JUVENILE DETENTION PROFILE SURVEY



THIRD QUARTER 2009

Corrections Standards Authority
Facilities Standards and Operations Division
600 Bercut Drive
Sacramento CA 95811
(916) 445-5073
www.csa.ca.gov

JUVENILE DETENTION PROFILE SURVEY

EXPLANATION OF RESULTS

The following link titles contain the Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results for the Third Quarter of Calendar Year 2009. <u>Capacity</u>, <u>Population and ADP Breakout</u> contains totals for major categories such as Highest One-Day Population, Average Daily Population (ADP), gender, and the age range of detained minors. <u>Breakout of Juveniles in Detention</u> contains information regarding counties' ADP and Rated Capacity (RC) breakouts and <u>Summary of Survey Results</u> contains information about a wide range of juvenile detention facility issues including crowding, mental health needs, average length of stay, and number of bookings.

<u>Juvenile Hall Data – Part 1 & 2, and Camp Data</u> contains trend information compiled from 2004 through the third quarter of 2009. The trend data is separated into Juvenile Hall related data and Camp related data.

Please keep the following in mind when reviewing this information:

- For Overall Capacity, Crowding (highest one-day population-the count of minors in detention on the day of each county's highest population) and ADP (the average daily detention population for the reporting period), we have complete data from all jurisdictions in the state that operate juvenile detention facilities.
- Each jurisdiction provides us with the average population, computed across all the days in the month, for each of the three months in the quarter. The weighted average across the three months is then computed for each jurisdiction (with the monthly averages weighted by the number of days in the month). The jurisdictions ADPs are then combined to produce the state's total ADP.
- For some variables (other than ADP), we did not receive complete data from all jurisdictions. In such instances, we used statistical procedures to estimate the statewide total.
- Felony/misdemeanor, gender counts, and age-range breakouts are based upon a one-day snapshot (on the 15th day of the final month of the quarter). These values are used to determine the percentage of the population in each felony/misdemeanor, gender, and age category. The percentages are then applied to the Total ADP to project the expected ADP in each of the felony/misdemeanor, gender, and age-range categories.
- <u>Please note</u>: Each quarter, every county with juvenile detention facilities submits their data to the CSA. While we make every effort to review data for accuracy, including contacting individual counties for clarification, CSA cannot be responsible for data reporting errors made at the county level.

California Corrections Standards Authority Juvenile Detention Profile Survey - 3rd Quarter, 2009 Overall Capacity, Population and ADP

	Overall Capacity and Population							
	JUVENILE	HALL	CAM	PS	OTHER		TOTAL	
ADP	6,058	.5	3,739	9.9	2,631	.3	12,429.7	
Percent of Total	48.79	6	30.1	%	21.2	%	100.0	%
RC *	8,348	.0	5,337	7.3			13,685	5.3
High One Day	6,863	.0	3,797	7.0			10,660	0.0
		Gei	nder by De	etention	Туре			
	JUVENILE	HALL	CAM	PS	OTHE	₽R	TOTA	'L
GENDER	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%
Male	5,234.0	86.4%	3,362.8	89.9%	2,200.4	83.6%	10,797.3	86.9%
Female	824.5	13.6%	377.1	10.1%	430.8	16.4%	1,632.4	13.1%
TOTAL	6,058.5	100.0%	3,739.9	100.0%	2,631.3	100.0%	12,429.7	100.0%
	Dis	spositio	n by Gend	er for Ju	venile Hal	s		
		MALE			FEMALE		TOTA	'L
DISPOSITION	Num	ber	%	Nu	mber	%	Number	%
Pre-Disposition	2,88	3.6	55.1%	4	77.0	57.9%	3,360.6	55.5%
Post-Disposition	2,35	0.4	44.9%	3	347.5		2,697.9	44.5%
TOTAL	5,23	4.0	100.0%	8	24.5	100.0%	6,058.5	100.0%
	Dis	position	by Gende	er for Oth	ner Detenti	on		
		MALE			FEMALE		TOTAL	
DISPOSITION	Num	ber	%	Nu	mber	%	Number	%
Pre-Disposition	746	5.0	33.9%	1	41.7	32.9%	887.8	33.7%
Post-Disposition	1,45	4.4	66.1%	2	89.1	67.1%	1,743.5	66.3%
TOTAL	2,20	0.4	100.0%	4	30.8	100.0%	2,631.3	100.0%
	Age Ran	ge by Ty	pe of Dete	ention (C	ne-Day Sn	apshot)		
	JUVENILE HALL		LL	CAMPS			TOTA	\L
AGE RANGES	Num	ber	%	Number		%	Number	%
Under 12	7.0)	0.1%	1.0		0.0%	8.0	0.1%
12 to 14	751	.0	12.2%	2	17.0	5.9%	968.0	9.9%
15 to 17	4,88	7.0	79.7%	2,8	854.0	77.5%	7,741.0	78.8%
18 _Over	489	.0	8.0%	6	12.0	16.6%	1,101.0	11.2%
TOTAL	6,13	4.0	100.0%	3,0	684.0	100.0%	9,818.0	100.0%

^{*} RC is Rated Capacity which is the number of beds that comply with standards set forth in Title 24, California Code of Regulations.

