JUVENILE DETENTION PROFILE SURVEY

Second Quarter Calendar Year 2012

Survey Results



BOARD OF STATE AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

FACILITIES STANDARDS AND OPERATIONS DIVISION 600 Bercut Drive, Sacramento, CA 95811 916.445.5073 PHONE 916.327.3317 FAX

bscc.ca.gov





EXPLANATION OF RESULTS

The following pages contain the Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results for the Second Quarter of Calendar Year 2012. Page 3 contains totals for major categories such as Highest One-Day Population, Average Daily Population (ADP), gender, and the age range of detained minors. Page 4 contains information regarding counties' ADP and Rated Capacity (RC) breakouts and Page 5 contains information about a wide range of juvenile detention facility issues including crowding, mental health needs, average length of stay, and number of bookings.

Pages 6, 7, and 8 contain trend information compiled from calendar year 2007 through calendar year 2011. The trend data is separated into Juvenile Hall related data and Camp related data.

Please keep the following in mind when reviewing this information:

- For Overall Capacity, Crowding (highest one-day population the count of minors in detention on the day of each county's highest population) and ADP (the average daily detention population for the reporting period), we have complete data from all jurisdictions in the state that operate juvenile detention facilities.
- Each jurisdiction provides us with the average population, computed across all the days in the month, for each of the three months in the quarter. The weighted average across the three months is then computed for each jurisdiction (with the monthly averages weighted by the number of days in the month). The jurisdictions ADPs are then combined to produce the state's total ADP.
- For some variables (other than ADP), we did not receive complete data from all jurisdictions. In such instances, we used statistical procedures to estimate the statewide total.
- Felony/misdemeanor, gender counts, and age-range breakouts are based upon a one-day snapshot (on the 15th day of the final month of the quarter). These values are used to determine the percentage of the population in each felony/misdemeanor, gender, and age category. The percentages are then applied to the Total ADP to project the expected ADP in each of the felony/misdemeanor, gender, and age-range categories.
- In addition to the population breakdowns for juvenile halls and camps, the report contains like information for youth who were part of non-facility based, alternative to detention programs. Youth in that category are listed under "Other Detention." Other detention includes home supervision with and without electronic monitoring, day reporting centers, work programs and other alternatives to detention for which youth are credited with custody time.

• Please note:

- In early 2011, eight regional trainings were held for county reporters and contributors to the JDPS. When
 interpreting the JDPS results from the 2nd quarter of 2011 forward, please consider that the clarification of
 variable definitions and calculations which occurred at those trainings may explain some unanticipated
 differences when compared to prior quarters.
- o Each quarter, every county with juvenile detention facilities submits their data to the Board of State and Community Corrections. While we make every effort to review data for accuracy, including contacting individual counties for clarification, the BSCC cannot be responsible for data reporting errors made at the county level.

Board of State and Community Corrections Juvenile Detention Profile Survey - 2nd Quarter, 2012 Overall Capacity, Population and ADP

Overall Capacity and Population										
	JUVENILE	JUVENILE HALL CAMPS		OTHER		TOTAL				
ADP	4,718	.6	2,878	3.8	1,300	.1	8,897	.5		
Percent of Total	53.0%	%	32.4	%	14.69	%	100.0	%		
RC *	8,199	.0	4,948	3.3			13,147	7.3		
High One Day	5,362	.0	3,034	4.0			8,396	.0		
		Gei	nder by De	etention	Туре					
	JUVENILE	HALL	CAM	PS	OTHE	R	TOTA	\L		
GENDER	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%		
Male	4,041.4	85.6%	2,589.6	90.0%	1,079.0	83.0%	7,710.1	86.7%		
Female	677.2	14.4%	289.2	10.0%	221.1	17.0%	1,187.5	13.3%		
TOTAL	4,718.6	100.0%	2,878.8	100.0%	1,300.1	100.0%	8,897.5	100.0%		
	Disposition by Gender for Juvenile Halls				S					
		MALE			FEMALE		TOTA	\L		
DISPOSITION	Num	ber	%	Nu	ımber	%	Number	%		
Pre-Disposition	2,08	6.4	51.6%	3	70.6	54.7%	2,457.0	52.1%		
Post-Disposition	1,95	5.0	48.4%	3	06.6	45.3%	2,261.7	47.9%		
TOTAL	4,04	1.4	100.0%	6	77.2	100.0%	4,718.6	100.0%		
	Dis	position	by Gende	er for Oth	ner Detenti	on				
		MALE			FEMALE		TOTA	\L		
DISPOSITION	Num	ber	%	Nu	ımber	%	Number	%		
Pre-Disposition	346	6.4	32.1%	3	30.1	36.2%	426.5	32.8%		
Post-Disposition	732	2.6	67.9%	1	41.0	63.8%	873.6	67.2%		
TOTAL	1,07	9.0	100.0%	2	21.1	100.0%	1,300.1	100.0%		
	Age Ran	ge by Ty	pe of Dete	ention (C	ne-Day Sn	apshot)				
	JUV	ENILE HA	LL		CAMPS		CAMPS		TOTA	\L
AGE RANGES	Num	ber	%	Nu	ımber	%	Number	%		
Under 12	6.0	0	0.1%		0.0	0.0%	6.0	0.1%		
12 to 14	577	7.0	12.4%	1	47.0	4.8%	724.0	9.4%		
15 to 17	3,58		76.9%		232.0	73.4%	5,816.0	75.5%		
18 Over	491		10.5%		63.0	21.8%	1,154.0	15.0%		
TOTAL	4,65	8.0	100.0%	3,0	042.0	100.0%	7,700.0	100.0%		

^{*} RC is Rated Capacity which is the number of beds that comply with standards set forth in Title 24, California Code of Regulations.

