JUVENILE DETENTION PROFILE SURVEY



THIRD QUARTER 2011

Corrections Standards Authority
Facilities Standards and Operations Division
600 Bercut Drive
Sacramento CA 95811
(916) 445-5073
www.csa.ca.gov

JUVENILE DETENTION PROFILE SURVEY

EXPLANATION OF RESULTS

The following link titles contain the Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results for the Third Quarter of Calendar Year 2011. <u>Capacity, Population and ADP Breakout</u> contains totals for major categories such as Highest One-Day Population, Average Daily Population (ADP), gender, and the age range of detained minors. <u>Breakout of Juveniles in Detention</u> contains information regarding counties' ADP and Rated Capacity (RC) breakouts and <u>Summary of Survey Results</u> contains information about a wide range of juvenile detention facility issues including crowding, mental health needs, average length of stay, and number of bookings.

<u>Juvenile Hall Data – Part 1 & 2, and Camp Data</u> contains trend information compiled from 2006 through the third quarter of calendar year 2011. The trend data is separated into Juvenile Hall related data and Camp related data.

Please keep the following in mind when reviewing this information:

- For Overall Capacity, Crowding (highest one-day population-the count of minors in detention on the day of each county's highest population) and ADP (the average daily detention population for the reporting period), we have complete data from all jurisdictions in the state that operate juvenile detention facilities.
- Each jurisdiction provides us with the average population, computed across all the days in the month, for each of the three months in the quarter. The weighted average across the three months is then computed for each jurisdiction (with the monthly averages weighted by the number of days in the month). The jurisdictions ADPs are then combined to produce the state's total ADP.
- For some variables (other than ADP), we did not receive complete data from all jurisdictions. In such instances, we used statistical procedures to estimate the statewide total.
- Felony/misdemeanor, gender counts, and age-range breakouts are based upon a one-day snapshot (on the 15th day of the final month of the quarter). These values are used to determine the percentage of the population in each felony/misdemeanor, gender, and age category. The percentages are then applied to the Total ADP to project the expected ADP in each of the felony/misdemeanor, gender, and age-range categories.
- In addition to the population breakdowns for juvenile halls and camps, the report contains like information for youth who were part of non-facility based, alternative to detention programs. Youth in that category are listed under "Other Detention". Other detention includes home supervision with and without electronic monitoring, day reporting centers, work programs and other alternatives to detention for which youth are credited with custody time.

Please note:

- o In early 2011, eight regional trainings were held for county reporters and contributors to the JDPS. When interpreting the JDPS results from the 2nd quarter of 2011 forward, please consider that the clarification of variable definitions and calculations which occurred at those trainings may explain some unanticipated differences when compared to prior quarters.
- Each quarter, every county with juvenile detention facilities submits their data to the CSA. While
 we make every effort to review data for accuracy, including contacting individual counties for
 clarification, CSA cannot be responsible for data reporting errors made at the county level.

California Corrections Standards Authority Juvenile Detention Profile Survey - 3rd Quarter, 2011 Overall Capacity, Population and ADP

		Overal	I Capacity	and Po	pulation				
	JUVENILE	HALL	CAM	MPS OTHE		R	TOTA	۱L	
ADP	5,069.7		3,083	3.7	1,764	1.4	9,917	9,917.8	
Percent of Total	51.19	6	31.1	%	17.8	%	100.0	%	
RC *	8,223	.4	5,257	7.9			13,48	1.3	
High One Day	5,693	.0	3,160	0.0			8,853	.0	
		Gei	nder by De	etention	Туре				
	JUVENILE	HALL	CAM	PS	OTHE	₽R	TOTA	\L	
GENDER	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%	
Male	4,354.6	85.9%	2,778.5	90.1%	1,437.2	81.5%	8,570.3	86.4%	
Female	715.0	14.1%	305.2	9.9%	327.3	18.5%	1,347.5	13.6%	
TOTAL	5,069.7	100.0%	3,083.7	100.0%	1,764.4	100.0%	9,917.8	100.0%	
	Disposition by Gend		er for Ju	venile Hal	s				
	MALE			FEMALE		TOTAL			
DISPOSITION	Num	ber	%	Nu	mber	%	Number	%	
Pre-Disposition	2,17	4.9	49.9%	3	86.6	54.1%	2,561.5	50.5%	
Post-Disposition	2,17	9.7	50.1%	3	28.5	45.9%	2,508.2	49.5%	
TOTAL	4,35	4.6	100.0%	7	15.0	100.0%	5,069.7	100.0%	
	Dis	position	by Gende	ler for Other Detention					
		MALE		FEMALE			TOTAL		
DISPOSITION	Num	ber	%	Nu	mber	%	Number	%	
Pre-Disposition	585	.0	40.7%	1	34.7	41.2%	719.7	40.8%	
Post-Disposition	852	.2	59.3%		92.6	58.8%	1,044.7	59.2%	
TOTAL	1,43	7.2	100.0%	3	27.3	100.0%	1,764.4	100.0%	
	Age Range by Type of D		pe of Dete	tention (One-Day Snapshot)					
	JUVENILE HALL		LL	CAMPS			TOTA	\L	
AGE RANGES	Num	ber	%	Nu	mber	%	Number	%	
Under 12	8.3	3	0.2%		0.0	0.0%	8.3	0.1%	
12 to 14	503	.4	10.0%	1	68.0	5.5%	671.4	8.3%	
15 to 17	4,00	7.8	80.0%	2,3	337.0	76.2%	6,344.8	78.6%	
18 _Over	490	.0	9.8%	5	61.0	18.3%	1,051.0	13.0%	
TOTAL	5,00	9.5	100.0%	3,0	066.0	100.0%	8,075.5	100.0%	

^{*} RC is Rated Capacity which is the number of beds that comply with standards set forth in Title 24, California Code of Regulations.

