JUVENILE DETENTION PROFILE SURVEY



FOURTH QUARTER 2011

Corrections Standards Authority
Facilities Standards and Operations Division
600 Bercut Drive
Sacramento CA 95811
(916) 445-5073
www.csa.ca.gov

JUVENILE DETENTION PROFILE SURVEY

EXPLANATION OF RESULTS

The following link titles contain the Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results for the Fourth Quarter of Calendar Year 2011. <u>Capacity, Population and ADP Breakout</u> contains totals for major categories such as Highest One-Day Population, Average Daily Population (ADP), gender, and the age range of detained minors. <u>Breakout of Juveniles in Detention</u> contains information regarding counties' ADP and Rated Capacity (RC) breakouts and <u>Summary of Survey Results</u> contains information about a wide range of juvenile detention facility issues including crowding, mental health needs, average length of stay, and number of bookings.

<u>Juvenile Hall Data – Part 1 & 2, and Camp Data</u> contains trend information compiled from 2006 through the fourth quarter of calendar year 2011. The trend data is separated into Juvenile Hall related data and Camp related data.

Please keep the following in mind when reviewing this information:

- For Overall Capacity, Crowding (highest one-day population-the count of minors in detention on the day of each county's highest population) and ADP (the average daily detention population for the reporting period), we have complete data from all jurisdictions in the state that operate juvenile detention facilities.
- Each jurisdiction provides us with the average population, computed across all the days in the month, for each of the three months in the quarter. The weighted average across the three months is then computed for each jurisdiction (with the monthly averages weighted by the number of days in the month). The jurisdictions ADPs are then combined to produce the state's total ADP.
- For some variables (other than ADP), we did not receive complete data from all jurisdictions. In such instances, we used statistical procedures to estimate the statewide total.
- Felony/misdemeanor, gender counts, and age-range breakouts are based upon a one-day snapshot (on the 15th day of the final month of the quarter). These values are used to determine the percentage of the population in each felony/misdemeanor, gender, and age category. The percentages are then applied to the Total ADP to project the expected ADP in each of the felony/misdemeanor, gender, and age-range categories.
- In addition to the population breakdowns for juvenile halls and camps, the report contains like information for youth who were part of non-facility based, alternative to detention programs. Youth in that category are listed under "Other Detention". Other detention includes home supervision with and without electronic monitoring, day reporting centers, work programs and other alternatives to detention for which youth are credited with custody time.

Please note:

- o In early 2011, eight regional trainings were held for county reporters and contributors to the JDPS. When interpreting the JDPS results from the 2nd quarter of 2011 forward, please consider that the clarification of variable definitions and calculations which occurred at those trainings may explain some unanticipated differences when compared to prior quarters.
- Each quarter, every county with juvenile detention facilities submits their data to the CSA. While
 we make every effort to review data for accuracy, including contacting individual counties for
 clarification, CSA cannot be responsible for data reporting errors made at the county level.

California Corrections Standards Authority Juvenile Detention Profile Survey - 4th Quarter, 2011 Overall Capacity, Population and ADP

	Overall Capacity and Population							
	JUVENILE	HALL	CAM	IPS	OTHER		TOTAL	
ADP	4,896	.6	2,91	0.7	1,700).1	9,507	.3
Percent of Total	51.5%	6	30.6	5%	17.9	%	100.0	%
RC *	8,265	.3	5,27	3.0			13,538	3.3
High One Day	5,504	.0	3,02	9.0			8,533	.0
		Gei	nder by Do	etention '	Туре			
	JUVENILE	HALL	CAM	IPS	OTHE	R	TOTA	.L
GENDER	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%
Male	4,192.4	85.6%	2,630.5	90.4%	1,397.6	82.2%	8,220.6	86.5%
Female	704.2	14.4%	280.1	9.6%	302.5	17.8%	1,286.7	13.5%
TOTAL	4,896.6	100.0%	2,910.7	100.0%	1,700.1	100.0%	9,507.3	100.0%
	Dis	spositio	n by Gend	ler for Ju	venile Hall	S		
		MALE			FEMALE		TOTA	L
DISPOSITION	Num	ber	%	Nu	mber	%	Number	%
Pre-Disposition	2,04	5.6	48.8%	3	69.0	52.4%	2,414.6	49.3%
Post-Disposition	2,14	6.9	51.2%	335.1		47.6%	2,482.0	50.7%
TOTAL	4,19	2.4	100.0%	7	04.2	100.0%	4,896.6	100.0%
	Dis	position	by Gende	er for Oth	ner Detenti	on		
		MALE			FEMALE		TOTA	'L
DISPOSITION	Num	ber	%	Nu	mber	%	Number	%
Pre-Disposition	596	5.5	42.7%	1.	28.7	42.5%	725.2	42.7%
Post-Disposition	801	.1	57.3%	1	73.8	57.5%	974.9	57.3%
TOTAL	1,39	7.6	100.0%	3	02.5	100.0%	1,700.1	100.0%
	Age Ran	ge by Ty	pe of Det	ention (C	ne-Day Sn	apshot)		
	JUV	JUVENILE HALL CAMPS		TOTA	'L			
AGE RANGES	Num	ber	%	Number		%	Number	%
Under 12	7.0	0	0.1%	1.0		0.0%	8.0	0.1%
12 to 14	468	3.0	10.0%	1	75.0	5.9%	643.0	8.4%
15 to 17	3,78		80.6%		276.0	76.2%	6,062.0	78.9%
18 _Over	436		9.3%		35.0	17.9%	971.0	12.6%
TOTAL	4,69	7.0	100.0%	2,9	987.0	100.0%	7,684.0	100.0%

^{*} RC is Rated Capacity which is the number of beds that comply with standards set forth in Title 24, California Code of Regulations.