County Breakout Report - 2nd Quarter, 2012 Facilities and Alternative Detention


2 San Diego		County	Rated Capacity	Facilities Detention	Other Detention	ADP	Percent of Total	Cumulative Percent
3	1	Los Angeles	3,573	2,134.2	39.01	2,173.2	24.43%	24.43%
4 Fresno	2	San Diego	1,105	750.4	220.09	970.5	10.91%	35.33%
5 Santa Clara 538 222.4 164.00 386.4 4.34% 510.79 7 Sacramento 444 175.0 166.36 341.4 3.84% 58.898 8 Riverside 58.2 279.2 41.23 320.4 3.60% 62.59% 9 San Bernardino 450 313.9 0.00 313.9 3.63% 66.11% 10 Alameda 463 277.3 34.93 312.2 3.51% 66.17 11 Contra Costia 390 2244.2 0.00 244.2 2.74% 72.37% 12 San Mateo 246 149.3 33.13 242.6 2.73% 75.699 14 Monterey 190 152.3 66.51 197.8 2.22% 79.759 15 Sonoma 188 117.2 49.54 166.7 117% 81.639 16 Sata Sanbara 272 159.0 0.00 159.0 1.79% 83.649	3	Orange	743	491.0	69.00	560.0	6.29%	41.63%
6 Kern	4	Fresno	450	319.0	134.64	453.6	5.10%	46.73%
7 Sacramento 444 175.0 166.36 341.4 3.84% 58.98 8 Riverside 582 279.2 41.23 320.4 3.60% 62.599 9 San Bernardino 450 313.9 0.00 313.9 3.51% 66.6259 10 Alarmeda 463 277.3 34.93 312.2 2.74% 66.629 12 San Mateo 246 149.3 93.13 242.5 2.73% 75.039 13 Tulare 330 154.2 62.61 216.8 2.44% 77.539 14 Monterey 190 132.3 65.51 197.8 2.22% 79.739 15 Sonoma 188 117.2 49.54 166.7 1.87% 81.539 15 San Joaquin 224 153.7 0.00 159.0 1.73% 88.149 16 Sartia Barbara 272 150.0 0.00 153.7 1.73% 88.19	5	Santa Clara	538	222.4	164.00	386.4	4.34%	51.07%
8 Riverside 582 279.2 41.23 320.4 3 60% 6.25.99 9 San Bernardino 450 313.9 0.00 313.9 3.53% 66.119 10 Alameda 463 277.3 34.93 312.2 3.51% 66.119 11 Contra Costa 390 244.2 0.00 244.2 2.74% 72.37% 13 Tulare 330 154.2 26.61 216.8 2.44% 77.599 13 Tulare 330 154.2 26.61 216.8 2.44% 77.599 15 Sonoma 188 117.2 49.54 166.7 1.87% 81.639 16 Santa Barbara 272 159.0 0.00 159.0 1.79% 81.639 18 Stanislaus 156 122.1 26.32 148.4 1.67% 88.149 18 Stanislaus 156 122.1 26.32 148.4 1.67% 88.30% 20 Ventura 385 123.3 0.00 123.3 1.39% 89.69%	6	Kern	475	359.2	3.81	363.0	4.08%	55.15%
9 San Bernardino 450 313.9 0.00 313.9 3.53% 66.11% 10 Alameda 463 277.3 34.93 312.2 3.51% 68.62% 11 Contra Costa 390 244.2 0.00 244.2 2.74% 69.62% 12 San Mateo 246 149.3 93.13 242.5 2.73% 75.09% 14 Monterey 190 192.3 65.51 197.8 2.22% 77.53% 15 Sonoma 188 117.2 49.54 166.7 187% 22.2% 79.75% 16 Santa Barbara 272 159.0 0.00 159.0 1.79% 83.419 17 San Joaquin 224 153.7 0.00 153.7 1.75% 85.149 18 Stanislaus 158 122.1 26.32 148.4 1.67% 86.819 19 Merced 120 85.9 47.24 133.1 1.50% 88.399 20 Ventura 385 123.3 0.00 123.3 1.50% 8	7	Sacramento	444	175.0	166.36	341.4	3.84%	58.98%
10 Alameda	8	Riverside	582	279.2	41.23	320.4	3.60%	62.59%
11 Contra Costa 390 244.2 0.00 244.2 2.74% 72.37% 75.09%	9	San Bernardino	450	313.9	0.00	313.9	3.53%	66.11%
12 San Mateo 246 149.3 93.13 242.5 2.73% 75.09% 13 Tulare 330 154.2 62.61 216.8 2.44% 77.53% 15 Sonoma 188 117.2 49.54 166.7 1.87% 81.63% 16 Santa Barbara 272 159.0 0.00 159.0 1.79% 83.41% 17 San Joaquin 224 153.7 0.00 153.7 1.73% 88.14% 18 Stanislaus 158 122.1 26.22 148.4 1.67% 86.81% 19 Merced 120 85.9 47.24 133.1 1.50% 83.34% 20 Ventura 385 123.3 0.00 123.3 1.150% 88.30% 21 Solano 148 104.5 12.50 117.0 1.32% 89.69% 21 Solano 148 104.5 12.50 117.0 1.32% 89.69% 21 Solano 148 104.5 12.50 117.0 1.32% 89.69%	10	Alameda	463	277.3	34.93	312.2	3.51%	69.62%
13 Tulare 330 154.2 62.61 216.8 2.44% 77.53° 14 Monterey 190 132.3 65.51 197.8 2.22% 79.75° 16 Sonoma 188 117.2 49.54 166.7 1.17% 81.63° 16 Santa Barbara 272 159.0 0.00 159.0 1.79% 83.41° 18 Stanislaus 158 122.1 26.32 148.4 1.67% 86.81° 19 Mercad 120 85.9 47.24 133.1 1.50% 86.81° 20 Ventura 385 123.3 0.00 123.3 1.39% 89.69° 21 Solano 148 104.5 12.50 117.0 1.32% 91.01° 22 San Francisco 234 86.6 0.00 86.6 0.97% 91.98° 24 Madera 70 51.1 10.54 61.7 0.69% 93.53° 56	11	Contra Costa	390	244.2	0.00	244.2	2.74%	72.37%
14 Monterey 190 132.3 65.51 197.8 2.22% 79.75% 15 Sonoma 188 117.2 49.54 166.7 1.87% 81.63% 16 Santa Barbara 272 159.0 0.00 159.0 1.79% 83.41% 17 San Joaquin 224 153.7 0.00 153.7 1.73% 86.14% 18 Stanislaus 158 122.1 26.32 148.4 1.67% 86.81% 19 Merced 120 85.9 47.24 133.1 1.50% 88.30% 20 Ventura 385 123.3 0.00 123.3 1.39% 89.69% 21 Solano 148 104.5 12.50 117.0 1.32% 91.01% 22 San Francisco 234 86.6 0.00 86.6 0.97% 91.98% 23 Kings 205 76.3 0.00 76.3 0.86% 92.84% 24 Madera 70 51.1 10.54 61.7 0.66% 93.53% <t< td=""><td>12</td><td>San Mateo</td><td>246</td><td>149.3</td><td>93.13</td><td>242.5</td><td>2.73%</td><td>75.09%</td></t<>	12	San Mateo	246	149.3	93.13	242.5	2.73%	75.09%
