# **JUVENILE DETENTION PROFILE SURVEY**

Fourth Quarter Calendar Year 2012

**Survey Results** 



Leadership

## **BOARD OF STATE AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS**

**FACILITIES STANDARDS AND OPERATIONS DIVISION** 600 Bercut Drive, Sacramento, CA 95811 916.445.5073 PHONE 916.327.3317 FAX

bscc.ca.gov





## **EXPLANATION OF RESULTS**

The following pages contain the Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results for the Fourth Quarter of Calendar Year 2012. Page 3 contains totals for major categories such as Highest One-Day Population, Average Daily Population (ADP), gender, and the age range of detained minors. Page 4 contains information regarding counties' ADP and Rated Capacity (RC) breakouts and Page 5 contains information about a wide range of juvenile detention facility issues including crowding, mental health needs, average length of stay, and number of bookings.

Pages 6, 7, and 8 contain trend information compiled from calendar year 2007 through calendar year 2012. The trend data is separated into Juvenile Hall related data and Camp related data.

Please keep the following in mind when reviewing this information:

- For Overall Capacity, Crowding (highest one-day population the count of minors in detention on the day of each county's highest population) and ADP (the average daily detention population for the reporting period), we have complete data from all jurisdictions in the state that operate juvenile detention facilities.
- Each jurisdiction provides us with the average population, computed across all the days in the month, for each of the three months in the quarter. The weighted average across the three months is then computed for each jurisdiction (with the monthly averages weighted by the number of days in the month). The jurisdictions ADPs are then combined to produce the state's total ADP.
- For some variables (other than ADP), we did not receive complete data from all jurisdictions. In such instances, we used statistical procedures to estimate the statewide total.
- Felony/misdemeanor, gender counts, and age-range breakouts are based upon a one-day snapshot (on the 15th day of the final month of the quarter). These values are used to determine the percentage of the population in each felony/misdemeanor, gender, and age category. The percentages are then applied to the Total ADP to project the expected ADP in each of the felony/misdemeanor, gender, and age-range categories.
- In addition to the population breakdowns for juvenile halls and camps, the report contains like information for youth who were part of non-facility based, alternative to detention programs. Youth in that category are listed under "Other Detention." Other detention includes home supervision with and without electronic monitoring, day reporting centers, work programs and other alternatives to detention for which youth are credited with custody time.

#### • Please note:

- In early 2011, eight regional trainings were held for county reporters and contributors to the JDPS. When
  interpreting the JDPS results from the 2nd quarter of 2011 forward, please consider that the clarification of
  variable definitions and calculations which occurred at those trainings may explain some unanticipated
  differences when compared to prior quarters.
- o Each quarter, every county with juvenile detention facilities submits their data to the Board of State and Community Corrections. While we make every effort to review data for accuracy, including contacting individual counties for clarification, the BSCC cannot be responsible for data reporting errors made at the county level.

# Board of State and Community Corrections Juvenile Detention Profile Survey - 4th Quarter, 2012 Overall Capacity, Population and ADP