County Breakout Report - 3rd Quarter, 2009 Facilities and Alternative Detention


1 Los Angeles		County	Rated Capacity	Facilities Detention	Other Detention	ADP	Percent of Total	Cumulative Percent
3 Orange 797 604.8 87.02 781.9 6.29% 4.43.96% 4 Sacramento 368 321.9 337.22 659.1 5.30% 496% 5 Alameda 463 279.4 246.66 525.0 4.22% 53.89% 6 Santo Clara 590 362.4 161.34 523.8 4.21% 58.00% 7 Contra Costa 290 265.0 139.86 404.9 3.22% 64.57% 8 Kern 460 380.8 19.27 399.9 3.22% 64.57% 9 Riverside 584 374.9 10.00 347.5 3.12% 64.57% 10 Fresno 450 387.5 0.00 397.5 3.12% 70.85% 11 San Mateo 274 216.7 146.24 362.9 32.9% 73.77% 12 San Bernardino 620 344.4 0.00 344.4 2.77% 76.54% 14 Tuaire 345 160.5 91.18 251.7 2.02% 80.65% <tr< td=""><td>1</td><td>Los Angeles</td><td>4,144</td><td>3,003.5</td><td>632.72</td><td>3,636.2</td><td>29.25%</td><td>29.25%</td></tr<>	1	Los Angeles	4,144	3,003.5	632.72	3,636.2	29.25%	29.25%
4 Sacramento 386 321.9 337.22 659.1 5.09% 49.66% 6 Santa Clara 550 362.4 161.34 528.8 4.21% 58.10% 7 Contra Costa 290 265.0 139.86 404.9 3.28% 61.36% 8 Kern 460 380.6 132.7 398.9 3.22% 64.57% 9 Riverside 584 374.9 18.00 382.9 3.16% 67.74% 10 Fresno 450 387.5 0.00 387.5 0.00 387.5 7.045% 11 San Mateo 274 216.7 146.24 362.9 2.92% 73.77% 13 Ventura 280 183.1 76.09 259.1 2.08% 78.63% 15 Stanislaus 158 147.2 63.13 210.4 1.69% 82.35% 15 Stanislaus 158 147.2 63.13 210.4 1.69% 82.35% 15 Stanislaus 158 147.2 63.13 210.4 1.69% 8	2	San Diego		845.0	250.68	1,095.7	8.82%	38.07%
5 Alameda 463 2794 246.66 550.0 4.22% 63.89% 6 Santa Claria 550 362.4 161.346 623.8 4.21% 58.10% 7 Contra Costa 290 265.0 118.986 404.9 3.28% 61.36% 8 Kern 460 380.6 19.27 399.9 3.22% 64.57% 10 Fresno 450 387.5 0.00 387.5 3.12% 70.85% 11 San Mateo 274 216.7 146.24 362.9 22% 73.78% 12 San Barnardino 620 344.4 0.00 344.4 2.27% 76.54% 13 Ventura 280 183.1 76.09 259.1 2.08% 76.53% 14 Tolare 345 160.5 91.18 251.7 2.02% 80.65% 15 Stanislaus 158 147.2 63.13 210.4 1.69% 82.55% 16 Monterey 193 164.5 28.35 192.8 1.55% 83.90% <	3	Orange						44.36%
6 Santa Clara 550 362.4 161.34 523.8 4.21% 58.10% 7 Contra Costa 299 265.0 138.66 404.9 3.26% 61.36% 8 Kern 460 380.6 19.27 399.9 3.22% 64.57% 10 Fresno 450 387.5 0.00 387.5 3.12% 70.85% 11 San Matto 274 216.7 146.24 362.9 2.92% 73.77% 13 Ventura 280 183.1 76.09 259.1 2.08% 78.63% 15 Stanislaus 156 147.2 63.13 210.4 1.69% 82.35% 15 Stanislaus 156 147.2 63.13 210.4 1.69% 82.35% 15 Stanislaus 156 147.2 63.13 210.4 1.69% 82.35% 15 Stanislaus 158 147.2 63.13 210.4 1.69% 82.35% 15 Stanislaus 158 147.2 63.13 210.4 1.69% 82.35%		Sacramento						
7 Contra Costa 290 265.0 139.86 404.9 3.28% 61.38% 8 Kern 450 380.6 19.27 39.9 3.22% 64.57% 9 Riverside 584 374.9 18.00 392.9 3.16% 67.74% 10 Fresno 450 387.5 0.00 387.5 3.12% 70.85% 11 San Mateo 274 216.7 146.24 362.9 2.92% 73.77% 12 San Barnardino 620 344.4 0.00 344.4 2.77% 76.54% 14 Tulare 345 160.5 91.18 251.7 2.02% 80.65% 15 Stanislaus 158 147.2 63.13 210.4 1.69% 82.35% 16 Montreev 193 164.5 28.35 192.8 1.55% 83.09% 18 San Joaquin 224 191.3 0.00 191.3 1.54% 86.38% 20 Merced 120 102.5 60.29 162.8 1.31% 86.37% <tr< td=""><td>5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>	5							
8 Korn 460 380.6 19.27 39.99 3.22% 64.57% 9 Riverside 584 374.9 18.00 382.9 3.16% 67.74% 10 Fresno 450 387.5 0.00 387.5 3.12% 67.74% 11 San Mateo 274 216.7 146.24 362.9 2.22% 73.77% 13 Ventura 280 183.1 76.09 259.1 2.08% 78.63% 14 Tulare 345. 160.5 91.18 251.7 2.02% 80.65% 15 Stanislaus 158 147.2 63.13 210.4 1.69% 82.35% 17 Stanislaus 158 147.2 69.78 191.5 1.54% 86.98%<		Santa Clara						
9 Riverside 584 374.9 18.00 392.9 3.16% 67.74% 10 Fresno 450 387.5 0.00 387.5 0.00 337.5 70.85% 70.85% 70.85% 70.98% 70								
10 Fresno 450 387.5 0.00 387.5 3.12% 70.85% 12 San Mateo 274 216.7 146.24 382.9 2.92% 73.77% 12 San Bemardino 620 344.4 0.00 344.4 2.77% 76.54% 13 Vontura 280 183.1 76.09 259.1 2.06% 78.63% 14 Tulare 345 160.5 91.18 251.7 2.02% 80.65% 15 Stanislaus 158 147.2 63.13 210.4 1.69% 82.35% 15 Stanislaus 158 147.2 63.13 210.4 1.69% 82.35% 16 Montreey 193 164.5 28.35 192.8 11.55% 83.90% 17 San Francisco 234 121.7 69.78 191.5 1.54% 85.44% 18 San Joaquin 224 191.3 0.00 191.3 1.54% 86.88% 19 Santa Barbara 272 182.6 0.00 182.6 1.47% 88.45% 19 Santa Barbara 272 182.6 0.00 182.6 1.47% 88.45% 19 Solano 148 104.0 181.6 122.2 0.98% 91.85% 21 Solano 148 104.0 181.6 122.2 0.98% 91.85% 23 Yolo 90 69.9 15.83 85.7 0.06% 93.24% 24 Kings 95 83.5 0.00 83.5 0.67% 93.24% 25 Shadera 134 67.5 