JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

2003, 1st QUARTER SURVEY RESULTS

FACILITIES STANDARDS AND OPERATIONS DIVISION

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
600 Bercut Drive
Sacramento, CA 95814-0185
(916) 445-5073
www.bdcorr.ca.gov

JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

EXPLANATION OF RESULTS

The following pages contain the Jail Profile Survey results for the first quarter of 2003. Page 1 contains the quarterly totals based upon the monthly and quarterly data submitted to us by the participating jurisdictions. Pages 2 and 3 show the trend data for variables we have been tracking for the last ten years. The remainder of this page provides explanations and clarifications of the data presented.

Page 1

- Unless otherwise noted, "projected totals" are based upon the average per day for the variable in question. For example, there was an average of 75,553 inmates per day in local jails (excluding Type I's) during the three-month span of January to March 2003.
- For some variables (other than ADP), we did not receive data from all jurisdictions. We compute the percentage of the ADP that was housed in the jurisdictions that did supply their data for the variable in question. Next, we increase the received number for the variable in question by an amount that estimates the statewide total. For example, if 90% of the state's ADP was housed in jurisdictions that provided us with the number of Three Strike inmates, we would increase that number by $1/9^{th}$ to estimate the state total.
- The number for "Bookings" is the average of the monthly totals for January, February, and March.
- For the following variables, the numbers presented are the totals as of the mid-quarter (i.e., February 15, 2003); Pretrial Release, Early Release, 2 and 3 Strikes, Felony Warrants, Misdemeanor Warrants, Juveniles in Custody and Undocumented Aliens.
- Assaults is the total number of serious inmate assaults on staff (resulting in a crime report), statewide, during the first quarter of 2003.

Pages 2 and 3

- The data in the 10-Year Summary tables are based upon survey data which the Board has gathered since the early 1980's.
- Prior to 1995, yearly averages were reported to the Board on an annual basis. Yearly averages from 1996 on have been the average across the 12 calendar months. In 1995, the yearly figure reported is the fourth quarter average, since we do not have data from the first three quarters.

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS
JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

Reporting Period: January to March, 2003

County Jail Populations

ADP [a]	75,553 [b]
Holding Areas	1,427
Average "Under the Roof" [c]	76,980 [d]
Highest One Day Count	82,049 [e]

County Jail Populations

Felony	57,851
Misdemeanor	17,702
Total	75,553
Non-Sentenced Males	42,470
Non-Sentenced Females	5,826
Sentenced Males	23,467
Sentenced Females	3,790
Total	75,553
Maximum Security Inmates	22,691
Medium Security Inmates	34,391
Minimum Security Inmates	18,471
Total	75,553

Inmates From Other Jurisdictions

Housed on Federal Contract	3,220
Housed on Contract with CDC	2,591
From Other Counties on Contract	117
Awaiting Transport	1,449
Total	7,377

Special Use Beds

Medical Beds	873
Mental Health Beds	3,995

Released Per Month Due to Lack of Space

Pretrial Release	5,979 [f]
Early Release	7,121 [f]
Total	13,100

Inmates with 2 and 3 Strikes

2 Strike Inmates	3,201 [g]
3 Strike Inmates	1,585 [g]
Total	4,786

Unserved Warrants

Felony Warrants	268,127 [g]
Misdemeanor Warrants	2,036,377 [g]
Total	2,304,504

Other Jail Profile Survey Variables

Bookings Per Month	97,465 [f]
Juveniles in Custody	70 [f]
Criminal / Illegal Aliens	8,915
Assaults On Staff	267

a. The Average Daily Population for all jurisdictions (excluding Type I's) does not include inmates in holding areas.
b. Unless otherwise indicated, the numbers reported are the average across the days in the quarter.
c. "Under the Roof" is the sum of the Average Daily Population plus the average daily number of inmates in Holding Areas.
d. Totals may not be the exact sum of the subtotals due to rounding.
e. The sum of all Highest One Day inmate population counts from all jurisdictions.
f. These data are collected on a monthly basis, this figure is an average of the total numbers collected each month during this quarter.
g. These data are one-day snapshots collected at the end of the quarter.

Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female

California County Jails - For Months Of January - March 2003

		Non-sentenced						Sentenced						
		Male		Female		Total		Male		Female		Total		Total
	Jurisdiction	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
1.	Alameda Sheriff's Dept.	2,656	90%	305	10%	2,962	73%	890	82%	194	18%	1,084	27%	4,046
2.	Amador Sheriff's Dept.	31	89%	4	11%	35	43%	38	82%	8	18%	46	57%	81
3.	Butte Sheriff's Dept.	277	88%	38	12%	315	64%	156	86%	24	14%	180	36%	495
4.	Calaveras Sheriff's Dept.	42	85%	7	15%	49	65%	25	94%	2	6%	26	35%	75
5.	Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	20	86%	3	14%	23	42%	30	94%	2	6%	32	58%	55
6.	Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.	899	86%	144	14%	1,043	65%	492	89%	61	11%	553	35%	1,596
7.	Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.	56	84%	11	16%	67	54%	39	69%	17	31%	57	46%	124
8.	El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.	126	89%	15	11%	142	48%	138	88%	19	12%	156	52%	298
9.	Fresno Sheriff's Dept.	2,187	89%	264	11%	2,452	85%	383	85%	66	15%	449	15%	2,900
10.	Glenn Sheriff's Dept.	72	84%	14	16%	86	68%	37	90%	4	10%	41	32%	127
11.	Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.	212	86%	35	14%	247	66%	112	88%	15	12%	127	34%	374
12.	Imperial Sheriff's Dept.	209	86%	33	14%	242	59%	156	93%	12	7%	168	41%	410
13.	Inyo Sheriff's Dept.	29	81%	7	19%	36	46%	37	89%	5	11%	42	54%	78
14.	Kern Sheriff's Dept.	1,229	87%	180	13%	1,409	64%	626	79%	170	21%	796	36%	2,205
15.	Kings Sheriff's Dept.	118	88%	16	12%	134	45%	137	84%	27	16%	163	55%	297
16.	Lake Sheriff's Dept.	109	86%	18	14%	126	64%	56	79%	15	21%	72	36%	198
17.	Lassen Sheriff's Dept.	43	81%	10	19%	53	45%	51	78%	15	22%	66	55%	118
18.	Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.	10,175	88%	1,345	12%	11,520	63%	5,853	88%	821	12%	6,674	37%	18,194
19.	Madera Corrections Dept.	223	89%	29	11%	252	71%	89	87%	13	13%	103	29%	354
20.	Marin Sheriff's Dept.	210	86%	33	14%	243	87%	30	80%	8	20%	38	13%	281
21.	Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.	15	90%	2	10%	17	45%	18	88%	2	12%	20	55%	37
22.	Mendocino Sheriff's Dept.	136	90%	16	10%	151	64%	73	87%	11	13%	84	36%	235
23.	Merced Sheriff's Dept.	432	91%	45	9%	478	76%	135	88%	19	12%	154	24%	631
24.	Modoc Sheriff's Dept.	14	80%	4	20%	18	56%	10	72%	4	28%	14	44%	32
25.	Mono Sheriff's Dept.	7	71%	3	29%	10	41%	12	78%	3	22%	15	59%	25
26.	Monterey Sheriff's Dept.	391	89%	47	11%	438	43%	515	88%	69	12%	584	57%	1,022
27.	Napa Corrections Dept.	64	89%	8	11%	71	30%	146	86%	23	14%	169	70%	240
28.	Nevada Sheriff's Dept.	70	86%	11	14%	81	55%	54	82%	12	18%	66	45%	147
29.	Oakland Police Dept.	87	81%	20	19%	108	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	108
30.	Orange Sheriff's Dept.	2,187	89%	273	11%	2,460	49%	2,178	87%	337	13%	2,515	51%	4,975
31.	Placer Sheriff's Dept.	244	90%	27	10%	271	55%	182	83%	37	17%	219	45%	490
32.	Plumas Sheriff's Dept.	27	87%	4	13%	31	57%	19	83%	4	17%	23	43%	54
33.	Riverside Sheriff's Dept.	1,991	88%	276	12%	2,267	69%	869	87%	133	13%	1,002	31%	3,269
34.	Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.	1,391	86%	228	14%	1,619	50%	1,433	90%	167	10%	1,600	50%	3,219
35.	San Benito Sheriff's Dept.	44	89%	6	11%	50	49%	48	93%	3	7%	51	51%	100

Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female

California County Jails - For Months Of January - March 2003

		Non-sentenced						Sentenced						
		Male		Female		Total		Male		Female		Total		Total
	Jurisdiction	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
36.	San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	3,397	88%	461	12%	3,858	75%	1,080	83%	223	17%	1,302	25%	5,160
37.	San Diego Sheriff's Dept.	2,456	85%	432	15%	2,888	61%	1,558	85%	267	15%	1,825	39%	4,713
38.	San Diego Work Furlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	186	91%	18	9%	204	100%	204
39.	San Francisco Sheriff's Dept.	1,475	89%	176	11%	1,651	78%	390	83%	78	17%	468	22%	2,119
40.	San Joaquin Sheriff's Dept.	598	86%	98	14%	696	55%	482	86%	81	14%	563	45%	1,259
41.	San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept	154	85%	27	15%	181	43%	213	88%	29	12%	242	57%	423
42.	San Mateo Sheriff's Dept.	474	93%	36	7%	509	50%	411	81%	98	19%	509	50%	1,018
43.	Santa Ana Police Dept.	253	91%	25	9%	277	97%	6	75%	2	25%	8	3%	285
44.	Santa Barbara Sheriff's Dept.	450	86%	74	14%	523	60%	312	88%	42	12%	354	40%	877
45.	Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	2,371	89%	290	11%	2,661	70%	1,003	88%	133	12%	1,136	30%	3,797
46.	Santa Clara Probation Dept.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	155	80%	38	20%	193	100%	193
47.	Santa Cruz Sheriff's Dept.	243	87%	37	13%	280	47%	266	86%	45	14%	311	53%	591
48.	Shasta Sheriff's Dept.	225	87%	34	13%	259	72%	78	77%	23	23%	102	28%	361
49.	Sierra Sheriff's Dept.	4	92%	0	8%	4	72%	1	80%	0	20%	2	28%	6
50.	Siskiyou Sheriff's Dept.	62	89%	8	11%	70	72%	24	89%	3	11%	27	28%	97
51.	Solano Sheriff's Dept.	679	86%	114	14%	794	72%	263	85%	47	15%	310	28%	1,103
52.	Sonoma Sheriff's Dept.	489	89%	63	11%	552	54%	420	88%	57	12%	476	46%	1,028
53.	Stanislaus Sheriff's Dept.	623	87%	93	13%	716	64%	353	86%	57	14%	410	36%	1,126
54.	Sutter Sheriff's Dept.	141	86%	22	14%	164	67%	57	70%	25	30%	81	33%	245
55.	Tehama Sheriff's Dept.	74	86%	12	14%	86	52%	70	86%	11	14%	81	48%	168
56.	Trinity Sheriff's Dept.	22	91%	2	9%	24	57%	15	83%	3	17%	18	43%	42
57.	Tulare Sheriff's Dept.	653	90%	75	10%	727	60%	420	86%	68	14%	487	40%	1,215
58.	Tuolumne Sheriff's Dept.	45	87%	7	13%	51	44%	55	85%	10	15%	65	56%	116
59.	Ventura Sheriff's Dept.	941	88%	131	12%	1,072	68%	423	85%	73	15%	496	32%	1,568
60.	Ventura Work Furlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	77	83%	16	17%	93	100%	93
61.	Yolo Sheriff's Dept.	317	85%	55	15%	371	87%	41	76%	13	24%	53	13%	425
62.	Yuba Sheriff's Dept.	299	79%	77	21%	377	88%	45	84%	8	16%	53	12%	430
	Statewide	**42,470**	**88%**	**5,826**	**12%**	**48,296**	**64%**	**23,467**	**86%**	**3,790**	**14%**	**27,257**	**36%**	**75,553**