		Overal	I Capacity	and Po	pulation			
	JUVENILE HALL CAMPS		OTHER		TOTAL			
ADP	4,348	.4	2,73	1.9	1,404	.1	8,484.4	
Percent of Total	51.3%	6	32.2	%	16.59	%	100.0	%
RC *	8,212	.3	4,947	7.0			13,159	9.3
High One Day	4,925	.0	2,887	7.0			7,812	.0
		Gei	nder by De	etention	Туре			
	JUVENILE	HALL	CAM	PS	OTHE	R	TOTA	\L
GENDER	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%
Male	3,689.3	84.8%	2,463.7	90.2%	1,177.6	83.9%	7,330.6	86.4%
Female	659.1	15.2%	268.2	9.8%	226.5	16.1%	1,153.7	13.6%
TOTAL	4,348.4	100.0%	2,731.9	100.0%	1,404.1	100.0%	8,484.4	100.0%
	Dis	spositio	n by Gend	er for Ju	ıvenile Hall	s		
		MALE			FEMALE		TOTAL	
DISPOSITION	Num	ber	%	Nu	mber	%	Number	%
Pre-Disposition	1,95	9.7	53.1%	3	61.0	54.8%	2,320.6	53.4%
Post-Disposition	1,72	9.6	46.9%	2	98.1	45.2%	2,027.7	46.6%
TOTAL	3,68	9.3	100.0%	6	59.1	100.0%	4,348.4	100.0%
	Dis	position	by Gende	r for Oth	ner Detenti	on		
		MALE			FEMALE		TOTA	\L
DISPOSITION	Num	ber	%	Nu	mber	%	Number	%
Pre-Disposition	456	5.8	38.8%	3	36.7	38.3%	543.5	38.7%
Post-Disposition	720	0.8	61.2%	1	39.8	61.7%	860.7	61.3%
TOTAL	1,17	7.6	100.0%	2	26.5	100.0%	1,404.1	100.0%
	Age Ran	ge by Ty	pe of Dete	ention (C	ne-Day Sn	apshot)		
	JUVENILE HAL		LL		CAMPS		TOTA	\L
AGE RANGES	Num	ber	%	Nu	ımber	%	Number	%
Under 12	2.0	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	2.0	0.0%
12 to 14	519	0.0	12.3%	1	52.0	5.5%	671.0	9.6%
15 to 17	3,27	9.0	77.8%	2,0	082.0	75.4%	5,361.0	76.9%
18 Over	414	.0	9.8%	5	27.0	19.1%	941.0	13.5%
TOTAL	4,21	4.0	100.0%	2,	761.0	100.0%	6,975.0	100.0%

<sup>\*</sup> RC is Rated Capacity which is the number of beds that comply with standards set forth in Title 24, California Code of Regulations.