12.51 80.0 0.64% 93.88% 26 El Dorado 80 56.6 18.04 74.7 0.66% 94.45% 27 Shasta 86 46.8 14.32 61.1 0.49% 94.85% 28 Yuba 120 57.6 0.00 57.6 0.46% 95.44% 29 Placer 55 33.0 23.72 56.7 0.46% 95.44% 29 Placer 55 33.0 23.72 56.7 0.46% 95.44% 20 Humboldt 44 38.0 13.31 51.3 51.3 3 Shara 60 29.0 0.00 29.0 0.23% 97.88% 3 Santa Cruz 42 21.9 10.18 32.1 0.75% 97.98% 3 Shara 60 29.0 0.00 29.0 0.23% 97.88% 3 Santa Barbara 20 11.4 7.00 18.4 0.15% 99.31% 40 Lake 40 20.3 1.33 21.7 0.17% 99.09% 41 San Benito 20 11.4 7.00 18.4 0.15% 99.39% 42 Shaluis 20 11.4 0.00 11.4 0.09% 99.99% 43 Shara 40 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00% 0.00% 40 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 41 San Benito 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.								
11 San Mateo 274 216,7 146,24 362,9 2,92% 73,77% 13 Ventura 280 183,1 76,09 259,1 2,08% 78,63% 14 Tulare 345 160,5 91,18 251,7 2,02% 80,65% 15 Stanislaus 158 147,2 63,13 210,4 1,69% 82,35% 16 Monterey 193 164,5 28,35 192,8 1,55% 83,90% 17 San Francisco 234 121,7 69,78 191,5 1,54% 86,48% 18 San Jagquin 224 191,3 0,00 191,3 1,54% 86,68% 19 Santa Barbara 272 182,6 0,00 191,3 1,54% 86,68% 21 Sonoma 148 104,0 18,16 122,2 1,11% 90,87% 22 Solano 148 104,0 18,16 122,2 0,98% 92,54% 23 Yolo 90 69,9 15,83 65,7 0,69% 92,54%	_							
12 San Bernardino 620 344.4 0.00 344.4 2.77% 76.54% 13 Ventura 280 183.1 76.09 259.1 2.08% 78.63% 14 Tulare 345 160.5 91.18 251.7 2.02% 80.55% 15 Stanislaus 158 147.2 63.13 210.4 1.69% 82.35% 16 Monterey 193 164.5 28.35 192.8 1.55% 83.30% 17 San Francisco 234 121.7 69.78 191.5 1.54% 85.44% 18 San Joaquin 224 191.3 0.00 191.5 1.54% 86.48% 19 Santa Barbara 272 182.6 0.00 182.6 1.47% 88.45% 20 Merced 120 102.5 60.29 162.8 1.31% 80.76% 21 Sonoma 148 194.0 10.0 18.16 1.11% 90.87% 22 Solano 148 104.0 10.0 1.62.8 1.31% 90.76%	10							
13 Ventura								
14 Tulare 345 150.5 91.18 251.7 2.02% 80.65% 15 Stanislaus 158 147.2 63.13 210.4 1.69% 82.35% 17 San Francisco 234 121.7 69.78 191.5 1.55% 83.90% 18 San Joaquin 224 191.3 0.00 191.3 1.54% 86.48% 19 Santa Barbara 272 182.6 0.00 182.6 1.47% 88.45% 20 Merced 120 102.5 60.29 162.8 1.31% 89.76% 21 Sonoma 148 97.6 40.75 138.4 1.11% 90.87% 22 Solano 148 104.0 18.16 122.2 0.99% 91.85% 23 Yolo 90 69.9 15.83 85.7 0.69% 92.54% 24 Kings 95 83.5 0.00 83.5 0.67% 93.21% 25 Madera 134 67.5 12.51 80.0 0.64% 93.86% 27								
15 Stanislaus 158 147.2 63.13 210.4 1.69% 82.55% 16 Monterey 193 164.5 28.35 192.8 1.55% 83.90% 17 San Francisco 234 121.7 69.78 191.5 1.54% 85.44% 18 San Joaquin 224 191.3 0.00 191.3 1.54% 86.98% 19 Santa Barbara 272 182.6 0.00 182.6 1.47% 88.48% 20 Merced 120 102.5 60.29 162.8 1.31% 89.76% 21 Sonoma 148 104.0 18.16 122.2 0.99% 91.85% 23 Yolo 90 69.9 15.83 85.7 0.69% 91.85% 23 Yolo 90 69.9 15.83 85.7 0.69% 91.85% 24 Kings 95 83.5 0.00 83.5 0.67% 93.21% 25								
16 Monterey 193 164.5 28.35 192.8 1.55% 83.09% 17 San Francisco 234 121.7 69.78 191.5 1.54% 86.48% 19 Santa Barbara 272 182.6 0.00 182.6 1.47% 88.45% 20 Merced 120 102.5 60.29 162.8 1.31% 89.76% 21 Sonoma 148 97.6 40.75 138.4 1.11% 90.87% 22 Solano 148 104.0 18.16 122.2 0.99% 91.85% 23 Yolo 90 69.9 15.83 85.7 0.09% 92.21% 24 Kings 95 83.5 0.00 83.5 0.67% 93.21% 25 Madera 134 67.5 12.51 80.0 0.64% 93.86% 27 Shasta 86 46.8 14.32 61.1 0.49% 94.5% Yuba 120								
17 San Francisco 234 121.7 69.78 191.5 1.54% 85.44% 18 San Joaquin 224 191.3 0.00 191.3 1.54% 86.98% Santa Barbara 272 182.6 0.00 182.6 1.47% 88.45% 20 Merced 120 102.5 60.29 162.8 1.31% 89.76% 21 Sonoma 148 97.6 40.75 138.4 1.11% 89.87% 22 Sciano 148 104.0 18.16 122.2 0.98% 91.85% 23 Yolo 90 69.9 15.83 85.7 0.69% 92.54% 24 Kings 95 83.5 0.00 83.5 0.67% 93.21% 25 Madera 134 67.5 12.51 80.0 0.64% 93.86% 26 El Dorado 80 56.6 18.04 74.7 0.60% 94.46% 27 Shasta 86 46.8 14.32 61.1 0.49% 94.95% 28 Yuba 120 57.6 0.00 57.6 0.46% 95.87% 30 Humboldt 44 38.0 13.31 51.3 0.41% 96.28% 31 Bute 60 46.0 4.34 50.4 0.41% 96.89% 32 San Luis Obispo 45 41.3 7.32 48.6 0.39% 97.08% 33 Napa 50 42.2 0.00 42.2 0.34% 97.42% 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.9 10.18 32.1 0.26% 97.68% 35 Del Norte 62 30.8 0.00 30.8 0.25% 97.92% 36 Mendocino 43 29.3 0.00 29.3 0.24% 99.19% 37 Marin 40 22.2 6.86 29.1 0.23% 99.83% 38 Solusa 60 29.0 0.00 29.0 0.23% 98.83% 39 Imperial 72 24.5 0.34 24.9 0.20% 98.83% 30 San Benito 20 11.4 7.00 18.4 1.75 0.16% 99.19% 45 Siskiyou 20 11.4 7.00 18.4 0.15% 99.19% 46 Glenn 22 12.4 0.33 1.7 0.17% 99.99% 47 Trinity 28 11.4 0.00 11.4 0.09% 99.99% 48 Inyo 14 8.0 0.00 8.0 0.06% 99.99% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 50 Mono 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 50 Mono 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 50 Mono 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.00% 50 More 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Stanislaus						