County Breakout Report - 3rd Quarter, 2011 Facilities and Alternative Detention


1 Los Angeles		County	Rated Capacity	Facilities Detention	Other Detention	ADP	Percent of Total	Cumulative Percent
3 Orange	1	Los Angeles				2,698.4		27.21%
3 Orange	2	San Diego	1,105		221.13	973.0	9.81%	37.02%
5 Santa Clara 538 235.7 178.81 414.6 4.18% 52.4 6 Kern 475 378.0 3.32 381.3 3.84% 56.3 7 San Bernardino 420 376.2 0.00 376.2 3.79% 60.1 8 Riverside 582 326.0 16.46 342.4 3.45% 63.5 10 Alameda 463 267.8 36.07 303.9 3.06% 69.9 11 San Mateo 274 172.5 105.99 278.5 2.81% 72.7 12 Tulare 330 173.0 89.24 282.2 2.64% 75.3 13 Contra Costa 356 236.0 0.00 236.2 2.64% 75.3 14 San Faracisco 234 108.6 112.18 220.8 2.23% 79.9 15 Santa Barbara 272 176.3 0.00 176.3 1.70% 81.7 16	3	=	797	598.4	77.55	676.0	6.82%	43.83%
6 Kern 475 378.0 3.32 381.3 3.84% 563.3 7 San Bemardino 420 376.2 0.00 376.2 3.79% 60.1 8 Riverside 582 326.0 16.46 342.4 3.45% 63.5 9 Sacramento 270 191.4 134.99 326.4 3.29% 66.8 10 Alameda 463 267.8 36.07 303.9 3.06% 69.9 11 San Mateo 274 172.5 105.99 278.5 2.81% 72.7 12 Tulare 330 173.0 89.24 262.2 2.64% 75.3 13 Contra Costa 356 236.0 0.00 236.0 2.38% 77.7 14 San Francisco 234 108.6 112.18 220.8 2.23% 73.9 15 Santa Barbara 272 176.3 0.00 176.3 1.78% 81.7 16 Stanislaus 158 136.4 31.79 168.2 1.70% 83.4	4	Fresno	450	318.6	122.39	441.0	4.45%	48.28%
7	5	Santa Clara					4.18%	52.46%
7	6	Kern				381.3		56.31%
9 Sacramento	7	San Bernardino						60.10%
9 Sacramento 270 191.4 134.99 326.4 3.29% 66.8 10 Alameda 463 267.8 36.07 303.9 3.06% 69.9 11 San Mateo 274 172.5 105.99 278.5 2.81% 72.7 12 Tulare 330 173.0 89.24 262.2 2.64% 75.3 14 San Francisco 234 108.6 112.18 220.8 2.23% 77.9 15 Sarita Barbara 272 176.3 0.00 176.3 1.78% 81.7 16 Stanislaus 158 136.4 31.79 168.2 1.17% 83.4 17 Monterey 190 127.2 32.05 159.2 1.16% 85.0 18 Ventura 295 157.2 0.00 157.2 1.68% 86.6 19 Sonoma 188 98.8 53.44 152.3 1.54% 88.1 20 Sar Joaquin 224 150.0 0.00 150.0 1.51% 89.6 <td< td=""><td>8</td><td>Riverside</td><td>582</td><td>326.0</td><td>16.46</td><td>342.4</td><td>3.45%</td><td>63.55%</td></td<>	8	Riverside	582	326.0	16.46	342.4	3.45%	63.55%
10 Alameda	9	Sacramento			134.99			66.84%
12 Tulare 330 173.0 89.24 26.2 2.64% 75.3 13 Contra Costa 356 236.0 0.00 236.0 2.38% 77.7 15 Santa Barbara 272 176.3 0.00 176.3 1.78% 81.7 16 Stanislaus 158 136.4 31.79 186.2 1.70% 83.4 17 Monterey 190 127.2 32.05 159.2 1.61% 85.0 18 Ventura 295 157.2 0.00 157.2 1.58% 86.6 19 Sonoma 188 98.8 53.44 152.3 1.54% 88.1 20 San Joaquin 224 150.0 0.00 150.0 1.51% 89.6 21 Merced 120 89.8 44.85 134.6 13.6% 91.0 22 Solano 148 99.9 9.0 101.1 1.02% 92.0 23 Kings 209 89.6 0.00 89.6 0.90% 92.9 24 Madera	10	Alameda	463					69.91%
12 Tulare 330 173.0 89.24 26.2 2.64% 75.3 13 Contra Costa 356 236.0 0.00 236.0 2.38% 77.7 15 Santa Barbara 272 176.3 0.00 176.3 1.78% 81.7 16 Stanislaus 158 136.4 31.79 186.2 1.70% 83.4 17 Monterey 190 127.2 32.05 159.2 1.61% 85.0 18 Ventura 295 157.2 0.00 157.2 1.58% 86.6 19 Sonoma 188 98.8 53.44 152.3 1.54% 88.1 20 San Joaquin 224 150.0 0.00 150.0 1.51% 89.6 21 Merced 120 89.8 44.85 134.6 13.6% 91.0 22 Solano 148 99.9 9.0 101.1 1.02% 92.0 23 Kings 209 89.6 0.00 89.6 0.90% 92.9 24 Madera	11	San Mateo	274	172.5	105.99	278.5	2.81%	72.71%
13 Contra Costa 356 236.0 0.00 236.0 2.28% 77.7 14 San Francisco 234 108.6 112.18 220.8 2.23% 79.9 15 Santa Barbara 272 176.3 0.00 176.3 1.78% 81.7 16 Stanislaus 158 136.4 31.79 188.2 1.70% 83.4 17 Monterey 190 127.2 32.05 159.2 1.61% 85.0 18 Ventura 295 157.2 0.00 157.2 1.58% 86.6 19 Sonoma 188 98.8 53.44 152.3 1.54% 88.1 20 San Joaquin 224 150.0 0.00 150.0 1.51% 89.6 21 Merced 120 89.8 44.85 134.6 1.36% 91.0 22 Solano 148 91.9 9.20 101.1 1.02% 92.2 34 <t< td=""><td>12</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>75.36%</td></t<>	12							75.36%
