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To the Citizens of San Bernardino County:

On April 4, 2011, Governor Brown signed into law AB 109, the Public Safety Realignment Act. Provisions of this bill became effective on October 1, 2011, and “realigned” numerous law and justice responsibilities from the state to the counties.

The bill also expanded the responsibilities of the county’s Community Corrections Partnership (CCP). The CCP is comprised of several County departments and inter-county agencies. This partnership became responsible for the implementation of AB 109 in San Bernardino County.

As the Chairperson of the Community Corrections Partnership, I thank you for your interest in our realignment efforts and for taking the time to read this report. It details both the challenges and the successes of our response to realignment.

AB 109 represented the most significant change to the California Criminal Justice system in a generation. Fortunately, San Bernardino County was in a good position to face the adversities brought on by realignment.

The CCP devised and enacted a plan to respond to AB 109 by the date it became effective. This report details the facets of that plan and charts our future direction.

Two and one half years following the enactment of AB 109, we can begin to draw some conclusions regarding the impact of realignment and our efforts. At this time, AB 109 continues to present unique challenges, but we have surpassed our expectations and exceeded the goals initially set in 2011.

Ultimately, our objective is to provide the highest level of public safety to the citizens of San Bernardino County, while deterring recidivism and promoting effective programs that provide permanent rehabilitation solutions for offenders who re-enter our communities.

Sincerely,

Michelle Scray Brown
Chief Probation Officer
Chair, Community Corrections Partnership
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SUMMARY OF AB 109

On April 4, 2011, Governor Edmund G. Brown signed Assembly Bill 109 (AB 109), The Public Safety Realignment Act, which created the most significant changes to the California correctional system and criminal sentencing guidelines in a generation.

This law, which went into effect on October 1, 2011, essentially transferred responsibility for the housing and supervision of state prison inmate and parolee populations who were classified as low-level offenders from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) to the counties.

Low level offenders were those individuals determined to have committed non-serious, non-violent, and/or non-sex related crimes. AB 109 also included a significant shift of responsibility for monitoring, tracking, and managing felons from the CDCR Division of Adult Parole Operations (DAPO) to county-level probation departments.

This shift, or realignment of correctional responsibilities, was proposed by the state and driven by two primary factors:

(1) The state needed to reduce its prison population.

(2) The state acknowledged that management of this population would be more effective if individual counties were allowed the opportunity to develop programs based on specific local resources and dynamics.

The bill also extended the responsibilities of the previously established local Community Corrections Partnerships, or CCPs. Each county’s CCP is comprised of several county departments and inter-county agencies. The CCP Executive Committee, which is chaired by the Chief Probation Officer, is responsible for overseeing the implementation of AB 109 in each county.

Key Provisions of the Public Safety Realignment

The genesis of AB 109 was a mandate that the state’s thirty three (33) prisons reduce the number of inmates to 137.5% percent of intended capacity by May 24, 2013, as ordered by the United States Supreme Court.
The focus of AB 109 has been on two “types” of offenders:

1) Certain California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) parolees, who were classified as “low-level” offenders, or Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS) offenders.

2) Offenders who have been sentenced after the effective date of Realignment following conviction of a qualifying “low-level” offense. They are titled Mandatory Supervision or PC 1170 offenders if they receive a supervision tail upon release. (PC 1170 refers to the altered penal code section under which they are now sentenced.)

AB 109 defined “low-level” offenses as those that were non-serious, non-violent, and non-sex related. This criteria colloquially became known as a “triple-non” offense. It is important to note that AB 109 establishes such categorization based only upon the most recent offense. As such, an offender with past serious, violent or sex related convictions, whose most recent conviction does not meet this standard, is eligible for release or subject to sentencing under the realignment law.

**PRCS Offenders Defined**

Persons who were convicted of a triple non offense, sentenced to state prison, and remained incarcerated as of the effective date of the act, October 1, 2011, were eligible for parole back to the community as PRCS offenders. Prior to the passage of AB 109, all imprisoned offenders released to the community were supervised by CDCR Parole Agents under their Division of Adult Parole Operations (DAPO). Following the passage of Realignment, only offenders with serious, violent, or sex related offenses were paroled to the supervision of DAPO. The remainder of offenders, all categorized as PRCS, were released to the jurisdiction of probation departments throughout the state.

Realignment dictated that PRCS offenders be released for supervision to the local county where they were originally sentenced. Additionally, the Penal Code has been modified to prevent PRCS offenders from being sent to state prison for violation of their terms and conditions of parole/probation. The legislation also required that best practices be utilized for treatment and rehabilitation.
It is important to note that Realignment did not result in the early release of any PRCS offender. These offenders were released to the supervision of probation departments within the normal timeframe in which they otherwise would have been paroled.

No inmates who were in prison as of the effective date of AB 109 were transferred to county jail facilities.

AB 109 also created a provision for the adjudication of parole violations at the local level, rather than returning these offenders to the prison system.

The parole revocation process for PRCS offenders was continued under the Board of Parole Hearings until July 13, 2013. After that time, hearings began to be held in a specialized local PRCS court. However, all parole revocations were and continue to be served in county jails.

**Mandatory Supervision Offenders Defined**

The legislature recognized that AB 109 would realign current state prison inmates to local authorities. However, realignment also had to target future sentencing to prevent the prison population from returning to unacceptable numbers.

Therefore, AB 109 also changed the law, sentencing guidelines in particular, to realign certain other responsibilities for the less serious offender from state jurisdiction to local jurisdictions.

Under the law, any offender who was convicted of a triple-non offense after October 1, 2011 could not be sentenced to a term of imprisonment that included housing in the state prison system. Rather, the Realignment Act provided that these offenders were to be housed in local jail/correctional facilities. This became known as Jail/Prison, to differentiate from other pre or post sentence offenders being housed in local jails.

**Mandatory Supervision Includes Either Straight or Split Sentencing**

When sentenced after October 1, 2011 following conviction of a qualifying triple non offense, an offender could be sentenced under two schemes at the discretion of the court.

In the first, called "Straight Sentencing," an offender is ordered to serve his entire term of imprisonment in a local jail facility. In the second scheme, referred to as "Split Sentencing," the
offender could be ordered to serve a modified, lesser period of incarceration in the local jail, followed by a period of supervision by the Probation Department. This second type of supervised offender is entitled a “Mandatory Supervision” offender; or a PC 1170 Offender, in reference to the penal code section outlining the sentencing scheme.

It should be noted that AB 109 established no sentencing guidelines to dictate whether an offender should be ordered to serve straight time or given a split sentence.

To house this new classification of offender in local jails without disruption to jail operations or release of those normally held in the jail population, local custody and supervision efforts were expanded to include:

- Alternative custody options for county jails.
- Home detention for low-level offenders.
- Local jail credits that mirror prison (day-for-day).

Revocation Process

- PRCS offenders who were arrested for violations of the terms of their release return to a specialized PRCS court. They can be returned to local custody for a period of time not to exceed 180 days. A return to state prison for revocations is prohibited.

- AB 109 offenders can be subjected to a “flash incarceration,” which provides for a period of detention in local custody up to ten days, for the immediate correction of conduct that does not necessarily warrant a full revocation petition. Flash incarcerations do not require the filing of a petition with the court or a warrant.

Additional provisions of Public Safety Realignment provide that:

- PRCS offenders can be subjected to a period of supervision not to exceed three (3) years. However, the law requires they be discharged from supervision at one (1) year if there have been no in custody sanctions or revocations. Following a custodial sanction or revocation, the duration of their supervision window starts over and may be extended up to the three (3) years maximum exposure.