18 San Joaquin 224 191.3 0.00 191.3 1.54% 86.88% 19 Santa Barbara 272 182.6 0.00 182.6 1.47% 88.45% 20 Merced 120 102.5 60.29 162.8 1.31% 89.76% 21 Sonoma 148 97.6 40.75 138.4 1.11% 90.87% 22 Solano 148 104.0 18.16 122.2 0.98% 91.85% 23 Yolo 90 69.9 15.83 85.7 0.69% 91.55% 24 Kings 95 83.5 0.00 83.5 0.67% 93.21% 25 Madera 134 67.5 12.51 80.0 0.64% 93.86% 26 El Dorado 80 56.6 18.04 74.7 0.60% 94.6% 27 Shasta 86 46.8 14.32 61.1 0.49% 94.54% 29 Placer 55 33.0 23.72 56.7 0.46% 95.87% 31 Butte								
19								
Description								
21 Sonoma								
22 Solano								
23 Yolo 90 69.9 15.83 85.7 0.69% 92.54% 24 Kings 95 83.5 0.00 83.5 0.67% 93.21% 26 El Dorado 80 56.6 18.04 74.7 0.60% 94.46% 27 Shasta 86 46.8 14.32 61.1 0.49% 94.95% 28 Yuba 120 57.6 0.00 57.6 0.46% 95.41% 29 Placer 55 33.0 23.72 56.7 0.46% 95.87% 30 Humboldt 44 38.0 13.31 51.3 0.41% 96.69% 31 Bute 60 46.0 4.34 50.4 0.41% 96.69% 32 San Luis Obispo 45 41.3 7.32 48.6 0.39% 97.08% 33 Napa 50 42.2 0.00 42.2 0.34% 97.42% 45 Santa Cruz 42 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
24 Kings 95 83.5 0.00 83.5 0.67% 93.21% 25 Madera 134 67.5 12.51 80.0 0.64% 93.86% 26 El Dorado 80 56.6 18.04 74.7 0.60% 94.46% 27 Shasta 86 46.8 14.32 61.1 0.49% 94.95% 28 Yuba 120 57.6 0.00 57.6 0.46% 95.41% 29 Placer 55 33.0 23.72 56.7 0.46% 95.87% 30 Humboldt 44 38.0 13.31 51.3 0.41% 96.89% 31 Butte 60 46.0 4.34 50.4 0.41% 96.69% 32 San Luis Obispo 45 41.3 7.32 48.6 0.39% 97.08% 35 Del Norte 62 30.8 0.00 42.2 0.34% 97.42% 35 Del Norte								
25 Madera 134 67.5 12.51 80.0 0.64% 93.86% 26 El Dorado 80 56.6 18.04 74.7 0.60% 94.46% 27 Shasta 86 46.8 14.32 61.1 0.49% 94.95% 28 Yuba 120 57.6 0.00 57.6 0.46% 95.41% 29 Placer 55 33.0 23.72 56.7 0.46% 95.87% 30 Humboldt 44 38.0 13.31 51.3 0.41% 96.28% 31 Butte 60 46.0 4.34 50.4 0.41% 96.68% 32 San Luis Obispo 45 41.3 7.32 48.6 0.39% 97.08% 33 Napa 50 42.2 0.00 42.2 0.34% 97.42% 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.9 10.18 32.1 0.26% 97.68% 35 Del Norte								
26 El Dorado 80 56.6 18.04 74.7 0.60% 94.46% 27 Shasta 86 46.8 14.32 61.1 0.49% 94.95% 28 Yuba 120 57.6 0.00 57.6 0.46% 95.81% 29 Placer 55 33.0 23.72 56.7 0.46% 95.87% 30 Humboldt 44 38.0 13.31 51.3 0.41% 96.28% 31 Butte 60 46.0 4.34 50.4 0.41% 96.69% 32 San Luis Obispo 45 41.3 7.32 48.6 0.39% 97.08% 33 Napa 50 42.2 0.00 42.2 0.34% 97.42% 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.9 10.18 32.1 0.26% 97.68% 35 Del Norte 62 30.8 0.00 30.8 0.25% 97.92% 36 Mendocino		3						
27 Shasta 86 46.8 14.32 61.1 0.49% 94.95% 28 Yuba 120 57.6 0.00 57.6 0.46% 95.41% 29 Placer 55 33.0 23.72 56.7 0.46% 95.87% 30 Humboldt 44 38.0 13.31 51.3 0.41% 96.28% 31 Butte 60 46.0 4.34 50.4 0.41% 96.69% 32 San Luis Obispo 45 41.3 7.32 48.6 0.39% 97.08% 33 Napa 50 42.2 0.00 42.2 0.34% 97.42% 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.9 10.18 32.1 0.26% 97.68% 35 Del Norte 62 30.8 0.00 30.8 0.25% 97.92% 36 Mendocino 43 29.3 0.00 29.3 0.24% 98.16% 37 Marin <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>								
28 Yuba 120 57.6 0.00 57.6 0.46% 95.41% 29 Placer 55 33.0 23.72 56.7 0.46% 95.87% 30 Humboldt 44 38.0 13.31 51.3 0.41% 96.28% 31 Butte 60 46.0 4.34 50.4 0.41% 96.69% 32 San Luis Obispo 45 41.3 7.32 48.6 0.39% 97.08% 33 Napa 50 42.2 0.00 42.2 0.34% 97.42% 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.9 10.18 32.1 0.26% 97.68% 35 Del Norte 62 30.8 0.00 30.8 0.25% 97.92% 36 Mendocino 43 29.3 0.00 29.3 0.24% 98.16% 37 Marin 40 22.2 6.86 29.1 0.23% 98.39% 36 Colusa 60 29.0 0.00 29.0 0.23% 98.83% 40 Lake 40								
29 Placer 55 33.0 23.72 56.7 0.46% 95.87% 30 Humboldt 44 38.0 13.31 51.3 0.41% 96.28% 31 Butte 60 46.0 4.34 50.4 0.41% 96.69% 32 San Luis Obispo 45 41.3 7.32 48.6 0.39% 97.08% 33 Napa 50 42.2 0.00 42.2 0.34% 97.42% 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.9 10.18 32.1 0.26% 97.68% 35 Del Norte 62 30.8 0.00 30.8 0.25% 97.92% 36 Mendocino 43 29.3 0.00 29.3 0.24% 98.16% 37 Marin 40 22.2 6.86 29.1 0.23% 98.63% 39 Imperial 72 24.5 0.34 24.9 0.20% 98.83% 40 Lake 40 20.3 1.33 21.7 0.17% 99.0% 41 San Benito 20 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
