3.0	41%	4.3	59%	7.3	3.9	53%	3.4	47%
2004	7.9	1.9	24%	6.0	76%	8.0	6.3	79%	1.7	21%
2005	10.4	4.8	46%	5.6	54%	10.3	6.5	63%	3.8	37%
2006	13.5	6.0	44%	7.5	56%	13.7	9.5	70%	4.2	30%
2007	16.4	5.6	34%	10.8	66%	16.9	11.8	70%	5.1	30%
2008	14.8	4.7	32%	10.1	68%	13.6	9.2	67%	4.4	33%
2009	10.6	2.4	23%	8.2	77%	12.1	8.3	69%	3.8	31%
2010	13.4	3.5	26%	9.9	74%	12.8	7.4	58%	5.4	42%
2011	7.4	1.9	26%	5.5	74%	7.2	5.5	77%	1.7	23%
2012	9.1	3.0	33%	6.1	67%	8.9	6.3	71%	2.6	29%
2013	6.4	2.7	42%	3.7	58%	6.5	4.4	68%	2.1	32%
2014	3.1	1.1	34%	2.0	64%	3.0	1.2	39%	1.8	61%

Trinity County converted their Juvenile Hall to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in August 2014²

Note. ¹ 2014 data based on January - July. ² Trinity County's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall (SPJH) in August 2014. An SPJH is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Facility-level data of provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Table 103. Trinity Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2014 1

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	11.3	3.4	30%	7.9	70%	11.5	5.7	50%	5.8	50%
2003	7.3	3.0	41%	4.3	59%	7.3	3.9	53%	3.4	47%
2004	7.9	1.9	24%	6.0	76%	8.0	6.3	79%	1.7	21%
2005	10.4	4.8	46%	5.6	54%	10.3	6.5	63%	3.8	37%
2006	11.5	6.0	52%	5.5	48%	11.7	8.6	74%	3.1	26%
2007	10.4	5.6	54%	4.8	46%	10.8	7.3	68%	3.5	32%
2008	10.2	4.7	46%	5.5	54%	8.6	5.8	67%	2.8	33%
2009	5.6	2.4	43%	3.2	57%	6.7	4.6	69%	2.1	31%
2010	7.5	3.5	47%	4.0	53%	6.9	4.6	66%	2.3	34%
2011	3.2	1.9	59%	1.3	41%	2.8	2.0	73%	0.8	27%
2012	4.4	3.0	68%	1.4	32%	4.0	2.7	67%	1.3	33%
2013	3.0	2.7	89%	0.3	11%	3.1	2.3	76%	0.8	24%
2014	2.2	1.9	87%	0.3	13%	2.1	1.1	53%	1.0	47%
	T	rinity Cour	nty converted	their live	onila Hall to a	Special Purpo	sa luvanil	le Hall in Aug	ust 2011 ²	•

Trinity County converted their Juvenile Hall to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in August 2014

Note. ¹ 2014 data based on January - July. ² Trinity County's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall (SPJH) in August 2014. An SPJH is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Facility-level data of provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Table 104. Trinity Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2006 through 20142

			Average Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot			
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP		
2002	Juvenile (Camps/Rar	nches only									
2003		st-Dispositi	•									
2004		therefore t										
2005			ed of Post-		Trinity County	/'s Camp opene	ed Septen	nber 2006.				
2006		n juveniles		6.0	100%	6.0	2.8	46%	3.3	54%		
2007				6.0	200%	6.0	4.4	74%	1.6	26%		
2008				4.6	300%	4.9	3.3	68%	1.6	32%		
2009				5.0	400%	5.4	3.8	69%	1.7	31%		
2010				5.9	500%	5.9	2.8	48%	3.1	52%		
2011						4.2	600%	4.4	3.5	79%	0.9	21%
2012				4.7	700%	4.9	3.7	75%	1.3	25%		
2013				3.3	800%	3.4	2.1	61%	1.3	39%		
2014				3.2	900%	3.0	0.9	29%	2.1	71%		
2015					Trinity Cour	ity's Camp clos	ed Augus	t 2014.				
2016												
2017												
2018												
2019												

Note. ¹ 2006 Average Daily Population based on September - December. ² 2014 data based on January - July. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Figure 132.

Trinity ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2014




Figure 133.

Population of Trinity Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2014





Figure 134.