15	13	Tulare	330	154.2	62.61	216.8	2.44%	77.53%
16 Santa Barbara 272 159.0 0.00 159.0 1.79% 83.41% 17 San Joaquin 224 153.7 0.00 153.7 1.73% 85.14% 18 Stanislaus 158 122.1 26.32 148.4 1.67% 86.81% 19 Merced 120 85.9 47.24 133.1 1.50% 88.30% 20 Ventura 385 123.3 0.00 123.3 1.39% 89.69% 21 Solaro 148 104.5 12.50 117.0 1.32% 91.01% 22 San Francisco 234 86.6 0.00 86.6 0.97% 91.98% 24 Madera 70 51.1 10.54 61.7 0.69% 93.53% 25 El Dorado 80 38.0 14.04 52.0 0.58% 94.11% 26 Bute 60 40.7 2.00 42.7 0.48% 94.59 27	14	Monterey	190	132.3	65.51	197.8	2.22%	79.75%
17	15	Sonoma	188	117.2	49.54	166.7	1.87%	81.63%
18 Stanislaus 158 122.1 26.32 148.4 1.67% 86.81% 19 Merced 120 85.9 47.24 133.1 1.50% 88.30% 20 Ventura 385 123.3 0.00 123.3 1.39% 89.69% 21 Solano 148 104.5 12.50 117.0 1.32% 91.01% 22 San Francisco 234 86.6 0.00 86.6 0.97% 91.98% 23 Kings 205 76.3 0.00 76.3 0.86% 92.84% 24 Madera 70 51.1 10.54 61.7 0.69% 93.53% 25 El Dorado 80 38.0 14.04 52.0 0.56% 94.11% 26 Bute 60 40.7 2.00 42.7 0.48% 94.59% 27 Yuba 120 40.3 0.00 40.3 0.42% 95.69% 28 Yolo	16	Santa Barbara	272	159.0	0.00	159.0	1.79%	83.41%
18 Stanislaus 158 122.1 26.32 148.4 1.67% 86.819 19 Merced 120 85.9 47.24 133.1 1.50% 88.30% 20 Ventura 385 123.3 0.00 123.3 1.39% 88.69% 21 Solano 148 104.5 12.50 117.0 1.32% 91.01% 22 San Francisco 234 86.6 0.00 86.6 0.97% 91.98% 23 Kings 205 76.3 0.00 76.3 0.86% 92.84% 24 Madera 70 51.1 10.54 61.7 0.69% 93.53% 25 El Dorado 80 38.0 14.04 52.0 0.55% 94.11% 26 Bute 60 40.7 2.00 42.7 0.48% 94.59% 27 Yuba 120 40.3 0.00 40.3 0.42% 95.65% 28 Yolo	17	San Joaquin	224	153.7	0.00	153.7	1.73%	85.14%
20 Ventura 385 123.3 0.00 123.3 1.39% 89.69% 21 Solano 148 104.5 12.50 117.0 1.32% 91.01% 22 San Francisco 234 86.6 0.00 86.6 0.97% 91.98% 23 Kings 205 76.3 0.00 76.3 0.86% 92.84% 24 Madera 70 51.1 10.54 61.7 0.69% 93.53% 25 El Dorado 80 38.0 14.04 52.0 0.58% 94.11% 26 Butte 60 40.7 2.00 42.7 0.48% 94.59% 27 Yuba 120 40.3 0.00 40.3 0.45% 95.65% 28 Yolo 90 27.9 9.85 37.7 0.42% 95.85% 39.10% 39	18	Stanislaus	158	122.1	26.32	148.4	1.67%	86.81%
20 Ventura 385 123.3 0.00 123.3 1.39% 89.69% 21 Solano 148 104.5 12.50 117.0 1.32% 91.01% 22 San Francisco 234 86.6 0.00 86.6 0.97% 91.98% 23 Kings 205 76.3 0.00 76.3 0.86% 92.84% 24 Madera 70 51.1 10.54 61.7 0.69% 93.53% 25 El Dorado 80 38.0 14.04 52.0 0.58% 94.11% 26 Butte 60 40.7 2.00 42.7 0.48% 94.59% 27 Yuba 120 40.3 0.00 40.3 0.45% 95.65% 29 Yolo 90 27.9 9.85 37.7 0.42% 95.47% 29 Shasta 56 26.6 10.68 37.3 0.42% 95.89% 30 Placer 58 35.7 0.00 35.7 0.40% 96.29% 31 Del Norte 62 32.7 0.00 32.7 0.37% 96.66% 32 Humboldt 444 27.4 3.74 31.1 0.35% 97.01% 33 San Luis Obispo 45 29.4 0.00 29.4 0.33% 97.34% 34 Lake 30 19.3 7.34 26.7 0.30% 97.64% 36 36 37 38 37 38 38 38 38 38	19	Merced	120	85.9	47.24	133.1	1.50%	88.30%
22 San Francisco 234 86.6 0.00 86.6 0.97% 91.98% 23 Kings 205 76.3 0.00 76.3 0.86% 92.84% 24 Madera 70 51.1 10.54 61.7 0.68% 92.84% 25 El Dorado 80 38.0 14.04 52.0 0.58% 94.11% 26 Butte 60 40.7 2.00 42.7 0.48% 94.59% 27 Yuba 120 40.3 0.00 40.3 0.45% 95.65% 28 Yolo 90 27.9 9.85 37.7 0.42% 95.47% 29 Shasta 56 26.6 10.68 37.3 0.42% 95.89% 30 Placer 58 35.7 0.00 32.7 0.37% 96.66% 32 Humboldt 44 27.4 3.74 31.1 0.35% 97.01% 33 San Luis Obispo 45 29.4 0.00 29.4 0.33% 97.64% 34 Lake	20	Ventura	385	123.3	0.00	123.3		89.69%
22 San Francisco 234 86.6 0.00 86.6 0.97% 91.98% 23 Kings 205 76.3 0.00 76.3 0.86% 92.84% 24 Madera 70 51.1 10.54 61.7 0.69% 93.53% 25 El Dorado 80 38.0 14.04 52.0 0.58% 94.11% 26 Butte 60 40.7 2.00 42.7 0.48% 94.59% 27 Yuba 120 40.3 0.00 40.3 0.45% 95.65% 28 Yolo 90 27.9 9.85 37.7 0.42% 95.47% 29 Shasta 56 26.6 10.68 37.3 0.42% 95.89% 30 Placer 58 35.7 0.00 32.7 0.37% 96.66% 31 Del Norte 62 32.7 0.00 32.7 0.37% 96.66% 32 Humboldt 44 </td <td>21</td> <td>Solano</td> <td>148</td> <td>104.5</td> <td>12.50</td> <td>117.0</td> <td>1.32%</td> <td>91.01%</td>	21	Solano	148	104.5	12.50	117.0	1.32%	91.01%