30 Humboldt 44 38.0 13.31 51.3 0.41% 96.28% 31 Butte 60 46.0 4.34 50.4 0.41% 96.69% 32 San Luis Obispo 45 41.3 7.32 48.6 0.39% 97.08% 33 Napa 50 42.2 0.00 42.2 0.34% 97.42% 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.9 10.18 32.1 0.26% 97.68% 35 Del Norte 62 30.8 0.00 30.8 0.25% 97.92% 36 Mendocino 43 29.3 0.00 29.3 0.24% 98.16% 37 Marin 40 22.2 6.86 29.1 0.23% 98.39% 38 Colusa 60 29.0 0.00 29.0 0.23% 98.63% 39 Imperial 72 24.5 0.34 24.9 0.20% 98.83% 40 Lake 40 20.3 1.33 21.7 0.17% 99.0% 42 Siskiyou 20								
31 Butte 60 46.0 4.34 50.4 0.41% 96.69% 32 San Luis Obispo 45 41.3 7.32 48.6 0.39% 97.08% 33 Napa 50 42.2 0.00 42.2 0.34% 97.42% 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.9 10.18 32.1 0.26% 97.92% 35 Del Norte 62 30.8 0.00 30.8 0.25% 97.92% 36 Mendocino 43 29.3 0.00 29.3 0.24% 98.16% 37 Marin 40 22.2 6.86 29.1 0.23% 98.39% 38 Colusa 60 29.0 0.00 29.0 0.23% 98.63% 39 Imperial 72 24.5 0.34 24.9 0.20% 98.83% 40 Lake 40 20.3 1.33 21.7 0.17% 99.0% 42 Siskiyou 20								
32 San Luis Obispo 45 41.3 7.32 48.6 0.39% 97.08% 33 Napa 50 42.2 0.00 42.2 0.34% 97.42% 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.9 10.18 32.1 0.26% 97.86% 35 Del Norte 62 30.8 0.00 30.8 0.25% 97.92% 36 Mendocino 43 29.3 0.00 29.3 0.24% 98.16% 37 Marin 40 22.2 6.86 29.1 0.23% 98.39% 38 Colusa 60 29.0 0.00 29.0 0.23% 98.63% 39 Imperial 72 24.5 0.34 24.9 0.20% 98.83% 40 Lake 40 20.3 13.3 21.7 0.17% 99.0% 41 San Benito 20 18.3 1.34 19.7 0.16% 99.18% 42 Siskiyou <								
33 Napa 50 42.2 0.00 42.2 0.34% 97.42% 34 Santa Cruz 42 21.9 10.18 32.1 0.26% 97.68% 35 Del Norte 62 30.8 0.00 30.8 0.25% 97.92% 36 Mendocino 43 29.3 0.00 29.3 0.24% 98.16% 37 Marin 40 22.2 6.86 29.1 0.23% 98.39% 38 Colusa 60 29.0 0.00 29.0 0.23% 98.63% 39 Imperial 72 24.5 0.34 24.9 0.20% 98.83% 40 Lake 40 20.3 1.33 21.7 0.17% 99.00% 41 San Benito 20 18.3 1.34 19.7 0.16% 99.16% 42 Siskiyou 20 11.4 7.00 18.4 0.15% 99.31% 43 Tehama 40 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
34 Santa Cruz 42 21.9 10.18 32.1 0.26% 97.68% 35 Del Norte 62 30.8 0.00 30.8 0.25% 97.92% 36 Mendocino 43 29.3 0.00 29.3 0.24% 98.16% 37 Marin 40 22.2 6.86 29.1 0.23% 98.39% 38 Colusa 60 29.0 0.00 29.0 0.23% 98.63% 39 Imperial 72 24.5 0.34 24.9 0.20% 98.63% 40 Lake 40 20.3 1.33 21.7 0.17% 99.00% 41 San Benito 20 18.3 1.34 19.7 0.16% 99.16% 42 Siskiyou 20 11.4 7.00 18.4 0.15% 99.31% 43 Tehama 40 17.6 0.00 17.6 0.14% 99.45% 45 Lassen 40<								
35 Del Norte 62 30.8 0.00 30.8 0.25% 97.92% 36 Mendocino 43 29.3 0.00 29.3 0.24% 98.16% 37 Marin 40 22.2 6.86 29.1 0.23% 98.39% 38 Colusa 60 29.0 0.00 29.0 0.23% 98.63% 39 Imperial 72 24.5 0.34 24.9 0.20% 98.83% 40 Lake 40 20.3 1.33 21.7 0.17% 99.00% 41 San Benito 20 18.3 1.34 19.7 0.16% 99.16% 42 Siskiyou 20 11.4 7.00 18.4 0.15% 99.31% 43 Tehama 40 17.6 0.00 17.6 0.14% 99.45% 44 Nevada 30 17.4 0.00 17.4 0.14% 99.59% 45 Lassen 40								
36 Mendocino 43 29.3 0.00 29.3 0.24% 98.16% 37 Marin 40 22.2 6.86 29.1 0.23% 98.39% 38 Colusa 60 29.0 0.00 29.0 0.23% 98.63% 39 Imperial 72 24.5 0.34 24.9 0.20% 98.83% 40 Lake 40 20.3 1.33 21.7 0.17% 99.00% 41 San Benito 20 18.3 1.34 19.7 0.16% 99.16% 42 Siskiyou 20 11.4 7.00 18.4 0.15% 99.31% 43 Tehama 40 17.6 0.00 17.6 0.14% 99.45% 44 Nevada 30 17.4 0.00 17.4 0.14% 99.59% 45 Lassen 40 9.9 3.00 12.9 0.10% 99.69% 46 Glenn 22								
37 Marin 40 22.2 6.86 29.1 0.23% 98.39% 38 Colusa 60 29.0 0.00 29.0 0.23% 98.63% 39 Imperial 72 24.5 0.34 24.9 0.20% 98.83% 40 Lake 40 20.3 1.33 21.7 0.17% 99.00% 41 San Benito 20 18.3 1.34 19.7 0.16% 99.16% 42 Siskiyou 20 11.4 7.00 18.4 0.15% 99.31% 43 Tehama 40 17.6 0.00 17.6 0.14% 99.45% 44 Nevada 30 17.4 0.00 17.4 0.14% 99.59% 45 Lassen 40 9.9 3.00 12.9 0.10% 99.69% 46 Glenn 22 12.4 0.33 12.7 0.10% 99.79% 47 Trinity 28								
38 Colusa 60 29.0 0.00 29.0 0.23% 98.63% 39 Imperial 72 24.5 0.34 24.9 0.20% 98.83% 40 Lake 40 20.3 1.33 21.7 0.17% 99.00% 41 San Benito 20 18.3 1.34 19.7 0.16% 99.16% 42 Siskiyou 20 11.4 7.00 18.4 0.15% 99.31% 43 Tehama 40 17.6 0.00 17.6 0.14% 99.45% 44 Nevada 30 17.4 0.00 17.4 0.14% 99.59% 45 Lassen 40 9.9 3.00 12.9 0.10% 99.69% 46 Glenn 22 12.4 0.33 12.7 0.10% 99.79% 47 Trinity 28 11.4 0.00 11.4 0.09% 99.95% 49 Tuolumne 0								
39 Imperial 72 24.5 0.34 24.9 0.20% 98.83% 40 Lake 40 20.3 1.33 21.7 0.17% 99.00% 41 San Benito 20 18.3 1.34 19.7 0.16% 99.16% 42 Siskiyou 20 11.4 7.00 18.4 0.15% 99.31% 43 Tehama 40 17.6 0.00 17.6 0.14% 99.45% 44 Nevada 30 17.4 0.00 17.4 0.14% 99.59% 45 Lassen 40 9.9 3.00 12.9 0.10% 99.69% 46 Glenn 22 12.4 0.33 12.7 0.10% 99.79% 47 Trinity 28 11.4 0.00 11.4 0.09% 99.89% 48 Inyo 14 8.0 0.00 8.0 0.06% 99.99% 50 Mariposa 4 0.4 0.33 0.7 0.01% 100.00% 51 Amador 0 0.0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
