



Proposition 47 Grant Program: Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act

Cohort 4 Request for Proposals (RFP)

Grant Purpose: Mental Health Services, Substance Use Disorder Treatment and Diversion Programs for People in the Criminal Justice System.

Grant Period: October 1, 2024 to June 30, 2028

Eligible Applicants: Public Agencies as Lead, in Partnership with Community-Based Organizations

RFP Released: April 12, 2024
Notice of Intent to Apply Due: May 10, 2024

Proposals Due: June 10, 2024
****May 1, 2024 - Revision made on page 43**



BOARD OF STATE & COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS
2590 VENTURE OAKS WAY, SUITE 200
SACRAMENTO CA 95833
WWW.BSCC.CA.GOV



This page was intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

PART I: BACKGROUND AND GRANT INFORMATION.....	1
Background	1
Contact Information	1
Proposal Due Date and Submission Instructions.....	2
Prospective Applicant Information Session.....	3
Letter of Intent to Apply.....	4
Proposition 47 Executive Steering Committee & Scoring Panel	4
Guiding Principles for the Proposition 47 Grant.....	6
Eligibility to Apply.....	7
Funding Information.....	8
Eligible Grant Activities and Target Population	11
Grant Period	14
Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee	14
Evidence-Based, Data-Driven and Innovative Strategies	15
Data Collection, Reporting and Evaluation Requirements	16
General Grant Requirements.....	19
Overview of the RFP Process.....	23
PART II: PROPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS.....	27
Proposal Narrative and Budget Instructions	27
PART III: ATTACHMENTS and APPENDICES.....	35
Attachment A: Proposition 47 Ballot Measure	36
Attachment B: Assembly Bill 1056 (2015).....	38
Attachment C: Proposition 47 Cohort 3 Grantees.....	43
Attachment D: Glossary of Key Terms.....	44
Attachment E: Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee Membership Roster.....	51
Attachment F: Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee Letter of Agreement	52
Attachment G: Sample Governing Board Resolution.....	53
Attachment H: Proposition 47 Project Work Plan.....	54
Appendix A: Proposition 47 Executive Steering Committee Roster	56
Appendix B: Criteria for Non-Governmental Organizations Receiving BSCC Grant Subawards.....	57

Appendix C: Certification of Compliance with BSCC Policies Regarding Debarment, Fraud, Theft, and Embezzlement.....	59
--	----

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

All documents submitted as a part of the Proposition 47 Cohort 4 Grant Program proposal are public documents and may be subject to a request pursuant to the California Public Records Act. The BSCC cannot ensure the confidentiality of any information submitted in or with this proposal. (Gov. Code, §§ 6250 et seq.)

PART I: BACKGROUND AND GRANT INFORMATION

Background

Proposition 47 was a voter-approved initiative on the November 4, 2014 general election ballot. As stated in the ballot measure:

The people enact the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act to ensure that prison spending is focused on violent and serious offenses, to maximize alternatives for nonserious, nonviolent crime, and to invest the savings generated from this act into prevention and support programs in K–12 schools, victim services, and mental health and drug treatment (**Attachment A**).

As further stated in the proposition, the BSCC's responsibilities are to:

Administer a grant program to public agencies aimed at supporting mental health treatment, substance abuse treatment, and diversion programs for people in the criminal justice system, with an emphasis on programs that reduce recidivism of people convicted of less serious crimes, such as those covered by this measure, and those who have substance abuse and mental health problems. (Gov. Code, § 7599.2, subd. (a)(3).)

Assembly Bill 1056 (Statutes of 2015, Chapter 438) added additional priorities to the grant program including housing-related assistance and community-based supportive services such as job skills training, case management and civil legal services (**Attachment B**).

Contact Information

This Request for Proposals (RFP) provides the information necessary to prepare a proposal to the Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) for grant funds available through the Proposition 47 Cohort 4 Grant Program.

The BSCC staff cannot assist the applicant or its partners with the actual preparation of the proposal. Any technical questions concerning the RFP, the proposal process or programmatic issues must be submitted by email to: Prop47Cohort4@bscc.ca.gov.