# County Breakout Report - 4th Quarter, 2012 Facilities and Alternative Detention


	County	Rated Capacity	Facilities Detention	Other Detention	ADP	Percent of Total	Cumulative Percent
1	Los Angeles	3,573	1,936.8	226.03	2,162.8	25.49%	25.49%
2	San Diego	1,105	694.9	175.51	870.4	10.26%	35.75%
3	Orange	743	457.1	70.26	527.4	6.22%	41.97%
4	Fresno	450	301.9	104.96	406.9	4.80%	46.76%
5	Santa Clara	538	233.0	160.68	393.7	4.64%	51.40%
6	Kern	475	354.6	1.45	356.1	4.20%	55.60%
7	Sacramento	444	175.7	154.39	330.1	3.89%	59.49%
8	Riverside	582	277.5	50.39	327.8	3.86%	63.35%
9	San Bernardino	450	289.7	0.00	289.7	3.41%	66.77%
10	Alameda	463	225.6	45.89	271.5	3.20%	69.97%
11	Contra Costa	390	224.4	0.00	224.4	2.64%	72.61%
12	San Mateo	245	128.2	92.28	220.5	2.60%	75.21%
13	Tulare	330	150.3	68.05	218.4	2.57%	77.79%
14	Monterey	190	121.9	45.40	167.3	1.97%	79.76%
15	Santa Barbara	272	147.0	0.00	147.0	1.73%	81.49%
16	Stanislaus	158	115.3	29.30	144.6	1.70%	83.20%
17	Sonoma	188	95.7	38.96	134.7	1.59%	84.78%
18	San Joaquin	224	134.0	0.00	134.0	1.58%	86.36%
19	Ventura	385	114.7	0.00	114.7	1.35%	87.71%
20	Merced	120	63.6	43.11	106.7	1.26%	88.97%
21	Solano	148	87.8	10.31	98.2	1.16%	90.13%
22	San Francisco	234	96.0	0.00	96.0	1.13%	91.26%
23	Kings	205	68.9	0.00	68.9	0.81%	92.07%
24	Madera	70	53.6	10.48	64.1	0.76%	92.83%
25	El Dorado	80	43.0	11.38	54.4	0.64%	93.47%
26	Yuba	120	51.1	0.00	51.1	0.60%	94.07%
27	Butte	60	49.7	1.00	50.7	0.60%	94.67%
28	Shasta	56	30.6	17.66	48.3	0.57%	95.24%
29	San Luis Obispo	45	42.6	0.00	42.6	0.50%	95.74%
30	Yolo	90	21.2	20.26	41.5	0.49%	96.23%
31	Del Norte	62	37.4	0.00	37.4	0.44%	96.67%
32	Humboldt	44	32.5	4.20	36.7	0.43%	97.10%
33	Lake	30	18.3	8.99	27.3	0.32%	97.42%
34	Placer	65	25.1	1.83	26.9	0.32%	97.74%
35	Napa	50	24.0	0.00	24.0	0.28%	98.02%
36	Mendocino	43	21.3	0.00	21.3	0.25%	98.27%
37	Santa Cruz	42	20.4	0.00	20.4	0.24%	98.51%
38	Nevada	60	18.0	0.00	18.0	0.21%	98.73%
39	Marin	40	12.0	5.07	17.1	0.20%	98.93%
40	Siskiyou	40	14.7	0.00	14.7	0.17%	99.10%
41	Lassen	40	10.0	3.99	14.0	0.16%	99.27%
42	Glenn	22	13.5	0.00	13.5	0.16%	99.43%
43	Tehama	47	13.3	0.00	13.3	0.16%	99.58%
44	Imperial	72	11.5	0.00	11.5	0.13%	99.72%
45	San Benito	20	9.0	1.00	10.0	0.12%	99.83%
46	Trinity	28	7.3	0.00	7.3	0.09%	99.92%
47	Inyo	14	5.3	0.00	5.3	0.06%	99.98%
48	Tuolumne	0	0.0	1.32	1.3	0.02%	100.00%
49	Mariposa	4	0.1	0.00	0.1	0.00%	100.00%
50	Mono	4	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00%	100.00%
51	Sierra	0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00%	100.00%
		13,159	7,080.2	1,404.1	8,484.4	100.00%	

<sup>\*</sup>ADP on County Breakout Report may not equal ADP on other Summary Reports due to rounding

