CALIFORNIA VIOLENCE INTERVENTION AND PREVENTION (CalVIP) GRANT

Total Grant Funding Available for Competitive Grants

Funding Categories	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	TOTAL	
Category 1: Cities	\$12,250,000	\$3,775,000	\$16,025,000	
Category 2: CBOs	\$12,250,000	\$3,775,000	\$16,025,000	
Category 3: Small Cities	\$3,000,000	\$0	\$3,000,000	
TOTAL	\$27,500,000	\$7,550,000	\$35,050,000	

Category 1: City Applicants in Rank Order for Funding

Thirteen applicants competed for funding within Category 1. Applicants in Ranks 1-12 met the minimum scoring threshold of 50 percent set by the CalVIP Executive Steering Committee (ESC) and are being recommended for funding, as shown below.

Rank	Applicant	Amount Requested	Recommended Funding Amount
1	City of Salinas	\$1,007,121	\$1,007,121
2	City of San Bernardino	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
3	City of Oakland Department of Violence Prevention	\$1,499,672	\$1,499,672
4	City of San Jose Mayor's Gang Prevention Task Force	\$630,340	\$630,340
5	City of Richmond Office of Neighborhood Safety	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
6	City of Stockton	\$1,499,917	\$1,499,917
7	City of Sacramento	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
8	San Francisco Police Department	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
9	City of Long Beach	\$1,102,698	\$1,102,698
10	City of Fresno Police Department	\$1,263,368	\$1,263,368
11	City of Bakersfield	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
12	City of Oxnard Police Department	\$500,000	\$500,000
Recommended Funding:			\$15,003,116

Category 2: CBO Applicants in Rank Order for Funding

Forty-nine applicants competed for funding within Category 2. Applicants in Ranks 1-14 met the minimum scoring threshold and are being recommended for funding, as shown below. The applicant in Rank 14 fell at the funding cut-off point and will be recommended for partial funding. Note: Grant funds available for Category 2 include the original amount of \$16,025,000 as well as \$1,021,884 in unspent funds from Category 1.

Rank	Applicant	Amount Requested	Recommended Funding Amount
1	Youth ALIVE!	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
2	Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission	\$925,000	\$925,000
3	Los Angeles Brotherhood Crusade, Black United Fund, Inc.	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
4	Kitchens for Good	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
5	Safe Passages (Advance Peace)	\$1,342,386	\$1,342,386
6	Sierra Health Foundation Center for Health Program Management	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
7	Lundquist Institute for Biomedical Innovation at Harbor- UCLA Medical Center	\$1,499,999	\$1,499,999
8	South Bay Community Services	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
9	Watts Gang Task Force Council	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
10	Fresh Lifelines for Youth, Inc.	\$1,033,839	\$1,033,839
11	Soledad Enrichment Action, Inc.	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
12	The Regents of the University of California (Davis)	\$966,049	\$966,049
13	Catholic Charities of the Diocese of Oakland	\$1,062,957	\$1,062,957
14	Southern California Crossroads	\$1,500,00	\$216,654
Recommended Funding:			\$17,046,884

Category 3: Small City Applicants in Rank Order for Funding

Eight applicants competed for funding within Category 3. Applicants in Ranks 1-6 met the minimum scoring threshold and are being recommended for funding, as shown below. The applicant in Rank 6 fell at the funding cut-off point and will be recommended for partial funding.

Rank	Applicant	Amount Requested	Recommended Funding Amount
1	City of Grass Valley	\$574,695	\$574,695
2	City of Parlier	\$600,000	\$600,000
3	City of Gustine	\$593,487	\$593,487
4	City of King City	\$461,171	\$461,171
5	City of Greenfield	\$484,170	\$484,170
6	City of Marysville	\$567,000	\$286,477
Recommended Funding:			\$3,000,000

Summary of Total Applicants Funded versus Not Funded

Category	Number of Grants Recommended for Funding	Total Funding	Number of Grants <u>Not</u> Recommended for Funding	Total Request Not Funded
Category 1: Cities	12	\$15,003,116	1	\$1,500,000
Category 2: CBOs	14	\$17,046,884	35	\$34,085,768*
Category 3: Small Cities	6	\$3,00,000	2	\$1,060,149
Totals	32	\$35,050,000	38	\$36,645,917
City of Los Angeles**	1	\$2,000,000		
Grand Total	33	\$37,050,000		

^{*}Includes \$1,283,346 not awarded to the CBO Applicant in Rank 14, because funds were exhausted.

^{**}The City of Los Angeles receives a non-competitive award of \$1 million each fiscal year.