14 San Francisco 234 108.6 112.18 220.8 2.23% 79.9 15 Santa Barbara 272 176.3 0.00 176.3 1.78% 81.7 16 Stanislaus 158 136.4 31.79 168.2 1.70% 83.4 17 Monterey 190 127.2 32.05 159.2 1.61% 85.0 18 Ventura 295 157.2 0.00 157.2 1.58% 86.6 19 Sonoma 188 98.8 53.44 152.3 1.54% 86.6 19 Sonoma 188 98.8 53.44 152.3 1.54% 86.6 10 Merced 120 89.8 44.85 134.6 13.6% 89.1 20 Solano 148 91.9 9.20 101.1 1.02% 92.0 21 Madera 85 51.1 12.03 89.6 0.00 89.6 0.90% 92.9 24 Madera 85 51.1 12.03 52.2 0.64% 93.5	13	Contra Costa	356		0.00			77.74%
15 Santa Barbara 272 176.3 0.00 176.3 1.78% 81.7 16 Stanislaus 158 136.4 31.79 168.2 1.70% 83.4 17 Monterey 190 127.2 32.05 159.2 1.61% 85.0 18 Ventura 295 157.2 0.00 157.2 1.58% 86.6 19 Sonoma 188 98.8 53.44 152.3 1.54% 86.1 19 Sonoma 188 98.8 53.44 152.3 1.54% 86.1 10 San Joaquin 224 150.0 0.00 150.0 1.51% 89.6 21 Merced 120 89.8 44.85 134.6 136% 91.0 21 Merced 120 89.8 44.85 134.6 136% 91.0 21 Merced 120 89.6 0.00 89.6 0.99% 92.9 24 Madera	14							79.96%
16 Stanislaus 158 136.4 31.79 168.2 1.70% 83.4 17 Monterey 190 127.2 32.05 159.2 1.61% 85.0 18 Ventura 295 157.2 0.00 157.2 1.58% 86.6 19 Sonoma 188 98.8 53.44 152.3 1.54% 88.1 20 San Joaquin 224 150.0 0.00 150.0 1.51% 88.6 21 Merced 120 89.8 44.85 134.6 1.36% 91.0 22 Solano 148 91.9 9.20 101.1 1.02% 92.0 23 Kings 209 89.6 0.00 89.6 0.90% 92.9 24 Madera 85 51.1 12.03 63.2 0.64% 93.5 25 Yolo 90 40.6 17.54 58.1 0.59% 94.1 26 El Dorado 80	15	Santa Barbara						81.74%
17	16	Stanislaus		136.4				83.44%
18 Ventura 295 157.2 0.00 157.2 1.58% 86.6 19 Sonoma 188 98.8 53.44 152.3 1.54% 88.1 20 San Joaquin 224 150.0 0.00 150.0 1.51% 89.6 21 Merced 120 89.8 44.85 134.6 1.36% 91.0 22 Solano 148 91.9 9.20 101.1 1.02% 92.0 23 Kings 209 89.6 0.00 89.6 0.90% 92.9 24 Madera 85 51.1 12.03 63.2 0.64% 93.5 25 Volo 90 40.6 17.54 58.1 0.59% 94.1 26 El Dorado 80 41.7 13.62 55.3 0.56% 94.7 27 Butte 60 48.9 3.32 52.2 0.53% 95.2 28 Placer 58	17							85.04%
19 Sonoma 188 98.8 53.44 152.3 1.54% 88.1 20 San Joaquin 224 150.0 0.00 150.0 1.51% 88.6 21 Merced 120 89.8 44.85 134.6 1.36% 91.0 22 Solano 148 91.9 9.20 101.1 1.02% 92.0 23 Kings 209 89.6 0.00 89.6 0.90% 92.9 24 Madera 85 51.1 12.03 63.2 0.64% 93.5 25 Yolo 90 40.6 17.54 58.1 0.59% 94.7 26 El Dorado 80 41.7 13.62 55.3 0.56% 94.7 27 Butte 60 48.9 3.32 52.2 0.53% 95.2 28 Placer 58 29.3 19.44 48.7 0.49% 95.7 29 Yuba 120	18	•						86.63%
20 San Joaquin 224 150.0 0.00 150.0 1.51% 89.6 21 Merced 120 89.8 44.85 134.6 1.36% 91.0 22 Solano 148 91.9 9.20 101.1 1.02% 92.0 23 Kings 209 89.6 0.00 89.6 0.90% 92.9 24 Madera 85 51.1 12.03 63.2 0.64% 93.5 25 Yolo 90 40.6 17.54 58.1 0.59% 94.1 26 El Dorado 80 41.7 13.62 55.3 0.56% 94.7 27 Butte 60 48.9 3.32 52.2 0.53% 95.2 28 Placer 58 29.3 19.44 48.7 0.49% 95.7 29 Yuba 120 47.5 0.00 47.5 0.04 48.7 0.48% 96.2 30 Sha	19	Sonoma						88.16%
21 Merced 120 89.8 44.85 134.6 1.36% 91.0 22 Solano 148 91.9 9.20 101.1 1.02% 92.0 24 Madera 85 51.1 12.03 63.2 0.64% 93.5 25 Yolo 90 40.6 17.54 58.1 0.59% 94.1 26 El Dorado 80 41.7 13.62 55.3 0.56% 94.7 27 Butte 60 48.9 3.32 52.2 0.53% 95.2 28 Placer 58 29.3 19.44 48.7 0.49% 95.7 29 Yuba 120 47.5 0.00 47.5 0.48% 96.2 30 Shasta 56 25.3 10.99 36.3 0.37% 96.6 31 Humboldt 44 26.2 9.91 36.1 0.36% 96.9 32 Del Norte 62 34.8<	20	San Joaquin						89.67%
22 Solano 148 91.9 9.20 101.1 1.02% 92.0 23 Kings 209 89.6 0.00 89.6 0.90% 92.9 24 Madera 85 51.1 12.03 63.2 0.64% 93.5 25 Yolo 90 40.6 17.54 58.1 0.59% 94.7 26 El Dorado 80 41.7 13.62 55.3 0.56% 94.7 27 Butte 60 48.9 3.32 52.2 0.53% 95.2 28 Placer 58 29.3 19.44 48.7 0.49% 95.7 29 Yuba 120 47.5 0.00 47.5 0.48% 96.2 30 Shasta 56 25.3 10.99 36.3 0.37% 96.6 31 Humboldt 44 26.2 9.91 36.1 0.36% 96.9 32 Del Norte 62 34.8 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>91.03%</td>								91.03%