TULARE COUNTY

Table 105. Tulare Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	205.5	93.9	46%	111.6	54%	200.3	96.2	48%	104.1	52%
2003	178.7	101.6	57%	77.1	43%	179.5	95.4	53%	84.1	47%
2004	182.7	107.3	59%	75.4	41%	180.4	94.0	52%	86.4	48%
2005	190.1	82.3	43%	107.8	57%	189.0	112.3	59%	76.7	41%
2006	212.0	77.7	37%	134.3	63%	197.2	112.3	57%	84.9	43%
2007	183.8	82.9	45%	100.9	55%	184.7	93.9	51%	90.8	49%
2008	170.8	82.0	48%	88.8	52%	175.8	80.8	46%	95.0	54%
2009	166.1	78.8	47%	87.3	53%	168.6	66.8	40%	101.8	60%
2010	182.1	60.6	33%	121.5	67%	184.7	54.1	29%	130.6	71%
2011	179.7	57.4	32%	122.3	68%	194.6	47.4	24%	147.2	76%
2012	154.4	45.5	29%	108.9	71%	164.7	36.9	22%	127.8	78%
2013	164.4	47.6	29%	116.8	71%	174.6	40.8	23%	133.8	77%
2014	147.1	45.8	31%	101.3	69%	149.9	29.3	20%	120.6	80%
2015	157.1	46.8	30%	110.3	70%	153.6	41.3	27%	112.3	73%
2016	127.3	51.1	40%	76.2	60%	131.7	39.0	30%	92.7	70%
2017	123.7	47.0	38%	76.7	62%	123.6	38.4	31%	85.2	69%
2018	97.3	35.8	37%	61.5	63%	93.6	18.8	20%	74.8	80%
2019	73.8	29.2	39%	44.6	60%	71.8	17.0	24%	54.8	76%

Table 106. Tulare Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	125.0	93.9	75%	31.1	25%	122.9	66.2	54%	56.7	46%
2003	126.2	101.6	80%	24.6	19%	126.9	66.5	52%	60.4	48%
2004	124.7	107.3	86%	17.4	14%	123.0	62.3	51%	60.7	49%
2005	123.7	82.3	67%	41.4	33%	123.3	69.1	56%	54.2	44%
2006	112.1	77.7	69%	34.4	31%	90.1	47.5	53%	42.6	47%
2007	98.6	82.9	84%	15.7	16%	94.9	40.4	43%	54.5	57%
2008	88.5	82.0	93%	6.5	7%	91.1	33.8	37%	57.3	63%
2009	88.3	78.8	89%	9.5	11%	89.5	29.7	33%	59.8	67%
2010	83.3	60.6	73%	22.7	27%	84.3	16.0	19%	68.3	81%
2011	75.7	57.4	76%	18.3	24%	85.1	17.8	21%	67.3	79%
2012	65.1	45.5	70%	19.6	30%	72.5	16.5	23%	56.0	77%
2013	65.0	47.6	73%	17.4	27%	75.7	18.0	24%	57.7	76%
2014	58.8	45.8	78%	13.0	22%	60.2	13.6	23%	46.6	77%
2015	68.4	46.8	68%	21.6	32%	68.2	20.7	30%	47.5	70%
2016	60.9	51.1	84%	9.8	16%	64.4	19.5	30%	44.9	70%
2017	58.0	47.0	81%	11.0	19%	56.0	15.8	28%	40.2	72%
2018	40.1	35.8	89%	4.3	11%	38.1	8.3	22%	29.8	78%
2019	32.6	29.2	90%	3.4	10%	30.0	7.0	23%	23.0	77%

Table 107. Tulare Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Dail	y Populatio	n	<u> </u>	One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	80.4	100%	77.4	30.0	39%	47.4	61%
2003		enile Camps/Ranches only se Post-Disposition niles, therefore their Total of sonly comprised of Post-		52.5	100%	52.6	28.9	55%	23.7	45%
2004				58.0	100%	57.6	31.8	55%	25.8	45%
2005				66.4	100%	65.8	43.3	66%	22.5	34%
2006	Disposition	Disposition juveniles.			100%	107.1	64.8	60%	42.3	40%
2007					100%	89.8	53.5	60%	36.3	40%
2008				82.4	100%	84.9	47.1	56%	37.8	44%
2009				77.7	100%	79.1	37.1	47%	42.0	53%
2010				98.8	100%	100.4	38.1	38%	62.3	62%
2011				104.0	100%	109.5	29.6	27%	79.9	73%
2012				89.3	100%	92.2	20.4	22%	71.8	78%
2013				99.4	100%	99.0	22.8	23%	76.2	77%
2014				88.4	100%	89.8	15.8	18%	74.0	82%
2015					100%	85.4	20.6	24%	64.8	76%
2016					100%	67.3	19.5	29%	47.8	71%
2017					100%	67.7	22.7	33%	45.0	67%
2018				57.3	100%	55.4	10.4	19%	45.0	81%
2019				41.2	100%	41.8	10.0	24%	31.8	76%

Figure 135.