County Breakout Report - 4th Quarter, 2011 Facilities and Alternative Detention


2 San Diego 1.105 683.2 216.04 909.3 9.56% 32.6 4 Fresno 797 554.6 78.09 632.6 6.6% 42.6 4 Fresno 450 330.7 121.34 452.0 4.75% 47.4 55 Santa Clara 538 242.4 163.10 405.5 4.26% 517.6 San Bemardino 420 364.3 0.00 364.3 30.33% 55.5 7 Kern 465 347.3 4.96 322.3 3.71% 62.6 19.		County	Rated Capacity	Facilities Detention	Other Detention	ADP	Percent of Total	Cumulative Percent
3 Orange 797 564.6 78.09 632.6 6.65% 42.64 4 Fresno 450 330.7 121.34 452.0 47.5% 47.55 5 Santa Clara 538 242.4 163.10 406.5 4.26% 51.7 6 San Bernardino 420 364.3 364.3 38.3% 55.5 7 Kern 485 347.3 4.96 362.3 3.71% 582.8 8 Alameda 463 283.0 37.71 320.7 3.37% 62.6 9 Riverside 582 281.2 22.69 303.9 32.0% 68.8 10 Sacramento 270 155.4 132.33 287.7 3.03% 68.8 11 San Matao 274 155.9 117.53 273.4 2.89% 71.7 12 Tulare 330 181.2 89.39 270.6 2.85% 74.1 13 Contra Costa 390 246.6 0.00 246.6 0.00 246.6 2.59% 77.1 14 Monterey 190 152.0 35.90 187.9 1.98% 79.1 15 San Francisco 234 104.3 62.64 167.0 1.76% 89.8 16 Santa Barbara 272 165.7 0.00 165.7 1.76% 89.8 18 Sontoma 188 102.7 55.92 158.6 1.67% 89.3 19 San Joaquin 224 148.7 0.00 148.7 1.56% 87.3 10 Merced 120 85.0 52.17 137.2 137.2 1.44% 89.0 21 Ventura 295 134.3 0.00 134.3 1.41% 90.4 22 Solano 148 88.7 1.488 103.4 1.49% 90.4 23 Solano 148 88.7 1.488 103.4 1.49% 90.4 24 Madera 85 44.2 1.489 59.1 1.69% 91.5 25 Placer 58 34.3 2.36 58.1 0.61% 92.9 25 Placer 58 34.3 2.36 58.1 0.61% 93.5 26 Del Note 62 33.0 0.00 33.0 0.35% 99.3 27 Ventura 295 134.3 0.00 33.0 0.35% 99.3 28 Placer 58 34.3 2.36 58.1 0.61% 99.4 29 Volo 90 37.9 1.492 52.8 0.55% 93.3 20 Nevada 60 21.0 6.34 27.3 0.29% 99.5 21 Ventura 42 21.1 1.15.3 32.6 0.34% 99.6 22 Del Note 62 33.0 0.00 33.0 0.35% 99.3 33 San Luis Obispo 45 32.8 0.00 33.0 0.35% 99.3 34 Santa Barbara 60 21.0 6.34 27.3 0.29% 99.5 45 Del Note 62 33.0 0.00 33.0 0.35% 99.5	1	Los Angeles	4,144	2,178.9	338.10	2,517.0	26.47%	26.47%
4 Fresno 450 330.7 121.34 452.0 4.75% 47.4 6 San Bernardino 420 364.3 0.00 364.3 3.83% 55.5 7 Kern 485 347.3 4.96 382.3 3.71% 59.2 8 Alameda 463 283.0 3.711 320.7 3.37% 62.6 9 Riverside 582 281.2 22.69 300.9 3.20% 68.8 11 San Mateo 274 155.9 117.63 273.4 2.88% 77.7 12 Tulare 330 181.2 89.39 270.6 2.85% 77.5 13 Contra Costa 390 246.6 0.00 246.6 2.59% 77.1 14 Monterey 190 152.0 35.99 18.9 1.98% 79.1 15 San Francisco 234 104.3 62.64 167.0 1.70% 80.8 16 Santa Barbara 272 165.7 0.00 165.7 1.74% 82.6 <t< td=""><td>2</td><td>San Diego</td><td>1,105</td><td>693.2</td><td>216.04</td><td>909.3</td><td>9.56%</td><td>36.04%</td></t<>	2	San Diego	1,105	693.2	216.04	909.3	9.56%	36.04%
5 Santa Clara 538 24.2.4 163.10 40.05.5 4.26% 51.7 6 San Barnardino 420 364.3 0.00 364.3 3.714 52.2 7 Kern 485 347.3 4.96 352.3 3.714 52.2 8 Alameda 463 283.0 32.771 32.07 3.37% 62.8 10 Sacramento 270 155.4 132.33 287.7 3.03% 68.8 11 San Mateo 274 155.9 117.53 2273.4 2.88% 71.7 12 Tulare 330 181.2 89.39 270.6 2.88% 74.7 12 Tulare 330 181.2 89.39 270.6 2.88% 74.7 14 Monterey 190 152.0 35.90 187.9 1.98% 79.1 15 San Francisco 234 104.3 62.64 167.0 1.76% 88.2 16	3	Orange	797	554.6	78.09	632.6	6.65%	42.69%
6 San Bemardino 420 364.3 0.00 364.3 3.83% 55.5 7 Kern 485 347.3 4.96 362.3 3.71% 562.8 8 Riverside 582 281.2 22.69 303.9 3.20% 65.8 10 Sacramento 270 155.4 132.33 287.7 3.03% 68.8 11 San Mateo 274 155.9 117.53 273.4 2.88% 71.7 12 Tulare 330 181.2 89.39 270.6 2.88% 74.5 13 Contra Costa 390 246.6 0.00 246.6 2.59% 77.1 14 Montrevel 190 152.0 35.90 187.9 1.98% 79.1 15 Sant Barbara 272 165.7 0.00 165.7 1.74% 80.8 18 Shonoma 168 102.7 55.92 18.6 1.67% 82.9 20 <	4	Fresno	450	330.7	121.34	452.0	4.75%	47.45%
7 Kern	5	Santa Clara	538	242.4	163.10	405.5	4.26%	51.71%
8 Alameda 463 283.0 37.71 320.7 3,37% 62.6 9 Riverside 582 281.2 22.69 30.39 3.20% 65.8 10 Sacramento 270 155.4 132.33 287.7 3.03% 68.8 11 San Mateo 274 155.9 117.53 273.4 2.88% 71.7 12 Tulare 330 181.2 89.39 270.6 2.85% 74.5 13 Contra Costa 390 246.6 0.00 246.6 2.59% 77.1 14 Monterey 190 152.0 35.90 187.9 1.99% 72.1 15 San Francisco 234 104.3 62.64 167.0 1.76% 80.8 16.8 16 Santa Barbara 272 165.7 0.00 165.7 1.74% 82.6 18 Sonoma 188 102.7 55.92 158.6 1.67% 83.9 19 San Joaquin 224 148.7 0.00 148.7 1.55% 85.7 </td <td>6</td> <td>San Bernardino</td> <td>420</td> <td>364.3</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>364.3</td> <td>3.83%</td> <td>55.54%</td>	6	San Bernardino	420	364.3	0.00	364.3	3.83%	55.54%
