

JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

**2004, 2nd QUARTER
SURVEY RESULTS**

FACILITIES STANDARDS AND OPERATIONS DIVISION

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
600 Bercut Drive
Sacramento, CA 95814-0185
(916) 445-5073
www.bdcorr.ca.gov

JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

EXPLANATION OF RESULTS

The following pages contain the Jail Profile Survey results for the second quarter of 2004. Page 1 contains the quarterly totals based upon the monthly and quarterly data submitted to us by the participating jurisdictions. Pages 2 and 3 show the trend data for variables we have been tracking for the last ten years. The remainder of this page provides explanations and clarifications of the data presented.

Page 1

- Unless otherwise noted, "projected totals" are based upon the average per day for the variable in question. For example, there was an average of 77,398 inmates per day in local jails (excluding Type I's) during the three-month span of April to June 2004.
- For some variables (other than ADP), we did not receive data from all jurisdictions. We compute the percentage of the ADP that was housed in the jurisdictions that did supply their data for the variable in question. Next, we increase the received number for the variable in question by an amount that estimates the statewide total. For example, if 90% of the state's ADP was housed in jurisdictions that provided us with the number of Three Strike inmates, we would increase that number by 1/9th to estimate the state total.
- The number for "Bookings" is the average of the monthly totals for April, May, and June.
- For the following variables, the numbers presented are the totals as of the mid-quarter (i.e., May 15, 2004); Pretrial Release, Early Release, 2 and 3 Strikes, Felony Warrants, Misdemeanor Warrants, Juveniles in Custody and Undocumented Aliens.
- Assaults is the total number of serious inmate assaults on staff (resulting in a crime report), statewide, during the second quarter of 2004.

Pages 2 and 3

- The data in the 10-Year Summary tables are based upon survey data which the Board has gathered since the early 1980's.
- Prior to 1995, yearly averages were reported to the Board on an annual basis. Yearly averages from 1996 on have been the average across the 12 calendar months. In 1995, the yearly figure reported is the fourth quarter average, since we do not have data from the first three quarters.

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

Reporting Period: April to June, 2004

County Jail Populations

ADP ^a	77,398 ^b
Holding Areas	1,591
Average "Under the Roof" ^c	78,989 ^d
Highest One Day Count	82,885 ^e

Special Use Beds

Medical Beds	991
Mental Health Beds	3,330

County Jail Populations

Felony	59,992
Misdemeanor	17,406
Total	77,398
Non-Sentenced Males	44,034
Non-Sentenced Females	6,140
Sentenced Males	23,397
Sentenced Females	3,827
Total	77,398
Maximum Security Inmates	22,975
Medium Security Inmates	36,832
Minimum Security Inmates	17,591
Total	77,398

Released Per Month Due to Lack of Space

Pretrial Release	7,203 ^f
Early Release	7,894 ^f
Total	15,097

Inmates with 2 and 3 Strikes

2 Strike Inmates	3,833 ^g
3 Strike Inmates	1,465 ^g
Total	5,298

Unserviced Warrants

Felony Warrants	271,650 ^g
Misdemeanor Warrants	2,073,971 ^g
Total	2,345,621

Inmates From Other Jurisdictions

Housed on Federal Contract	3,618
Housed on Contract with CDC	2,649
From Other Counties on Contract	161
Awaiting Transport	1,355
Total	7,783

Other Jail Profile Survey Variables

Bookings Per Month	106,789 ^f
Juveniles in Custody	63 ^f
Criminal / Illegal Aliens	8,406
Assaults On Staff	245

- a. The Average Daily Population for all jurisdictions (excluding Type I's) does not include inmates in holding areas.
- b. Unless otherwise indicated, the numbers reported are the average across the days in the quarter.
- c. "Under the Roof" is the sum of the Average Daily Population plus the average daily number of inmates in Holding Areas.
- d. Totals may not be the exact sum of the subtotals due to rounding.
- e. The sum of all Highest One Day inmate population counts from all jurisdictions.
- f. These data are collected on a monthly basis, this figure is an average of the total numbers collected each month during this quarter.
- g. These data are one-day snapshots collected at the end of the quarter.