Jail Profile Survey

2003

Average Daily Population

10 Year Summary									
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
67,576	69,233	71,107	72,007	76,894	79,143	76,311	74,868	73,824	75,156

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	73,869	75,604	75,544	75,581	75,156
2003	75,553				75,553



After reaching a peak of 79,143 inmates in 1998, the ADP declined through 2001, when the ADP reached 73,842 inmates. In 2002, the ADP increased to 75,156, reversing the downward trend. Would the ADP continue to increase in 2003? So far the answer is a qualified, "yes." The ADP for the 1st Quarter of 2003 was almost 1,400-inmates higher than for the 1st Quarter of 2002 (the 1st Quarter typically having the lowest ADP during a calendar year). However, virtually all of the increase occurred between the 1st and 2nd Quarters of 2002, and the ADP has remained about the same since then. Was the increase a short-term adjustment or the beginning of a sustained trend? Next Quarter's results should provide an answer.

Non-sentenced ADP

10 Year Summary									
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
35,899	39,122	42,237	42,539	44,593	45,303	44,493	44,943	45,204	46,817

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	46,341	46,941	47,438	46,541	46,817
2003	48,296				48,296



There is no doubt that we are in a period of sustained growth in the number on non-sentenced inmates. The results for the 1st Quarter of 2003 indicate that there are currently 48,296 non-sentenced inmates in local jails. This is almost 3,000 more than in the 1st Quarter of 2002. The number of non-sentenced inmates has been increasing steadily for the last 20 years. The number has doubled since 1985 from 24,000 to 48,000 inmates.

Sentenced ADP

10 Year Summary									
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
31,677	30,142	28,870	29,468	32,301	33,841	31,819	29,925	28,620	28,338

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	27,529	28,663	28,107	29,041	28,338
2003	27,257				27,257



In the 1st Quarter of 2003, there were 27,257 sentenced inmates in local jails. This number decreased significantly in just one quarter (1,800 fewer than in the 4th Quarter of 2002). The current number of sentenced inmates is lower than it was 17 years ago (1986), when the total ADP was 20,000 fewer than it is today! Clearly, given the limited jail space, priority must be given to the housing of non-sentenced versus sentenced inmates. Consistent with this statement is that fact that over 13,000 individuals per month, in the 1st Quarter of 2003, were not incarcerated or were released early from their sentences due solely to lack of jail space.

Jail Profile Survey

2003

Percentage Non-sentenced

10 Year Summary									
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
53%	57%	59%	59%	58%	57%	58%	60%	61%	62%

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	63%	62%	63%	62%	62%
2003	64%				64%



The significant rise in the number of non-sentenced inmates, and the steady decline in the number of sentenced inmates, results in the non-sentenced inmates constituting an ever-increasing percentage of the total ADP. In 1985, 49% of the ADP was non-sentenced. By 2002, the non-sentenced percentage had reached 62%. In the 1st Quarter of 2003, the non-sentenced percentage increased to it's highest level ever, 64%. This is the most significant trend currently being monitored by the Jail Profile Survey. The trend raises many significant jail-management issues.

Average Number of Persons Booked Per Month

10 Year Summary									
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
105,593	103,996	97,589	101,942	99,182	98,860	97,008	98,754	96,695	98,668

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	97,628	98,417	100,008	98,592	98,668
2003	97,465				97,465



The number of persons booked per month in the 1st Quarter of 2003 was virtually the same as the number booked in the 1st Quarter of 2002, and very similar to the average booked per month in 1995. During the 1995 through 2002 time span, the number booked per month ranged from a low of 92,000 to a high of 105,000. Based upon this range, and the fact that the bookings per month are currently below the eight-year average, we would expect the bookings to increase during 2003.