40 Lake 40 20.3 1.33 21.7 0.17% 99.00% 41 San Benito 20 18.3 1.34 19.7 0.16% 99.16% 42 Siskiyou 20 11.4 7.00 18.4 0.15% 99.31% 43 Tehama 40 17.6 0.00 17.6 0.14% 99.45% 44 Nevada 30 17.4 0.00 17.4 0.14% 99.59% 45 Lassen 40 9.9 3.00 12.9 0.10% 99.69% 46 Glenn 22 12.4 0.33 12.7 0.10% 99.79% 47 Trinity 28 11.4 0.00 11.4 0.09% 99.89% 48 Inyo 14 8.0 0.00 8.0 0.06% 99.95% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 5.00 5.0 0.04% 99.99% 50 Mariposa 4 0.4 0.33 0.7 0.01% 100.00% 51 Amador <								
41 San Benito 20 18.3 1.34 19.7 0.16% 99.16% 42 Siskiyou 20 11.4 7.00 18.4 0.15% 99.31% 43 Tehama 40 17.6 0.00 17.6 0.14% 99.45% 44 Nevada 30 17.4 0.00 17.4 0.14% 99.59% 45 Lassen 40 9.9 3.00 12.9 0.10% 99.69% 46 Glenn 22 12.4 0.33 12.7 0.10% 99.79% 47 Trinity 28 11.4 0.00 11.4 0.09% 99.89% 48 Inyo 14 8.0 0.00 8.0 0.06% 99.95% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 5.00 5.0 0.04% 99.99% 50 Mariposa 4 0.4 0.33 0.7 0.01% 100.00% 51 Amador 0 0.0 0.46 0.5 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra <								
42 Siskiyou 20 11.4 7.00 18.4 0.15% 99.31% 43 Tehama 40 17.6 0.00 17.6 0.14% 99.45% 44 Nevada 30 17.4 0.00 17.4 0.14% 99.59% 45 Lassen 40 9.9 3.00 12.9 0.10% 99.69% 46 Glenn 22 12.4 0.33 12.7 0.10% 99.79% 47 Trinity 28 11.4 0.00 11.4 0.09% 99.89% 48 Inyo 14 8.0 0.00 8.0 0.06% 99.95% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 5.00 5.0 0.04% 99.99% 50 Mariposa 4 0.4 0.33 0.7 0.01% 100.00% 51 Amador 0 0.0 0.46 0.5 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra								
43 Tehama 40 17.6 0.00 17.6 0.14% 99.45% 44 Nevada 30 17.4 0.00 17.4 0.14% 99.59% 45 Lassen 40 9.9 3.00 12.9 0.10% 99.69% 46 Glenn 22 12.4 0.33 12.7 0.10% 99.79% 47 Trinity 28 11.4 0.00 11.4 0.09% 99.89% 48 Inyo 14 8.0 0.00 8.0 0.06% 99.95% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 5.00 5.0 0.04% 99.99% 50 Mariposa 4 0.4 0.33 0.7 0.01% 100.00% 51 Amador 0 0.0 0.46 0.5 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0								
44 Nevada 30 17.4 0.00 17.4 0.14% 99.59% 45 Lassen 40 9.9 3.00 12.9 0.10% 99.69% 46 Glenn 22 12.4 0.33 12.7 0.10% 99.79% 47 Trinity 28 11.4 0.00 11.4 0.09% 99.89% 48 Inyo 14 8.0 0.00 8.0 0.06% 99.95% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 5.00 5.0 0.04% 99.99% 50 Mariposa 4 0.4 0.33 0.7 0.01% 100.00% 51 Amador 0 0.0 0.46 0.5 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.00%								
45 Lassen 40 9.9 3.00 12.9 0.10% 99.69% 46 Glenn 22 12.4 0.33 12.7 0.10% 99.79% 47 Trinity 28 11.4 0.00 11.4 0.09% 99.89% 48 Inyo 14 8.0 0.00 8.0 0.06% 99.95% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 5.00 5.0 0.04% 99.99% 50 Mariposa 4 0.4 0.33 0.7 0.01% 100.00% 51 Amador 0 0.0 0.46 0.5 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%								
46 Glenn 22 12.4 0.33 12.7 0.10% 99.79% 47 Trinity 28 11.4 0.00 11.4 0.09% 99.89% 48 Inyo 14 8.0 0.00 8.0 0.06% 99.95% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 5.00 5.0 0.04% 99.99% 50 Mariposa 4 0.4 0.33 0.7 0.01% 100.00% 51 Amador 0 0.0 0.46 0.5 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%								
47 Trinity 28 11.4 0.00 11.4 0.09% 99.89% 48 Inyo 14 8.0 0.00 8.0 0.06% 99.95% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 5.00 5.0 0.04% 99.99% 50 Mariposa 4 0.4 0.33 0.7 0.01% 100.00% 51 Amador 0 0.0 0.46 0.5 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%								
48 Inyo 14 8.0 0.00 8.0 0.06% 99.95% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 5.00 5.0 0.04% 99.99% 50 Mariposa 4 0.4 0.33 0.7 0.01% 100.00% 51 Amador 0 0.0 0.46 0.5 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%								
49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 5.00 5.0 0.04% 99.99% 50 Mariposa 4 0.4 0.33 0.7 0.01% 100.00% 51 Amador 0 0.0 0.46 0.5 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%								
50 Mariposa 4 0.4 0.33 0.7 0.01% 100.00% 51 Amador 0 0.0 0.46 0.5 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%								
51 Amador 0 0.0 0.46 0.5 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%								
52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%		· ·						
53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%								
	55	Siona	13,685	9,798.4	2,631.3	12,429.7	100.00%	100.00 /6