Jail Profile Survey

2004

Average Daily Population

10 Year Summary

1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
69,233	70,938	72,007	76,938	79,143	76,311	75,044	73,824	75,156	75,340



The ADP is at its highest level in six years (77,398 inmates) and within 3,000 inmates of its highest level in history. After peaking at 80,391 in the 2nd Quarter of 1998, the ADP declined to 72,684 in the 4th Quarter of 2001. We believe that this decline was primarily the result of economic factors. Some jurisdictions were forced to reduce jail populations to reduce spending. However, strategies to reduce jail populations can only go so far. A number of factors including the steady climb in the state population, and an increasing crime rate (for property crime), made the rise in the ADP inevitable. We believe that the ADP will again exceed 80,000 inmates within two or three years.


Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2003	75,553	75,257	75,288	75,266	75,340
2004	76,030	77,398			76,714

Non-sentenced ADP

10 Year Summary

1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
39,104	42,137	42,539	44,593	45,303	44,509	44,940	45,187	46,975	49,105



This is the sixth consecutive record-breaking quarter in terms of the non-sentenced ADP (50,174 inmates). The number of non-sentenced inmates has risen dramatically in the last 20 years, more than doubling since 1985 when there were 24,206 non-sentenced inmates.


Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2003	48,296	49,088	49,518	49,499	49,105
2004	49,876	50,174			50,025

Sentenced ADP

10 Year Summary

1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
30,129	28,801	29,468	32,347	33,841	31,802	30,109	28,637	28,180	26,235



In 1985, the sentenced ADP was actually higher than the non-sentenced ADP (25,674 versus 24,206 respectively). Since then, while the non-sentenced population was increasing by over 100%, the sentenced population has increased by only 6%. Also in contrast to the non-sentenced population that is currently at a record level, the sentenced population reached its peak in 1998 (33,841) and is currently close to 6,700 inmates below its highest point.

Quarterly Results


	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2003	27,257	26,169	25,770	25,767	26,235
2004	26,154	27,224			26,689

Jail Profile Survey

2004

Percentage Non-sentenced

10 Year Summary									
1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
57%	59%	59%	58%	57%	58%	60%	61%	63%	65%




In 1985, non-sentenced inmates comprised 49% of the jail ADP. Given the much faster growth in the non-sentenced population, when compared to the sentenced population, 65% of the inmates are now non-sentenced. There is a limit to how high the non-sentenced population can grow. At some point, public safety prevents certain strategies for reducing jail population such as early release. In the 2nd Quarter of 2004, close to 8,000 inmates in California were released early from their sentences due solely to the lack of jail space. Also, as the percentage of non-sentenced inmates rises, so do costs. Non-sentenced inmates require more staff time for supervision related to court appearances and interaction with attorneys.

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2003	64%	65%	66%	66%	65%
2004	66%	65%			65%

Average Number of Persons Booked Per Month

10 Year Summary									
1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
103,996	97,589	101,767	101,210	99,229	96,684	98,486	97,089	98,625	102,217




The number of bookings per month (106,789) in the 2nd Quarter of 2004 was the second highest number recorded since the inception of the Jail Profile Survey in 1995 (the highest average number of 107,535 per month occurred in the 1st Quarter of 2004). From 1997 to 2000, the bookings per month averaged slightly fewer than 100,000. The upward trend that we are experiencing now suggests that the ADP will continue to rise in the near future.

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2003	97,460	103,966	106,623	100,736	102,217
2004	107,535	106,789			107,162

Average Length Of Stay

10 Year Summary									
1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
								23.6	20.5



We have been experimenting with two different methods of computing the Average Length of Stay. The one displayed on the chart to the left is based upon length of stay estimates provided us by participating jurisdictions. These estimates vary somewhat (e.g., 23.6 to 17.6 in the chart and table on this page). The other method consists of dividing the "bed days" (ADP times the number of days in the quarter) by the bookings in the quarter. This method produced an Average Length of Stay for the 2nd Quarter of 2004 of 22.1 days. This computational method has produced a more consistent result over time (between 21.5 and 23.4 over the last four years).