9 Riverside	7	Kern	485	347.3	4.96	352.3	3.71%	59.25%
10	8	Alameda	463	283.0	37.71	320.7	3.37%	62.62%
11	9	Riverside	582	281.2	22.69	303.9	3.20%	65.82%
12 Tulare	10	Sacramento	270	155.4	132.33	287.7	3.03%	68.84%
13 Contra Costa 390 246.6 0.00 246.6 2.59% 77.1 14 Monterey 190 152.0 35.90 187.9 1.98% 77.1 15 San Francisco 234 104.3 62.64 167.0 1.76% 80.8 16 Santa Barbara 272 165.7 0.00 165.7 1.74% 82.6 17 Stanislaus 158 130.2 30.33 160.6 1.69% 84.3 18 Sonoma 188 102.7 55.92 158.6 1.67% 85.9 19 San Joaquin 224 148.7 0.00 148.7 1.56% 87.5 19 San Joaquin 224 148.7 0.00 148.7 1.56% 87.5 20 Merced 120 85.0 52.17 137.2 1.44% 89.0 21 Ventura 295 134.3 0.00 134.3 1.41% 90.4 22 Solano 148 88.7 14.68 103.4 1.09% 91.5 23 Kings 209 79.5 0.00 79.5 0.84% 92.3 24 Madera 85 44.2 14.89 59.1 0.62% 92.9 25 Flacer 58 34.3 23.86 58.1 0.61% 93.5 26 El Dorado 80 46.6 10.64 57.3 0.60% 94.1 27 Butte 60 51.0 2.99 54.0 0.57% 94.7 28 Yolo 90 37.9 14.92 52.8 0.56% 95.3 30 Shasta 56 25.7 14.63 40.3 0.42% 96.2 31 Humboldt 44 26.6 10.17 36.8 0.39% 96.6 32 Del Norte 62 33.0 0.00 32.8 0.35% 96.3 33 San Luis Obispo 45 32.8 0.00 32.8 0.35% 96.3 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.1 11.53 32.6 0.34% 97.3 35 Napa 50 26.4 4.69 31.0 0.33% 96.0 36 Nevada 60 21.0 6.34 27.3 0.29% 98.2 37 Mendocino 43 23.3 0.00 18.1 0.19% 99.2 48 Santa Cruz 42 21.1 11.53 32.6 0.34% 97.3 49 Lake 40 11.0 6.36 17.3 0.18% 99.1 41 Lassen 40 13.3 3.68 17.0 0.18% 99.1 42 Siskiyou 33 15.7 0.00 16.6 0.11% 99.8 43 Marin 40 14.3 0.48 14.8 0.00 0.10 0.07% 99.9 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.60 0.10 0.00	11	San Mateo	274	155.9	117.53	273.4	2.88%	71.72%
14 Monterey 190 152.0 35.90 187.9 1.98% 79.1 15 San Francisco 234 104.3 62.64 167.0 1.76% 80.8 17 Stanislaus 158 130.2 30.33 160.6 1.69% 84.3 18 Sonoma 188 102.7 55.92 158.6 1.67% 85.9 19 San Joaquin 224 148.7 0.00 148.7 1.56% 87.5 20 Merced 120 85.0 52.17 137.2 1.44% 89.0 21 Ventura 295 134.3 0.00 134.3 1.19% 99.4 23 Kings 209 79.5 0.00 79.5 0.84% 92.9 24 Madera 85 44.2 14.89 59.1 0.62% 92.9 25 Placer 58 34.3 23.86 58.1 0.61% 93.5 26 El Dorado 80 46.6 10.64 57.3 0.60% 94.7 28 Yuba 1	12	Tulare	330	181.2	89.39	270.6	2.85%	74.57%
15 San Francisco 234 104.3 62.64 167.0 1.76% 80.8 16 Santa Barbara 272 165.7 0.00 165.7 1.74% 82.6 17 Stanislaus 158 130.2 30.33 160.6 1.69% 84.3 18 Sonoma 188 102.7 55.92 158.6 1.67% 85.9 19 San Joaquin 224 148.7 0.00 148.7 1.56% 87.5 20 Merced 120 85.0 52.17 137.2 1.44% 89.0 21 Ventura 295 134.3 0.00 134.3 1.41% 90.4 22 Solano 148 88.7 14.88 103.4 1.09% 91.5 23 Kings 209 79.5 0.00 79.5 0.84% 92.3 24 Madera 86 44.2 14.89 59.1 0.62% 92.9 25 Placer	13	Contra Costa	390	246.6	0.00	246.6	2.59%	77.16%
16 Santa Barbara 272 165.7 0.00 165.7 1.74% 82.6 17 Stanislaus 158 130.2 30.33 160.6 1.69% 84.3 18 Sonoma 188 102.7 55.92 158.6 1.67% 85.9 19 San Joaquin 224 148.7 0.00 148.7 1.56% 87.5 20 Merced 120 85.0 52.17 137.2 1.44% 89.0 21 Ventura 295 134.3 0.00 134.3 1.41% 90.4 22 Solano 148 88.7 14.68 103.4 1.09% 91.5 23 Kings 209 79.5 0.00 79.5 0.84% 92.3 24 Madera 85 44.2 14.89 59.1 0.62% 92.9 25 Piacer 58 34.3 23.86 58.1 0.61% 93.5 26 El Dorado	14	Monterey	190	152.0	35.90	187.9	1.98%	79.14%
17 Stanislaus	15	San Francisco	234	104.3	62.64	167.0	1.76%	80.89%
18 Sonoma 188 102.7 55.92 158.6 1.67% 85.9 19 San Joaquin 224 148.7 0.00 148.7 1.56% 87.5 20 Merced 120 85.0 52.17 137.2 1.44% 88.0 21 Ventura 295 134.3 0.00 134.3 1.41% 90.4 22 Solano 148 88.7 14.68 103.4 1.09% 91.5 23 Kings 209 79.5 0.00 79.5 0.84% 92.3 24 Madera 85 44.2 14.89 59.1 0.62% 92.9 25 Placer 58 34.3 23.86 58.1 0.61% 92.9 25 Placer 58 34.3 23.86 58.1 0.61% 93.5 25 Pilacer 58 34.3 23.86 58.1 0.61% 94.1 27 Butte 60	16	Santa Barbara	272	165.7	0.00	165.7	1.74%	82.64%
19 San Joaquin 224 148.7 0.00 148.7 1.56% 87.5	17	Stanislaus	158	130.2	30.33	160.6	1.69%	84.32%
Description	18	Sonoma	188	102.7	55.92	158.6	1.67%	85.99%
Description of the color of t	19	San Joaquin	224	148.7	0.00	148.7	1.56%	87.56%
21 Ventura 295 134.3 0.00 134.3 1.41% 90.4	20		120	85.0	52.17	137.2	1.44%	89.00%
23 Kings 209 79.5 0.00 79.5 0.84% 92.3 24 Madera 85 44.2 14.89 59.1 0.62% 92.9 25 Placer 58 34.3 23.86 58.1 0.61% 93.5 26 El Dorado 80 46.6 10.64 57.3 0.60% 94.1 27 Butte 60 51.0 2.99 54.0 0.57% 94.7 28 Yolo 90 37.9 14.92 52.8 0.56% 95.3 30 Shasta 120 50.1 0.00 50.1 0.53% 95.8 30 Shasta 56 25.7 14.63 40.3 0.42% 96.2 31 Humboldt 44 26.6 10.17 36.8 0.39% 96.6 32 Del Norte 62 33.0 0.00 32.8 0.35% 97.3 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.	21	Ventura		134.3			1.41%	90.41%
