Proposal Rating Factors & Process

Presentation by Trevor Bartley, Research Data Specialist

Request for Proposal (RFP) Process

- Submit proposal
- Technical compliance review
- Proposals forwarded to the Scoring Panel
- Scoring Panel reads and scores proposals
- Scoring Panel recommendations go to Board

Applicants notified of results

1

2

Scoring Panel

For this RFP, a Scoring Panel will be convened with the responsibility of:

- Rating the proposals using transparent and fair measurement principles
- Making funding recommendations to the Board



Rating Factors and Scores

	RATING FACTORS	POINT RANGE	PERCENT OF TOTAL VALUE	WEIGHTED RATING FACTOR SCORE*
1	Project Need	0-5	20%	40
2	Project Description	0-5	30%	60
3	Organizational Capacity and Coordination	0-5	25%	50
4	Project Data Collection and Evaluation	0-5	10%	20
5	Project Budget	0-5	15%	30
	Ma	ximum Proposal Score:	100%	200

Instruction Packet, Page 19

3

4

Proposal Rating Process

Six Point Rubric

Not Responsive 0	Poor 1	Fair 2	Satisfactory 3	Good 4	Excellent 5
The response	The response	The response	The response	The response	The response
fails to address	addresses the	addresses the	addresses the	addresses the	addresses the
the criteria.	criteria in a very inadequate	criteria in a non- specific or	criteria in an adequate way.	criteria in a substantial way.	criteria in an outstanding
	way.	unsatisfactory way.			way.

Instruction Packet, Page 20

Rating Factors

The Scoring Panel will evaluate the merits of each proposal received in terms of how well each applicant responds to the rating factors found in the:

- Project Need
- Project Description
- Project Organizational Capacity and Coordination
- Project Data Collection and Evaluation
- · Project Budget

Instruction Packet, Pages 24-27

5

6

Rating Factor: Project Need

Section 1: Project Need • Percent of Total Value - 20%

Project Need: The applicant described a need that is pertinent to the intent of the grant. The elements that comprise this Rating Factor are listed below. Addressing each element does not in itself ment a high rating; although each element is to be addressed (when applicable), it is the quality of the response to each that will be evaluated. The response will be evaluated with a single rating based on a scale of 0-5.

- Describe the need(s) to be addressed, the process used to determine the need(s) and how the need(s) are related to one or more of the Byme SCIP Grant Program Purpose Areas and corresponding program activities. Identify the conditions or elements that contribute to the need (e.g., service gaps, accessibility, geographic location, etc.).
- 1.2
- Provide relevant local qualitative and/or quantitative data with citations in support of the need(s). 1.3
- Demonstrate a compelling justification for the grant funds.

Rating Factor: Project Description

Section 2: Project Description • Percent of Total Value - 30%

Project Description: The applicant provided a description of the project that is related to the intent of the grant. The elements that comprise this Rating Factor are listed below. Addressing each element cost not in itself metal a high rating; although each element is to be addressed (when applicable), it is to guisting of the response to each that will be evaluated. The response will be evaluated with a single sting based on a scale of O-S.

- owested and states of Urb.

 Describe the proposed project that will address the need(s) discussed in the Project Needs section. The description should:

 Describe the components of the proposed project that links to each PPA.

- PPA.

 Describe the target area which will be the focus of the project, including how and why it was selected.

 For projects serving participants, provide an estimate of how many individuals will be served and the process for determining which services/activities an individualgroup will receive.

 Address how the project will, if applicable, address the racial and ethnic disparities, violence, and/or recidivism (if any) identified in Project Niel, and Address how the proposed project will, if applicable, incorporate trauma-informed care and be culturally informed, competent, and
- trauma-informed care and be culturally informed, competent, and
- Address how the proposed project will, if applicable, prioritize mental health needs and the avoidance of system involvement.

7 8

Rating Factor: Project Description

Section 2: Project Description • Percent of Total Value - 30%

roject Description: The applicant provided a description of the project that is related to the inte e grant. The elements that comprise this Rating Factor are listed below. Addressing each ele ses not in itself metri a high rating, although each element is to be addressed (when applicable) e quality of the response to each that will be evaluated. The response will be evaluated with a s

uality of the response to each that will be evaluated. The response will be evaluated with a single state of an active of 10ct. Work Plan (Attachment B), describing the top quals and objectives for the project (see Attachment D for definitions), identify how these will be achieved in terms of the activities, responsible staff/partner agencies, timelines, and a list of the data elements to be collected. The goals and objectives must be related to the needs and

- collected. The goals and objectives must be related to the needs and intent identified for the Byrne SCIP grant.