24 Madera 70 51.1 10.54 61.7 0.69% 93.53% 25 El Dorado 80 38.0 14.04 52.0 0.58% 94.11% 26 Butte 60 40.7 2.00 42.7 0.48% 94.59% 27 Yuba 120 40.3 0.00 40.3 0.45% 95.05% 28 Yolo 90 27.9 9.85 37.7 0.42% 95.47% 29 Shasta 56 26.6 10.68 37.3 0.42% 95.89% 30 Placer 58 35.7 0.00 35.7 0.40% 96.29% 31 Del Norte 62 32.7 0.00 32.7 0.37% 96.66% 32 Humboldt 44 27.4 3.74 31.1 0.35% 97.01% 33 San Luis Obispo 45 29.4 0.00 29.4 0.33% 97.34% 34 Lake 30 <td>22</td> <td>San Francisco</td> <td>234</td> <td>86.6</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>86.6</td> <td>0.97%</td> <td>91.98%</td>	22	San Francisco	234	86.6	0.00	86.6	0.97%	91.98%
24 Madera 70 51.1 10.54 61.7 0.69% 93.53% 25 El Dorado 80 38.0 14.04 52.0 0.58% 94.11% 26 Butte 60 40.7 2.00 42.7 0.48% 94.59% 27 Yuba 120 40.3 0.00 40.3 0.45% 95.05% 28 Yolo 90 27.9 9.85 37.7 0.42% 95.47% 29 Shasta 56 26.6 10.68 37.3 0.42% 95.89% 30 Placer 58 35.7 0.00 35.7 0.40% 96.29% 31 Del Norte 62 32.7 0.00 32.7 0.37% 96.66% 32 Humboldt 44 27.4 3.74 31.1 0.35% 97.01% 33 San Luis Obispo 45 29.4 0.00 29.4 0.33% 97.34% 34 Lake 30 <td>23</td> <td>Kings</td> <td>205</td> <td>76.3</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>76.3</td> <td>0.86%</td> <td>92.84%</td>	23	Kings	205	76.3	0.00	76.3	0.86%	92.84%
25 El Dorado 80 38.0 14.04 52.0 0.58% 94.11% 26 Butte 60 40.7 2.00 42.7 0.48% 94.59% 27 Yuba 120 40.3 0.00 40.3 0.45% 95.05% 28 Yolo 90 27.9 9.85 37.7 0.42% 95.47% 29 Shasta 56 26.6 10.68 37.3 0.42% 95.89% 30 Placer 58 35.7 0.00 32.7 0.37% 96.69% 31 Del Norte 62 32.7 0.00 32.7 0.37% 96.69% 32 Humboldt 44 27.4 3.74 31.1 0.35% 97.64% 33 San Luis Obispo 45 29.4 0.00 29.4 0.33% 97.34% 34 Lake 30 19.3 7.34 26.7 0.30% 97.64% 35 Mendocino 43<	24		70	51.1	10.54	61.7	0.69%	93.53%
26 Butte 60 40.7 2.00 42.7 0.48% 94.59% 27 Yuba 120 40.3 0.00 40.3 0.45% 95.05% 28 Yolo 90 27.9 9.85 37.7 0.42% 95.47% 29 Shasta 56 26.6 10.68 37.3 0.42% 95.89% 30 Placer 58 35.7 0.00 35.7 0.40% 96.29% 31 Del Norte 62 32.7 0.00 32.7 0.37% 96.66% 32 Humboldt 44 27.4 3.74 31.1 0.35% 97.01% 33 San Luis Obispo 45 29.4 0.00 29.4 0.33% 97.34% 34 Lake 30 19.3 7.34 26.7 0.30% 97.94% 36 Santa Cruz 42 25.5 0.00 25.5 0.29% 98.22% 37 Napa 50	25	El Dorado		38.0				94.11%
28 Yolo 90 27.9 9.85 37.7 0.42% 95.47% 29 Shasta 56 26.6 10.68 37.3 0.42% 95.89% 30 Placer 58 35.7 0.00 35.7 0.40% 96.29% 31 Del Norte 62 32.7 0.00 32.7 0.37% 96.66% 32 Humboldt 44 27.4 3.74 31.1 0.35% 97.01% 33 San Luis Obispo 45 29.4 0.00 29.4 0.33% 97.34% 34 Lake 30 19.3 7.34 26.7 0.30% 97.64% 35 Mendocino 43 26.6 0.00 26.6 0.30% 97.94% 36 Santa Cruz 42 25.5 0.00 25.5 0.29% 98.22% 37 Napa 50 22.2 0.00 22.2 0.25% 98.27% 38 Nevada 60<	26	Butte	60	40.7	2.00	42.7	0.48%	94.59%
28 Yolo 90 27.9 9.85 37.7 0.42% 95.47% 29 Shasta 56 26.6 10.68 37.3 0.42% 95.89% 30 Placer 58 35.7 0.00 35.7 0.40% 96.29% 31 Del Norte 62 32.7 0.00 35.7 0.37% 96.66% 32 Humboldt 44 27.4 3.74 31.1 0.35% 97.01% 33 San Luis Obispo 45 29.4 0.00 29.4 0.33% 97.34% 34 Lake 30 19.3 7.34 26.7 0.30% 97.64% 35 Mendocino 43 26.6 0.00 26.6 0.30% 97.94% 36 Santa Cruz 42 25.5 0.00 25.5 0.29% 98.22% 37 Napa 50 22.2 0.00 22.2 0.25% 98.47% 38 Nevada 60<	27	Yuba		40.3	0.00			95.05%
29 Shasta 56 26.6 10.68 37.3 0.42% 95.89% 30 Placer 58 35.7 0.00 35.7 0.40% 96.29% 31 Del Norte 62 32.7 0.00 32.7 0.37% 96.66% 32 Humboldt 44 27.4 3.74 31.1 0.35% 97.01% 33 San Luis Obispo 45 29.4 0.00 29.4 0.33% 97.34% 34 Lake 30 19.3 7.34 26.7 0.30% 97.64% 35 Mendocino 43 26.6 0.00 26.6 0.30% 97.94% 36 Santa Cruz 42 25.5 0.00 25.5 0.29% 98.22% 37 Napa 50 22.2 0.00 22.2 0.25% 98.67% 38 Nevada 60 16.0 3.33 19.3 0.22% 98.69% 39 Lassen 4	28							95.47%
31 Del Norte 62 32.7 0.00 32.7 0.37% 96.66% 32 Humboldt 44 27.4 3.74 31.1 0.35% 97.01% 33 San Luis Obispo 45 29.4 0.00 29.4 0.33% 97.34% 34 Lake 30 19.3 7.34 26.7 0.30% 97.94% 35 Mendocino 43 26.6 0.00 26.6 0.30% 97.94% 36 Santa Cruz 42 25.5 0.00 25.5 0.29% 98.22% 37 Napa 50 22.2 0.00 22.2 0.25% 98.47% 38 Nevada 60 16.0 3.33 19.3 0.22% 98.69% 39 Lassen 40 9.7 6.33 16.0 0.18% 98.87% 40 Siskiyou 40 16.0 0.00 16.0 0.18% 99.52% 42 Tehama 4	29	Shasta	56	26.6	10.68	37.3	0.42%	95.89%