- The supervision period for Mandatory Supervision Offenders is the length of time the penal code would allow for incarceration under determinate sentencing, to include both the custody time and supervision time of split sentencing. Revocations may not exceed the total exposure of time spent under supervision.
• AB 109 also provided that counties may “contract back” with the state to send local offenders to state prison as an incarceration option. However, the cost was extremely high and would have exceeded available funding within the first year. Contracting back did not extend to parole revocations. San Bernardino County never utilized Contracting Back as it was cost prohibitive.

The continued role of CDCR and DAPO

The State of California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation continues to have jurisdiction over offenders placed on state parole prior to the October 1, 2011 implementation date. As noted, local jurisdictions began supervising the non-violent, non-serious and non-sex offenders that were released from prison after October 1, 2011.

Inmates released after serving a life term (i.e., murderers, violent sex offenders and third strikers) have been returned to state prison on revocations if ordered by the Board of Prisons. State Parole continues to supervise the following offenders:

• “Third Strikers” - individuals who were committed to state prison and whose third strike was for a non-violent offense. They remained under the supervision of State Parole.

• Offenders convicted of a serious or violent felony as described in Penal Codes 1192.7(c) or 667.5(c).

• High risk sex offenders as defined by CDCR.

• Offenders classified as Mentally Disordered Offenders (MDO).

• Offenders on parole prior to October 1, 2011.

For the remaining low-level offenders on parole after implementation of realignment, parole had the authority to discharge the parolee if no violations had occurred.

Felons convicted of serious, violent or sexual crimes against children, have continued to be sentenced to prisons and required to register as a sex offender pursuant to Penal Code 290.

Furthermore, other specified crimes [contained in approximately sixty (60) additional exclusions from the “low-level” definition] still require a state prison commitment and housing in the prison system.
Local Planning Process

The Public Safety Realignment Act expanded the role and purpose of the Community Corrections Partnership (CCP), which was previously established in Penal Code 1230. It required the CCP to develop and recommend to their County Board of Supervisors implementation plans for the 2011 Public Safety Realignment and to create an Executive Committee from the CCP members comprised of the following:

- Chief Probation Officer (Chairperson)
- Chief of Police
- Sheriff
- District Attorney
- Public Defender
- Presiding Judge of the Superior Court
- A representative from either the County Department of Social Services, Mental Health, or Alcohol and Substance Abuse Programs, as appointed by the County Board of Supervisors. (In San Bernardino County, the Assistant Executive Officer of Human Services is on the Executive Committee.)
The San Bernardino County Probation Department

Probation’s Response to Public Safety Realignment

The San Bernardino County Probation Department rapidly formulated a response to AB 109. The department recognized the significant shift in responsibilities from the state to local level that were mandated by AB 109, but also understood that much of the realignment burden would fall upon the probation department.

The long standing goal of the San Bernardino County Probation Department has been the protection of the community through a balanced application of supervision or suppression activities that prevent re-offense, and social service and correctional interventions offered through evidence-based programming.

Supervision activities may consist of intensive field contact of offenders by probation officers, property searches, drug tests, attendance checks at mandated counseling or other contact that encourage at-risk offenders to comply with their terms through accountability and the threat of sanction.

Suppression activity may include strict enforcement of terms and conditions of probation, warrant service, arrests or surveillance activity aimed at stopping known recidivist behavior before it impacts public safety.

Intervention includes the delivery programming from a rich athenaeum of social services, including educational, vocational, or cognitive behavior change programming; substance abuse programs, or other intervention services that build the skills offenders need to re-socialize and survive. All probation programs must meet Evidenced Based standards.

By utilizing the paradigms and best past practices of the department, it was possible to propose a comprehensive plan to address the realignment of these new offenders. Some of these best past practices included:
SB 678

The department has continued to utilize Evidence Based Practices implemented for the SB 678, "Recidivism Reduction Program." The goal of this program is to reduce prison incarceration and recidivism by instructing felony adult offenders in evidence-based programming (i.e., motivational intervention, cognitive restructuring, and etcetera). SB 678 was in effect for several years before AB 109, and used to specifically target adult offenders who were in violation of probation, or at substantial risk of being in violation when a revocation of probation would have resulted in a state prison commitment. Although SB 678 was a small program with a limited population of offenders, it was instrumental in reducing revocations and commitments to prison with program participants. The probation department was determined to expand the principles of the SB 678 program for the PRCS population.

Juvenile Day Reporting Centers

For four years preceding the passage of AB 109, the San Bernardino County Probation Department successfully utilized a Day Reporting Center (DRC) concept for juvenile offenders in San Bernardino County. The probation department incorporated the nationally recognized Evidence-Based National Curriculum Training Institute (NCTI) into three Juvenile Day Reporting Centers established throughout the county. NCTI includes effective interventions, validated tools and instruments, motivational interviewing techniques and Cognitive Behavior Therapy (CBT) interventions on a targeted population of juvenile offenders and at risk minors. The San Bernardino County Probation Department expanded and modeled these types of Evidence-Based supervision practices for the adult PRCS population.

Probation Officer Intervention

At the time AB 109 was passed, the San Bernardino County Probation Department supervised approximately nineteen thousand (19,000) adult offenders. Probation Officers are trained to have a broad knowledge of the criminal justice system, their roles, relationships, and responsibilities to the courts, community, and the offenders under their supervision. The department has a close working relationship with local law enforcement agencies and community organizations that provide a variety of services to adult and juvenile offenders. Currently, 72% of Probation Officers are armed and are trained in a continuum of use of force, arrest techniques,
searches and seizure, and legal requirements. In addition, these officers are trained in various types of treatment services including motivational interviewing and cognitive restructuring.

AB 109 Operations

Day Reporting Centers

The Probation Department opened three Adult Day Reporting and Re-Entry Service Centers in San Bernardino, Victorville and the West End. These DRCs are the backbone of all services provided to the AB 109 offender population. They consist of a “one-stop shop” for offenders, where they can meet with a probation officer or find all the resources necessary for rehabilitation.

Upon release from State Prison, offenders are directed by CDCR to report to the nearest DRC within 72 hours of release. Currently, there is an 89% reporting rate for these released offenders. This success rate is attributed to the immediacy of having to report upon release, rather than waiting in the community for an officer to contact the offender.

These PRCS offenders meet with a probation officer and in most cases receive an orientation and assessment on their first visit. All PRCS offenders, irrespective of their assessed risk level, are placed on a heightened level of supervision until stabilized on caseload and verified to be in compliance. Those offenders that are to be assigned to specialized supervision units, such as the Gang Unit, Domestic Violence, or Sex Offender Unit are referred to those units in area probation offices for an orientation.

Offenders who complete orientation and assessment services at the DRCs are administered a COMPAS assessment to evaluate their risk to the community and adjust the level of supervision they will receive. They may also be referred to any of the services provided by other agencies at the DRCs.

Any offender can then be directed into an extensive array of rehabilitative programs at the DRCs. While the Probation Department is the lead agency in managing the DRCs, they are truly collocated sites, offering special programs and services from numerous agencies.
The DRCs are centers of operation for the Probation Department's Homeless Coordinator. One officer is assigned to the Victorville DRC, and an additional officer is assigned to coordinate services from both the West End and Central DRCs. The probation department also participates in the Homeless Youth Task Force and Interagency Council on Homelessness, however neither are based at the DRCs.

Unique services are also provided through the Day Reporting Centers. Transportation assistance is made available through bus passes to enable offenders to return for services, or perhaps obtain necessary transportation for other appointments or a job interview. Emergency resources may also be provided for offenders that need food, emergency clothing, or nicer clothing for job interviews.