Average Length Of Stay

10 Year Summary									
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
									32.3

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	38.8	30.8	29.1	30.7	32.3
2003	23.7				23.7



We are currently experimenting with a new procedure to measure Average Length of Stay. As the reader can see, the ALS computed with the new procedure has fluctuated more than one would expect (from 38.8 days in the 1st Quarter of 2002 to 23.7 days in the 1st Quarter of 2003). When we feel confident that the ALS measurement is reliable and accurate, we will so state in a future Quarterly Report. Until then, please exercise caution when interpreting these results.

Jail Populations: Quarter 1, 2002 Versus Quarter 1, 2003

Jurisdiction	Qtr 1, 2002	Qtr 1, 2003	Qtr 1, 2003 Minus Qtr 1, 2002	Qtr 1, 2002 To Qtr 1, 2003 % +/-	% of Total Increase or Decrease	Cum %	Cum Total
Fresno Sheriff's Dept.	2,239	2,901	662	29.6%	24.0%	24.01%	662
Orange Sheriff's Dept.	4,656	4,975	319	6.9%	11.6%	35.58%	981
San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	4,917	5,160	243	4.9%	8.8%	44.40%	1,224
Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.	3,002	3,219	217	7.2%	7.9%	52.27%	1,441
Ventura Sheriff's Dept.	1,359	1,568	209	15.4%	7.6%	59.85%	1,650
Alameda Sheriff's Dept.	3,901	4,045	144	3.7%	5.2%	65.07%	1,794
Monterey Sheriff's Dept.	912	1,022	110	12.1%	4.0%	69.06%	1,904
San Francisco Sheriff's Dept.	2,010	2,118	108	5.4%	3.9%	72.98%	2,012
Santa Barbara Sheriff's Dept.	783	878	95	12.1%	3.4%	76.42%	2,107
Kern Sheriff's Dept.	2,140	2,204	64	3.0%	2.3%	78.75%	2,171
San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept.	362	423	61	16.9%	2.2%	80.96%	2,232
Solano Sheriff's Dept.	1,047	1,103	56	5.3%	2.0%	82.99%	2,288
Glenn Sheriff's Dept.	77	128	51	66.2%	1.8%	84.84%	2,339
Santa Cruz Sheriff's Dept.	549	591	42	7.7%	1.5%	86.36%	2,381
San Joaquin Sheriff's Dept.	1,218	1,259	41	3.4%	1.5%	87.85%	2,422
El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.	264	299	35	13.3%	1.3%	89.12%	2,457
Yuba Sheriff's Dept.	401	430	29	7.2%	1.1%	90.17%	2,486
Stanislaus Sheriff's Dept.	1,098	1,126	28	2.6%	1.0%	91.19%	2,514
Riverside Sheriff's Dept.	3,244	3,269	25	0.8%	0.9%	92.09%	2,539
Madera Corrections Dept.	331	354	23	6.9%	0.8%	92.93%	2,562
Placer Sheriff's Dept.	468	490	22	4.7%	0.8%	93.73%	2,584
Inyo Sheriff's Dept.	56	78	22	39.3%	0.8%	94.52%	2,606
Tulare Sheriff's Dept.	1,192	1,214	22	1.8%	0.8%	95.32%	2,628
San Diego Sheriff's Dept.	4,692	4,713	21	0.4%	0.8%	96.08%	2,649
Yolo Sheriff's Dept.	406	425	19	4.7%	0.7%	96.77%	2,668
Amador Sheriff's Dept.	64	81	17	26.6%	0.6%	97.39%	2,685
Plumas Sheriff's Dept.	39	54	15	38.5%	0.5%	97.93%	2,700
Nevada Sheriff's Dept.	132	147	15	11.4%	0.5%	98.48%	2,715
Imperial Sheriff's Dept.	396	410	14	3.5%	0.5%	98.98%	2,729
Butte Sheriff's Dept.	487	494	7	1.4%	0.3%	99.24%	2,736
Napa Corrections Dept.	233	240	7	3.0%	0.3%	99.49%	2,74[illegible]
Calaveras Sheriff's Dept.	70	76	6	8.6%	0.2%	99.71%	2,74[illegible]
Santa Clara Probation Dept.	190	193	3	1.6%	0.1%	99.82%	2,752
Sierra Sheriff's Dept.	4	6	2	50.0%	0.1%	99.89%	2,754
San Diego Work Furlough	202	204	2	1.0%	0.1%	99.96%	2,756
Mono Sheriff's Dept.	25	26	1	4.0%	0.0%	100.00%	2,757
Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.	375	374	-1	-0.3%	0.1%	0.09%	-1
Modoc Sheriff's Dept.	33	32	-1	-3.0%	0.1%	0.19%	-2
Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	56	55	-1	-1.8%	0.1%	0.28%	-3