32 Humboldt 44 27.4 3.74 31.1 0.35% 97.01% 33 San Luis Obispo 45 29.4 0.00 29.4 0.33% 97.34% 34 Lake 30 19.3 7.34 26.7 0.30% 97.64% 35 Mendocino 43 26.6 0.00 26.6 0.30% 97.94% 36 Santa Cruz 42 25.5 0.00 25.5 0.29% 98.22% 37 Napa 50 22.2 0.00 22.2 0.25% 98.47% 38 Nevada 60 16.0 3.33 19.3 0.22% 98.69% 39 Lassen 40 9.7 6.33 16.0 0.18% 98.87% 40 Siskiyou 40 16.0 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.22% 42 Tehama 40 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.38% 43 Marin 40 <td>30</td> <td>Placer</td> <td>58</td> <td>35.7</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>35.7</td> <td>0.40%</td> <td>96.29%</td>	30	Placer	58	35.7	0.00	35.7	0.40%	96.29%
32 Humboldt 44 27.4 3.74 31.1 0.35% 97.01% 33 San Luis Obispo 45 29.4 0.00 29.4 0.33% 97.34% 34 Lake 30 19.3 7.34 26.7 0.30% 97.64% 35 Mendocino 43 26.6 0.00 26.6 0.30% 97.94% 36 Santa Cruz 42 25.5 0.00 25.5 0.29% 98.22% 37 Napa 50 22.2 0.00 22.2 0.25% 98.47% 38 Nevada 60 16.0 3.33 19.3 0.22% 98.69% 39 Lassen 40 9.7 6.33 16.0 0.18% 98.87% 40 Siskiyou 40 16.0 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.22% 42 Tehama 40 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.38% 43 Marin 40 <td>31</td> <td>Del Norte</td> <td></td> <td>32.7</td> <td>0.00</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>96.66%</td>	31	Del Norte		32.7	0.00			96.66%
34 Lake 30 19.3 7.34 26.7 0.30% 97.64% 35 Mendocino 43 26.6 0.00 26.6 0.30% 97.94% 36 Santa Cruz 42 25.5 0.00 25.5 0.29% 98.22% 37 Napa 50 22.2 0.00 22.2 0.25% 98.47% 38 Nevada 60 16.0 3.33 19.3 0.22% 98.69% 39 Lassen 40 9.7 6.33 16.0 0.18% 98.87% 40 Siskiyou 40 16.0 0.00 16.0 0.18% 99.65% 41 Imperial 72 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.22% 42 Tehama 40 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.38% 43 Marin 40 13.4 0.00 13.4 0.15% 99.53% 45 Trinity 28	32	Humboldt	44	27.4	3.74	31.1	0.35%	97.01%
34 Lake 30 19.3 7.34 26.7 0.30% 97.64% 35 Mendocino 43 26.6 0.00 26.6 0.30% 97.94% 36 Santa Cruz 42 25.5 0.00 25.5 0.29% 98.22% 37 Napa 50 22.2 0.00 22.2 0.25% 98.47% 38 Nevada 60 16.0 3.33 19.3 0.22% 98.69% 39 Lassen 40 9.7 6.33 16.0 0.18% 98.87% 40 Siskiyou 40 16.0 0.00 16.0 0.18% 99.65% 41 Imperial 72 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.22% 42 Tehama 40 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.38% 43 Marin 40 13.4 0.00 13.4 0.15% 99.57% 45 Trinity 28	33	San Luis Obispo	45	29.4	0.00	29.4	0.33%	97.34%
36 Santa Cruz 42 25.5 0.00 25.5 0.29% 98.22% 37 Napa 50 22.2 0.00 22.2 0.25% 98.47% 38 Nevada 60 16.0 3.33 19.3 0.22% 98.69% 39 Lassen 40 9.7 6.33 16.0 0.18% 98.87% 40 Siskiyou 40 16.0 0.00 16.0 0.18% 99.05% 41 Imperial 72 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.22% 42 Tehama 40 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.38% 43 Marin 40 13.4 0.00 13.4 0.15% 99.53% 44 San Benito 20 11.4 0.66 12.0 0.13% 99.67% 45 Trinity 28 9.7 0.00 9.7 0.11% 99.78% 46 Glenn 22	34	-	30	19.3	7.34	26.7	0.30%	97.64%
36 Santa Cruz 42 25.5 0.00 25.5 0.29% 98.22% 37 Napa 50 22.2 0.00 22.2 0.25% 98.47% 38 Nevada 60 16.0 3.33 19.3 0.22% 98.69% 39 Lassen 40 9.7 6.33 16.0 0.18% 98.87% 40 Siskiyou 40 16.0 0.00 16.0 0.18% 99.05% 41 Imperial 72 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.22% 42 Tehama 40 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.38% 43 Marin 40 13.4 0.00 13.4 0.15% 99.53% 44 San Benito 20 11.4 0.66 12.0 0.13% 99.67% 45 Trinity 28 9.7 0.00 9.7 0.11% 99.78% 46 Glenn 22	35	Mendocino	43	26.6	0.00	26.6	0.30%	97.94%
38 Nevada 60 16.0 3.33 19.3 0.22% 98.69% 39 Lassen 40 9.7 6.33 16.0 0.18% 98.87% 40 Siskiyou 40 16.0 0.00 16.0 0.18% 99.05% 41 Imperial 72 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.22% 42 Tehama 40 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.38% 43 Marin 40 13.4 0.00 13.4 0.15% 99.53% 44 San Benito 20 11.4 0.66 12.0 0.13% 99.67% 45 Trinity 28 9.7 0.00 9.7 0.11% 99.78% 46 Glenn 22 9.2 0.00 9.2 0.10% 99.88% 47 Inyo 14 9.1 0.00 9.1 0.10% 99.98% 48 Tuolumne 0	36	Santa Cruz	42	25.5	0.00	25.5	0.29%	98.22%
39 Lassen 40 9.7 6.33 16.0 0.18% 98.87% 40 Siskiyou 40 16.0 0.00 16.0 0.18% 99.05% 41 Imperial 72 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.22% 42 Tehama 40 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.38% 43 Marin 40 13.4 0.00 13.4 0.15% 99.53% 44 San Benito 20 11.4 0.66 12.0 0.13% 99.67% 45 Trinity 28 9.7 0.00 9.7 0.11% 99.78% 46 Glenn 22 9.2 0.00 9.2 0.10% 99.88% 47 Inyo 14 9.1 0.00 9.1 0.10% 99.98% 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.68 1.7 0.02% 100.00% 49 Mariposa 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 51 Sierra 0 <td< td=""><td>37</td><td>Napa</td><td>50</td><td>22.2</td><td>0.00</td><td>22.2</td><td>0.25%</td><td>98.47%</td></td<>	37	Napa	50	22.2	0.00	22.2	0.25%	98.47%