Workforce Development offers a range of services to assist offenders with the challenges faced by felons in preparing for and obtaining employment.

Some resources made available to offenders are those that assist with the management of important tasks for those recently released from custody. Department of Motor Vehicle staff are available at the DRCs to assist offenders in obtaining valid identification or Driver's Licenses. Child Support Services workers are also present at certain times to assist with child support issues.

Through a partnership with Operation New Hope, this community-based organization uses a grant to provide services for offenders with special needs that have a vulnerability in the workplace and require assistance finding employment. They
host vocational classes at the DRCs that are separate from Workforce Development programming.

Additional programs are being developed for the DRCs. New ideas for 2014 include a subsidized taxi service for additional means of transportation and a tattoo removal service. However, the resources provided for offenders, and administered through the DRC, also extend to outreach programs in the community or other services that operate beyond the Day Reporting Centers.

One example of this is that the Probation Department stationed Detention Liaison Officers at four Sheriff’s Department facilities: The Central Jail, West Valley Detention Center, Glen Helen Rehabilitation Center, and Adelanto Jail. These officers connect with offenders on a pre-release basis to assist with Mandatory Supervision questions and issues. This has the effect of jump starting the supervision process, promoting early compliance and reintegration in the community. Probation Officers also provide coverage in the PRCS courts as a resource to both the court and the offender.

The Probation Department has developed relationships with Faith Based Organizations that can provide resources for released offenders. An example of this is the Blessing Center of Redlands that provides clothing and living items for released offenders in that area and works closely with probation officers stationed there to accept referrals of needy offenders. In Victorville, the Probation Department partnered with the Victor Valley Rescue Mission to provide a food pantry for persons with low income who were also on supervised release.

There are Community Based or Faith Based Organizations interested in working with the offender population. Some provide non program resources and have established partnerships with the department. Those organizations who wish to administer rehabilitative programming for offenders must have the capacity to do so, which includes meeting the standard of having evidence-based programs.

The department participates in the San Bernardino County Re-Entry Collaborative, a partnership of county agencies, led by Public Health, which marshals community resources for the AB 109 offender population.
The Probation Department has also established contracts with community based providers to open a range of services available to offenders outside of the Day Reporting Centers. These include community located GED programs, general housing services, and housing service contracts for unique situation offenders such as women with children, single women, families, veterans, and the HIV/AIDS population.

As a supplement to the DRC based Department of Behavioral Health Programs, DBH also provides specialized beds for mental health offender housing and the dual diagnosis population.

Some municipalities have established their own version of Day Reporting Centers, which usually operate one or more days per week as Re-Entry Centers, offering a collection of services for offenders that are local to that community. The City of Fontana has opened such a program. Some cities have established a network of re-entry services in their community, such as in the cities of Rialto and Redlands. Probation Officers from the Day Reporting Centers routinely provide support for these municipal re-entry programs by distributing brochures for DRC services, making verbal presentations, and providing referrals to a wide array of services available countywide. This type of collaboration and community support is greatly appreciated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRC Programming Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The total number of offenders receiving some form of service:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino DRC - 2454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victorville DRC - 2161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rancho DRC - 2056</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Field Operations

The Probation Department’s AB 109 efforts also extend to field supervision and suppression. The department recognized the potential impact of realignment on public safety, and put measures in place to ensure that AB 109 offenders were appropriately supervised.

As noted, the Probation Department has a long history of field supervision practices, with progressive policies. Training, equipment, field experience, specialized supervision units, the large number of armed probation officers, and the ability to work closely with allied law enforcement are part of the higher standards and greater capabilities of the San Bernardino
County Probation Department. Therefore, following the passage of AB 109, the department moved to hire additional personnel and apply existing field practices to the new population of offenders.

The Probation Department hired, trained and assigned 107 new Probation Officers within the first year of realignment.

The department recognized the potential impact of these realigned offenders on local law enforcement. As such, a probation officer was assigned to each law enforcement agency or Sheriff's Sub Station in the county. These officers carry caseloads of higher risk offenders; but otherwise can be assigned at the discretion of the Police Chief or Sheriff's Captain in that jurisdiction. This offers immediate and direct access to probation resources by law enforcement agencies, in addition to having a probation officer embedded in their rank and file that can respond to AB 109 issues that arise in those communities.

Offenders on supervised release, either from AB 109 or probation grants, have grown more sophisticated over the years. Criminogenic risk factors have escalated in the supervised population and now include serious offenses, gang activity, financial crime, narcotic and weapon trafficking, and sex offenses. When offenders do violate, the potential for committing additional crimes and creating new victims is great. As such, the Probation Department felt obligated to provide the intelligence resources that could supply probation officers and other law enforcement agencies with information to assist in the suppression of new offenses. To this end, the Probation Department used AB 109 funding to form and staff a Criminal Intelligence Unit. This unit has already experienced a significant level of success in working on high profile cases with local, state and federal agencies.

In regard to AB 109 offenders, the department was concerned about the potential risk to the community from offenders who were in violation and remained unsupervised due to their whereabouts being unknown. For this reason, the department formed a Probation Apprehension Team to actively pursue AB 109 or other offenders with active arrest warrants. The Apprehension Team has been very successful and to date has arrested 450 fugitives.
While there has been a lot of public scrutiny of AB 109 cases, the Probation Department never overlooked the supervision of probationers or juveniles while focusing on AB 109 offenders.

In 2013, the department completed an “adult restructuring” that served to rebalance caseload sizes, the geography of supervision areas, and the assignment of cases and officers. This enhanced the department’s ability to maintain appropriate contact levels, based upon risk factors, for all offenders irrespective of their classification.

AB 109 Offenders who are assigned for supervision and have a conviction for a sex related offense (but still qualify for sentencing under AB 109 guidelines), or individuals with a conviction for domestic violence, felony DUI, or those with histories of gang involvement may be assigned to a special supervision unit. The Sex Offender, Gang, DUI, Domestic Violence, and Mental Health Units provide supervision that is unique to the risk and need factors of these offenders.

The Probation Department has successfully used GPS monitoring where needed on AB 109 cases and other offenders who are high risk for a variety of reasons.

Prior to realignment, the Probation Department routinely conducted large scale, multi-agency compliance operations. The department has continued to do so over the course of the last two years, focusing primarily on high risk offenders. The objective is to continue to rotate these operations around the county, covering all communities, but prioritizing those areas with a larger AB 109 population, higher crime rates, or where law enforcement resources are limited. These operations have proven to be a highly effective method of discovering violations, potential risks to public safety, and enforcing compliance. For example during the past two years the department has participated in or conducted 37 compliance types of operations in the City of San Bernardino.

The AB 109 population

6,975 PRCS offenders have been released in San Bernardino County since the inception of AB 109. The department received projections from CDCR regarding the expected number of releases, and this number is 36% higher than what CDCR had projected as of this date.
Some 527 cases have been transferred from other counties, bringing the total number of PRCS offenders supervised by San Bernardino County Probation under AB 109 to 7,502.

2,251 PRCS offenders are still being supervised in San Bernardino County. Through attrition, this number was expected to continue to decline however, it has leveled off due to more second strikers being sentenced to state prison, and the number of offenders sentenced locally continues to increase.

Analysis of the prior offenses for these offenders reveal that 24% have prior drug convictions, while 25% have prior convictions for serious or violent felonies. (As such, they would have been precluded from AB 109 eligibility had those prior convictions been the more recent, controlling offense.)