BSCC will create a Frequently Asked Questions page and update it periodically up to the proposal submission deadline. See the [Proposition 47 website](#) for more information.

Proposal Due Date and Submission Instructions

Proposal Due Date

The Proposition 47 Grant Program Proposal Package submission is available through an online portal submission process. Applicants must submit proposals through the BSCC Submittable portal by **5:00 P.M. (PST) on June 10, 2024**, at which time the portal will close and no longer accept proposals.

****Please allow sufficient time to begin and submit your proposal. Be advised that completing the proposal and uploading the required documents into the Submittable portal may take a significant amount of time. If the BSCC does not receive a submission by 5:00 p.m. (PST) on June 10, 2024, the proposal will not be considered for funding. Applicants are strongly advised to submit proposals in advance of the due date and time to avoid disqualification.**

Submission Instructions

READ THIS ENTIRE RFP DOCUMENT PRIOR TO INITIATING THE RFP PROCESS.

This RFP Instruction Packet contains all the necessary information to successfully complete and submit the Proposition 47 Grant Program Proposal. As part of the online BSCC Submittable process, applicants will be required to download several mandatory forms that must be completed, signed, and uploaded at specific prompts within the BSCC Submittable portal prior to submission. These documents, listed below, are available for download at the BSCC [Proposition 47 website](#):

1. Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee Membership Roster
2. Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee Letter of Agreement
3. Project Work Plan
4. Criteria for Non-Governmental Organizations Receiving BSCC Grant Subawards
5. Certification of Compliance with BSCC Policies Regarding Debarment, Fraud, Theft, and Embezzlement
6. Budget Attachment (Project Budget Table and Budget Narrative)

Proposals for the Proposition 47 Grant Program **must be submitted through the BSCC Submittable Portal**. The link to the BSCC Submittable Application portal, Proposition 47 Grant Program Application Instruction Packet, and all required attachments are available on the BSCC [Proposition 47 website](#).

The Proposition 47 Grant Program RFP is accessible by clicking the “Click here to Submit; Powered by Submittable” button located on the BSCC [Proposition 47 website](#). You will be prompted to create a free Submittable account and log-in (or sign into an existing account) prior to accessing the online RFP. Once the account has been established, applicants may proceed with the submission process. Additional RFP instructions are provided within the online BSCC Submittable Portal.

****Note:** You must click the “Save Draft” button at the end of the proposal page to save any updates and/or changes you have made to your proposal prior to applying, each time you log in to your proposal. In addition, most of the fields within the RFP require information to

be entered; therefore, the system will not allow proposal submission if all mandatory fields are not completed.

If the BSCC does not receive a submission by the deadline, the proposal will not be considered for funding. Applicants are strongly advised to submit proposals well in advance of the due date and time to avoid disqualification.

Once you have successfully submitted your application and all required attachments, you will receive an email acknowledging your application has been received.

If you experience technical difficulties with submitting your proposal through the Submittable portal, you should submit a Help Ticket through Submittable, as the BSCC does not control that site. Please also email the BSCC at Prop47Cohort4@bscc.ca.gov and/or call the BSCC main line at (916) 445-5073 and ask to speak to someone about the Proposition 47 Grant.

Be advised that applicants contacting Submittable and/or the BSCC on the due date may not receive timely responses.

Please allow sufficient time for Submittable and BSCC to provide technical assistance.

Prospective Applicant Information Session

Prospective applicants are invited – but not required – to attend a virtual Grant Information Session. The purpose of this session is to provide clarity on RFP instructions and answer technical questions from prospective applicants. BSCC staff will review proposal submission instructions, funding information, eligible grant activities, and the rating process. Details for the virtual Grant Information Session are listed below:

Proposition 47 Cohort 4 **Virtual Grant Information Session for Prospective Applicants** **Tuesday, April 30, 2024 at 10:00 A.M.**

Public access options for this meeting include:

Join by Zoom:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87362215592>

Meeting ID: 873 6221 5592

Call In:

646-931-3860

Meeting ID: 873 6221 5592

Applicants interested in attending the Grant Information Session are asked to submit an RSVP to Prop47Cohort4@bscc.ca.gov. Please include the name, title, and agency the attendee(s) will be representing. Please note: The Proposition 47 Grant Information Session will be recorded and posted to the BSCC [Proposition 47 website](#) for future reference.