39 Lassen 40 9.7 6.33 16.0 0.18% 98.87% 40 Siskiyou 40 16.0 0.00 16.0 0.18% 99.05% 41 Imperial 72 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.22% 42 Tehama 40 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.38% 43 Marin 40 13.4 0.00 13.4 0.15% 99.53% 44 San Benito 20 11.4 0.66 12.0 0.13% 99.67% 45 Trinity 28 9.7 0.00 9.7 0.11% 99.78% 46 Glenn 22 9.2 0.00 9.2 0.10% 99.88% 47 Inyo 14 9.1 0.00 9.1 0.10% 99.98% 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.68 1.7 0.02% 100.00% 49 Mariposa 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 51 Sierra 0 <td< td=""><td>38</td><td>Nevada</td><td>60</td><td>16.0</td><td>3.33</td><td>19.3</td><td>0.22%</td><td>98.69%</td></td<>	38	Nevada	60	16.0	3.33	19.3	0.22%	98.69%
41 Imperial 72 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.22% 42 Tehama 40 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.38% 43 Marin 40 13.4 0.00 13.4 0.15% 99.53% 44 San Benito 20 11.4 0.66 12.0 0.13% 99.67% 45 Trinity 28 9.7 0.00 9.7 0.11% 99.78% 46 Glenn 22 9.2 0.00 9.2 0.10% 99.88% 47 Inyo 14 9.1 0.00 9.1 0.10% 99.98% 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.68 1.7 0.02% 100.00% 49 Mariposa 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.00%			40					98.87%
41 Imperial 72 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.22% 42 Tehama 40 14.7 0.00 14.7 0.17% 99.38% 43 Marin 40 13.4 0.00 13.4 0.15% 99.53% 44 San Benito 20 11.4 0.66 12.0 0.13% 99.67% 45 Trinity 28 9.7 0.00 9.7 0.11% 99.78% 46 Glenn 22 9.2 0.00 9.2 0.10% 99.88% 47 Inyo 14 9.1 0.00 9.1 0.10% 99.98% 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.68 1.7 0.02% 100.00% 49 Mariposa 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.00%	40	Siskiyou	40	16.0	0.00	16.0	0.18%	99.05%
43 Marin 40 13.4 0.00 13.4 0.15% 99.53% 44 San Benito 20 11.4 0.66 12.0 0.13% 99.67% 45 Trinity 28 9.7 0.00 9.7 0.11% 99.78% 46 Glenn 22 9.2 0.00 9.2 0.10% 99.88% 47 Inyo 14 9.1 0.00 9.1 0.10% 99.98% 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.68 1.7 0.02% 100.00% 49 Mariposa 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.00%	41						0.17%	99.22%
43 Marin 40 13.4 0.00 13.4 0.15% 99.53% 44 San Benito 20 11.4 0.66 12.0 0.13% 99.67% 45 Trinity 28 9.7 0.00 9.7 0.11% 99.78% 46 Glenn 22 9.2 0.00 9.2 0.10% 99.88% 47 Inyo 14 9.1 0.00 9.1 0.10% 99.98% 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.68 1.7 0.02% 100.00% 49 Mariposa 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.00%	42	Tehama	40	14.7	0.00	14.7	0.17%	99.38%
44 San Benito 20 11.4 0.66 12.0 0.13% 99.67% 45 Trinity 28 9.7 0.00 9.7 0.11% 99.78% 46 Glenn 22 9.2 0.00 9.2 0.10% 99.88% 47 Inyo 14 9.1 0.00 9.1 0.10% 99.98% 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.68 1.7 0.02% 100.00% 49 Mariposa 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.00%	43	Marin	40	13.4	0.00		0.15%	99.53%
45 Trinity 28 9.7 0.00 9.7 0.11% 99.78% 46 Glenn 22 9.2 0.00 9.2 0.10% 99.88% 47 Inyo 14 9.1 0.00 9.1 0.10% 99.98% 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.68 1.7 0.02% 100.00% 49 Mariposa 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%	44	San Benito	20	11.4	0.66		0.13%	99.67%
46 Glenn 22 9.2 0.00 9.2 0.10% 99.88% 47 Inyo 14 9.1 0.00 9.1 0.10% 99.98% 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.68 1.7 0.02% 100.00% 49 Mariposa 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%	45							99.78%
47 Inyo 14 9.1 0.00 9.1 0.10% 99.98% 48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.68 1.7 0.02% 100.00% 49 Mariposa 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%	46	Glenn						99.88%
48 Tuolumne 0 0.0 1.68 1.7 0.02% 100.00% 49 Mariposa 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%	47							99.98%
49 Mariposa 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%								100.00%
50 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%								100.00%
51 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.00 0.00 100.00%		•						100.00%
	51		0					100.00%
., ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			13,147	7,597.4	1,300.1	8,897.5	100.00%	