^{*}ADP on County Breakout Report may not equal ADP on other Summary Reports due to rounding

	Juven	ile De	tention	Profi	e Surv	еу				
One Day Snapshot Average Daily Popul						pulation				
3rd Quarter		Misde	meanor		ony	Pre-Dis	position		position	
Report 2009	Rated Capacity	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total ADP
Juvenile Halls	8,348.0	11.3%	2.8%	30.4%	3.7%	2,883.6	477.0	2,350.4	347.5	6,058.5
Camps / Ranches	5,337.3		1.0%	21.3%	2.1%	,		3,362.8	377.1	3,739.9
Other Juveniles	in the System	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total ADP
Juveniles on home supervision w		5.3%	1.3%	5.0%	1.0%	492.4	87.4	850.3	178.9	1,609.0
Juveniles on home supervision w	vithout electronic monitoring	1.5%	0.5%	2.5%	0.5%	218.2	49.3	266.1	68.6	602.2
Juveniles alternative confinemen	t programs	0.9%	0.1%	1.8%	0.2%	35.4	5.0	338.0	41.6	420.1
Grand T	otals	25.8%	5.7%	61.1%	7.5%	3,629.7	618.7	7,167.6	1,013.7	12,429.7
					110.10	5,525	0.1011	1,10110	1,01011	12,12
		Ment	tal Health	Snapshot						
Number of open mental health	cases this day									4,857.9
Number of juveniles receiving										1,640.0
	F-)		Crowdi	na						1,01010
Average daily population of ju	veniles in other jurisdictions	DUE TO		•						24.0
Number of juveniles released										65.2
Average number of days that	•		eded the F	Rated Cap	acity					13.3
			e Booking		•					
Number of Juvenile Hall booki	ngs/admissions		<u> </u>							8,867.0
Number of bookings for weapon	<u> </u>								688.6	
Number of 601 bookings									24.6	
Number of 777 bookings							1,527.9			
Number of direct file {WIC 602(b) and 707(d)} bookings							61.2			
		onth of th	ne Quarter	(One-Da	/ Snapsho	t)				01.12
Detained for 707b Offense	Final Month of the Quarter (One-Day Snapshot) 1 189.8									1,189.8
Awaiting placement										532.7
Awaiting transport to a camp										238.8
Awaiting transfer to Youth Aut	hority									80.5
Court commitments to juvenile										1,018.0
Found unfit per 707.01 WIC	rian (riioarao iii)									61.1
Direct files to Adult Court-602	(b) and 707(d) WIC									433.8
Hospitalized outside detention										26.0
Hospitalized outside detention										16.0
Believed to be criminal illegal	·									214.1
		Aver	rage Lengt	h of Stav						
Juvenile Hall (all releases)			99-	,						39.7
Juvenile Hall to Camps								53.5		
Juvenile Hall to other out-of-home placements (i.e. group homes or foster homes)							73.5			
Juvenile Hall who were found unfit (per juvenile court)					448.0					
Juvenile Hall who were direct filings to adult court					518.3					
Camps (all releases)							103.9			
Cumulative Total for the Quarter										
Detention Behavior Juvenile Halls Camps / Ranches Other Detention										
Assualts by juveniles on staff				- `	134.3		15.			1.0
Escapes					7.1		97.			0.0
Suicide Attempts				-	79.1		1.0			0.0
Suicides				- -	0.0		0.0			0.0
					0.0		5.0	•	1	