Since October 1, 2011, there have been 10,614 offenders sentenced pursuant to PC 1170. Of those, 77% have been sentenced to straight time and received no supervision following the completion of their jail/prison time. 22%, or 2,409 offenders, have been split sentenced affording a supervision tail for these Mandatory Supervision offenders upon their release from jail/prison. However, it is important to note that probation department advocacy of the re-socialization and public safety benefits of split sentencing have eroded the number of straight sentences over the last six months. Split sentencing has risen to an average of 39% of the PC 1170 cases over the last three months.

Of the Mandatory Supervision population, 30% have past convictions for drug offenses, while 10% have convictions for serious or violent prior felonies.
The Impact of AB 109 Programs and Supervision

Prior to the passage of AB 109, under CDCR supervision, the arrest rate (technical or new offenses) for parolees in the first year of their release was 67.5%. In San Bernardino County, the first year arrest rate was 82.5% one of the highest in the state. Eight (8) out of ten (10) persons released on supervision to CDCR were returned to custody within a year for either a new offense or violation of their parole terms. This parolee population included the AB 109 offenders now supervised by probation departments.

Utilizing the measures outlined in this report, The San Bernardino County Probation Department and allied agencies have been able to reduce the re-arrest rate, for either a new crime or technical violation, to 36.4% in 2013.

The Chief Probation Officers of California (CPOC) needed to standardize the definition of recidivism being used throughout the state for data collection. For the purpose of AB 109 offenders, CPOC defined recidivism as any arrest that results in either a felony or misdemeanor conviction. As a single arrest may or may not indicate criminal activity, the added factor of a conviction is essential in truly defining an offender as a recidivist. And, recidivism is the best measure of whether AB 109 supervision is deterring re-offense. The Probation Department has adopted the CPOC definition of recidivism. However, it should be noted that different agencies throughout the state continue to define recidivism based upon their own needs or research capabilities.

Of the 36.4% of AB 109 offenders who were arrested, 17.3% of them were arrested for technical violations of supervised release- violations that did not amount to a new criminal act. Of the remaining 19.1% of this population who were arrested for new criminal activity, 15.6% were convicted of felony or misdemeanor, thus resulting in a 15.6% recidivism rate, based on conviction. The recidivism rate for adult probationers in San Bernardino County is 7.8%.

There has also been success in other areas. Attendance at DRC programs, reporting rates, and other areas of compliance have been good. One example of this is the rate at which PRCS offenders report to the Probation Department/DRCs within 30 days of their release from state prison. The current reporting rate is 89%.
The San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department

Impact to the Department

The realignment of state prisoners and the shifting of parole violator housing to the county jails increased San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department (SBSD) costs associated with housing, processing, feeding, and out-of-custody supervision. There have also been increased inmate health costs as the average length of stay for our inmate population has increased.

The following update provides an overview of the impact of AB 109 on the Sheriff’s Department.

Impact of State Parole Revocation Hearings

Prior to the passage of AB 109, the Board of Parole Hearings conducted parole revocation hearings at both the California Institution for Men in Chino (CIM) and at the Sheriff’s West Valley Detention Center (WVDC). Under AB 109, parole hearings were no longer held at State Prison Facilities. All area parole revocation hearings have now been conducted at the WVDC, and incarcerations for parole violations are now served in the county jail. The number of revocation hearings per month at WVDC has averaged 97 since the implementation of Realignment.

In past practice, the state reimbursed the Sheriff’s Department at the rate of forty one (41) dollars for each revocation hearing. This funding was always inadequate for the amount of resources expended to ensure the safety of the hearing officers, the public, and the security of the inmates. However, this revenue source terminated when AB 109 took effect, leaving the Sheriff’s Department to shoulder the cost of these hearings.

Sentenced Inmate Management Program

The retention of approximately eight thousand three hundred (8300) additional inmates per year within the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Detention and Corrections by virtue of AB 109 created an enhanced need for alternative custody programs such as educational, vocational, and counseling diversion; as well as work release programs, so that qualified violators could serve their sentences in an out-of-custody setting. The establishment and administration of these
programs has been vital for both inmate population management and the reduction of recidivism rates within the county.

Therefore, the Sheriff's Department expanded both the mission and the staffing of the "Work Release" program. This unit became responsible for not only revising and administering the work release and electronic monitoring programs that were already in operation, but has also initiated and administered other alternative custody programs such as county parole, as well as voluntary work release programs (such as weed abatement and flood channel clean-up) as authorized by PC 4024.2.

Re-entry Programming

The foundation of the re-entry process throughout the Sheriff's Department is based on a solid pre-release process to ensure successful re-entry into the community. The cornerstone of this process is a collaborative partnership that was developed to provide a comprehensive system of re-entry services utilizing community stakeholders, various services, and private industry groups.

This process was successfully outlined in the San Bernardino County Community Corrections Plan (CCP) of 2011. Both the Sheriff's Department and the San Bernardino County Probation Department have put Evidence Based Practices in place to fulfill the goals of the CCP. Examples include utilizing day reporting centers and key members of the San Bernardino County Re-Entry Collaborative. The Sheriff's Department has made a substantial efforts to meet the re-entry goals of the CCP as illustrated below.

The Sheriff's Department has utilized the Correctional Offender Management Profiling for Alternative Sanctions System (COMPAS) to determine inmate program placement. Several risk and need factors are received from this assessment that inform staff of criminogenic needs and risk potential based on a history of substance abuse, education, family dynamics, criminal activity, and social functioning. Staff utilize these factors to determine treatment options for the inmates, as well as to adapt services to enhance inmate's abilities to learn new skills for placement in the most effective pre-release program.

The Sheriff's Department, in conjunction with the Chaffey Joint Union High School District and the San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools, has an interagency partnership that is dedicated to the education of inmates. The Inmate Rehabilitation through Occupational and Academic Development Systems (INROADS) Program provides programs and services to inmates. Inmates are enrolled in academic, vocational, and crisis intervention classes essential to facilitating their rehabilitation during incarceration and upon release. The mission of the INROADS Program is to reduce the rate of recidivism
by providing viable and resourceful programs and services to inmates. The goal of the program is to provide an opportunity for inmates to develop an improved sense of well-being and a better quality of life upon release, as well as to make constructive use of the inmates’ time while in the facility, making re-entry into the community more successful.

The Department realizes there is a great operational and resource advantage to supporting programs that equip inmates with cognitive behavior therapy tools to successfully reintegrate back into the community. The Department is committed to expanding inmate programs that reduce recidivism, aid inmates in becoming better citizens, and promote their success as they re-enter the community. These skills help them remain out of the criminal justice system.

Statistics from 2010 to 2012 show approximately forty percent (40%) of the 1,115 inmates that completed the program since 2010 have not re-offended.

INROADS Classes

The Sheriff’s Department INROADS program is the cornerstone of the department’s treatment programs for incarcerated offenders. As such, we recognize that it is an essential component of the treatment process for AB 109 offenders.Outlined below is the curriculum of INROADS classes available to inmates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cognitive/Behavioral Treatment Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Anger Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Thinking for a Change – participants learn to identify thinking errors that could lead to re-offense.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Living skills – teaches offenders how to meet basic subsistence needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• T.A.L.K. – teaches offenders parenting skills by allowing visits between the inmate and child.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Parenting Skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• PACC – a reading program to strengthen parent-child relationships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Substance Abuse Programming</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Educational Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• GED program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• High School Diploma Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Literacy/Reading Enrichment Classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Computer Skills</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vocational Training Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Automobile Mechanics (including an additional certification program)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bakery Occupations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Culinary Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• HVAC – heating, ventilation, air conditioning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fire Camp Vocational Training

- Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response
- Small Engine Mechanic
- Log-Chipper Operator

Employment Readiness

- Employment Readiness Program with WDD – 60 hours of training and education on job readiness.

