Local Evaluation Plan Scoring Rubric

Scores

0 points	The section is completely missing.
1 point	Minimal required information is provided but almost all of it is unclear, illogical, or inaccurate.
2 points	Some required information is provided but much of it is unclear, illogical, or inaccurate.
3 points	Most required information is provided but a small portion is unclear, illogical, or inaccurate.
4 points	All required information is present, clear, logical, and accurate.

1. Cover Page   Max Points: 4

Measure	0	1	2	3	4
1a	Includes a descriptive report title, and identifies the grantees, authors, contact information, project time period, and funding source.					
Comments:					

2. Project Background   Max Points: 20

Measure	0	1	2	3	4
2a	Provides information essential to understand the project and the need for the project.					
2b	Defines target population ex: gender, age, risk factors, prior involvement with the juvenile justice system, etc.).					
2c	Describes the criteria used to determine participant eligibility.					
2d	Describes the process for determining which intervention(s) and/or services a participant needs and will receive.					
2e	Describes the project's goal(s) and objectives (as stated in the original proposal's **Work Plan**).					
Comments:					

3. Outcome Evaluation   Max Points: 36

Measure	0	1	2	3	4
4a	Describes the research design that will be used in the outcome evaluation.					
4b	Includes a set of evaluation questions. These **must** include the goals and objectives from the original proposal, but may also include more outcome-oriented questions.					
4c	Provides the estimated number of participants expected to receive each type of intervention/service.					
4d	Thoroughly describes all outcome variables and identifies how they will be defined and measured (tools/instruments used to collect the data and frequency of collection).					
4e	Describes how the outcome data will be collected, the timing of data collection, and the data source(s) used.					
4f	Describes the criteria for determining participant success in the project					
4g	Describes how all quantitative and qualitative outcome data will be analyzed. Describe the statistical tools used to analyze quantitative data (e.g., descriptive					

		0	1	2	3	4
	statistics, chi-square, etc.) and your method used for analyzing qualitative data (identifying themes, content analysis, etc.). You don't need to state the analysis type for each evaluation activity separately.					
4h	Describes the strategy for determining whether outcomes are due to the project and not some other factor(s) unrelated to the project, including a description of a comparison group, when applicable.					
4i	If multiple types of interventions will be employed, includes a description of how the separate effects on outcome variables of each type of the intervention will be determined, if possible.					
Comments:						

4. Logic Model	Max Points: 12

Measure	0	1	2	3	4
5a	Shows the logical relationships between Inputs/resources, Activities, Outputs, Outcomes, and Impacts of the project.					
5b	Includes pertinent project activities and outcome variables outlined in the narrative.					
5c	Displayed in a simple and easy-to-follow format.					
Comments:						

Total Scores

Unacceptable	0 to 35 points
Poor	36 to 43 points
Fair	44 to 50 points
Good	51 to 57 points
Exemplary	58 to 72 points