Letter of Intent to Apply

Prospective applicants are asked, but not required, to submit a non-binding letter indicating their intent to apply. These letters will aid the BSCC in planning for the proposal review process. Please submit the letter as a Microsoft Word or PDF file.

There is no formal template for the Letter of Intent, but it should include the following information:

- Name of the applicant entity;
- Name and title of a contact person from the applicant entity that can be posted and shared with interested members of the public; and
- A brief statement indicating the applicant's intent to submit a proposal.

Failure to submit a Letter of Intent is not grounds for disqualification. Prospective applicants who submit a Letter of Intent and decide later not to apply will not be penalized.

Please email your non-binding Letter of Intent by **May 10, 2024**. Please identify the email subject line as "Proposition 47 Cohort 4 Letter of Intent to Apply" and submit the letter to: Prop47Cohort4@bscc.ca.gov.

Proposition 47 Executive Steering Committee & Scoring Panel

The BSCC uses Executive Steering Committees (ESC) and Scoring Panels to inform decision-making related to the Board's programs. ESCs and Scoring Panels are composed of subject matter experts, community partners, and interested parties representing both the public and private sectors. The BSCC makes every attempt to include a diverse representation on its ESCs and Scoring panels, in breadth of experience, geography and demographics.

In November 2021, BSCC convened an ESC that performed a comprehensive evaluation of grant requirements and subsequently developed recommendations for the Proposition 47 Cohort 3 RFP. In February 2022, BSCC released the Cohort 3 RFP to the public.

To increase the BSCC's understanding of the needs of its stakeholders in relation to appropriate uses for Proposition 47 grant funds, BSCC conducted a statewide survey in November 2023. The results of the survey indicated that the RFP previously developed by the Proposition 47 ESC still aligns with the needs and priorities of California's communities, but that there is a greater need for residential treatment options. Therefore, this RFP contains increased funding thresholds to meet those needs.

The BSCC will use a Scoring Panel process to complete the reading and rating of the Proposition 47 Cohort 4 proposals and to develop funding recommendations for the Board. The Board may approve, reject, or revise those recommendations.

Members of the Scoring Panel are not paid for their time but are reimbursed for travel expenses incurred to attend meetings. If the BSCC is unable to convene a full Scoring Panel, BSCC staff may participate in the rating process.

Conflicts of Interest

Existing law prohibits any grantee, subgrantee, partner, or like party who participated on the Scoring Panel from receiving funds from the Proposition 47 grants awarded under this RFP. Applicants who are awarded grants under this RFP are responsible for reviewing the Scoring Panel membership roster and ensuring that no grant dollars are passed through to any entity represented by any member of the Scoring Panel. Please check the BSCC [Proposition 47 website](#) for updated information on the Scoring Panel.

Guiding Principles for the Proposition 47 Grant

In developing the original Proposition 47 RFP, the ESC agreed on the guiding principles listed below, which reflect the priorities and values of the Proposition 47 Grant Program. They are woven throughout the RFP and incorporated into the rating criteria. Applicants should develop proposals that reflect these principles.