Re-Entry Services

- Quarterly Resource and Job Fair, including resources from:
  The Department of Motor Vehicles
  Workforce Development (WDD)
  The Department of Child Support
  Sober Living Homes
  Residential Treatment Programs (substance abuse)
  The Probation Department
  Counseling Programs from the community
  Community Based Organizations – Goodwill, United Way, Catholic Charities, etc.

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY RE-ENTRY COLLABORATIVE

The San Bernardino County Re-Entry Collaborative (SBCRC) is a partnership of agencies, organizations, and individuals committed to making communities safer through the successful re-entry and long-term success of formerly incarcerated inmates.

SBCRC is comprised of the Sheriff’s Department, San Bernardino County Probation Department, Public Health, Public Defender, and local governmental agencies, non-profits, and community stakeholders. The SBCRC includes the following agencies overseeing healthcare, behavioral health, legal and employment services: San Bernardino County Department of Workforce Development (provides training and employment services), San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (provides behavioral health/substance abuse services), Community Development & Housing (establishes the continuum of care and facilitates the Interagency Council on Homelessness), multiple non-profits focusing on re-entry services, and local law enforcement.
To ensure every aspect of the re-entry process is addressed, the SBCRC is comprised of eight committees: public safety, health/human services, faith-based community organizations, education, employment, research and evaluation, housing, and outreach and sustainability.

As a vested partner in this collaborative, the Sheriff’s Department, Probation Department, Public Health, Public Defender, and other stakeholders have provided solutions to address the immediate need to diminish criminal behavior and hold offenders accountable, while lessening the impact of criminal behavior on victims in the community, which results in improved long-term public safety.

The Sheriff’s Glen Helen Rehabilitation Center (GHRC) has committed to engage in a demonstration project to provide intensive case management. Beginning three months prior to the inmate’s release, GHRC staff work to engage and build trust with these individuals. Case managers from SBCRC coordinate with GHRC staff on re-entry planning, that includes following individuals six months post-release to enhance probation supervision by actively linking re-entrants to services. A re-entry housing specialist is also assigned to work with United Way’s 211 Program to identify and catalog all housing resources available to post-incarcerated individuals.

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY’S OFFICE

The implementation of Public Safety Realignment has also had an impact on the District Attorney’s Office. In 2010, the year prior to AB 109 taking effect, 7107 defendants were sentenced to state prison. The District Attorney’s Office remains concerned about the impact of AB 109 on public safety, particularly as it applies to the availability of jail bed space and the release of charged or convicted felons from county jail. While the expectations of AB 109 and the ultimate goal of the CCP is to substantially improve on the state recidivism rate of 70%, even the most effective rehabilitation planning cannot eliminate recidivism. Criminal cases generated by this new population of AB 109 offenders will impact public safety and necessitate increased criminal prosecutions.

Unfortunately, the District Attorney’s Office lost staffing in the three fiscal years preceding the passage of AB 109. Additional staff were necessary to handle increased prosecutions, in
addition to other case work, such as victim notification in each geographic region of the county as mandated by Marsy’s Law.

In 2013, however, the District Attorney’s Office received additional funding from the CCP committee which allowed the following positions to be added to the office:

(3) **Deputy District Attorneys**

The purpose of funding Deputy District Attorneys was to allow adequate staffing of PRCS and Parole Revocation Hearings. The volume of these hearings steadily increased after the passage of AB 109. As reported by the District Attorney’s Office last year, in 2012 the average number of hearings was 155 per month. In 2013, it more than doubled to average 365 hearings per month. After July 1, 2013, when Parole Revocation Hearings became an activity solely handled by the District Attorney, the office stated to experience increases averaging 385 per month. The District Attorney anticipates this number will continue to rise. To handle these matters, three Deputy District Attorneys have been assigned to the PRCS unit. Moreover, the court modified its hearing schedule to accommodate the expanding number of parole hearings. As a result, these three Deputy District Attorneys are essential to meet this demand.

(6) **Office Assistant III**s

Since 2012, the District Attorney’s Office has utilized CCP funding for six Office Assistant III positions. That number has remained constant since the initial funding was received. The purpose of the positions is to handle PRCS and Parole Revocation Hearings. Caseloads have increased since cases are not settling at formerly experienced rates. These Office Assistants are also utilized to assist victims of crime with processing paperwork for reimbursement or for required assistance as a result of victimization.

While case filings have remained relatively flat, cases are not settling at the same rate that occurred prior to the passage of AB 109. Specifically, due to jail overcrowding, defendants and their attorneys are opting to take matters to trial, or further litigate cases, since they know that jail space is limited. At times, matters will progress further toward trial simply because there is a disagreement between the parties as to sending the defendant to state prison versus county
jail/prison. Additionally, assistance to victims of crime continues to rise, notwithstanding the flat statistic of criminal case filings.

(4) Victim Advocate IIs

Victim advocates are provided to give victims of crime support, as well as to provide a resource for other assistance, in addition to serving as an in-court support person. With the jail overcrowding as described herein, defendants in cases involving victim crimes that would normally be housed in State Prison are sent to County Jail/Prison. This increased population in the jails means that, in traditional lower-severity victim crimes, such as many domestic violence cases, defendants are released sooner than they would have been prior to the imposition of AB 109. Our advocates spend additional time explaining the court process, the strain on the jail system, and that defendants are and will be released earlier than in previous years. These Advocates assisted victims in registering for the VINELink program, which is the National Victim Notification Network. To assist some victims, advocates process more claims for assistance than they have done in the past. These claims include relocation or other services available to victims, as they need to resolve such matters prior to the release of the defendant.

(5) Senior Investigators

A new component to the District Attorney’s CCP plan was the inclusion of Senior Investigators in 2013. Last year, these five investigators were funded through the CCP. The direct purpose was to fill necessary trial preparation units related to cases being prepared for trial. Senior Investigators are needed to conduct follow-up investigations on matter that are pending trial, as well as the service of subpoenas and the transportation of witnesses. With the closure of the Needles and Barstow Courts, victims who have few or no transportation options (private or public transportation) are unable to come from those remote locations to Victorville for court and testimony without assistance. This same phenomenon existed with the closure of the Chino Court, and will arise again with the impending closure of the Fontana Criminal Courts. To meet the District Attorney’s constitutional and statutory obligation to bring these matters to trial effectively and efficiently, we sought and received funding for these additional positions, as well as for costs related to the transportation of witnesses and victims.
However, fifteen (15) investigator positions were cut from the District Attorney’s budget between 2008 and 2012. Current staffing levels, with these five (5) positions returned to the Office, simply mirror 2006 staffing levels. And, as noted above, workload has significantly increased in the same period.

(1) Business System Analyst II

The purpose of the Business System Analyst II (BSA II) position is to make modifications to District Attorney’s Office case management systems to more readily track activity related to AB 109. This analyst continues to work on re-tooling the case management system to allow the tracking of AB 109 specific casework. The Business Analyst II coordinates the current expansion of reporting infrastructure. This includes SQL Server Reporting Services, SQL Server Integration Services, and SQL Analysis Services. The Business System Analyst has developed the Key Performance Indicator requirements for the executive management dashboard to glimpse statistics and facts about current AB 109 operations for increased efficiency. The requirements will focus on creating business rules for real-time error and fact checking capabilities.

PUBLIC DEFENDER

Following the passage of Public Safety Realignment in 2011, the Public Defender’s Office sought additional funding from the CCP to fulfill their constitutional mandate to defend the additional influx of clients on post-conviction violation petitions. To meet this mandate, the Public Defender’s Office established the REBAR unit (Removing Every Barrier and Rehabilitating).