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2003	20.8	21.6	20.9	18.8	20.5
2004	20.8	17.6			19.2

Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female

California County Jails - For Months Of April - June 2004

Jurisdiction	Non-sentenced						Sentenced						Total #
	Male		Female		Total		Male		Female		Total		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
1. Alameda Sheriff's Dept.	2,705	91%	281	9%	2,986	74%	904	84%	173	16%	1,077	26%	4,063
2. Amador Sheriff's Dept.	42	82%	9	18%	51	59%	27	79%	7	21%	35	41%	85
3. Butte Sheriff's Dept.	262	85%	45	15%	307	62%	157	85%	28	15%	185	38%	492
4. Calaveras Sheriff's Dept.	41	85%	7	15%	49	63%	26	93%	2	7%	28	37%	77
5. Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	18	86%	3	14%	21	45%	23	88%	3	12%	26	55%	47
6. Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.	919	87%	143	13%	1,062	63%	549	90%	64	10%	613	37%	1,675
7. Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.	41	89%	5	11%	46	38%	62	82%	13	18%	75	62%	121
8. El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.	135	90%	15	10%	150	48%	140	85%	25	15%	165	52%	316
9. Fresno Sheriff's Dept.	2,007	88%	263	12%	2,269	75%	650	87%	99	13%	749	25%	3,018
10. Glenn Sheriff's Dept.	65	87%	9	13%	74	60%	46	91%	4	9%	50	40%	124
11. Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.	191	86%	31	14%	223	62%	121	88%	16	12%	138	38%	360
12. Imperial Sheriff's Dept.	300	91%	30	9%	330	52%	291	95%	14	5%	306	48%	636
13. Inyo Sheriff's Dept.	35	93%	3	7%	38	50%	29	78%	8	22%	37	50%	75
14. Kern Sheriff's Dept.	1,238	87%	181	13%	1,419	66%	586	81%	141	19%	727	34%	2,146
15. Kings Sheriff's Dept.	106	85%	19	15%	125	44%	136	84%	26	16%	162	56%	287
16. Lake Sheriff's Dept.	80	91%	8	9%	88	35%	126	76%	40	24%	165	65%	253
17. Lassen Sheriff's Dept.	41	79%	11	21%	52	56%	31	78%	9	22%	40	44%	92
18. Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.	10,812	88%	1,417	12%	12,229	72%	4,300	91%	419	9%	4,719	28%	16,948
19. Madera Corrections Dept.	184	88%	25	12%	210	59%	130	89%	16	11%	146	41%	355
20. Marin Sheriff's Dept.	210	87%	31	13%	241	86%	34	86%	5	14%	39	14%	280
21. Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.	24	86%	4	14%	28	59%	17	87%	3	13%	20	41%	48
22. Mendocino Sheriff's Dept.	134	84%	25	16%	160	57%	96	81%	22	19%	118	43%	278
23. Merced Sheriff's Dept.	407	88%	55	12%	462	70%	180	90%	20	10%	201	30%	663
24. Modoc Sheriff's Dept.	9	72%	4	28%	13	45%	12	77%	4	23%	16	55%	29
25. Mono Sheriff's Dept.	11	91%	1	9%	12	51%	10	85%	2	15%	11	49%	23
26. Monterey Sheriff's Dept.	547	89%	71	11%	617	55%	440	87%	66	13%	506	45%	1,123
27. Napa Corrections Dept.	42	92%	4	8%	46	20%	161	86%	26	14%	187	80%	232
28. Nevada Sheriff's Dept.	89	86%	14	14%	104	58%	63	84%	12	16%	76	42%	179
29. Oakland Police Dept.	93	83%	19	17%	113	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	113
30. Orange Sheriff's Dept.	2,296	89%	288	11%	2,585	45%	2,621	84%	502	16%	3,122	55%	5,707
31. Placer Sheriff's Dept.	268	88%	38	12%	306	62%	159	84%	31	16%	190	38%	496
32. Plumas Sheriff's Dept.	23	83%	5	17%	28	46%	27	85%	5	15%	32	54%	60
33. Riverside Sheriff's Dept.	2,254	90%	261	10%	2,515	77%	649	87%	96	13%	745	23%	3,260
34. Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.	1,510	86%	246	14%	1,756	49%	1,535	85%	270	15%	1,805	51%	3,561
35. San Benito Sheriff's Dept.	71	89%	9	11%	80	65%	39	91%	4	9%	43	35%	123

Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female

California County Jails - For Months Of April - June 2004

Jurisdiction	Non-sentenced						Sentenced						Total #
	Male		Female		Total		Male		Female		Total		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
36. San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	3,465	88%	493	12%	3,958	73%	1,190	82%	270	18%	1,460	27%	5,418
37. San Diego Sheriff's Dept.	2,820	85%	490	15%	3,310	64%	1,567	84%	300	16%	1,867	36%	5,176
38. San Diego Work Furlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	269	88%	38	12%	306	100%	306
39. San Francisco Sheriff's Dept.	1,206	89%	148	11%	1,354	77%	334	85%	60	15%	394	23%	1,748
40. San Joaquin Sheriff's Dept.	606	86%	102	14%	709	54%	512	83%	101	17%	614	46%	1,322
41. San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept	168	83%	34	17%	202	44%	217	85%	38	15%	256	56%	458
42. San Mateo Sheriff's Dept.	543	89%	64	11%	607	53%	469	86%	76	14%	545	47%	1,151
43. Santa Ana Police Dept.	354	90%	37	10%	391	97%	12	90%	1	10%	14	3%	405
44. Santa Barbara Sheriff's Dept.	502	85%	87	15%	589	64%	288	87%	42	13%	330	36%	919
45. Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	2,465	87%	353	13%	2,818	69%	1,126	87%	164	13%	1,290	31%	4,109
46. Santa Clara Probation Dept.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	169	80%	43	20%	212	100%	212
47. Santa Cruz Sheriff's Dept.	254	88%	34	12%	288	46%	284	85%	49	15%	333	54%	621
48. Shasta Sheriff's Dept.	219	86%	37	14%	256	71%	82	80%	20	20%	102	29%	358
49. Sierra Sheriff's Dept.	4	79%	1	21%	5	64%	3	100%	0	0%	3	36%	7
50. Siskiyou Sheriff's Dept.	81	92%	7	8%	88	82%	17	86%	3	14%	19	18%	108
51. Solano Sheriff's Dept.	649	85%	112	15%	761	72%	254	84%	47	16%	301	28%	1,063
52. Sonoma Sheriff's Dept.	550	86%	88	14%	638	56%	432	87%	67	13%	500	44%	1,138
53. Stanislaus Sheriff's Dept.	679	85%	119	15%	798	67%	339	85%	60	15%	398	33%	1,197
54. Sutter Sheriff's Dept.	147	89%	18	11%	165	64%	71	78%	20	22%	91	36%	257
55. Tehama Sheriff's Dept.	84	87%	13	13%	97	50%	82	83%	16	17%	98	50%	195
56. Trinity Sheriff's Dept.	13	62%	8	38%	21	54%	16	89%	2	11%	18	46%	39
57. Tulare Sheriff's Dept.	664	89%	80	11%	744	62%	400	86%	63	14%	463	38%	1,207
58. Tuolumne Sheriff's Dept.	58	82%	13	18%	71	57%	45	82%	10	18%	54	43%	125
59. Ventura Sheriff's Dept.	695	88%	93	12%	787	50%	664	86%	112	14%	776	50%	1,563
60. Ventura Work Furlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	96	80%	24	20%	120	100%	120
61. Yolo Sheriff's Dept.	316	86%	51	14%	367	87%	39	73%	15	27%	53	13%	421
62. Yuba Sheriff's Dept.	282	79%	76	21%	358	87%	43	81%	10	19%	53	13%	411
Statewide	44,034	88%	6,140	12%	50,174	65%	23,397	86%	3,827	14%	27,224	35%	77,398