23 Kings 209 89.6 0.00 89.6 0.90% 92.9 24 Madera 85 51.1 12.03 63.2 0.64% 93.5 25 Yolo 90 40.6 17.54 58.1 0.59% 94.1 26 El Dorado 80 41.7 13.62 55.3 0.56% 94.7 27 Butte 60 48.9 3.32 52.2 0.53% 95.2 28 Placer 58 29.3 19.44 48.7 0.49% 95.7 29 Yuba 120 47.5 0.00 47.5 0.48% 96.2 30 Shasta 56 25.3 10.99 36.3 0.37% 96.6 31 Humboldt 44 26.2 9.91 36.1 0.36% 96.9 32 Del Norte 62 34.8 0.00 34.8 0.35% 97.3 34 San Luis Obispo 45 3								92.05%
24 Madera 85 51.1 12.03 63.2 0.64% 93.5 25 Yolo 90 40.6 17.54 58.1 0.59% 94.1 26 El Dorado 80 41.7 13.62 55.3 0.56% 94.7 27 Butte 60 48.9 3.32 52.2 0.53% 95.2 28 Placer 58 29.3 19.44 48.7 0.49% 95.7 29 Yuba 120 47.5 0.00 47.5 0.48% 96.2 30 Shasta 56 25.3 10.99 36.3 0.37% 96.6 31 Humboldt 44 26.2 9.91 36.1 0.36% 96.9 32 Del Norte 62 34.8 0.00 34.8 0.35% 97.8 33 Napa 50 31.5 3.13 34.6 0.35% 97.6 34 San Luis Obispo 45 30.								92.95%
25 Yolo 90 40.6 17.54 58.1 0.59% 94.1 26 El Dorado 80 41.7 13.62 55.3 0.56% 94.7 27 Butte 60 48.9 3.32 52.2 0.53% 95.2 28 Placer 58 29.3 19.44 48.7 0.49% 95.7 29 Yuba 120 47.5 0.00 47.5 0.48% 96.2 30 Shasta 56 25.3 10.99 36.3 0.37% 96.6 31 Humboldt 44 26.2 9.91 36.1 0.36% 96.9 32 Del Norte 62 34.8 0.00 34.8 0.35% 97.3 33 Napa 50 31.5 3.13 34.6 0.35% 97.6 34 San Luis Obispo 45 30.5 0.00 30.5 0.31% 97.9 35 Nevada 60 17.0 10.67 27.7 0.28% 98.2 36 Santa Cruz 42 18.0 7.46 25.5 0.26% 98.5 37 Mendocino 43 22.4 0.00 22.4 0.23% 98.7 38 Tehama 40 19.3 0.00 19.3 0.19% 98.9 40 Imperial 72 14.9 0.00 14.9 0.15% 99.2 41 Siskiyou 20 14.0 0.00 14.9 0.15% 99.2 42 Lassen 40 11.0 2.70 13.7 0.14% 99.5 43 Marin 40 12.3 0.47 12.8 0.13% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.00 10.0 0.10% 99.8 45 Glenn 22 10.0 0.00 10.0 0.10% 99.8 46 Trinity 28 6.0 0.00 6.0 0.06% 99.9 47 Inyo 14 5.7 0.00 5.7 0.06% 100.0 48 Mariposa 4 0.2 0.00 0.2 0.00% 100.0 49 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00% 100.0 49 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00% 100.0 40 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.00 0.00% 100.0 41 42 43 44 44 44 44 44 44	24			51.1				93.59%
26 El Dorado 80 41.7 13.62 55.3 0.56% 94.7 27 Butte 60 48.9 3.32 52.2 0.53% 95.2 28 Placer 58 29.3 19.44 48.7 0.49% 95.7 29 Yuba 120 47.5 0.00 47.5 0.48% 96.2 30 Shasta 56 25.3 10.99 36.3 0.37% 96.6 31 Humboldt 44 26.2 9.91 36.1 0.36% 96.9 32 Del Norte 62 34.8 0.00 34.8 0.35% 97.3 33 Napa 50 31.5 3.13 34.6 0.35% 97.3 34 San Luis Obispo 45 30.5 0.00 30.5 0.31% 97.9 35 Nevada 60 17.0 10.67 27.7 0.28% 98.2 36 Santa Cruz 42 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>94.18%</td></t<>								94.18%
27 Butte 60 48.9 3.32 52.2 0.53% 95.2 28 Placer 58 29.3 19.44 48.7 0.49% 95.7 29 Yuba 120 47.5 0.00 47.5 0.48% 96.2 30 Shasta 56 25.3 10.99 36.3 0.37% 96.6 31 Humboldt 44 26.2 9.91 36.1 0.36% 96.9 32 Del Norte 62 34.8 0.00 34.8 0.35% 97.3 33 Napa 50 31.5 3.13 34.6 0.35% 97.6 34 San Luis Obispo 45 30.5 0.00 30.5 0.31% 97.9 35 Nevada 60 17.0 10.67 27.7 0.28% 98.2 36 Santa Cruz 42 18.0 7.46 25.5 0.26% 98.5 37 Menciorio 43 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>94.74%</td></td<>								94.74%
28 Placer 58 29.3 19.44 48.7 0.49% 95.7 29 Yuba 120 47.5 0.00 47.5 0.48% 96.2 30 Shasta 56 25.3 10.99 36.3 0.37% 96.6 31 Humboldt 44 26.2 9.91 36.1 0.36% 96.9 32 Del Norte 62 34.8 0.00 34.8 0.35% 97.3 33 Napa 50 31.5 3.13 34.6 0.35% 97.6 34 San Luis Obispo 45 30.5 0.00 30.5 0.31% 97.9 35 Nevada 60 17.0 10.67 27.7 0.28% 98.2 36 Santa Cruz 42 18.0 7.46 25.5 0.26% 98.5 37 Mendocino 43 22.4 0.00 22.4 0.23% 98.7 38 Tehama 40 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>95.26%</td></t<>								95.26%
