

Board of State and Community Corrections

Byrne State Crisis Intervention Program Crisis Intervention Advisory Board Meeting

BACKGROUND

- Byrne State Crisis Intervention Program (Byrne SCIP)
- Formula Solicitations Released by BJA in October of 2022 to Fund:
 - State Gun Crisis Intervention Court Proceedings and,
 - Related programs including, but not limited to:
 - extreme risk protection order programs that work to keep firearms out of the hands of people who pose a threat to themselves or others
 - mental health courts
 - drug courts
 - veterans' treatment courts
- Authorized by the Bipartisan Safer Communities Act of 2022

Extreme Risk Protection Order Programs

Empowers family members, health care providers, school officials, or law enforcement to petition a court to temporarily prevent a person from accessing firearms if they are found to be a danger to themselves or others.

Referred to in California as **Gun Violence Restraining Orders** (GVRO)

- Implement GVRO Programs
- Training for judiciary and court staff on GVRO proceedings
- Training for family members on GVRO
- Training for first responders on GVRO

Communication, Education, and Public Awareness

Outreach to community members, stakeholders, municipal leaders, law enforcement agencies, and those engaging with at-risk individuals

Related Programs or Initiatives

- Related court-based, behavioral health deflection, and gun safety programs or initiatives include, but are not limited to:
 - Specialized court-based programs such as drug, mental health, and veterans' treatment courts, including those that specifically accept clients with firearm violations
 - Behavioral health deflection for those at risk to themselves or others
 - Funding for law enforcement agencies to safely secure, store, track, and return relinquished guns

BSCC Application

BJA FY 2022 – FY 2023 Byrne State Crisis Intervention Program (SCIP) Formula Allocations

State/Territory	A. Total Award	B. State Share	C. Required Local	D. Required Local	Maximum for Direct
	Amount	(60% per Formula)	Pass-through (Direct)	Pass-through (<\$10,000)	Administrative Costs
Alabama	\$4,489,140	\$2,693,484	\$1,287,834	\$507,822	\$448,914
Alaska	\$1,449,121	\$869,472	\$532,566	\$47,083	\$144,912
American Samoa	\$431,794	\$431,794	\$0	\$0	\$43,179
Arizona	\$6,111,652	\$3,666,991	\$2,253,220	\$191,441	\$611,165
Arkansas	\$3,210,628	\$1,926,377	\$932,845	\$351,407	\$321,063
California	\$29,231,074	\$17,538,645	\$10,694,933	\$997,497	\$2,923,107

- Application submitted in December 2022 for \$29.2 Million
- BJA has not made award announcements

Crisis Intervention Advisory Board

States must form a diverse Crisis Intervention Advisory Board to inform and guide the state's gun and violence reduction programs/initiatives.

Representation

 The Board must include, but is not limited to, representatives from law enforcement, the community, courts, prosecution, behavioral health providers, victim services, and legal counsel.

Crisis Intervention Advisory Board Role

Program and budget plans must be developed in coordination with and demonstrate evidence of approval by the Crisis Intervention Advisory Board.

Director Francine Byrne

Criminal Justice Services | Operations and Programs Division

Judicial Council of California





- California has some of the strictest gun laws in the country but there is much work remaining.
- Byrne/SCIP funding requested to support two major initiatives:
 - Conduct research to identify best practices for firearms relinquishment in criminal courts
 - Fund programs that address behavioral health needs for people in the criminal court system who are likely to use guns or be the victims of gun violence.



Conduct research to identify best practices for firearms relinquishment in criminal courts.

- Proposition 63 outlines procedures for firearms relinquishment in criminal courts
- Some counties report challenges related to the implementation of regulation.
- Procedures are varied from county to county
- Research is needed to identify the processes in each jurisdiction.
- Research finding will help identify best practices
- Resources will be developed to aid local jurisdiction in developing protocols as needed.
- Project will leverage resources begin devoted to gun relinquishment in civil restraining order cases.



Fund programs that address behavioral health needs for people in the criminal court system who are likely to use guns or be the victims of gun violence.

- Per legislation, funding is available to address underlying behavioral health issues in collaborative courts
 - Mental Health Courts
 - Drug Courts
 - Veterans Treatment Courts



Preliminary Program and Budget Plan

- BSCC Application included a preliminary program plan and budget
- Proposed Program intended to:
 - develop a multipronged approach to decreasing gun violence in California;
 - to support local jurisdictions in their efforts to improve firearms relinquishment procedures;
 - and to support the enhancement of collaborative court programs that address behavioral health issues with a focus on people who are at higher risk for gun violence.
- Preliminary Plan would implement this program via three sets of subawards

Preliminary Program and Budget Plan – Proposed Subawards

- Subaward to the California Judicial Council \$16,784,405 to expand and enhance collaborative courts.
- Subaward to the California Judicial Council \$997,497 to expand and enhance collaborative courts in state courts that serve California's "Less-than-\$10,000 jurisdictions."
- Subawards to **units of local government** totaling **\$10,694,933** to fund program activities including, but not limited to:
 - law enforcement programs to safely secure, store, track, and return relinquished guns,
 - behavioral health deflection programs for those at risk to themselves or others, and
 - programs that support collaborative court processes.

Preliminary Program and Budget Plan – Proposed Subawards

- The proposed budget allocates \$754,239 in administrative costs to the BSCC (approximately 3% of the total award)
 - The Byrne SCIP allocation allows for up to 10% of the award to be allocated for administrative costs.
 - A portion of the administrative costs will be required by the Judicial Council to manage their subawards
- Pending Award will consist of funds from FY 2022 and 2023 combined into a single award
 - Four-Year Grant Performance Period October 2022 to September 2026
 - Additional Three Years of funding anticipated (FY 2024-2026)

Questions?