23 Kings 209 79.5 0.00 79.5 0.84% 92.3 24 Madera 85 44.2 14.99 59.1 0.62% 92.9 25 Placer 58 34.3 23.86 58.1 0.61% 93.5 26 El Dorado 80 46.6 10.64 57.3 0.60% 94.1 27 Butte 60 51.0 2.99 54.0 0.57% 94.7 28 Yolo 90 37.9 14.92 52.8 0.56% 95.3 30 Shasta 156 25.7 14.63 40.3 0.42% 96.2 31 Humboldt 44 26.6 10.17 36.8 0.39% 96.6 32 Del Norte 62 33.0 0.00 33.0 0.35% 96.9 33 San Luis Obispo 45 32.8 0.00 32.8 0.35% 97.3 34 Santa Cruz 42	22	Solano						91.50%
24 Madera 85 44.2 14.89 59.1 0.62% 92.9 25 Placer 58 34.3 23.86 58.1 0.61% 93.5 26 El Dorado 80 46.6 10.64 57.3 0.60% 94.1 27 Butte 60 51.0 2.99 54.0 0.57% 94.7 28 Yolo 90 37.9 14.92 52.8 0.56% 95.3 29 Yuba 120 50.1 0.00 50.1 0.53% 95.8 30 Shasta 56 25.7 14.63 40.3 0.42% 96.2 31 Humboldt 44 26.6 10.17 36.8 0.39% 96.6 32 Del Norte 62 33.0 0.00 32.8 0.35% 96.9 33 Sant Luis Obispo 45 32.8 0.00 32.8 0.35% 97.3 35 Napa 50 26.4 4.69 31.0 0.33% 98.0 36 Nevada 60 21.0	23	Kings	209	79.5				92.34%
25 Placer 58 34.3 23.86 58.1 0.61% 93.5 26 El Dorado 80 46.6 10.64 57.3 0.60% 94.1 27 Butte 60 51.0 2.99 54.0 0.57% 94.7 28 Yolo 90 37.9 14.92 52.8 0.56% 95.3 29 Yuba 120 50.1 0.00 50.1 0.53% 95.8 30 Shasta 56 25.7 14.63 40.3 0.42% 96.2 31 Humboldt 44 26.6 10.17 36.8 0.39% 96.6 32 Del Norte 62 33.0 0.00 33.0 0.35% 96.9 33 San Luis Obispo 45 32.8 0.00 32.8 0.35% 97.3 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.1 11.53 32.6 0.34% 97.6 35 Napa 50 <t< td=""><td>24</td><td>_</td><td></td><td>44.2</td><td>14.89</td><td></td><td></td><td>92.96%</td></t<>	24	_		44.2	14.89			92.96%
26 El Dorado 80 46.6 10.64 57.3 0.60% 94.1 27 Butte 60 51.0 2.99 54.0 0.57% 94.7 28 Yolo 90 37.9 14.92 52.8 0.56% 95.3 39 Yuba 120 50.1 0.00 50.1 0.53% 95.8 30 Shasta 56 25.7 14.63 40.3 0.42% 96.2 31 Humboldt 44 26.6 10.17 36.8 0.39% 96.6 32 Del Norte 62 33.0 0.00 33.0 0.35% 96.2 33 San Luis Obispo 45 32.8 0.00 32.8 0.35% 97.3 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.1 11.53 32.6 0.34% 97.6 35 Napa 50 26.4 4.69 31.0 0.33% 98.0 36 Nevada 60 <td< td=""><td>25</td><td>Placer</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>93.57%</td></td<>	25	Placer						93.57%
27 Butte 60 51.0 2.99 54.0 0.57% 94.7 28 Yolo 90 37.9 14.92 52.8 0.56% 95.3 29 Yuba 120 50.1 0.00 50.1 0.53% 95.8 30 Shasta 56 25.7 14.63 40.3 0.42% 96.2 31 Humboldt 44 26.6 10.17 36.8 0.39% 96.6 32 Del Norte 62 33.0 0.00 33.0 0.35% 96.9 33 San Luis Obispo 45 32.8 0.00 32.8 0.35% 97.3 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.1 11.53 32.6 0.34% 97.6 35 Napa 50 26.4 4.69 31.0 0.33% 98.0 36 Nevada 60 21.0 6.34 27.3 0.29% 98.2 37 Mendocino 43	26	El Dorado			10.64			94.17%
28 Yolo 90 37.9 14.92 52.8 0.56% 95.3 29 Yuba 120 50.1 0.00 50.1 0.53% 95.8 30 Shasta 56 25.7 14.63 40.3 0.42% 96.2 31 Humboldt 44 26.6 10.17 36.8 0.39% 96.6 32 Del Norte 62 33.0 0.00 33.0 0.35% 96.9 33 San Luis Obispo 45 32.8 0.00 32.8 0.35% 97.3 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.1 11.53 32.6 0.34% 97.6 35 Napa 50 26.4 4.69 31.0 0.33% 98.2 36 Nevada 60 21.0 6.34 27.3 0.29% 98.2 37 Mendocino 43 23.3 0.00 23.3 0.24% 98.5 38 Tehama 40 <td< td=""><td>27</td><td>Butte</td><td>60</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>94.74%</td></td<>	27	Butte	60					94.74%
29 Yuba 120 50.1 0.00 50.1 0.53% 95.8 30 Shasta 56 25.7 14.63 40.3 0.42% 96.2 31 Humboldt 44 26.6 10.17 36.8 0.39% 96.6 32 Del Norte 62 33.0 0.00 33.0 0.35% 96.9 33 San Luis Obispo 45 32.8 0.00 32.8 0.35% 97.3 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.1 11.53 32.6 0.34% 97.6 35 Napa 50 26.4 4.69 31.0 0.33% 98.0 36 Nevada 60 21.0 6.34 27.3 0.29% 98.2 37 Mendocino 43 23.3 0.00 23.3 0.24% 98.5 38 Tehama 40 20.1 0.00 20.1 0.21% 98.7 39 Imperial 72	28	Yolo	90					95.30%
30 Shasta 56 25.7 14.63 40.3 0.42% 96.2 31 Humboldt 44 26.6 10.17 36.8 0.39% 96.6 32 Del Norte 62 33.0 0.00 33.0 0.35% 96.9 33 San Luis Obispo 45 32.8 0.00 32.8 0.35% 97.3 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.1 11.53 32.6 0.34% 97.6 35 Napa 50 26.4 4.69 31.0 0.33% 98.0 36 Nevada 60 21.0 6.34 27.3 0.29% 98.2 37 Mendocino 43 23.3 0.00 23.3 0.24% 98.5 38 Tehama 40 20.1 0.00 20.1 0.21% 98.7 39 Imperial 72 18.1 0.00 18.1 0.19% 98.9 40 Lake 40 <	29		120				0.53%	95.82%