29 Yuba 120 47.5 0.00 47.5 0.48% 96.2 30 Shasta 56 25.3 10.99 36.3 0.37% 96.6 31 Humboldt 44 26.2 9.91 36.1 0.36% 96.9 32 Del Norte 62 34.8 0.00 34.8 0.35% 97.3 33 Napa 50 31.5 3.13 34.6 0.35% 97.3 34 San Luis Obispo 45 30.5 0.00 30.5 0.31% 97.9 35 Nevada 60 17.0 10.67 27.7 0.28% 98.2 36 Santa Cruz 42 18.0 7.46 25.5 0.26% 98.5 37 Mendocino 43 22.4 0.00 22.4 0.23% 98.7 38 Tehama 40 19.3 0.00 19.3 0.19% 98.9 40 Imperial 72 <								95.75%
30 Shasta 56 25.3 10.99 36.3 0.37% 96.6 31 Humboldt 44 26.2 9.91 36.1 0.36% 96.9 32 Del Norte 62 34.8 0.00 34.8 0.35% 97.3 33 Napa 50 31.5 3.13 34.6 0.35% 97.6 34 San Luis Obispo 45 30.5 0.00 30.5 0.31% 97.9 35 Nevada 60 17.0 10.67 27.7 0.28% 98.2 36 Santa Cruz 42 18.0 7.46 25.5 0.26% 98.5 37 Mendocino 43 22.4 0.00 22.4 0.23% 98.7 38 Tehama 40 19.3 0.00 19.3 0.19% 98.9 39 Lake 40 13.0 5.65 18.7 0.19% 99.1 40 Imperial 72 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>96.23%</td></t<>								96.23%
31 Humboldt 44 26.2 9.91 36.1 0.36% 96.9 32 Del Norte 62 34.8 0.00 34.8 0.35% 97.3 33 Napa 50 31.5 3.13 34.6 0.35% 97.6 34 San Luis Obispo 45 30.5 0.00 30.5 0.31% 97.9 35 Nevada 60 17.0 10.67 27.7 0.28% 98.2 36 Santa Cruz 42 18.0 7.46 25.5 0.26% 98.5 37 Mendocino 43 22.4 0.00 22.4 0.23% 98.7 38 Tehama 40 19.3 0.00 19.3 0.19% 98.9 39 Lake 40 13.0 5.65 18.7 0.19% 99.9 41 Siskiyou 20 14.0 0.00 14.0 0.14% 99.4 43 Marin 40								96.60%
32 Del Norte 62 34.8 0.00 34.8 0.35% 97.3 33 Napa 50 31.5 3.13 34.6 0.35% 97.6 34 San Luis Obispo 45 30.5 0.00 30.5 0.31% 97.9 35 Nevada 60 17.0 10.67 27.7 0.28% 98.2 36 Santa Cruz 42 18.0 7.46 25.5 0.26% 98.5 37 Mendocino 43 22.4 0.00 22.4 0.23% 98.7 38 Tehama 40 19.3 0.00 19.3 0.19% 98.9 39 Lake 40 13.0 5.65 18.7 0.19% 99.1 41 Siskiyou 20 14.9 0.00 14.9 0.15% 99.2 42 Lassen 40 11.0 2.70 13.7 0.14% 99.5 43 Marin 40 12								96.96%
33 Napa 50 31.5 3.13 34.6 0.35% 97.6 34 San Luis Obispo 45 30.5 0.00 30.5 0.31% 97.9 35 Nevada 60 17.0 10.67 27.7 0.28% 98.2 36 Santa Cruz 42 18.0 7.46 25.5 0.26% 98.5 37 Mendocino 43 22.4 0.00 22.4 0.23% 98.7 38 Tehama 40 19.3 0.00 19.3 0.19% 98.9 39 Lake 40 13.0 5.65 18.7 0.19% 99.1 40 Imperial 72 14.9 0.00 14.9 0.15% 99.2 41 Siskiyou 20 14.0 0.00 14.0 0.14% 99.4 42 Lassen 40 11.0 2.70 13.7 0.14% 99.5 43 Marin 40 12.								97.31%
34 San Luis Obispo 45 30.5 0.00 30.5 0.31% 97.9 35 Nevada 60 17.0 10.67 27.7 0.28% 98.2 36 Santa Cruz 42 18.0 7.46 25.5 0.26% 98.5 37 Mendocino 43 22.4 0.00 22.4 0.23% 98.7 38 Tehama 40 19.3 0.00 19.3 0.19% 98.9 39 Lake 40 13.0 5.65 18.7 0.19% 99.9 40 Imperial 72 14.9 0.00 14.9 0.15% 99.2 41 Siskiyou 20 14.0 0.00 14.0 0.14% 99.4 42 Lassen 40 11.0 2.70 13.7 0.14% 99.5 43 Marin 40 12.3 0.47 12.8 0.13% 99.6 45 Glenn 22 10								97.66%
35 Nevada 60 17.0 10.67 27.7 0.28% 98.2 36 Santa Cruz 42 18.0 7.46 25.5 0.26% 98.5 37 Mendocino 43 22.4 0.00 22.4 0.23% 98.7 38 Tehama 40 19.3 0.00 19.3 0.19% 98.9 39 Lake 40 13.0 5.65 18.7 0.19% 99.1 40 Imperial 72 14.9 0.00 14.9 0.15% 99.2 41 Siskiyou 20 14.0 0.00 14.0 0.14% 99.4 42 Lassen 40 11.0 2.70 13.7 0.14% 99.5 43 Marin 40 12.3 0.47 12.8 0.13% 99.6 45 Glenn 22 10.0 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.0		•						97.97%
36 Santa Cruz 42 18.0 7.46 25.5 0.26% 98.5 37 Mendocino 43 22.4 0.00 22.4 0.23% 98.7 38 Tehama 40 19.3 0.00 19.3 0.19% 98.9 39 Lake 40 13.0 5.65 18.7 0.19% 99.1 40 Imperial 72 14.9 0.00 14.9 0.15% 99.2 41 Siskiyou 20 14.0 0.00 14.0 0.14% 99.4 42 Lassen 40 11.0 2.70 13.7 0.14% 99.5 43 Marin 40 12.3 0.47 12.8 0.13% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.0 0.00 10.0 0.10% 99.8 46 Trinity 28 6.0 <td></td> <td>·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>98.25%</td>		·						98.25%
37 Mendocino 43 22.4 0.00 22.4 0.23% 98.7 38 Tehama 40 19.3 0.00 19.3 0.19% 98.9 39 Lake 40 13.0 5.65 18.7 0.19% 99.1 40 Imperial 72 14.9 0.00 14.9 0.15% 99.2 41 Siskiyou 20 14.0 0.00 14.0 0.14% 99.4 42 Lassen 40 11.0 2.70 13.7 0.14% 99.5 43 Marin 40 12.3 0.47 12.8 0.13% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.0 0.00 10.0 0.10% 99.8 46 Trinity 28 6.0 0.00 6.0 0.06% 99.9 47 Inyo 14 5.7		Santa Cruz						98.51%