Tulare ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 136.

Population of Tulare Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 137.
Tulare Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



TUOLUMNE COUNTY

Table 108. Tuolumne Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2017² through 2019¹

			Average Dail	y Populati	on	_	One-Day Snapshot				
	•	Pre-Disposition		Post-Di	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002											
2003											
2004											
2005											
2006											
2007											
2008											
2009											
2010											
2011											
2012											
2013											
2014											
2015											
2016			Τι	iolumne C	ounty's Juver	nile Hall opene	d April 201	17.			
2017	5.0	2.6	52%	2.4	47%	5.9	3.6	60%	2.3	3 40%	
2018	8.0	6.5	81%	1.5	19%	8.7	8.2	94%	0.5	5 6%	
2019	9.4	3.7	40%	5.7	61%	9.2	3.2	2 35%	6.0	65%	

Figure 138.

Tuolumne Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019 ¹






Figure 139.

Population of Tuolumne Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1







VENTURA COUNTY

Table 109. Ventura Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Average Daily Population				_	One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	173.2	45.7	26%	127.5	73%	175.7	68.9	39%	106.8	61%
2003	170.6	37.5	22%	133.1	78%	169.4	73.1	43%	96.3	57%
2004	171.1	40.5	24%	130.6	76%	172.7	93.4	54%	79.3	46%
2005	187.7	43.6	23%	144.1	77%	189.0	98.2	52%	90.8	48%
2006	191.2	40.5	21%	150.7	79%	193.2	105.9	55%	87.3	45%
2007	183.0	56.5	31%	126.5	69%	180.8	79.8	44%	101.0	56%
2008	202.9	67.1	33%	135.8	67%	202.7	53.7	26%	149.0	74%
2009	186.8	63.3	34%	123.5	66%	186.3	54.4	29%	131.9	71%
2010	164.6	53.2	32%	111.4	68%	166.0	47.6	29%	118.4	71%
2011	155.3	53.0	34%	102.3	66%	152.4	36.4	24%	116.0	76%
2012	117.5	43.2	37%	74.3	63%	118.2	34.6	29%	83.6	71%
2013	102.3	41.4	40%	60.9	60%	104.4	26.6	25%	77.8	75%
2014	91.5	35.1	38%	56.4	62%	82.6	38.2	46%	44.4	54%
2015	88.8	32.4	36%	56.4	64%	88.4	41.3	47%	47.1	53%
2016	81.9	29.5	36%	52.4	64%	80.4	35.1	44%	45.3	56%
2017	94.8	36.8	39%	58.0	61%	97.2	44.8	46%	52.4	54%
2018	81.1	38.6	48%	42.5	52%	82.5	35.2	43%	47.3	57%
2019	74.0	35.2	47%	38.8	52%	75.0	30.0	40%	45.0	60%

Table 110. Ventura Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	94.2	45.7	48%	48.5	51%	96.0	26.8	28%	69.2	72%
2003	86.8	37.5	43%	49.3	57%	85.6	24.7	29%	60.9	71%
2004	70.1	40.5	58%	29.6	42%	71.3	24.0	34%	47.3	66%
2005	72.3	43.6	60%	28.7	40%	73.5	30.0	41%	43.5	59%
2006	68.3	40.5	59%	27.8	41%	70.4	33.0	47%	37.4	53%
2007	77.7	56.5	73%	21.2	27%	75.8	29.8	39%	46.0	61%
2008	88.9	67.1	75%	21.8	25%	89.9	32.3	36%	57.6	64%
2009	94.2	63.3	67%	30.9	33%	93.6	41.3	44%	52.3	56%
2010	77.7	53.2	68%	24.5	32%	79.1	34.3	43%	44.8	57%
2011	68.2	53.0	78%	15.2	22%	65.4	18.1	28%	47.3	72%
2012	48.5	43.2	89%	5.3	11%	49.3	15.2	31%	34.1	69%
2013	50.6	41.4	82%	9.2	18%	52.4	13.7	26%	38.7	74%
2014	41.4	35.1	85%	6.3	15%	35.6	12.3	35%	23.3	65%
2015	38.9	32.4	83%	6.5	17%	38.3	12.2	32%	26.1	68%
2016	36.4	29.5	81%	6.9	19%	34.5	11.7	34%	22.8	66%
2017	45.0	36.8	82%	8.2	18%	44.2	15.4	35%	28.8	65%
2018	43.8	38.6	88%	5.2	12%	45.6	12.3	27%	33.3	73%
2019	42.7	35.2	82%	7.5	18%	43.1	12.3	29%	30.8	71%