31 Humboldt 44 26.6 10.17 36.8 0.39% 96.6 32 Del Norte 62 33.0 0.00 33.0 0.35% 96.9 33 San Luis Obispo 45 32.8 0.00 32.8 0.35% 97.3 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.1 11.53 32.6 0.34% 97.6 35 Napa 50 26.4 4.69 31.0 0.33% 98.0 36 Nevada 60 21.0 6.34 27.3 0.29% 98.2 37 Mendocino 43 23.3 0.00 23.3 0.24% 98.5 38 Tehama 40 20.1 0.00 20.1 0.21% 98.7 39 Imperial 72 18.1 0.00 18.1 0.19% 98.9 40 Lake 40 11.0 6.36 17.3 0.18% 99.1 41 Lassen 40 <t< td=""><td>30</td><td>Shasta</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>96.25%</td></t<>	30	Shasta						96.25%
32 Del Norte 62 33.0 0.00 33.0 0.35% 96.9 33 San Luis Obispo 45 32.8 0.00 32.8 0.35% 97.3 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.1 11.53 32.6 0.34% 97.6 35 Napa 50 26.4 4.69 31.0 0.33% 98.0 36 Nevada 60 21.0 6.34 27.3 0.29% 98.2 37 Mendocino 43 23.3 0.00 23.3 0.24% 98.5 38 Tehama 40 20.1 0.00 20.1 0.21% 98.7 39 Imperial 72 18.1 0.00 18.1 0.19% 98.9 40 Lake 40 11.0 6.36 17.3 0.18% 99.1 41 Lassen 40 13.3 3.68 17.0 0.18% 99.2 42 Siskiyou 33 <td< td=""><td>31</td><td>Humboldt</td><td>44</td><td>26.6</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>96.63%</td></td<>	31	Humboldt	44	26.6				96.63%
33 San Luis Obispo 45 32.8 0.00 32.8 0.35% 97.3 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.1 11.53 32.6 0.34% 97.6 35 Napa 50 26.4 4.69 31.0 0.33% 98.0 36 Nevada 60 21.0 6.34 27.3 0.29% 98.2 37 Mendocino 43 23.3 0.00 23.3 0.24% 98.5 38 Tehama 40 20.1 0.00 20.1 0.21% 98.7 39 Imperial 72 18.1 0.00 18.1 0.19% 98.9 40 Lake 40 11.0 6.36 17.3 0.18% 99.1 41 Lassen 40 11.0 6.36 17.3 0.18% 99.1 42 Siskiyou 33 15.7 0.00 15.7 0.16% 99.4 43 Marin 40 14.	32	Del Norte	62					96.98%
34 Santa Cruz 42 21.1 11.53 32.6 0.34% 97.6 35 Napa 50 26.4 4.69 31.0 0.33% 98.0 36 Nevada 60 21.0 6.34 27.3 0.29% 98.2 37 Mendocino 43 23.3 0.00 23.3 0.24% 98.5 38 Tehama 40 20.1 0.00 20.1 0.21% 98.7 39 Imperial 72 18.1 0.00 18.1 0.19% 98.9 40 Lake 40 11.0 6.36 17.3 0.18% 99.1 41 Lassen 40 13.3 3.68 17.0 0.18% 99.2 42 Siskiyou 33 15.7 0.00 15.7 0.16% 99.4 43 Marin 40 14.3 0.48 14.8 0.16% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.66 11.3 0.12% 99.7 45 Glenn 22	33	San Luis Obispo						97.33%
35 Napa 50 26.4 4.69 31.0 0.33% 98.0 36 Nevada 60 21.0 6.34 27.3 0.29% 98.2 37 Mendocino 43 23.3 0.00 23.3 0.24% 98.5 38 Tehama 40 20.1 0.00 20.1 0.21% 98.7 39 Imperial 72 18.1 0.00 18.1 0.19% 98.9 40 Lake 40 11.0 6.36 17.3 0.18% 99.1 41 Lassen 40 13.3 3.68 17.0 0.18% 99.2 42 Siskiyou 33 15.7 0.00 15.7 0.16% 99.4 43 Marin 40 14.3 0.48 14.8 0.16% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.66 11.3 0.12% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.6	34	Santa Cruz			11.53			97.67%
36 Nevada 60 21.0 6.34 27.3 0.29% 98.2 37 Mendocino 43 23.3 0.00 23.3 0.24% 98.5 38 Tehama 40 20.1 0.00 20.1 0.21% 98.7 39 Imperial 72 18.1 0.00 18.1 0.19% 98.9 40 Lake 40 11.0 6.36 17.3 0.18% 99.1 41 Lassen 40 13.3 3.68 17.0 0.18% 99.2 42 Siskiyou 33 15.7 0.00 15.7 0.16% 99.4 43 Marin 40 14.3 0.48 14.8 0.16% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.66 11.3 0.12% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.8 46 Inyo 14 7.0	35		50					98.00%
38 Tehama 40 20.1 0.00 20.1 0.21% 98.7 39 Imperial 72 18.1 0.00 18.1 0.19% 98.9 40 Lake 40 11.0 6.36 17.3 0.18% 99.1 41 Lassen 40 13.3 3.68 17.0 0.18% 99.2 42 Siskiyou 33 15.7 0.00 15.7 0.16% 99.4 43 Marin 40 14.3 0.48 14.8 0.16% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.66 11.3 0.12% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.8 46 Inyo 14 7.0 0.00 7.0 0.07% 99.9 47 Trinity 28 6.7 0.00 6.7 0.07% 99.9 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 <t< td=""><td>36</td><td></td><td></td><td>21.0</td><td>6.34</td><td></td><td></td><td>98.28%</td></t<>	36			21.0	6.34			98.28%
38 Tehama 40 20.1 0.00 20.1 0.21% 98.7 39 Imperial 72 18.1 0.00 18.1 0.19% 98.9 40 Lake 40 11.0 6.36 17.3 0.18% 99.1 41 Lassen 40 13.3 3.68 17.0 0.18% 99.2 42 Siskiyou 33 15.7 0.00 15.7 0.16% 99.4 43 Marin 40 14.3 0.48 14.8 0.16% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.66 11.3 0.12% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.8 46 Inyo 14 7.0 0.00 7.0 0.07% 99.9 47 Trinity 28 6.7 0.00 6.7 0.07% 99.9 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 <t< td=""><td>37</td><td>Mendocino</td><td>43</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>98.53%</td></t<>	37	Mendocino	43					98.53%
