### **INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS**

# TITLE 15 – CRIME PREVENTION AND CORRECTIONS DIVISION 1, CHAPTER 1, SUBCHAPTER 1. STANDARDS AND TRAINING OF LOCAL CORRECTIONS AND PROBATION OFFICERS

§ 233. Regular Certification and Revocation. This regulation describes the procedure for course certification. It also states that a course is valid for one year after certification. This regulation is outdated. The limitation of a one year certification is restrictive and places a unnecessary burden on the time resources for participating local agencies. The change brings the practice in line with the current availability of electronic processes. The purpose is to clarify language and lessen confusion.

The citations in the note section were modified to align properly with BSCC's statutory authority. There is no operational or fiscal impact. The BSCC has determined that there is no benefit to the public health and safety, worker safety, or the environment.

### **PURPOSE**

This revision was made to bring the Standards and Training of Local Corrections and Probation Officers Program regulation current. Participation in the program is voluntary. The BSCC set out to provide participating cities and counties with a clear and concise guide to navigate the program and guidelines. Benefits of these revisions will include enhanced understanding of required training for those program participates.

### PROBLEMS THIS PROPOSED REGULATION SEEKS TO ADDRESS

The proposed amendment to Title 15, Subchapter 1 Standards and Training of Local Corrections and Probation Officers brings this regulation up to date and lessens the resource burden of the participating local agencies by extending the validation of a course certification from one year to two years. These regulations were recently updated and revised but this one regulation was inadvertently left out of the recent process.

### BENEFITS ANTICIPATED FROM THE PROPOSED REGULATION

The BSCC anticipates several benefits from the proposed regulation amendment, including an increased understanding of training and program requirements for agencies voluntarily participating in the program and a less time consuming stream lined process for certifying training courses.

1

# TECHNICAL, THEORETICAL, AND/OR EMPIRICAL STUDY, REPORTS, OR DOCUMENTS.

Except for the Authorizing Government Code (Section 15820.92-15820.926), the BSCC did not rely upon any other technical, theoretical, or empirical studies, reports or documents in proposing the adoption of these regulations.

# REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION AND THE AGENCY'S REASONS FOR REJECTING THOSE ALTERNATIVES.

No other alternatives were presented to or considered by the BSCC.

# REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED REGULATORY ACTION THAT WOULD LESSEN ANY ADVERSE IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESS.

The BSCC has not identified any alternatives that would lessen any adverse impact on small businesses.

# EVIDENCE SUPPORTING FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT STATEWIDE ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT DIRECTLY AFFECTING BUSINESS.

The BSCC has determined that, the proposed regulation would not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly on businesses.

### **ECONOMIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

#### **PURPOSE**

The BSCC proposes to amend the Title 15, Division 1, Chapter 1, Subchapter 1 Standards and Training of Local Corrections and Probation Officers, Section 233 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR).

Through this amendment the following section will be implemented, interpreted and/or made specific by this proposed rulemaking: Authority: Section 6035, Penal Code. Reference: Section 6036, Penal Code.

### THE CREATION OR ELIMINATION OF JOBS WITHIN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

These regulations address the technical aspect of program participation and only affect cities and counties voluntarily participating in the program. Therefore, BSCC has determined that this regulatory action will not have an impact on the creation of jobs, but will not affect the elimination of jobs in the State of California.

# THE CREATION OF NEW BUSINESSES OR THE ELIMINATION OF EXISTING BUSINESSES WITHIN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

These regulations address the technical aspect of program participation and only affect cities and counties voluntarily participating in the program. Therefore, BSCC has determined that these regulations will not have an effect on the creation of new businesses or the elimination of existing businesses within the State of California.

# THE EXPANSION OF BUSINESSES CURRENTLY DOING BUSINESS WITHIN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

These regulations address the technical aspect of program participation and only affect cities and counties voluntarily participating in the program. Therefore, BSCC has determined that these regulations will not have an effect on the expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California.

# BENEFITS OF THE REGULATIONS TO THE HEALTH AND WELFARE OF CALIFORNIA RESIDENTS, WORKER SAFETY, AND THE STATE'S ENVIRONMENT

These regulations address the technical aspect of program participation and only affect cities and counties voluntarily participating in the program. Therefore, BSCC has determined that the state's environment will not be affected by the adoption of these regulations. The BSCC has determined that there will not be an impact on the health and welfare of California residents and worker's safety.

3