38 Tehama 40 19.3 0.00 19.3 0.19% 98.9 39 Lake 40 13.0 5.65 18.7 0.19% 99.1 40 Imperial 72 14.9 0.00 14.9 0.15% 99.2 41 Siskiyou 20 14.0 0.00 14.0 0.14% 99.4 42 Lassen 40 11.0 2.70 13.7 0.14% 99.5 43 Marin 40 12.3 0.47 12.8 0.13% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.0 0.00 10.0 0.10% 99.8 46 Trinity 28 6.0 0.00 6.0 0.06% 99.9 47 Inyo 14 5.7 0.00 5.7 0.06% 100.0 48 Mariposa 4 0.2 <	37	Mendocino			0.00			98.73%
39 Lake 40 13.0 5.65 18.7 0.19% 99.1 40 Imperial 72 14.9 0.00 14.9 0.15% 99.2 41 Siskiyou 20 14.0 0.00 14.0 0.14% 99.4 42 Lassen 40 11.0 2.70 13.7 0.14% 99.5 43 Marin 40 12.3 0.47 12.8 0.13% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.0 0.00 10.0 0.10% 99.8 46 Trinity 28 6.0 0.00 6.0 0.06% 99.9 47 Inyo 14 5.7 0.00 5.7 0.06% 100.0 48 Mariposa 4 0.2 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0 49 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0								98.93%
40 Imperial 72 14.9 0.00 14.9 0.15% 99.2 41 Siskiyou 20 14.0 0.00 14.0 0.14% 99.4 42 Lassen 40 11.0 2.70 13.7 0.14% 99.5 43 Marin 40 12.3 0.47 12.8 0.13% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.0 0.00 10.0 0.10% 99.8 46 Trinity 28 6.0 0.00 6.0 0.06% 99.9 47 Inyo 14 5.7 0.00 5.7 0.06% 100.0 48 Mariposa 4 0.2 0.00 0.2 0.00% 100.0 49 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0		Lake						99.11%
41 Siskiyou 20 14.0 0.00 14.0 0.14% 99.4 42 Lassen 40 11.0 2.70 13.7 0.14% 99.5 43 Marin 40 12.3 0.47 12.8 0.13% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.0 0.00 10.0 0.10% 99.8 46 Trinity 28 6.0 0.00 6.0 0.06% 99.9 47 Inyo 14 5.7 0.00 5.7 0.06% 100.0 48 Mariposa 4 0.2 0.00 0.2 0.00% 100.0 49 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0								99.26%
42 Lassen 40 11.0 2.70 13.7 0.14% 99.5 43 Marin 40 12.3 0.47 12.8 0.13% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.0 0.00 10.0 0.10% 99.8 46 Trinity 28 6.0 0.00 6.0 0.06% 99.9 47 Inyo 14 5.7 0.00 5.7 0.06% 100.0 48 Mariposa 4 0.2 0.00 0.2 0.00% 100.0 49 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0								99.41%
43 Marin 40 12.3 0.47 12.8 0.13% 99.6 44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.0 0.00 10.0 0.10% 99.8 46 Trinity 28 6.0 0.00 6.0 0.06% 99.9 47 Inyo 14 5.7 0.00 5.7 0.06% 100.0 48 Mariposa 4 0.2 0.00 0.2 0.00% 100.0 49 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00 0.00% 100.0	42							99.54%
44 San Benito 20 10.6 0.00 10.6 0.11% 99.7 45 Glenn 22 10.0 0.00 10.0 0.10% 99.8 46 Trinity 28 6.0 0.00 6.0 0.06% 99.9 47 Inyo 14 5.7 0.00 5.7 0.06% 100.0 48 Mariposa 4 0.2 0.00 0.2 0.00% 100.0 49 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0	43							99.67%
45 Glenn 22 10.0 0.00 10.0 0.10% 99.8 46 Trinity 28 6.0 0.00 6.0 0.06% 99.9 47 Inyo 14 5.7 0.00 5.7 0.06% 100.0 48 Mariposa 4 0.2 0.00 0.2 0.00% 100.0 49 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.0								99.78%
46 Trinity 28 6.0 0.00 6.0 0.06% 99.9 47 Inyo 14 5.7 0.00 5.7 0.06% 100.0 48 Mariposa 4 0.2 0.00 0.2 0.00% 100.0 49 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0								99.88%
47 Inyo 14 5.7 0.00 5.7 0.06% 100.0 48 Mariposa 4 0.2 0.00 0.2 0.00% 100.0 49 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.0								99.94%
48 Mariposa 4 0.2 0.00 0.2 0.00% 100.0 49 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.0		,						100.00%
49 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.00 0.00 100.00								100.00%
		·						100.00%
ן און די סוב ווען סוברוע און די די סוברוע און די	50	Sierra	0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00%	100.00%
								100.00%
13,481 8,153.4 1,764.4 9,917.8 100.00%								