^{*}ADP on County Breakout Report may not equal ADP on other Summary Reports due to rounding

	Juvenile Detention Profile Survey									
2nd Quarter			One Day	Snapshot			Averag	e Daily Po	pulation	
		Misde	meanor	Fel	ony	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	position	
Report 2012	Rated Capacity	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total ADP
Juvenile Halls	8,199.0	14.8%	4.2%	31.6%	3.5%	2,086.4	370.6	1,955.0	306.6	4,718.6
Camps / Ranches	4,948.3	6.2%	1.3%	23.6%	2.1%			2,589.6	289.2	2,878.8
Other Juveniles	-	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total ADP
Juveniles on home supervision w		2.2%	0.6%	2.4%	0.5%	189.1	37.4	344.0	62.3	632.8
Juveniles on home supervision w	<u> </u>	1.9%	0.6%	2.3%	0.6%	154.4	41.3	241.1	61.4	498.2
Juveniles alternative confinement	· -	0.4%	0.1%	1.0%	0.1%	3.0	1.3	147.4	17.3	169.1
Grand T	Totals	25.5%	6.7%	61.0%	6.8%	2,432.8	450.6	5,277.2	736.8	8,897.5
		Ment	tal Health	Snapshot	!				ı	
Number of open mental health	•									4,000.2
Number of juveniles receiving	psychotropic medication		0							1,466.9
Access of the second Consection	and the state of t	DUE TO	Crowdi						ı	0.0
Average daily population of ju	-		LACK OF	SPACE						0.0
Number of juveniles released				D-4l O	:					0.0
Average number of days that	one or more facilities in a co		e Booking							1.5
Number of Juvenile Hall book	ings/admissions	Average	e Booking	S FEI WIO	iui				I	5,800.5
Number of Juvenile Hall bookings/admissions Number of bookings for weapon-related offenses						371.3				
Number of 601 bookings							39.3			
Number of 777 bookings								1,258.3		
Number of direct file {WIC 602(b) and 707(d)} bookings								49.0		
Trainber of affect the (VVIC oc.		onth of th	he Quartei	(One-Da	v Snansho	ot)				40.0
Detained for 707b Offense				(,	,				892.3
Awaiting placement										513.9
Awaiting transport to a camp										270.0
Awaiting transfer to Youth Au	thority									27.0
Court commitments to juvenile	e hall (Ricardo M)								615	
Found unfit per 707.01 WIC										80.0
Direct files to Adult Court-602	(b) and 707(d) WIC									358.0
Hospitalized outside detention	n facility for MEDICAL CARE									4.0
Hospitalized outside detention	n facility for MENTAL HEALT	TH CARE								3.0
Believed to be criminal illegal	aliens									158.1
		Aver	rage Lengt	th of Stay						
Juvenile Hall (all releases)										23.1
Juvenile Hall to Camps										33.8
Juvenile Hall to other out-of-h		homes or	r foster hor	nes)						43.6
Juvenile Hall who were found										467.5
Juvenile Hall who were direct	filings to adult court									238.6
Camps (all releases)										129.7
		Cumulati	ive Total fo			-11-	O) a m e le c	l 645 -	Data with
Associates to the second	Detention Behavior				luvenile H	aiis (Camps / F			Detention
Assualts by juveniles on staff					38.0		8.0			0.0
Escapes Cuicida Attananta					1.0		58.			0.1
Suicide Attempts					31.0		0.0			0.0
Suicides					0.0		0.0	,		0.0