39 Imperial 72 18.1 0.00 18.1 0.19% 98.9 40 Lake 40 11.0 6.36 17.3 0.18% 99.1 41 Lassen 40 13.3 3.68 17.0 0.18% 99.2 42 Siskiyou 33 15.7 0.00 15.7 0.16% 99.4 43 Marin 40 14.3 0.48 14.8 0.16% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.66 11.3 0.12% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.8 46 Inyo 14 7.0 0.00 7.0 0.07% 99.9 47 Trinity 28 6.7 0.00 6.7 0.07% 99.9 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.33 1.3 0.01% 100.0 49 Mariposa 4 0.1 0.00 0.1 0.00% 100.0 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00	38	Tehama						98.74%
40 Lake 40 11.0 6.36 17.3 0.18% 99.1 41 Lassen 40 13.3 3.68 17.0 0.18% 99.2 42 Siskiyou 33 15.7 0.00 15.7 0.16% 99.4 43 Marin 40 14.3 0.48 14.8 0.16% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.66 11.3 0.12% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.8 46 Inyo 14 7.0 0.00 7.0 0.07% 99.9 47 Trinity 28 6.7 0.00 6.7 0.07% 99.9 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.33 1.3 0.01% 100.0 49 Mariposa 4 0.1 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0 51 Sierra </td <td>39</td> <td>Imperial</td> <td>72</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>98.93%</td>	39	Imperial	72					98.93%
41 Lassen 40 13.3 3.68 17.0 0.18% 99.2 42 Siskiyou 33 15.7 0.00 15.7 0.16% 99.4 43 Marin 40 14.3 0.48 14.8 0.16% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.66 11.3 0.12% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.8 46 Inyo 14 7.0 0.00 7.0 0.07% 99.9 47 Trinity 28 6.7 0.00 6.7 0.07% 99.9 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.33 1.3 0.01% 100.0 49 Mariposa 4 0.1 0.00 0.1 0.00% 100.0 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0	40							99.11%
42 Siskiyou 33 15.7 0.00 15.7 0.16% 99.4 43 Marin 40 14.3 0.48 14.8 0.16% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.66 11.3 0.12% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.8 46 Inyo 14 7.0 0.00 7.0 0.07% 99.9 47 Trinity 28 6.7 0.00 6.7 0.07% 99.9 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.33 1.3 0.01% 100.0 49 Mariposa 4 0.1 0.00 0.1 0.00% 100.0 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0	41							99.29%
43 Marin 40 14.3 0.48 14.8 0.16% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.66 11.3 0.12% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.8 46 Inyo 14 7.0 0.00 7.0 0.07% 99.9 47 Trinity 28 6.7 0.00 6.7 0.07% 99.9 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.33 1.3 0.01% 100.0 49 Mariposa 4 0.1 0.00 0.1 0.00% 100.0 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0	42							99.45%
44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.66 11.3 0.12% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.8 46 Inyo 14 7.0 0.00 7.0 0.07% 99.9 47 Trinity 28 6.7 0.00 6.7 0.07% 99.9 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.33 1.3 0.01% 100.0 49 Mariposa 4 0.1 0.00 0.1 0.00% 100.0 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0	43							99.61%
45 Glenn 22 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.8 46 Inyo 14 7.0 0.00 7.0 0.07% 99.9 47 Trinity 28 6.7 0.00 6.7 0.07% 99.9 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.33 1.3 0.01% 100.0 49 Mariposa 4 0.1 0.00 0.1 0.00% 100.0 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.0 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.0	44	San Benito						99.73%
46 Inyo 14 7.0 0.00 7.0 0.07% 99.9 47 Trinity 28 6.7 0.00 6.7 0.07% 99.9 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.33 1.3 0.01% 100.0 49 Mariposa 4 0.1 0.00 0.1 0.00% 100.0 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.0 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.0								99.84%
47 Trinity 28 6.7 0.00 6.7 0.07% 99.9 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.33 1.3 0.01% 100.0 49 Mariposa 4 0.1 0.00 0.1 0.00% 100.0 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.0 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.0								99.91%
48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.33 1.3 0.01% 100.0 49 Mariposa 4 0.1 0.00 0.1 0.00% 100.0 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.0 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.0		•						99.98%
49 Mariposa 4 0.1 0.00 0.1 0.00% 100.0 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.0 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.0								100.00%
50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.0 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0								100.00%
51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.00 0.00 100.0								100.00%
								100.00%
10,000 1,001.2 1,100.1 3,001.0 100.00 / 0		•	13,538	7,807.2	1,700.1	9,507.3	100.00%	