GUIDING PRINCIPLES for BSCC's PROPOSITION 47 GRANT

- Incorporate community partnerships and collaborations.
- Encourage culturally competent services and approaches that foster the principles of restorative justice.
- Define target populations, especially those populations that are:
 - traditionally underserved or inappropriately served through mental health and substance use disorder service providers, or
 - overrepresented within the criminal justice system, or
 - experiencing or at risk for homelessness
- Expand access to culturally congruent quality mental health and substance use disorder services, including services for co-occurring mental health and substance use disorder needs and the use of evidence-based or community defined practices.
- Identify and address known barriers to serving target populations, such as:
 - Lack of jobs, housing, or employment
- Prioritize client-focused/client-centered holistic programs and approaches, including healing strategies and trauma-informed care.
- Expand and improve on efforts to divert individuals away from criminal justice involvement through increased diversion programs and improved behavioral health services or community supports.
- Include community-based organizations with diverse staffing, including those who are system-impacted individuals, or who have varying educational levels and life experiences.
- Demonstrate capacity building for service providers at every level.
- Be mindful of regional equity and geographic diversity, including smaller and rural counties.
- Collect program data and measure/evaluate outcomes and publish and share information.
- Encourage community engagement, where members of the community participate in the identifying, informing, and shaping of policies, goals, services, and solutions.

Eligibility to Apply

Eligible Applicants

Eligible applicants are **public agencies** located in the State of California. For the purposes of this RFP a public agency is defined as:

A county, city, whether a general law city or a chartered city, or city and county, the duly constituted governing body of an Indian reservation or Rancheria, a school district, municipal corporation, district, political subdivision, or any board, commission, or agency thereof, entities that are legislative bodies of a local agency pursuant to subdivision (c) or (d) of Section 54952 of the Government Code, a housing authority organized pursuant to Part 2 (commencing with Section 34200) of Division 24 of the Health and Safety Code, a state agency, public district, or other political subdivision of the state, or any instrumentality thereof, which is authorized to engage in or assist in the development or operation of housing for persons and families of low or moderate income. (Pen. Code, § 6046.1, subd. (c).)

Current Cohort 3 Grantees Are Not Eligible to Apply

Public agencies currently receiving a Cohort 3 Proposition 47 grant (as the Lead Agency) **are not eligible to apply** for Cohort 4 funding (see **Attachment C**).

- **Los Angeles County:** Because Los Angeles County received a \$20 million award in Cohort 3, **all** county agencies within Los Angeles County are ineligible to apply for Cohort 4. Note that city public agencies and non-county public agencies within Los Angeles County **are** eligible to apply.

A public agency from the same city or county as a Cohort 3 grantee may still apply (with the exception of county agencies in Los Angeles County, as noted in the bullet above).

Definition of Lead Agency

For the purposes of this RFP, the public agency applicant will be considered the **Lead Agency**. Lead agencies (i.e., individual agencies or departments within a city, county, or other jurisdiction) may not submit more than one proposal.

Non-lead agencies (including community-based organizations, faith-based organizations, non-governmental or governmental entities, etc.) may serve as a partner on more than one proposal, as long as they have the capacity to separately track the services provided to each.

Required Pass-Through to Community-Based Organizations

In order to be eligible, a Lead Agency **must**:

- Subcontract with one or more non-governmental, community-based organizations for a **minimum of 50 percent** of the total grant award in order to demonstrate a shared partnership rooted in community engagement and economic equity.

Note: Additional points will be awarded to applicants that pass-through 60 to 69 percent or 70 percent and higher of the total grant award (See *Preference Points* section, later in this document).

Joint Proposals

Two or more public agencies may partner to submit a joint proposal, but one must be designated as Lead Agency for contracting purposes. Joint proposals must comply with all other eligibility criteria. A public agency may apply on both an individual and a joint proposal. There is no funding or scoring incentive for joint proposals.

Funding Information

The Proposition 47 Grant is funded from savings generated from the enactment of the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act of 2014. Each year, the Department of Finance calculates the savings and distributes funding to the BSCC, State Department of Education, and California Victim Compensation and Government Claims Board. By law, the BSCC receives 65 percent of the state savings to administer a grant program.

Approximately **\$152 million** in funding is available for the Proposition 47 Cohort 4 RFP.

This funding is contingent on:

- 1) The amount of funds available in the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Fund;
- 2) Grantee's adherence to the Proposition 47 RFP requirements and applicable statutes; and
- 3) Grantee's ability to demonstrate that annual implementation goals and objectives (as listed on the Proposition 47 Project Work Plan – described later on) have been met.