Second Quarter Report, 2012 - Juvenile Hall Data

Rated Capacity RC and ADP for Juvenile Halls




Juvenile hall average daily population increased by 25 youth in the 2nd quarter. ADP remains 1/3 lower than in 2006

Summary of Juvenile Hall ADP						
2007	2008	2009	2010	2011		
6,858	6,614	6,197	5,722	5,137		

2012 Summary of Juvenile Hall ADP					
Q1	Q2			Average	
4,694	4,719			4,706	

Juvenile Hall Highest One-Day Population and RC




Like ADP, a small increase (24 youth) was seen in high day population in the 2nd quarter reporting.

Summary of Juvenile Hall Highest One Day						
2007	2008	2009	2010	2011		
7,686	7,317	6,915	6,387	5,795		

2012 Summary of Highest One-Day Population						
Q1	Q2			Average		
5,338	5,362			5,350		

Pre-Disposition in Juvenile Halls




The percentage of youth who are being detained in a pre-
disposition status remains at approximately half.

Summary of Pre-Disposition in Juvenile Halls						
2007	2008	2009	2010	2011		
61%	57%	56%	53%	51%		

2012 Summary of Pre-Disposition Juvenile Halls						
Q1	Q2			Average		
52%	52%			52%		

Second Quarter Report, 2012 - Juvenile Hall Data

Gender Distribution in Juvenile Halls




The distribution of population by gender remains unchanged since	е
2008.	

Summary of Males in Juvenile Halls						
2007	2008	2009	2010	2011		
85%	86%	86%	86%	86%		

I	2012 Summary of Males in Juvenile Hall						
ĺ	Q1	Q2		Average			
Ī	86%	86%		86%			

Average Number of Juveniles Booked per Month




There was a decrease in juvenile hall bookings (227) from the 1st quarter of 2012. This figure continues to run below previous year averages.

	Summary of Juveniles Booked per Month							
2007		2008	2009	2010	2011			
9,4	23	9,325	9,079	6,803	6,203			

2012 Summary of Monthly Bookings						
Q1	Q2		Average			
6,028	5,801		5,914			

Distribution of Charge in Juvenile Halls




The percentage of youth in custody on felony versus misdemeanor
charges has remained relatively unchanged since 2010.

Sun	Summary of Felony Charges in Juvenile Halls						
2007	2007 2008 2009 2010 2011						
70%	70%	70%	66%	65%			

2012 Summary of Felony Charge Juvenile Hall					
Q1 Q2 Averag					
66%	65%			66%	

Second Quarter Report, 2012 - Camp Data

RC and ADP for Camps




The average daily population of camps, like the juvenile halls,
increased slightly over the 1st quarter.

Summary of Camp ADP						
2007	2007 2008 2009 2010 2011					
4,278	4,338	3,841	3,374	3,105		

	2012 Summary of Camp ADP						
Q1	Q1 Q2						
2,83	38 2,87	79	2,858				

Gender Distribution in Camps




Girls continue to make up only 10% of the camp population.

Summary of Males in Camps							
2007 2008 2009 2010 20 ⁻							
89%	90%	90%	90%	90%			

2012 Summary of Males in Camps						
Q1 Q2 Average						
90%	90%		90%			

Distribution of Charge in Camps



The percentage of youth committed to camp with felony charges increased by 1% in the 2nd quarter.						

Summary of Felonies in Camps					
2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	
70%	71%	75%	77%	77%	

2012 Summary of Felonies in Camps						
Q1	Q2			Average		
77%	78%			78%		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR INTERPRETING THE SURVEY REPORT

The Overall Capacity, Population and ADP Page is designed to present the Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results for the major reporting categories.

- Capacity: this category presents the Rated Capacity (RC) in terms of the number of beds in iuvenile halls and camps/ranches that meet the Board of State and Community Corrections standards.
- High One-Day: each jurisdiction reports for each month in the guarter, the juvenile hall and camp/ranch populations that, together, constituted the highest one-day count of the month.
- Other: this category refers to the sum of all juveniles who are receiving custody credit while on home supervision with or without electronic monitoring, or in alternative confinement programs.

The County Breakout Report Page is designed to present county-specific information.

• County comparison: this page identifies the ADP for each county and the percent that county contributes to the total state juvenile detention population. The counties are ranked in descending order based on their percentage of the overall juvenile detention population in the state.

The Additional Information Page is designed to present all the remaining Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results not already listed.

- One-Day Snapshot: the percentages in this section are percentages of the total ADP for juvenile halls, camps/ranches, and other juveniles in the system.
- Average number of days that one or more facilities in a county exceeded the Rated Capacity: this value is the result of taking all of the counties "number of days of crowding" and averaging the figures submitted by all the jurisdictions. If a jurisdiction had no crowding days, that jurisdiction was not included in the computation. In other words, the value presented indicates the typical number of crowding days per month experienced by jurisdictions that have had one or more days of crowding in the Second Quarter of Calendar Year 2012.
- Average Length of Stay: these numbers are averages for all juveniles in each category:
 - 1) "juvenile hall (all releases)" is computed by first taking the mean length of stay for all juveniles released from juvenile halls in a jurisdiction. Next, all the jurisdictions' means are averaged to produce a statewide figure:
 - 2) "juvenile hall to camps/ranches" is computed in the same fashion, but includes only those juveniles released from juvenile hall and placed in a camp or ranch;
 - 3) "juvenile hall to other out-of-home placements" presents the average length of stay for that subset of juveniles.