^{*}ADP on County Breakout Report may not equal ADP on other Summary Reports due to rounding

	Juven	ile De	tentior	Profi	le Surv	еу				
3rd Quarter			One Day	Snapshot			Averag	e Daily Po	pulation	
		Misde	meanor	Fe	ony	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	position	
Report 2011	Rated Capacity	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total ADP
Juvenile Halls	8,223.4	13.6%	4.1%	30.1%	3.2%	2,174.9	386.6	2,179.7	328.5	5,069.7
Camps / Ranches	5,257.9	6.1%	1.1%	22.7%	2.2%			2,778.5	305.2	3,083.7
Other Juveniles	in the System	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total ADP
Juveniles on home supervision w	rith electronic monitoring	3.0%	0.7%	4.7%	1.1%	382.8	79.2	440.7	96.4	999.0
Juveniles on home supervision w	vithout electronic monitoring	1.7%	0.6%	2.9%	0.6%	199.6	54.4	265.9	73.8	593.7
Juveniles alternative confinement	t programs	0.6%	0.1%	1.0%	0.1%	2.7	1.0	145.6	22.4	171.7
Grand T	otals	25.0%	6.6%	61.2%	7.2%	2,759.9	521.3	5,810.4	826.2	9,917.8
		Ment	al Health	Snapshot						
Number of open mental health	cases this day									3,129.8
Number of juveniles receiving	psychotropic medication									1,239.6
			Crowdi	ng						
Average daily population of ju-	veniles in other jurisdictions	DUE TO	LACK OF	SPACE						0.0
Number of juveniles released	early DUE TO LACK OF SP.	ACE								38.9
Average number of days that	one or more facilities in a co	unty exce	eded the F	Rated Cap	acity					7.0
		Average	e Booking	s Per Mo	nth					
Number of Juvenile Hall booki	ngs/admissions									6,032.1
Number of bookings for weapon	on-related offenses									423.0
Number of 601 bookings										18.3
Number of 777 bookings										1,290.1
Number of direct file {WIC 602	2(b) and 707(d)} bookings									62.1
	Final Mo	onth of th	ne Quarter	(One-Day	y Snapsho	t)				
Detained for 707b Offense										1,114.0
Awaiting placement										409.7
Awaiting transport to a camp										393.2
Awaiting transfer to Youth Aut	hority									51.0
Court commitments to juvenile	hall (Ricardo M)									620.0
Found unfit per 707.01 WIC										91.7
Direct files to Adult Court-602(. ,									370.9
Hospitalized outside detention										10.0
Hospitalized outside detention	•	H CARE								6.0
Believed to be criminal illegal	aliens									100.8
		Aver	age Lengt	h of Stay						
Juvenile Hall (all releases)										24.5
Juvenile Hall to Camps										67.5
Juvenile Hall to other out-of-ho		nomes or	foster hom	nes)						52.6
Juvenile Hall who were found										393.8
Juvenile Hall who were direct	filings to adult court									246.7
Camps (all releases)										146.6
		Cumulati	ve Total fo							
	Detention Behavior				Juvenile H	alls	Camps / R			Detention
Assualts by juveniles on staff					33.1		7.0			0.0
Escapes					4.0		82.			2.0
Suicide Attempts					48.0		3.0			0.0
Suicides					0.0		0.0)		0.0