Lassen Sheriff's Dept.	120	118	-2	-1.7%	0.2%	0.47%	-5
San Benito Sheriff's Dept.	103	100	-3	-2.9%	0.3%	0.75%	-8
Siskiyou Sheriff's Dept.	99	96	-3	-3.0%	0.3%	1.03%	-11
Merced Sheriff's Dept.	635	631	-4	-0.6%	0.4%	1.41%	-15
Sutter Sheriff's Dept.	248	244	-4	-1.6%	0.4%	1.78%	-19
Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.	41	37	-4	-9.8%	0.4%	2.16%	-23
Tehama Sheriff's Dept.	173	168	-5	-2.9%	0.5%	2.62%	-28
Lake Sheriff's Dept.	204	199	-5	-2.5%	0.5%	3.09%	-33
Ventura Work Furlough	98	93	-5	-5.1%	0.5%	3.56%	-38
Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.	129	123	-6	-4.7%	0.6%	4.12%	-44
Santa Ana Police Dept.	292	285	-7	-2.4%	0.7%	4.78%	-51
Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	3,804	3,797	-7	-0.2%	0.7%	5.44%	-58
Tuolumne Sheriff's Dept.	128	116	-12	-9.4%	1.1%	6.56%	-70
Trinity Sheriff's Dept.	55	42	-13	-23.6%	1.2%	7.78%	-83
Sonoma Sheriff's Dept.	1,041	1,028	-13	-1.2%	1.2%	9.00%	-96
Kings Sheriff's Dept.	312	297	-15	-4.8%	1.4%	10.40%	-111
Oakland Police Dept.	124	109	-15	-12.1%	1.4%	11.81%	-126
Marin Sheriff's Dept.	299	281	-18	-6.0%	1.7%	13.50%	-144
San Mateo Sheriff's Dept.	1,064	1,018	-46	-4.3%	4.3%	17.81%	-190
Shasta Sheriff's Dept.	414	361	-53	-12.8%	5.0%	22.77%	-243
Mendocino Sheriff's Dept.	292	235	-57	-19.5%	5.3%	28.12%	-300
Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.	1,740	1,596	-144	-8.3%	13.5%	41.61%	-444
Scapular House	201		-201	-100.0%	18.8%	60.45%	-645
Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.	18,616	18,194	-422	-2.3%	39.6%	100.00%	-1,06[illegible]
	73,862	75,552	1,690	Note: Totals subject to slight variation due to rounding			
		Total Increase:	2,757				
		Total Decrease:	-1,067				

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 1st Quarter '02 versus 1st Quarter '03

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 63 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column C);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column B);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column D);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column G);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column H); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 2,757) and "total decrease" in ADP (-1,067). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 2,757 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 1,067 ADP. Subtracting 1,067 from 2,757 produces the overall increase of 1,690 between the first quarter of 2002 and the first quarter of 2003.
2. The Fresno ADP increase of 662 is 24.0% of the total increase of 2,757. Thirty-six jurisdictions had increases (down to Mono Sheriff's Department). When you get to Mono Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 2,757).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Humboldt Sheriff's Department to Los Angeles Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 1,067 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Fresno Sheriff's Department to Sacramento Sheriff's Department) is 52.27%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for about 52% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (Contra Costa Sheriff's Department, Scapular House, and Los Angeles Sheriff's Department) account for about 71.9% of the decreases. Please note that Scapular House will not be reporting on the Jail Profile Survey for 2003, which accounts for approximately 19% of the total decrease.