Table 111. Ventura Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Dail	y Populatio	n	<u> </u>	One-Day Snapshot				
	•	Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	79.0	100%	79.7	42.1	53%	37.6	47%	
2003	house Pos			83.8	100%	83.8	48.4	58%	35.4	42%	
2004	juveniles,			100.9	100%	101.4	69.4	68%	32.0	32%	
2005		is only comprised of Post-			100%	115.5	68.2	59%	47.3	41%	
2006	Disposition	n juveniles	i.	122.8	100%	122.7	72.9	59%	49.8	41%	
2007					100%	105.0	50.0	48%	55.0	52%	
2008				114.0	100%	112.7	21.3	19%	91.4	81%	
2009				92.7	100%	92.8	13.2	14%	79.6	86%	
2010				86.8	100%	86.9	13.3	15%	73.6	85%	
2011				87.1	100%	87.0	18.3	21%	68.7	79%	
2012				68.9	100%	68.9	19.4	28%	49.5	72%	
2013				51.8	100%	52.0	12.9	25%	39.1	75%	
2014				50.1	100%	47.0	25.8	55%	21.2	45%	
2015				49.9	100%	50.1	29.1	58%	21.0	42%	
2016					100%	46.0	23.4	51%	22.6	49%	
2017					100%	53.0	29.4	56%	23.6	44%	
2018				37.3	100%	36.9	22.9	62%	14.0	38%	
2019				31.2	100%	31.9	17.7	55%	14.2	45%	

Figure 141.

Ventura ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 142.

Population of Ventura Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 143.
Ventura Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 ¹



YOLO COUNTY

Table 112. Yolo Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_	А	verage Daily	Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Dis	position	n Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	42.6	29.1	68%	13.5	32%	40.4	4.7	12%	35.7	88%
2003	38.9	27.2	70%	11.7	30%	38.0	4.3	11%	33.7	89%
2004	37.0	24.7	67%	12.3	33%	34.2	1.8	5%	32.4	95%
2005	35.3	19.9	56%	15.4	44%	35.2	0.7	2%	34.5	98%
2006	43.1	22.3	52%	20.8	48%	34.2	4.9	14%	29.3	86%
2007	67.2	22.4	33%	44.8	67%	27.0	5.2	19%	21.8	81%
2008	74.5	18.3	25%	56.2	75%	16.7	2.9	17%	13.8	83%
2009	73.5	14.9	20%	58.6	80%	13.5	1.7	12%	11.8	88%
2010	64.4	14.8	23%	49.6	77%	21.7	0.9	4%	20.8	96%
2011	43.3	10.0	23%	33.3	77%	14.6	0.3	2%	14.3	98%
2012	29.1	7.1	24%	22.0	75%	12.2	0.9	8%	11.3	92%
2013	25.6	14.2	55%	11.4	44%	20.8	0.7	3%	20.1	97%
2014	22.5	11.6	51%	10.9	48%	25.3	0.3	1%	25.0	99%
2015	33.7	14.7	44%	19.0	57%	28.5	6.7	23%	21.8	77%
2016	40.7	15.0	37%	25.7	63%	22.3	2.5	11%	19.8	89%
2017	28.5	7.6	27%	20.9	73%	9.5	0.8	8%	8.7	92%
2018	22.0	3.7	17%	18.3	84%	9.3	1.2	13%	8.1	87%
2019	13.7	2.0	15%	11.7	86%	2.3	0.3	14%	2.0	86%

Figure 144. Yolo Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019 ¹




Figure 145.

Population of Yolo Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 146.

Volo Juvenile Hall Folony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 three