^{*}ADP on County Breakout Report may not equal ADP on other Summary Reports due to rounding

	Juven	ile De	tention	Profi	e Surv	еу				İ
Ath Ossartar			One Day	Snapshot	:		Averag	e Daily Po	pulation	
4th Quarter		Misde	meanor	1	ony	Pre-Dis			position	
Report 2011	Rated Capacity	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total ADP
Juvenile Halls	8,265.3	13.8%	4.1%	30.4%	3.6%	2,045.6	369.0	2,146.9	335.1	4,896.6
Camps / Ranches	5,273.0	5.7%	1.3%	22.6%	2.0%			2,630.5	280.1	2,910.7
Other Juveniles	in the System	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total ADP
Juveniles on home supervision w	vith electronic monitoring	3.0%	0.7%	4.5%	1.0%	376.4	79.9	436.4	84.2	977.0
Juveniles on home supervision v	without electronic monitoring	1.7%	0.6%	2.8%	0.5%	216.4	46.3	235.2	63.1	561.1
Juveniles alternative confinemen	· -	0.5%	0.1%	1.0%	0.1%	3.7	2.4	129.5	26.4	162.0
Grand T	Totals	24.7%	6.8%	61.2%	7.3%	2,642.1	497.7	5,578.5	789.1	9,507.3
		Ment	tal Health	Snapshot					1	
Number of open mental health	•									3,587.0
Number of juveniles receiving	psychotropic medication									1,538.7
Accessed the second Constitution	and the second s	DUE TO	Crowdi						1	0.0
Average daily population of ju			LACK OF	SPACE						0.0
Number of juveniles released) - t - d O	:.					27.0
Average number of days that	one or more facilities in a co		e Booking							4.0
Number of Juvenile Hall book	ings/admissions	Average	e booking	S PEI WIO	iui				1	5 500 1
Number of bookings for weap	•								5,590.1 419.1	
Number of 601 bookings	on-related offenses								18.7	
Number of 777 bookings							1,141.8			
Number of direct file {WIC 602(b) and 707(d)} bookings							53.1			
Transcr or ancornic (VVIO 002		onth of th	ne Quarter	(One-Da	/ Snapsho	ot)			<u>.</u>	00.1
Detained for 707b Offense				(,	-,				984.7
Awaiting placement									441.0	
Awaiting transport to a camp										380.7
Awaiting transfer to Youth Aut	thority								55.0	
Court commitments to juvenile	e hall (Ricardo M)									565.1
Found unfit per 707.01 WIC										81.7
Direct files to Adult Court-602	(b) and 707(d) WIC									364.0
Hospitalized outside detention	n facility for MEDICAL CARE									12.0
Hospitalized outside detention	n facility for MENTAL HEALT	H CARE								5.4
Believed to be criminal illegal	aliens									133.8
		Aver	age Lengt	h of Stay						
Juvenile Hall (all releases)						-	-			33.7
Juvenile Hall to Camps										61.1
Juvenile Hall to other out-of-h		nomes or	foster hom	nes)						63.8
Juvenile Hall who were found										525.4
Juvenile Hall who were direct	filings to adult court									422.8
Camps (all releases)										143.7
		Cumulati	ve Total fo			1	· · ·		I a.: -	
A 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Detention Behavior			- '	Juvenile H	alls (Camps / R			Detention
Assualts by juveniles on staff					41.0		6.0			0.0
Escapes					9.0		87.			11.2
Suicide Attempts					15.4		3.0			0.0
Suicides					0.0		0.0	J	<u> </u>	0.0