REBAR provides defense representation to indigent individuals who are facing revocation petitions for violating the terms and conditions of their post-release community supervision or state parole. At hearings held at the West Valley Detention Center, attorneys resolve petitions, working out strategies and options that may include additional custody time and/or further referrals for programming and treatment. REBAR has handled PRCS (post release community supervision) revocations since October 2011, and the unit assumed responsibility for parole revocations on July 1, 2013.
Over a 30 month period beginning October 2011, REBAR attorneys have handled 2,882 petitions for post release community supervision violations; and from July 1, 2013, through March 2014, 784 petitions revoking parole. Although most petitions are resolved within two court appearances, attorneys have litigated 22 post-release community supervision petitions and 5 parole petitions at formal revocation hearings. Formal hearings are evidentiary proceedings where probation or parole must present evidence to prove the client’s violations.

Understanding the causes that lead to criminality plays a critical role in redirecting clients on their rehabilitative paths. Assessing the presence of such factors in the life of a client often lies outside the expertise of attorneys. To support the attorneys’ efforts, a social worker is a member of the REBAR team. The unit also uses social work interns from local colleges and universities. Daily, the social worker and interns are present at the hearings to assess clients and to interact with the clients’ family members in developing plans that will support the clients’ rehabilitative efforts in the community. From October 2011 through February 2014, the social work team has assessed 3,131 clients. During the assessments, the social worker and interns ask clients to identify areas where they are experiencing difficulties. Based on their client’s self-reports, employment, homelessness, alcohol and substance abuse, and medical and mental health issues present as top challenges for clients. The challenges reported by clients are illustrated in the following diagram.

![AB 109 - Client Issue Stats](image)

This diagram covers the period from October 1, 2011, through February 2014 and reflects clients’ self report of difficulties they have experienced while on post release community supervision or state parole.
In terms of referrals, the social work team has assisted clients with:

- Homeless services and housing
- Alcohol and substance abuse programs and treatment beds
- Veterans’ services
- Transportation arrangements to and from treatment or from the jail to their community upon release from custody
- Mental health and medical services

Together, the social worker, social work interns and attorneys allows REBAR to address our clients holistically to increase their chances at rehabilitation.

Realignment has also affected operations in the trial courts. In the state’s attempt to shift low-level offenders from state prison to community incarceration and supervision, attorneys in the trial courts fashion dispositions that may now include a split sentence or an extended incarceration period in a county jail without a supervision tail. When clients violate the terms of their mandatory supervision and probation files a petition, trial court attorneys handles the violations in the trial courts. From October 2011 through February 2014, attorneys have negotiated 820 split sentences and have handled 252 violations stemming from mandatory supervision.

**Department of Behavioral Health**

Since the inception of the 2011 Public Safety Realignment Act, Assembly Bill (AB) 109, the Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) has worked proactively with the Probation Department (Probation) to develop an array of behavioral health treatment services [Substance Use Disorder (SUD) and Mental Health Services (MHS)] accessible to the probation population upon their release from county and state correctional settings.

On July 27, 2012, a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) was signed between DBH and Probation. This agreement outlines the delivery of case management and treatment services by DBH staff co-located at the respective Day Reporting and Reentry Services Centers (DRCs) located in the West End, Victorville and San Bernardino.
During this past year (2013), DBH enhanced its provision of services to the probation population reporting at the three DRCs through the establishment of onsite Medi-Cal certified outpatient MHS programs at each DRC and a certified SUD outpatient program at the DRC in West End. Individuals at these locations are screened and provided with referrals to community resources to address their MHS, SUD and co-occurring related needs. Individuals who are seeking behavioral health treatment services are assessed and enrolled in an outpatient program onsite at the DRCs to receive individual and group therapy by clinical staff.

For individuals who require an intensive level of mental health treatment, a day treatment program is available in the city of Colton, where individuals also enrolled in both treatment modalities can access psychiatric services. Additionally, DBH provides SUD services through the utilization of existing DBH contracted SUD programs throughout the County of San Bernardino. The addition of the certified SUD outpatient program at the West End DRC allows immediate access to SUD services, especially during the early phase of their transition back into the community after any given period of incarceration.

The behavioral health treatment services provided by DBH include, but are not limited to: Screening, Brief Intervention, Referrals to Treatment (SBIRT); Assessment to determine appropriate level of treatment services; Individual Treatment; Group Therapy; Educational Groups; Medication Support Services; Residential SUD Treatment to include detoxification, as medically necessary; Case Management; Referrals; Community Resources; Short-term housing; and Transportation.

**Statistical Data**

For the period of July 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013, the Alcohol and Drug Counselor (ADC) and Social Worker II (SWII) located at each DRC have provided screenings for 521 offenders along with 507 referrals for mental health, substance abuse or co-occurring services. This number includes duplicate referrals due to clients who may have initially declined services and are returning to request services and others for failing to follow through on the previous referral(s) provided them. Additionally, 354 offenders have returned to the DRCs for follow through services and 166 follow-up phone calls have been completed between these DBH staff and offenders.
The ADC and SWII have also led 108 educational classes that address areas such as self-esteem, coping skills, relapse prevention, communication skills and time management. These classes, which are encompassed under the title “Changing Your Life for the Better,” are open to all offenders who are supervised through one of the three DRCs. During this period, 550 individuals have been in attendance at these classes. This is also a duplicate number due to enrolled offenders must attend a minimum of ten classes to receive a certificate of completion. Offenders may also participate in an additional ten classes in order to receive a second certificate of completion.

Furthermore, the ADS program has treated 241 offenders amongst several SUD modalities. These modalities include residential, outpatient, drug court and perinatal substance use disorder treatments and a narcotic treatment program (NTP-Methadone). The SUD outpatient program located at the West End DRC began admitting individuals into the program on January 2014 and to date, six offenders are receiving treatment services with another four pending intake assessments.

The Department of Public Health

The Department of Public Health, under the division of Clinic Operations, provides services to the AB 109 offender population through a program entitled “Health Screenings and Education.”

The San Bernardino County Department of Public Health (DPH) collaborates with the San Bernardino County Probation Department to make health care resources available for low-risk criminal offenders released under the California Public Safety Realignment Plan-Assembly Bill (AB) 109. The Probation Department established three Day Reporting Centers (DRC) for adults in San Bernardino, West End and Victorville. These DRC locations, under the requirement of the AB 109 legislation, provide comprehensive treatment and rehabilitative services and the opportunity to access resources that will assist participants to fulfill court ordered requirements and effectively transition into the community and workforce. Since September 2012, DPH (Clinic Operations) has provided a Registered Nurse 24 hours a week for on-site health screenings, TB testing, alcohol and substance abuse education and medical referral services to AB 109 clients at each of the three DRCs. This interaction helps promote DPH services as well as a provision to access medical care and treatment as needed.
In a response to a request for ongoing health education group presentations, on August 7, 2013, DPH provided a Health Education Assistant to present educational classes for AB 109 clients in West End DRC. Within a classroom style setting, the Health Education Assistant provides a 2-hour class that covers Sexually Transmitted Diseases and Birth Control Methods. Victorville DRC was the second to implement classes which began September 5, 2013. Victorville DRC currently provides this service every 1st and 3rd Thursday of each month. Lastly, San Bernardino DRC initiated their educational classes on October 18, 2013 and is offered every 2nd and 4th Friday of each month. Incentives are given for those that attend the classes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>San Bernardino DRC</th>
<th>Victorville DRC</th>
<th>West Valley DRC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statistics and Data**

Additionally, attendance breakdown for educational classes taught by site:

**San Bernardino DRC**
- 4 classes taught in 2013 Total Participants - 30
- 6 classes taught in 2014 (To Date) Total Participants - 34 (To Date)

**West End DRC**
- 4 classes taught in 2013 Total Participants – 18
- 4 classes taught in 2014 (To Date) Total Participants – 23 (To Date)

**Victorville DRC**
- 6 classes taught in 2013 Total Participants – 11
- 6 classes taught in 2014 (To Date) Total Participants – 15 (To Date)
Transitional Assistance Department

The Transitional Assistance Department (TAD) provides services for the AB 109 offender population, or their family members, at each of the Day Reporting Centers. TAD has one Eligibility Worker (EW) assigned to each of the three Day Reporting Centers (DRC). The EWs have been stationed at the DRCs since June 2012. The EWs serve the released offenders by performing the following services:

- Interview of applicants for potential eligibility to four programs: CalFresh, CalWORKs, General Relief, and Expanded Medi-Cal.