Juvenile Detention Profile Survey										
4th Overtor			One Day	Snapshot	t		Averag	e Daily Po	pulation	
4th Quarter		Misde	meanor	Fe	lony	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	position	
Report 2012	Rated Capacity	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total ADP
Juvenile Halls	8,212.3	14.0%	4.2%	30.0%	3.5%	1,959.7	361.0	1,729.6	298.1	4,348.4
Camps / Ranches	4,947.0	6.6%	1.2%	23.2%	2.0%			2,463.7	268.2	2,731.9
Other Juveniles	in the System	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total ADP
Juveniles on home supervision v	with electronic monitoring	2.9%	0.6%	3.4%	0.7%	263.0	48.8	369.1	69.5	750.4
Juveniles on home supervision	without electronic monitoring	1.8%	0.6%	2.5%	0.4%	189.1	35.5	219.4	51.3	495.3
Juveniles alternative confinemer	nt programs	0.6%	0.2%	1.1%	0.4%	4.7	2.3	132.3	19.1	158.4
Grand 7	Totals	26.0%	6.9%	60.2%	7.0%	2,416.5	447.6	4,914.1	706.1	8,484.4
		Ment	tal Health	Snapshot	l					
Number of open mental healt	-									4,016.9
Number of juveniles receiving	psychotropic medication									1,446.1
			Crowdi							
Average daily population of ju	<u> </u>		LACK OF	SPACE						6.6
Number of juveniles released	•									11.0
Average number of days that	one or more facilities in a co									0.0
		Averag	e Booking	s Per Mo	nth				•	
Number of Juvenile Hall book										5,115.0
Number of bookings for weap	on-related offenses									300.7
Number of 601 bookings										20.0
Number of 777 bookings				1,050.2						
Number of direct file (WIC 60	, ,,,			<u> </u>						42.7
D	Final Mo	onth of th	ne Quarter	(One-Da	y Snapsno	it)			ı	222.2
Detained for 707b Offense										808.0
Awaiting placement										455.0
Awaiting transport to a camp	4h									232.0
Awaiting transfer to Youth Au										54.0
Court commitments to juvenil	e naii (Ricardo ivi)									652.0
Found unfit per 707.01 WIC	0/h) and 707/d) \\\\\								65.7 346.0	
Direct files to Adult Court-602 Hospitalized outside detention										3.0
Hospitalized outside detention	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									0.0
Believed to be criminal illegal		HOARL								142.0
Delieved to be criminal lilegal	alleris	Δνει	age Lengt	h of Stav	,					142.0
Juvenile Hall (all releases)		7461	ago Longi	o. otay						26.7
Juvenile Hall to Camps										35.0
	nome placements (i.e. group l	nomes or	foster hom	nes)						42.1
Juvenile Hall to other out-of-home placements (i.e. group homes or foster homes)  Juvenile Hall who were found unfit (per juvenile court)				302.6						
Juvenile Hall who were direct filings to adult court				317.7						
Camps (all releases)	g- 12 22222 <b>000</b>									124.1
[ - (		Cumulati	ve Total fo	or the Qua	arter				<u> </u>	
	Detention Behavior				Juvenile H	alls	Camps / R	anches	Other I	Detention
Assualts by juveniles on staff					30.0		10.			0.0
Escapes					10.0		53.			13.0
Suicide Attempts					28.0		1.0			0.0
Suicides				1	0.0		0.0			0.0

## Fourth Quarter Report, 2012 - Juvenile Hall Data

## Rated Capacity RC and ADP for Juvenile Halls




The Average Daily Population of California Juvenile Halls
decreased each quarter of 2012. ADP has decreased by 32.6%
since 1999.

Summary of Juvenile Hall ADP				
2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
6,858	6,614	6,197	5,722	5,137

2012 Summary of Juvenile Hall ADP				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
4,694	4,719	4,430	4,348	4,547

## Juvenile Hall Highest One-Day Population and RC




This measure, which demonstrates the degree to which there is adequate capacity to house the highest number of offenders in custody, continues to illustrate the lack of crowded conditions, even on the day of highest population. The gap between rated capacity and high day population continues to increase.

Sum	nmary of Ju	venile Hall H	ighest One Da	ay
2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
7,686	7,317	6,915	6,387	5,795

2012 Summary of Highest One-Day Population				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
5,338	5,342	5,018	4,925	5,155

## Pre-Disposition in Juvenile Halls




The percentage of youth in juvenile halls who are pre-disposition
peaked at 61% in 2007. Since that time, it has declined to 52%.
Conversely, the number of post-disposition offenders in custody
has increased by 9% since 2007.
-

Sumi	mary of Pre	-Disposition	in Juvenile Ha	ılls
2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
61%	57%	56%	53%	51%

2012 Summary of Pre-Disposition Juvenile Halls				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
52%	52%	52%	53%	52%

# Fourth Quarter Report, 2012 - Juvenile Hall Data

## **Gender Distribution in Juvenile Halls**




Gender distribution has remained relatively unchanged. Since
2007, girls have made up 14-15% of the juvenile hall population
statewide.

	Summary of Males in Juvenile Halls				
Г	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	85%	86%	86%	86%	86%

l	2012 Summary of Males in Juvenile Hall					
,	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average	
	86%	86%	85%	85%	85%	

## Average Number of Juveniles Booked per Month




The number of juvenile hall bookings continues to decline. Since 1999, the number of bookings into juvenile halls has dropped by 48.99%. The steepest decline in bookings occurred between 2009 and 2010.