YUBA COUNTY

Table 113. Yuba Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Average Daily Population				_	One-Day Snapshot				
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	61.4	24.4	40%	37.0	60%	60.6	27.8	46%	32.8	54%	
2003	60.9	25.9	43%	35.0	58%	60.4	19.5	32%	40.9	68%	
2004	55.9	23.1	41%	32.8	59%	55.9	17.8	32%	38.1	68%	
2005	55.0	21.6	39%	33.4	61%	57.6	19.8	34%	37.8	66%	
2006	46.7	17.6	38%	29.1	62%	48.2	15.6	32%	32.6	68%	
2007	47.7	17.4	36%	30.3	64%	48.4	15.8	33%	32.6	67%	
2008	61.6	24.8	40%	36.8	60%	63.8	22.8	36%	41.0	64%	
2009	53.8	20.6	38%	33.2	62%	54.9	15.3	28%	39.6	72%	
2010	48.5	16.8	35%	31.7	65%	49.7	15.8	32%	33.9	68%	
2011	49.5	15.2	31%	34.3	69%	49.9	21.6	43%	28.3	57%	
2012	45.1	15.0	33%	30.1	67%	44.4	20.3	46%	24.1	54%	
2013	53.3	18.3	35%	35.0	66%	53.9	24.1	45%	29.8	55%	
2014	49.7	16.1	32%	33.6	67%	48.9	21.4	44%	27.5	56%	
2015	55.9	24.5	44%	31.4	56%	55.6	20.4	37%	35.2	63%	
2016	40.8	17.7	44%	23.1	57%	41.1	12.7	31%	28.4	69%	
2017	41.6	18.2	44%	23.4	56%	41.2	12.8	31%	28.4	69%	
2018	45.0	15.6	35%	29.4	65%	44.4	9.3	21%	35.1	79%	
2019	29.4	10.2	35%	19.2	66%	30.0	8.5	28%	21.5	72%	

Table 114. Yuba² Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_	Α	verage Daily	y Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	45.7	24.4	53%	21.3	47%	44.9	19.7	44%	25.2	56%	
2003	45.2	25.9	57%	19.3	43%	44.1	14.8	34%	29.3	66%	
2004	37.3	23.1	62%	14.2	38%	36.9	10.1	27%	26.8	73%	
2005	35.2	21.6	61%	13.6	39%	36.7	11.4	31%	25.3	69%	
2006	28.5	17.6	62%	10.9	38%	29.6	10.8	36%	18.8	64%	
2007	29.0	17.4	60%	11.6	40%	29.2	10.3	35%	18.9	65%	
2008	38.7	24.8	64%	13.9	36%	39.7	13.9	35%	25.8	65%	
2009	34.4	20.6	60%	13.8	40%	34.7	9.6	28%	25.1	72%	
2010	29.4	16.8	57%	12.6	43%	30.5	8.8	29%	21.7	71%	
2011	24.8	15.2	61%	9.6	39%	25.6	11.0	43%	14.6	57%	
2012	24.8	15.0	60%	9.8	39%	24.1	10.8	45%	13.3	55%	
2013	29.9	18.3	61%	11.6	39%	30.4	13.0	43%	17.4	57%	
2014	26.1	16.1	62%	10.0	38%	25.5	13.3	52%	12.2	48%	
2015	32.3	24.5	76%	7.8	24%	31.8	13.2	41%	18.6	59%	
2016	23.1	17.7	76%	5.4	23%	23.1	7.7	33%	15.4	67%	
2017	24.0	18.2	76%	5.8	24%	24.0	7.9	33%	16.1	67%	
2018	22.7	15.6	69%	7.1	31%	22.3	5.0	22%	17.3	78%	
2019	17.3	10.2	60%	7.1	41%	17.7	5.7	32%	12.0	68%	

Table 115. Yuba Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		•	Average Daily	/ Population	n		One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	Juvenile C	:amps/Rar	nches only	15.7	100%	15.9	8.2	52%	7.7	48%	
2003		uvenile Camps/Ranches only ouse Post-Disposition uveniles, therefore their Total DP is only comprised of Post-			100%	16.5	4.8	29%	11.7	71%	
2004					100%	19.1	7.8	41%	11.3	59%	
2005					100%	20.9	8.3	40%	12.6	60%	
2006	Dispositio	n juveniles	5.	18.2	100%	18.6	4.8	26%	13.8	74%	
2007				18.7	100%	19.2	5.5	29%	13.7	71%	
2008				22.9	100%	24.1	8.8	37%	15.3	63%	
2009				19.4	100%	20.2	5.7	28%	14.5	72%	
2010				19.1	100%	19.3	7.0	36%	12.3	64%	
2011				24.7	100%	24.3	10.6	44%	13.7	56%	
2012				20.3	100%	20.3	9.5	47%	10.8	53%	
2013				23.4	100%	23.5	11.1	47%	12.4	53%	
2014				23.6	100%	23.5	8.2	35%	15.3	65%	
2015				23.6	100%	23.9	7.3	30%	16.6	70%	
2016				17.7	100%	18.0	5.0	28%	13.0	72%	
2017					100%	17.1	4.8	28%	12.3	72%	
2018				22.3	100%	22.1	4.3	19%	17.8	81%	
2019				12.1	100%	12.3	2.8	23%	9.5	77%	

Figure 147.
Yuba ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 148.

Population of Yuba Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 149.