 For projects with participants, describe:

 the target population (e.g., gender, age, offense history, criminogenic factors), including wity and how it was selected.

 the plan for identifying, accessing, selecting, and serving individuals from the target population who are eligible and appropriate for participation.

 plans to overcome any inability to access and/or serve those individuals.

- individuals.

 Describe the rationale for the proposed activities/services including i research or other evidence indicating that the intended goals and objectives are likely to be achieved.

Project Work Plan

Attachment B: Project Work Plan

Please reference the Glossary of Terms to view key definitions for this RFP.

- Applicants must develop a Project Work Plan that identifies measurable project goals, objectives, and commensurate timelines Interactions. Applicates must complete a Project Work Plen using the formal below. Provided goals and digitalness must and elements interfered by the property of the control of the center great form. A merinant of one goal and corresponding depictions must be identified. Comprising plants which interfered in the center goal form. A merinant of one goal and corresponding depictions must be identified. Comprising plants which interfered in the center goal formal corresponding depictions must be identified.

 2. Now the graphity will be actived in terms of the activities/even/ces, responsible staffigurants, and the tredirece.

 3. In lot of the data elements to be obtained.



BSCC

9 10

Rating Factor: Project Organizational Capacity and Coordination

Section 3: Project Organizational Capacity and Coordination • Percent of Total Value - 25%

- Describe the applicant's ability to administer the proposed project. In the description include: the staffing required and available to operate the project

the staffing required and available to operate the project including staff qualifications and training.
 the extent to which existing staff resources will be utilized.
 project management and oversight to ensure the proposed project is implemented as intended.
 Describe any pather agencies or coordination with other agencies necessary to implement the proposed project. If partners are to be selected after the grant is awarded, specify the process and criteria for selecting the partner agencies. The description of partners

- Not secured use personal transfer and the proposed project.

 their involvement/role that is aligned with the proposed project. their credentials, involved personnel, experience and capability to conduct the project, and the value the partners add to the 3.2
 - the plan to coordinate with these partners

Rating Factor: Project Organizational Capacity and Coordination

Section 3: Project Organizational Capacity and Coordination • Percent of Total Value - 25%

Project Organizational Capacity and Coordination: The applicant described their organizations ability to implement the proposed project. The elements that comprise his analysis of the project should peak element is to be addressed (when applicable), it also guilar for the seconds to each that will be evaluated. The response will be evaluated with a single rating based on a scale of 0.5. Describe the timeline for the execution of contracts or memoranda of understanding with any partner agencies and the implementation of their involvement/role such that they are in a reasonable timeframe to support the project. Include a description of the readiness to proceed, if funded.

3.4 Describe the management structure and decision-making process for the proposed project.

Rating Factor: Data Collection and Evaluation

Section 4: Data Collection and Evaluation:
• Percent of Total Value - 10%

Project Evaluation and Monitoring: The applicant described how it will monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed project. The elements that are to comprise this Rating Factor are listed below. Addressing each element does not listelf ment a high rating; although each element is to be addressed, it is the evaluated. The response will be evaluated. The response will be evaluated. The response will be evaluated with a single rating based on a scale of 0-5.

Describe the plan to determine the qualified internal staff and/or external partner or entity that will conduct the project evaluation. At and how monitoring activities will be incorporated in the various phases of the project for example, start-up, implementation, service delivery period cits.

- phases of the project, or beampse, saar-up, imperimenation, service delivery period, etc. Identify the data elements that will be collected to measure the estent to which the proposed project and the goals and objectives lated in the Project Work Plan are achieved beached the project Work Plan are achieved beached the preparation of the plan of collecting the data elements identified in 4.2. Describe a plan for entering into data sharing agreements, if necessary.

Rating Factor: Project Budget

Project Budget: The applicant provided a complete Budget Attachment (Budget Table and Budget Narrative) for the proposed project. The elements against which he Budget Attachment will be rated are listed below. Addressing each element does not in itself ment a high rating, although each element is to be addressed (when applicable), it is the quality of the response to each that will be evaluated. The response will be evaluated with a single rating based on a scale of 0-5.

Provide complete and detailed budget information in each section of the Budget Attachment which:
5.1 * includes an explanation justifying each expense. * ensures expenses are appropriate for the grant's intent, the project's goals, and planned activities.

13 14

Rating Factors and Scores

RATING FACTORS	POINT RANGE	PERCENT OF TOTAL VALU	WEIGHTED RATING E FACTOR SCORE*
Project Need	0-5	20%	40
2 Project Description	0-5	30%	60
Organizational Capacity and Coordinati	0-5 on	25%	50
Project Evaluation and Monitoring	0-5	10%	20
5 Project Budget	0-5	15%	30
	Maximum Proposal Score:	100%	200
			Instruction Packet, Page 18

QUESTIONS

16

BSCC

15