Third Quarter Report, 2011 - Juvenile Hall Data

Rated Capacity RC and ADP for Juvenile Halls




Juvenile Hall population, which increased by 500 between 2002 and 2006, continues the decline that began in 2007. Third quarter ADP is nearly 2,000 fewer than 2006.

	Summary	of Juvenile	Hall ADP	
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
7,017	6,858	6,614	6,197	5,721

	2011 Summ	ary of Juvenile Hall ADP	
Q1	Q2	Q3	Average
5,261	5,325	5,070	5,218

Juvenile Hall Highest One-Day Population and RC




Reflecting the reduction seen in ADP, high day population continues to fall. This increases the distance between the population of the highest day in detention and the rated capacity at the facilities.

Sun	nmary of Ju	venile Hall H	ighest One Da	ay
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
7,837	7,686	7,317	6,914	6,386

2011	Summary of	f Highest One-Day Popula	ation
Q1	Q2	Q3	Average
5,933	6,019	5,693	5,881

Pre-Disposition in Juvenile Halls




The percentage of pre-disposition juveniles peaked in 2007 with
61% of youth in a pre-disposition status. The third quarter
percentage of 51% is the lowest in the history of the survey.

Sun	nmary of Pre	-Disposition i	n Juvenile H	alls
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
60%	61%	57%	56%	53%

2011	Summary of	Pre-Disposit	ion Juvenile	Halls
Q1	Q2	Q3		Average
52%	52%	51%		52%

Third Quarter Report, 2011 - Juvenile Hall Data

Gender Distribution in Juvenile Halls




	Summary o	f Males in Ju	venile Halls	
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
84%	85%	86%	86%	86%

e gender distribution	on in juvenile ha	ılls remains unchanç	ged.

2011 Summary of Males in Juvenile Hall						
Q1 Q2 Q3 Averag						
86%	86%	86%	86%			

Average Number of Juveniles Booked per Month




Summary of Juveniles Booked per Month						
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010		
9,539	9,422	9,325	9,079	6,802		

The use of objective risk instruments at detention (and local law enforcement's awareness of them) is one of the contributing factors to the declining number of juvenile bookings. Another factor is the decreasing number of bookings for probation violations (777W&I).

2011 Summary of Monthly Bookings					
Q1 Q2 Q3 Averag					
6,593	6,605	6,032	6,408		

Distribution of Charge in Juvenile Halls




	Summary of Felony Charges in Juvenile Halls					
200	06	2007	2008	2009	2010	
	67%	70%	70%	70%	66%	

While the majority of youth are detained in juvenile halls for felony charges, the percentage of misdemeanants has risen 5% in two years.

	2011 Summary of Felony Charge Juvenile Hall						
Ī	Q1	Q2	Q3	Average			
1	66%	65%	65%	65%			

Third Quarter Report, 2011 - Camp Data

RC and ADP for Camps




This marks the fifth quarter of declining camp population. It is noteworthy that three Los Angeles camps closed in May 2011.


Summary of Camp ADP						
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010						
4,252	4,278	4,338	3,841	3,374		

l	2011 Summary of Camp ADP						
	Q1	Q2	Q3		Average		
	3,221	3,206	3,084		3,170		

Gender Distribution in Camps




Summary of Males in Camps						
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010		
88%	89%	90%	90%	90%		



2011 Summary of Males in Camps						
Q1	Q2	Q3	Average			
91%	91%	90%	91%			

Distribution of Charge in Camps



	Summary of Felonies in Camps						
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010			
69%	70%	71%	75%	77%			

The percentage of youth committed to camp programs for felony charges continues to rise.

2011 Summary of Felonies in Camps					
Q1 Q2 Q3					
76%	77%	78%	77%		

Instructions for Interpreting the Survey Report

<u>Capacity, Population and ADP Breakout</u> is designed to present the Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results for the major reporting categories.

- Capacity: this category presents the Rated Capacity (RC) in terms of the number of beds in juvenile halls and camps/ranches that meet the Corrections Standards Authority (CSA) standards.
- **High One-Day:** each jurisdiction reports for each month in the quarter, the juvenile hall and camp/ranch populations that, together, constituted the highest one-day count of the month.
- Other: this category refers to the sum of all juveniles who are receiving custody credit while on home supervision with or without electronic monitoring, or in alternative confinement programs.

<u>Breakout of Juveniles in Detention</u> is designed to present County-specific counts.

• County-specific counts: this page identifies the ADP for each county and the percent that county contributes to the total state juvenile detention population. The counties are ranked in descending order based on their percentage of the overall juvenile detention population in the state.

<u>Summary of Survey Results</u> is designed to present all the remaining Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results not already listed.

- One-Day Snapshot: the percentages in this section are percentages of the total ADP for juvenile halls, camps/ranches, and other juveniles in the system.
- Average number of days that one or more facilities in a county exceeded the Rated Capacity: this value is the result of taking all of the counties "number of days of crowding" and averaging the figures submitted by all the jurisdictions. If a jurisdiction had no crowding days, that jurisdiction was not included in the computation. In other words, the value presented indicates the typical number of crowding days per month experienced by jurisdictions that have had one or more days of crowding in the Third Quarter of Calendar Year 2011.
- Average Length of Stay: these numbers are averages for all juveniles in each category: 1) "juvenile hall (all releases)" is computed by first taking the mean length of stay for all juveniles released from juvenile halls in a jurisdiction. Next, all the jurisdictions' means are averaged to produce a statewide figure; 2) "juvenile hall to camps/ranches" is computed in the same fashion, but includes only those juveniles released from juvenile hall and placed in a camp or ranch; 3) "juvenile hall to other out-of-home placements" presents the average length of stay for that subset of juveniles.