The BSCC may select additional proposals for awards from the ranked list if the deposits into the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Fund significantly increase the BSCC's expected allocation.

Funding Categories and Corresponding Funding Thresholds

Recognizing that different-sized jurisdictions have different capacities, resources, and needs, there are two categories within which public agency applicants will compete.

Maximum funding thresholds have been set within each category. These categories were established so that projects of a smaller scope do not compete against projects of a larger scope. Applicants will **self-select a funding category**, depending on the size of the proposed project. See the table below:

Funding Category	Applicants in this Category may Request:	Funds Available in this Category
1) Small Scope	Up to \$2 million for the entire grant period.	\$60,800,000
2) Large Scope	More than \$2 million and up to \$8 million for the entire grant period.	\$91,200,000
Total Available Funds:		\$152,000,000

Applicants are strongly encouraged to apply for only the amount of funding needed to meet their program goals within the grant period. The Scoring Panel will rate proposals based in part on the reasonableness of the proposed budget.

Note: Applicants must earn at least 65 percent of the total weighted score to be considered for funding (more information on scoring is provided later in this document). If there are not sufficient qualified applicants in one category to exhaust all funds, those funds will be recommended for qualified applicants in the other category.

Financial Leveraging

Assembly Bill 1056 (2015) calls for the BSCC to prioritize Proposition 47 funding to public agency applicants that demonstrate how they plan to leverage other federal, state, and local funds or other social investments, such as the following:

- A. The Drug Medi-Cal Treatment Program (22 Cal. Code Regs. 51341.1, 51490.1, and 51516.1).
- B. The Mental Health Services Act, enacted by Proposition 63 at the November 2, 2004, general election, as amended.
- C. Funds provided for in connection with the implementation of Chapter 15 of the Statutes of 2011.
- D. The Community Corrections Performance Incentives Act (Stats. 2009, Ch. 608; Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 1228) of Title 8 of Part 2).
- E. The tax credits established pursuant to Sections 12209, 17053.57, and 23657 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.
- F. The federal Department of Housing and Urban Development funds, such as the Emergency Solutions Grant program (42 U.S.C. Sec. 11371 et seq.).
- G. The federal Department of Veterans Affairs Supportive Services for Veteran Families program (38 U.S.C. Sec. 2044).
- H. Social Innovation Funds established by the Corporation for National and Community Service pursuant to Section 12653k of Title 42 of the United States Code.
- I. The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program (42 U.S.C. Sec. 3750 et seq.).

Leveraged Contributions

Grantees must maintain documentation that support all leveraged contributions to the grant. Expenditures for leverage funds must be reported on the BSCC invoice as the expenditures occur. Grantees are responsible for ensuring budgeted leverage contributions are made and grantees should not reduce or waive contributions once the grant agreement is fully executed. Failure to account for or provide budgeted leverage funds may result in a commensurate reduction in BSCC grant funds or termination of the grant agreement.

Supplanting

BSCC grant funds shall be used to support new program activities and/or to augment existing funds that expand current program activities. BSCC grant funds shall not be used to replace existing funds.

Supplanting is strictly prohibited for all BSCC grants. When leveraging outside funds, public agency applicants must be careful not to supplant. Supplanting is the deliberate reduction

in the amount of federal, state, or local funds being appropriated to an existing program or activity because grant funds have been awarded for the same purposes.

It is the responsibility of the Grantee to ensure that supplanting does not occur. The Grantee must keep clear and detailed financial records to show that grant funds are used only for allowable costs and activities.

Denial of Future Funding

The BSCC reserves the right to consider the denial of future funding to any project and its officers based on its failure to comply with any term or condition of a current or previous Grant Award, poor past performance in a previous Grant Award, or failure to cooperate with state auditors/monitors.


Eligible Grant Activities and Target Population

The following flowchart provides a visual representation of types of services that can be funded under the Proposition 47 Grant Program. Additional information is provided on the following pages.

REQUIRED for ALL APPLICANTS



With PRIORITY given to projects that also:



As shown above, Proposition 47 grant funds must be used for mental health services, substance use disorder treatment, diversion programs, or some combination thereof for people in the criminal justice system, defined further in the Target Population section.