- Assisting offender/applicants with the completeness of applications and obtaining information needed to approve benefits.

- Offender/applicants are seen the same day by the Eligibility Worker to determine eligibility and are issued an Electronic Benefit Card.

- Approval of applications in a timely and accurate manner to ensure basic needs are met and customers are on track to self-sufficiency.

Statistics and Data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CalFresh</td>
<td>1,690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CalWORKs</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Relief</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ArrowCare</td>
<td>876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medi-Cal</td>
<td>639</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Aging and Adult Services – Office of the Public Guardian

Aging and Adult Services provides a conservatorship program for the AB 109 population.

The Public Guardian manages the affairs of incompetent or gravely disabled individuals under authority granted by the Superior Court. Services provided to AB109 clients who have been referred include case management and various intake-related services.

Case Management Services include “under the order of the court,” filing of petitions, and responsibility for all of the clients personal and or financial needs.

Intake Services include a thorough investigation to ensure the client meets criteria for conservatorship as required by law when no viable alternative exists.

Conservatorship under AB109 is not time limited as long as the client meets criteria.

Between January 2012 and December 2013, Aging and Adult Services received three (3) AB 109 related referrals.

Economic Development Agency – Department of Workforce Development

The Department of Workforce Development provides an integral service at the Day Reporting Centers through their Day Reporting Center Workforce Development Specialists Program.

The San Bernardino County Workforce Development Department (WDD) provides on-site employment services to both referred and “walk-in” AB 109 clients at the Day Reporting Centers located in the West End (Rancho Cucamonga), Central Valley (San Bernardino) and High Desert (Victorville).

WDD has one Workforce Development Specialist (WDS) on-site at each DRC to address the employment needs of the clients. Those services include but are not limited to: one-on-one employment counseling, administration of an Orientation and Skills Assessment, networking, résumé writing and tips, job search review and choices, interview and expectations, employment enhancement workshops, individual assessment, job search and job placement assistance, career counseling, vocational training information and assistance, and job readiness.
The WDS also connects the clients to the WDD Employment Resource Centers (ERC) whenever possible and informs clients about different events, services, recruitments, hiring events, resources, and organizations that are available to clients at the ERC’s.

Workforce Development plans to continue extending the same level of services to the AB 109 population, through the Day Reporting Centers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workforce Development Statistics for Day Reporting Centers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(June 2012 through February 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of AB 109 offender/clients seen by WDS: 1,789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of classes, workshops, seminars facilitated: 187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of workshop attendees: 949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of employments: 150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**FY 2014-15 Funding Breakdown for AB 109 Allocations**

The CCP allocated the following funding in FY 14-15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>FY13-14 Allocation</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
<th>Estimated Reduction</th>
<th>FY 14-15 Estimated Allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probation</td>
<td>$27,908,628</td>
<td>-6.35%</td>
<td>($1,772,198)</td>
<td>$26,136,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheriff</td>
<td>$28,407,422</td>
<td>-6.35%</td>
<td>($1,803,871)</td>
<td>$26,603,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Attorney</td>
<td>$2,842,626</td>
<td>-6.35%</td>
<td>($180,570)</td>
<td>$2,663,056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Defender</td>
<td>$2,169,593</td>
<td>-6.35%</td>
<td>($137,769)</td>
<td>$2,031,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>$106,359</td>
<td>-6.35%</td>
<td>($6,754)</td>
<td>$99,605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAAS</td>
<td>$82,169</td>
<td>-6.35%</td>
<td>($5,218)</td>
<td>$76,951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH</td>
<td>$4,335,308</td>
<td>-6.35%</td>
<td>($275,292)</td>
<td>$4,124,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDD</td>
<td>$195,000</td>
<td>-6.35%</td>
<td>($12,383)</td>
<td>$182,618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAD</td>
<td>$6,665</td>
<td>-6.35%</td>
<td>($425)</td>
<td>$6,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>$126,409</td>
<td>-6.35%</td>
<td>($8,027)</td>
<td>$118,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>$66,181,209</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>($4,402,507)</strong></td>
<td><strong>$61,978,702</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Funding for municipal law enforcement:**

Apart from state funding to the CCP for realignment costs to the county is revenue made available to cities, specifically municipal law enforcement, for costs incurred by the cities for their response to AB 109. This revenue is provided through AB 118. Those monies are not included above.
Goals and Focus Areas

It is an accomplishment in itself to have completed so much, and to have seen these positive outcomes in only two and one half years. It appears that San Bernardino County has been able to avoid many of the struggles and tribulations of other jurisdictions. The Community Corrections Partnership is proud of our teamwork and accomplishments, but we acknowledge that there are still significant issues that need to be addressed and work that still needs to be accomplished. As a result we have developed focus areas and goals for future years.

- Detention facilities that were built to house local inmates are now being used to house a more serious type of offender for longer periods. Inmate on inmate, and inmate and deputy assaults have increased. Bed space continues to be limited, forcing the release of offenders. The Adelanto Jail will with an additional 1,392 beds will greatly ease this burden, but still must be staffed at a considerable cost.

GOAL: Staffing for existing jail beds and increase in the number of available jail beds

- 77% of the offenders who, before realignment would have been committed to state prison and paroled for three (3) to four (4) years following their release, are now able to serve shortened periods in jail and are released without any supervision. While recent rates of split sentencing have risen to 39%, no offender should go unsupervised or without services.

GOAL: Increase the use of split sentencing

- The response to realignment has come with significant costs. Accomplishments have been possible because of funding for services and programs. These revenues must continue, at sufficient levels, to maintain realignment operations.

GOAL: Leverage resources and apply for other sources of available funding to enhance programs and services

- It is critical that data collection, extrapolation of that data, and research be conducted to ensure that the best programmatic practices and offender supervision is in place and that allied agencies are on the best path regarding realignment. The ultimate goal for the CCP is the greatest degree of public safety attainable through effective social service, legal, and justice programming. Measuring the impact and outcomes is intended to ensure that current and future programs developed for the AB 109 population are effective at reducing the rate of recidivism, thus contributing to the goal of maintaining public safety.
GOAL: Data collection and Evaluation

- The Probation Department will continue its principal role in realignment programming and supervision. The Probation Department has formed several unique units and will continue to expand upon these programs.

GOAL: Expand specialized services/supervision for offenders with specific needs/risks

- The three Adult Day Reporting Centers are the central focus of realignment programming for the offenders being reintegrated into the community. The scope and diversity of programming at each of these centers will be evaluated, with the objective of expanding offerings at the centers.