Third Quarter Report, 2009 - Juvenile Hall Data

Rated Capacity RC and ADP for Juvenile Halls




The 3rd Quarter ADP for juvenile halls decreased nearly 6% from
the previous quarter. This is consistent with decreases in previous
years, although the ADP of 6,059 is the lowest in recent years, and
is almost 8% lower than the same quarter in 2008.
·

Summary of Juvenile Hall ADP						
2004	2005	2006	2007	2008		
6,460	6,818	7,017	6,858	6,614		

2009 Summary of Juvenile Hall ADP					
Q1	Q2	Q3	Average		
6,384	6,435	6,059	6,291		

Juvenile Hall Highest One-Day Population and RC




The high one day population decreased 3% from the 2nd Quarter;	
like ADP, this number continues to decrease.	

Summary of Juvenile Hall Highest One Day							
2004	2005	2006	2007	2008			
7,166	7,575	7,837	7,686	7,317			

Į	2009 Summary of Highest One-Day Population					
,	Q1 Q2		Q3	Average		
	7,091	7,093	6,863	7,015		

Pre-Disposition in Juvenile Halls




The percentage of pre-disposition youth dropped to 56% in the 3rd
Quarter 2009, the percentage hasn't been at 56% since the 4th
Quarter 2008.

Summary of Pre-Disposition in Juvenile Halls							
2004	2005	2006	2007	2008			
59%	58%	60%	61%	57%			

2009 Summary of Pre-Disposition Juvenile Halls						
Q1	Q2	Q3		Average		
56%	56%	55%		56%		

Third Quarter Report, 2009 - Juvenile Hall Data

Gender Distribution in Juvenile Halls




Summary of Males in Juvenile Halls						
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	
1	82%	82%	8/10/	85%	86%	

The percentage of males in juvenile halls continues to remain stable.

2	2009 Summary of Males in Juvenile Hall				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Average		
85%	86%	86%	86%		

Average Number of Juveniles Booked per Month




Summary of Juveniles Booked per Month				
2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
9,337	9,353	9,539	9,422	9,325

The average number of monthly bookings in the 3rd Quarter reached a low similar to that in the 4th Quarter 2008 (8,831). This quarter's bookings are 8% less than those in the 2nd Quarter 2009. Although the 3rd Quarter bookings always decrease from the 2nd Quarter, this year's decrease is greater than that in recent years.

2009 Summary of Monthly Bookings				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Average	
9,123	9,655	8,867	9,214	

Distribution of Charge in Juvenile Halls




Summary of Felony Charges in Juvenile Halls				
2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
66%	64%	67%	70%	70%

The percentage of felonies has increased to 71% from 69% in the previous quarter. This quarter's percentage is more consistent with past year's data.

2009 Summary of Felony Charge Juvenile Hall				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Average	
70%	69%	71%	70%	

Third Quarter Report, 2009 - Camp Data

RC and ADP for Camps




The ADP in camps continues to decline, this quarter's ADP decreased more than 5% from the previous quarter. This quarter's ADP is more than 13% less than the same quarter in 2008. RC decreased 4% from 2nd Quarter and 7% from 3rd Quarter 2008.


Summary of Camp ADP				
2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
4,182	4,097	4,252	4,278	4,338

ı	2009 Summary of Camp ADP				
	Q1	Q2	Q3		Average
	4,026	3,956	3,740		3,906

Gender Distribution in Camps




Summary of Males in Camps					
2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	
90%	89%	88%	89%	90%	



2009 Summary of Males in Camps					
Q1	Q2	Q3	Average		
91%	90%	90%	90%		

Distribution of Charge in Camps



Summary of Felonies in Camps				
2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
69%	69%	69%	70%	71%

The percentage of felony charges in camps increased sharply from the 1st to 2nd Quarter this year, but remains stable from the 2nd to 3rd Quarter 2009.

2009 Summary of Felonies in Camps					
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Average	
	72%	75%	75%	74%	

Instructions for Interpreting the Survey Report

<u>Capacity, Population and ADP Breakout</u> is designed to present the Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results for the major reporting categories.

- Capacity: this category presents the Rated Capacity (RC) in terms of the number of beds in juvenile halls and camps/ranches that meet the Corrections Standards Authority (CSA) standards.
- **High One-Day:** each jurisdiction reports for each month in the quarter, the juvenile hall and camp/ranch populations that, together, constituted the highest one-day count of the month.
- Other: this category refers to the sum of all juveniles who are receiving custody credit while on home supervision with or without electronic monitoring, or in alternative confinement programs.

Breakout of Juveniles in Detention is designed to present County-specific counts.

• **County-specific counts:** this page identifies the ADP for each county and the percent that county contributes to the total state juvenile detention population. The counties are ranked in descending order based on their percentage of the overall juvenile detention population in the state.

<u>Summary of Survey Results</u> is designed to present all the remaining Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results not already listed.

- **One-Day Snapshot**: the percentages in this section are percentages of the total ADP for juvenile halls, camps/ranches, and other juveniles in the system.
- Average number of days that one or more facilities in a county exceeded the Rated Capacity: this value is the result of taking all of the counties "number of days of crowding" and averaging the figures submitted by all the jurisdictions. If a jurisdiction had no crowding days, that jurisdiction was not included in the computation. In other words, the value presented indicates the typical number of crowding days per month experienced by jurisdictions that have had one or more days of crowding in the Third Quarter of Calendar Year 2009.
- Average Length of Stay: these numbers are averages for all juveniles in each category: 1) "juvenile hall (all releases)" is computed by first taking the mean length of stay for all juveniles released from juvenile halls in a jurisdiction. Next, all the jurisdictions' means are averaged to produce a statewide figure; 2) "juvenile hall to camps/ranches" is computed in the same fashion, but includes only those juveniles released from juvenile hall and placed in a camp or ranch; 3) "juvenile hall to other out-of-home placements" presents the average length of stay for that subset of juveniles.