Fourth Quarter Report, 2011 - Juvenile Hall Data

Rated Capacity RC and ADP for Juvenile Halls




After a small increase in Juvenile Hall ADP in the Second Quarter of 2011, the ADP of juvenile halls continued to decline. The annual average ADP has dropped by 1,880 since 2006.

	Summary of Juvenile Hall ADP						
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010			
7,017	6,858	6,614	6,197	5,721			

	2011 Summary of Juvenile Hall ADP						
Q1	Q2	Q4	Average				
5,261	5,325	5,070	4,897	5,137			

Juvenile Hall Highest One-Day Population and RC




The growing gap between rated capacity and population on the high-day is an indication of the progress made statewide in reducing crowding.

Sum	Summary of Juvenile Hall Highest One Day							
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010				
7,837	7,686	7,317	6,914	6,386				

	2011 Summary of Highest One-Day Population						
I	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average		
I	5,933	6,019	5,693	5,504	5,786		

Pre-Disposition in Juvenile Halls




For the first time since this reporting began, the Fourth Quarter pre-
disposition youth make up less than half of the juvenile hall
population.

Summary of Pre-Disposition in Juvenile Halls						
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010		
60%	61%	57%	56%	53%		

2011 Summary of Pre-Disposition Juvenile Halls						
Q1 Q2		Q3	Q4	Average		
52%	52%	51%	49%	51%		

Fourth Quarter Report, 2011 - Juvenile Hall Data

Gender Distribution in Juvenile Halls




population.	

	Summary of Males in Juvenile Halls						
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010			
84%	85%	86%	86%	86%			

2011 Summary of Males in Juvenile Hall					
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average	
86%	86%	86%	86%	86%	

Average Number of Juveniles Booked per Month




On average, more than 3,000 fewer youth are booked into juvenile	٤
nalls monthly than in 2006.	

Summary of Juveniles Booked per Month					
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
9,539	9,422	9,325	9,079	6,802	

	2011 Summary of Monthly Bookings					
Q1 Q2		Q3	Q4	Average		
	6,593	6,605	6,032	5,590	6,202	

Distribution of Charge in Juvenile Halls




Summary of Felony Charges in Juvenile Halls					
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
67%	70%	70%	70%	66%	

The percentage of felony offenders in juvenile halls decreased by 1% in 2011.

2011 Summary of Felony Charge Juvenile Hall					
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average	
66%	65%	65%	65%	65%	

Fourth Quarter Report, 2011 - Camp Data

RC and ADP for Camps




Camp po	opulations h	ave contin	ued a decl	ine which be	egan in 200	08.

Summary of Camp ADP						
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010		
4,252	4,278	4,338	3,841	3,374		

L	2011 Summary of Camp ADP						
ĺ	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average		
I	3,221	3,206	3,084	2,911	3,105		

Gender Distribution in Camps




There ha	as been little fluctuation in the gender distribution in
camps.	Girls made up only 10% of the population again in 2011.

Summary of Males in Camps					
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
88%	89%	90%	90%	90%	

2011 Summary of Males in Camps						
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average		
91%	91%	90%	90%	90%		

Distribution of Charge in Camps



The percentage of felony offenders committed to county camp
programs increased the last two quarters of 2011.

Summary of Felonies in Camps						
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010		
69%	70%	71%	75%	77%		

2011 Summary of Felonies in Camps						
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average		
76%	77%	78%	78%	77%		

<u>Instructions for Interpreting the Survey Report</u>

<u>Capacity, Population and ADP Breakout</u> is designed to present the Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results for the major reporting categories.

- Capacity: this category presents the Rated Capacity (RC) in terms of the number of beds in juvenile halls and camps/ranches that meet the Corrections Standards Authority (CSA) standards.
- **High One-Day:** each jurisdiction reports for each month in the quarter, the juvenile hall and camp/ranch populations that, together, constituted the highest one-day count of the month.
- Other: this category refers to the sum of all juveniles who are receiving custody credit while on home supervision with or without electronic monitoring, or in alternative confinement programs.

<u>Breakout of Juveniles in Detention</u> is designed to present County-specific counts.

• County-specific counts: this page identifies the ADP for each county and the percent that county contributes to the total state juvenile detention population. The counties are ranked in descending order based on their percentage of the overall juvenile detention population in the state.

<u>Summary of Survey Results</u> is designed to present all the remaining Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results not already listed.

- One-Day Snapshot: the percentages in this section are percentages of the total ADP for juvenile halls, camps/ranches, and other juveniles in the system.
- Average number of days that one or more facilities in a county exceeded the Rated Capacity: this value is the result of taking all of the counties "number of days of crowding" and averaging the figures submitted by all the jurisdictions. If a jurisdiction had no crowding days, that jurisdiction was not included in the computation. In other words, the value presented indicates the typical number of crowding days per month experienced by jurisdictions that have had one or more days of crowding in the Fourth Quarter of Calendar Year 2011.
- Average Length of Stay: these numbers are averages for all juveniles in each category: 1) "juvenile hall (all releases)" is computed by first taking the mean length of stay for all juveniles released from juvenile halls in a jurisdiction. Next, all the jurisdictions' means are averaged to produce a statewide figure; 2) "juvenile hall to camps/ranches" is computed in the same fashion, but includes only those juveniles released from juvenile hall and placed in a camp or ranch; 3) "juvenile hall to other out-of-home placements" presents the average length of stay for that subset of juveniles.