GOAL: Continue to expand services and the availability of services at the Day Reporting Centers

- The Probation Department formed a Criminal Intelligence Unit (CIU). The intelligence unit continues to collect, analyze, and disseminate actionable criminal intelligence to probation officers and law enforcement agencies. This keeps each agency abreast of criminal trends and assists in identifying suspects of unsolved crimes.

GOAL: Expand and track efforts of the CIU which result in identification or arrest of suspects

- The Probation Apprehension Team was assembled in the wake of AB 109 to specifically investigate and locate offenders who abscond from supervision. Since the inception of realignment, over 450 fugitives have been located and arrested.

GOAL: Enhance the ability of PAT to investigate and locate fugitives

- Homelessness in San Bernardino County continues to be a widespread problem. Although only a small percentage of homeless are on supervised release providing effective supervision for offenders without homes can be challenging. In the future the probation department needs to augment its services for homeless offenders, including community outreach, services at the DRCs, and housing placement services where appropriate.

GOAL: Enhance homeless services, outreach and housing resources
• Gangs continue to plague San Bernardino. There are gang units in San Bernardino County that often work independently duplicating or replicating efforts. A countywide strategic plan is needed to strategically organize efforts across all agencies throughout the county.

GOAL: Development of a countywide strategic plan on gangs

• San Bernardino County’s 211 system is fully developed, offering contact and referral services from all landline telephones and all but one cellular system in the county. There is a need to expand the ability of this system/service for offenders needs with a specific emphasis on re-entry services.

GOAL: Expansion of 211 referral service

• The Public Defender’s REBAR social work team supports their attorneys in the trial courts; however, such assistance is limited by REBAR’s sizeable workload. To enable the Law Offices of the Public Defender to move closer to a complete holistic model in defense representation, the Public Defender plans to add a social worker to each of its adult divisions located in Victorville, San Bernardino, and the West End. This will increase the attorney’s ability to negotiate dispositions that address the concerns of their most needy clients; thereby enabling clients to access more comprehensive services at an earlier stage in the rehabilitative process.

GOAL: Expand the REBAR program

• The Department of Behavioral Health continues to embody a collaborative and holistic approach to meeting the behavioral health needs of the offender population supervised at the respective DRCs. Areas such as enhancing auxiliary services (shelter, food, and clothing), increasing transportation services, establishing and enhancing collaborative partnerships with community stakeholders, and increasing knowledge on the types of identifiers specific to the physical health needs of this population will be the focus of program development for DBH and the basis for enhancement of services.

GOAL: Enhance Behavioral Health services for offenders
• DBH will continue to implement evidence based practices that will align with the tenets of the MHS and SUD focus on recovery and wellness. Additionally, DBH plans to maximize caseload capacity at each outpatient program onsite at the DRCs to optimize the opportunities for success for the probation population.

GOAL: Enhance mental health treatment services for offenders

• Healthcare services for any re-entry population are an important component to the offender’s re-socialization. The Department of Public Health has planned to played a crucial role with offenders.

Goal: Develop and enhance services for offenders to access the Affordable Care Act

Goal: Enhance and expand Public Health education services offered at the DRC’s

• The Community Corrections Partnership, through its allied agencies, is well positioned to oversee the administration of AB 109 programming in San Bernardino County. Building upon successful practices to date, the CCP’s objective moving forward is to maintain the delivery of supervision and services where they have impacted the recidivism rate, and augment those services where additional resources are projected to enhance successful re-entry and public safety efforts. However, conclusions of success are predicated on reduced arrest and conviction rates; and casual factors and correlations for these reductions have not been scientifically established. Therefore, the CCP has prioritized a research project that will assist in San Bernardino’s realignment efforts.

GOAL: Contract and conduct research project for realignment

The CCP recognizes that our goals for the upcoming years do not completely encompass the entire scope of work and/or the needs for San Bernardino County. As a result we have established other areas of focus which include but are not limited to the following:

• Offenders with addictions
• Mentally ill offenders
• Gender specific programming
• Parenting and programming for offenders with children
• Assessment tools
• Continued participation and support for the re-entry collaborative
• Resource expansion with community based and faith based providers
• Ongoing collaborative efforts with all our county partners, police departments and service delivery organizations
• Sustainability

Conclusions

The Public Safety Realignment Act, or AB 109, mandated a sea change in the housing, supervision, and sentencing of criminal offenders. It represented the most comprehensive change in the California criminal justice system in decades.

AB 109 presented a series of significant challenges for the Probation Department, Sheriff’s Department, municipal law enforcement agencies, the court system, and other county service agencies. The massive jurisdictional shift of responsibilities away from the state left San Bernardino with significant challenges such as: an insufficient number of jail detention beds; the need to supervise thousands of additional offenders, many of whom represented a threat to public safety; different legal processes for the sentencing of felons; violations of parole and PRCS; and, a large population of offenders that were able to avoid supervision altogether as a result of straight sentences. The Probation Department had to move quickly to hire, train, and deploy over a hundred new officers, while the Sheriff’s Department worked to develop new protocols for the release of offenders and had to contend with the county jails being re-purposed as state prisons. The initial Community Corrections Partnership plan called for establishing three Day Reporting Centers and joined many county agencies in a unique partnership of collaboration.

However, as noted in the 2011 Community Corrections Partnership plan, San Bernardino County also had several keys advantages that, arguably, other counties did not. The Probation Department had a very progressive supervision system and a proven track record with the operation of Day Reporting Centers. Moreover, the Probation Department, Sheriff’s Department, Public Health, Workforce Development and Behavioral Health had a history of strong partnerships in the co-delivery of services in the county. In fact, all San Bernardino County agencies share strong cooperative relationships. The Probation Department had embraced evidence based programming years earlier and seen success with its SB 678 program.
Therefore, two and half years after the inception of Public Safety Realignment, the Community Corrections Partnership is able to point to several benchmarks of success:

- A one year re-arrest rate that has fallen dramatically from 82.5% to 36.4% (technical and new offense)

- A 15.6% recidivism rate (conviction) for AB 109 offenders

- The formation of three Day Reporting Centers which offer the most comprehensive package of rehabilitative resources in the state.

- A supervision system that has been able to effectively monitor released offenders.

- A significant expansion of personnel and programs.

- The collaboration of several county agencies, from the fields of law enforcement, corrections, social work, public health; and every police department in the county, who joined together to address the problems of realignment.

We are confident that the response to Public Safety Realignment in San Bernardino County has been swift and comprehensive, perhaps the best in the state. It has been inclusive of all public agencies and jurisdictions. Moreover, our response has been financially reasonable given the fact that much of the programming has been executed within the parameters of state funding. This being said, to some extent all involved departments have been required to use personnel or resources from within to fund programming that otherwise could have been directed elsewhere. As the PRCS population is reduced through attrition, we will need to refocus our efforts on the increase of PC 1170 offenders, Mandatory Supervision offenders, and the lasting impact AB 109 will have on our justice system.

Realignment had the potential to inflict catastrophic consequences on the justice systems, law enforcement and social service agencies in San Bernardino, potentially resulting in profound public safety compromises. However, San Bernardino County’s riposte to realignment, executed by the CCP and its allied agencies, has been one of innovation in programming, vigilance in supervision and a collaborative oversight of involvement.
Ultimately, the success or failure of Public Safety Realignment will be determined statewide, not just by the insular successes of San Bernardino County. However, it is clear that AB 109 is not going away. The CCP can speak to only our successes and future goals in San Bernardino, but recognizes that statewide other jurisdictions are still struggling with AB 109. However, the CCP's objective moving into the future is to continue to offer strategies for offenders that offer the highest level of protection for San Bernardino County communities.
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