Summary of Juveniles Booked per Month					
2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	
9,423	9,325	9,079	6,803	6,203	

2012 Summary of Monthly Bookings				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
6,028	5,801	5,291	5,115	5,557

## Distribution of Charge in Juvenile Halls




The percentage of youthful offenders booked into juvenile halls on
felony charges has changed little in the past three years.

Summary of Felony Charges in Juvenile Halls					
2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	
70%	70%	70%	66%	65%	

2012 Summary of Felony Charge Juvenile Hall					
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average	
66%	65%	66%	65%	66%	

## Fourth Quarter Report, 2012 - Camp Data

#### RC and ADP for Camps




The Average Daily Population of juvenile camps declined again in 2012. Since 1999, camp populations have fallen by 38.43%.

Summary of Camp ADP				
2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
4,278	4,338	3,841	3,374	3,105

2012 Summary of Camp ADP					
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average	
2,838	2,879	2,795	2,732	2,811	

The gender distribution in camps is static at 90% boys and 10%

## Gender Distribution in Camps




Summary of Males in Camps						
2007	2008	2009	2010	2011		

90%

90%

90%


89%



2012 Summary of Males in Camps					
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average	
90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	

## **Distribution of Charge in Camps**

90%



Summary of Felonies in Camps					
2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	
70%	71%	75%	77%	77%	

The increase in the percentage of youthful offenders committed to camps for felony charges remains at 77%, an annual figure first reached in 2010. Beginning in 2007 when the percentage of felony offenders reached 70%, juvenile detention managers noted that the proportion of youth committed to camp programs with felony offenses had grown with juvenile realignment.

2012 Summary of Felonies in Camps				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
77%	78%	76%	76%	77%

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR INTERPRETING THE SURVEY REPORT

The Overall Capacity, Population and ADP Page is designed to present the Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results for the major reporting categories.

- Capacity: this category presents the Rated Capacity (RC) in terms of the number of beds in iuvenile halls and camps/ranches that meet the Board of State and Community Corrections standards.
- High One-Day: each jurisdiction reports for each month in the guarter, the juvenile hall and camp/ranch populations that, together, constituted the highest one-day count of the month.
- Other: this category refers to the sum of all juveniles who are receiving custody credit while on home supervision with or without electronic monitoring, or in alternative confinement programs.

The County Breakout Report Page is designed to present county-specific information.

• County comparison: this page identifies the ADP for each county and the percent that county contributes to the total state juvenile detention population. The counties are ranked in descending order based on their percentage of the overall juvenile detention population in the state.

The Additional Information Page is designed to present all the remaining Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results not already listed.

- One-Day Snapshot: the percentages in this section are percentages of the total ADP for juvenile halls, camps/ranches, and other juveniles in the system.
- Average number of days that one or more facilities in a county exceeded the Rated Capacity: this value is the result of taking all of the counties "number of days of crowding" and averaging the figures submitted by all the jurisdictions. If a jurisdiction had no crowding days, that jurisdiction was not included in the computation. In other words, the value presented indicates the typical number of crowding days per month experienced by jurisdictions that have had one or more days of crowding in the Fourth Quarter of Calendar Year 2012.
- Average Length of Stay: these numbers are averages for all juveniles in each category:
  - 1) "juvenile hall (all releases)" is computed by first taking the mean length of stay for all juveniles released from juvenile halls in a jurisdiction. Next, all the jurisdictions' means are averaged to produce a statewide figure:
  - 2) "juvenile hall to camps/ranches" is computed in the same fashion, but includes only those juveniles released from juvenile hall and placed in a camp or ranch;
  - 3) "juvenile hall to other out-of-home placements" presents the average length of stay for that subset of juveniles.