Jail Populations: Quarter 2, 2003 Versus Quarter 2, 2004

Jurisdiction	Qtr 2, 2003	Qtr 2, 2004	Qtr 2, 2004 Minus Qtr 2, 2003	Qtr 2, 2003 To Qtr 2, 2004 % +/-	% of Total Increase or Decrease	Cum %	Cum Total
Orange Sheriff's Dept.	5,000	5,707	707	14.1%	25.1%	25.06%	707
San Diego Sheriff's Dept.	4,865	5,176	311	6.4%	11.0%	36.09%	1,018
Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.	3,320	3,561	241	7.3%	8.5%	44.63%	1,259
Imperial Sheriff's Dept.	399	636	237	59.4%	8.4%	53.03%	1,496
San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	5,224	5,418	194	3.7%	6.9%	59.91%	1,690
Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	3,948	4,109	161	4.1%	5.7%	65.62%	1,851
Monterey Sheriff's Dept.	1,038	1,124	86	8.3%	3.0%	68.66%	1,937
San Mateo Sheriff's Dept.	1,067	1,151	84	7.9%	3.0%	71.64%	2,021
Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.	1,591	1,675	84	5.3%	3.0%	74.62%	2,105
Sonoma Sheriff's Dept.	1,055	1,138	83	7.9%	2.9%	77.56%	2,188
San Diego Work Furlough	236	307	71	30.1%	2.5%	80.08%	2,259
Stanislaus Sheriff's Dept.	1,130	1,197	67	5.9%	2.4%	82.45%	2,326
Mendocino Sheriff's Dept.	222	278	56	25.2%	2.0%	84.44%	2,382
Santa Ana Police Dept.	357	405	48	13.4%	1.7%	86.14%	2,430
Lake Sheriff's Dept.	204	252	48	23.5%	1.7%	87.84%	2,478
Santa Barbara Sheriff's Dept.	888	918	30	3.4%	1.1%	88.90%	2,508
Merced Sheriff's Dept.	634	663	29	4.6%	1.0%	89.93%	2,537
San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept.	430	457	27	6.3%	1.0%	90.89%	2,564
Ventura Work Furlough	95	120	25	26.3%	0.9%	91.78%	2,589
Tehama Sheriff's Dept.	172	195	23	13.4%	0.8%	92.59%	2,612
Alameda Sheriff's Dept.	4,042	4,063	21	0.5%	0.7%	93.34%	2,633
El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.	296	316	20	6.8%	0.7%	94.04%	2,653
San Benito Sheriff's Dept.	103	123	20	19.4%	0.7%	94.75%	2,673
San Joaquin Sheriff's Dept.	1,303	1,322	19	1.5%	0.7%	95.43%	2,692
Sutter Sheriff's Dept.	241	256	15	6.2%	0.5%	95.96%	2,707
Nevada Sheriff's Dept.	165	180	15	9.1%	0.5%	96.49%	2,722
Shasta Sheriff's Dept.	344	358	14	4.1%	0.5%	96.99%	2,736
Amador Sheriff's Dept.	72	85	13	18.1%	0.5%	97.45%	2,749
Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.	37	49	12	32.4%	0.4%	97.87%	2,761
Oakland Police Dept.	101	113	12	11.9%	0.4%	98.30%	2,773
Santa Clara Probation Dept.	201	211	10	5.0%	0.4%	98.65%	2,783
Placer Sheriff's Dept.	487	496	9	1.8%	0.3%	98.97%	2,792
Siskiyou Sheriff's Dept.	101	108	7	6.9%	0.2%	99.22%	2,799
Tulare Sheriff's Dept.	1,200	1,207	7	0.6%	0.2%	99.47%	2,806
Inyo Sheriff's Dept.	69	75	6	8.7%	0.2%	99.68%	2,812
Plumas Sheriff's Dept.	54	60	6	11.1%	0.2%	99.89%	2,818
Yolo Sheriff's Dept.	418	420	2	0.5%	0.1%	99.96%	2,820
Sierra Sheriff's Dept.	6	7	1	16.7%	0.0%	100.00%	2,821
Calaveras Sheriff's Dept.	77	77	0	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%	2,821
Napa Corrections Dept.	232	232	0	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%	2,821
Madera Corrections Dept.	355	355	0	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%	2,821
Trinity Sheriff's Dept.	41	40	-1	-2.4%	0.1%	0.15%	-1
Santa Cruz Sheriff's Dept.	622	621	-1	-0.2%	0.1%	0.30%	-2
Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.	123	122	-1	-0.8%	0.1%	0.44%	-3
Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	51	47	-4	-7.8%	0.6%	1.04%	-7
Modoc Sheriff's Dept.	33	29	-4	-12.1%	0.6%	1.63%	-11
Kings Sheriff's Dept.	292	287	-5	-1.7%	0.7%	2.37%	-16
Mono Sheriff's Dept.	29	23	-6	-20.7%	0.9%	3.25%	-22
Marin Sheriff's Dept.	286	280	-6	-2.1%	0.9%	4.14%	-28
Fresno Sheriff's Dept.	3,025	3,018	-7	-0.2%	1.0%	5.18%	-35
Tuolumne Sheriff's Dept.	135	126	-9	-6.7%	1.3%	6.51%	-44
Yuba Sheriff's Dept.	419	410	-9	-2.1%	1.3%	7.84%	-53
Glenn Sheriff's Dept.	137	124	-13	-9.5%	1.9%	9.76%	-66
Ventura Sheriff's Dept.	1,578	1,564	-14	-0.9%	2.1%	11.83%	-80
Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.	380	361	-19	-5.0%	2.8%	14.64%	-99
Butte Sheriff's Dept.	513	493	-20	-3.9%	3.0%	17.60%	-119
Riverside Sheriff's Dept.	3,280	3,260	-20	-0.6%	3.0%	20.56%	-139
Solano Sheriff's Dept.	1,084	1,062	-22	-2.0%	3.3%	23.82%	-161
Lassen Sheriff's Dept.	120	92	-28	-23.3%	4.1%	27.96%	-189
Kern Sheriff's Dept.	2,211	2,146	-65	-2.9%	9.6%	37.57%	-254
San Francisco Sheriff's Dept.	1,914	1,748	-166	-8.7%	24.6%	62.13%	-420
Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.	17,204	16,948	-256	-1.5%	37.9%	100.00%	-676
Totals	75,256	77,401	2,145				
Total Increase:			2,821				
Total Decrease:			-676				

Note: Totals subject to slight variation due to rounding

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 2nd Quarter '03 versus 2nd Quarter '04

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 62 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column C);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column B);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column D);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column G);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column H); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 2,821) and "total decrease" in ADP (-676). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 2,821 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 676 ADP. Subtracting 676 from 2,821 produces the overall increase of 2,145 between the second quarter of 2003 and the second quarter of 2004.
2. The Orange County ADP increase of 707 is 25.1% of the total increase of 2,821. Thirty-eight jurisdictions had increases (down to Sierra Sheriff's Department) with 3 jurisdictions reporting no increase (Calaveras Sheriff's Department, Napa and Madera Corrections Departments.). When you get to Madera Corrections Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 2,821).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Trinity Sheriff's Department to Los Angeles Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 676 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Orange Sheriff's Department to Imperial Sheriff's Department) is 53.03%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for about 53% of the total ADP increase. Two jurisdictions (San Francisco Sheriff's Department and Los Angeles Sheriff's Department) account for about 62% of the decreases.