In addition to these required services and programs, applicants are encouraged to provide supplemental housing-related services and other community-based supportive services, such as job skills training, case management, and civil legal services.

The grant can fund programs that serve adults and/or juveniles. Examples of substance use disorder treatment and diversion programs can be found in **Attachment D: Glossary of Key Terms**.

Public agency applicants will be allowed to implement new services or programs and/or expand existing services or programs.

Ineligible Grant Expenditures

Grant funds may be used to implement new activities and programs and/or augment existing funds dedicated to a project but may not replace or supplant funds that have been appropriated for the same purpose.

Proposition 47 grant funds may not be used for:

- the acquisition of real property, or
- programs or services provided in a custodial setting (with the exception of outreach and reentry planning)¹.

For information on eligible and ineligible costs, refer to the *BSCC Grant Administration Guide*, found on the BSCC [website](#).

Target Population

Services and programs proposed in response to this RFP must be designed to serve people who:

- Have been arrested, charged with, or convicted of a criminal offense **and** have a history of mental health or substance use disorders.

For purposes of this RFP, a person has a history of mental health issues or substance use disorders if the person:

- has a mental health issue or substance use disorder that limits one or more of their life activities;
- has received services for a mental health issue or substance use disorder;
- has self-reported to a provider that they have a history of mental health issues, substance use disorders, or both; or
- has been regarded as having a mental health issue or substance use disorder.

¹ For the purposes of this RFP, a locked facility (e.g., jail, prison, etc.) is considered a custodial setting. The intent of this RFP is to prohibit the use of grant funds for programs or services provided in a custodial setting with the exception of outreach and reentry planning.

In addition, the target population of Proposition 47 should have been convicted of less serious crimes such as those covered by Proposition 47 and have substance abuse and/or mental health problems.

If services and programs are provided to juveniles, juveniles must fall under the jurisdiction of the juvenile court pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 602. Juveniles that come under the jurisdiction of the juvenile court under Welfare and Institutions Code section 601 (i.e., status offenses, truancy) should not be considered arrested for or charged with criminal offenses.

Public agency applicants are required to describe how they will ensure that this target population is the one to be served by the proposed program. This could include a description of the program's referral, engagement to services, screening, assessment, and documentation processes.

Service Delivery Approach

Applicants will be required to describe the service delivery approach, i.e., who will deliver the services and how, and why it is most appropriate for the community and target population. Key questions to consider include:

- What are the needs of your community and how does your project provide services reflective of the racially and ethnically diverse communities served?
- How does your project ensure services will be provided in locations accessible to the community?
- How does your project ensure services will be tailored to meet an individual's holistic needs, e.g., wraparound services?
- How does your project provide services in a culturally competent manner?
- How does your approach ensure that services and programs adhere to the principles of trauma-informed care?
- How does your project provide services in a collaborative manner with the community, governmental and nongovernmental agencies?
- How does your project take steps to advance the principles of Restorative Justice and reduce recidivism in your community?
- How will this project change or improve the lives of participants?

Grant Period

Proposals selected for funding will be under contract agreement with the BSCC from **October 1, 2024 to June 30, 2028**. The term of the grant agreement includes a 3-½-year service delivery period (October 1, 2024 through March 31, 2028) and an additional three (3) months (April 1, 2028 through June 30, 2028) to finalize and submit the required Local Evaluation Report and program-specific compliance audit.

A visual illustration of the grant agreement period is provided in the table below:

Implementation	Service Delivery	Service Delivery	Service Delivery	Evaluation and Close-Out
6 months	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	3 months
October 1, 2024 - March 31, 2025	April 1, 2025 - March 31, 2026	April 1, 2026 - March 31, 2027	April 1, 2027 - March 31, 2028	April 1, 2028 - June 30, 2028
<p>Implementation period to allow for local procurement, hiring, and other activities that can facilitate a timely start.</p> <p>Grantees who <u>do not need</u> the full implementation period can begin service delivery at any time once under contract.</p>	Service delivery and data collection.	Service delivery and data collection.	Service delivery and data collection.	<p>Compile and analyze data gathered from three full years of service delivery.</p> <p>Complete Local Evaluation Report.</p> <p>Complete program-specific compliance audit.</p>

Important note: The service delivery period ends on March 31, 2028. From April 1, 2028 to June 30, 2028, only expenses associated with completion of the Local Evaluation Report and the required program-specific compliance audit may be incurred. Additional information about the invoicing process and reporting requirements will be provided later in this RFP.

Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee

In order to apply for Proposition 47 funding, the Lead Agency must develop a Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee that includes local stakeholders who have experience and expertise in the prospective programs and/or services to be implemented by the proposal. This advisory committee will, at a minimum, advise on:

- How to identify and prioritize the most pressing needs to be addressed (to include target population, target area, etc.);
- How to identify the strategies, programs and/or services to be undertaken to address those needs;
- The development of the grant project; and

- Ongoing implementation of the grant project.

The Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee must include a broad range of stakeholders from within the communities, organizations, departments, etc. impacted by the proposal. Examples include behavioral health professionals, educators, community-based and faith-based organizations, individuals impacted by the justice system, law enforcement, probation, prosecutors, defense attorneys, courts, social service providers, advocacy groups, housing providers, housing navigators and citizens.

Lead Agencies should consider state and local conflict of interest laws when selecting members of the Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee. The Lead Agency is advised to check with its counsel about potential conflicts. The Lead Agency may use an existing body, but it must include individuals with the appropriate experience and expertise and address all the requirements listed in this section.

The Lead Agency must host regular community meetings to invite ongoing feedback and non-confidential updates from the Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee and the community.

Throughout the duration of the grant, Lead Agencies must maintain documentation of:

- Public outreach efforts soliciting committee membership and publicizing community meeting information;
- Attendance at both committee and community meetings;
- Agendas and minutes of committee and community meetings;
- Examples of processes for collaboration plan; and
- Partnering agencies' details of their plans for collaboration.

As a part of the application, each Lead Agency will be required to include a Membership Roster for the Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee and a Letter of Agreement signed by all members (see **Attachments E and F**).

Evidence-Based, Data-Driven and Innovative Strategies

The BSCC is committed to supporting a focus on better outcomes in the justice system and for those involved in it. Applicants are therefore encouraged to use data to drive decision-making in the development, implementation, and appraisal of their overall projects. Applicants should be able to demonstrate that their proposal is linked to the implementation of practices and strategies supported by data. In developing a proposal, applicants should focus on the following three basic principles:

1. **Is there evidence or data to suggest that the intervention or strategy is likely to work, i.e., produce a desired benefit?** *For example, was the intervention or strategy you selected used by another jurisdiction with documented positive results? Is there published research on the intervention you are choosing to implement showing its effectiveness? Is the intervention or strategy being used by another jurisdiction with a similar problem and similar target population?*

2. **Once an intervention or strategy is selected, will you be able to demonstrate that it is being carried out as intended?** *For example, does this intervention or strategy provide for a way to monitor quality control or continuous quality improvement? If this intervention or strategy was implemented in another jurisdiction, are there procedures in place to ensure that that you are following the model closely (so that you are more likely to achieve the desired outcomes)?*
3. **Is there a plan to collect evidence or data that will allow for an evaluation of whether the intervention or strategy worked?** *For example, will the intervention or strategy you selected allow for the collection of data or other evidence so that outcomes can be measured at the conclusion of the project? Do you have processes in place to identify, collect and analyze that data/evidence?*

Public agency applicants are encouraged to develop an overall project that incorporates these principles but is tailored to fit the needs of the communities they serve. Innovation and creativity are encouraged, but projects that have not been validated must demonstrate a promising approach using existing data and research such as best practices in the field. Plans to measure the effectiveness of a project should include the use of both qualitative and quantitative research. While quantitative research is based on numbers and mathematical calculations, qualitative research is based on written or spoken narratives. The purpose of quantitative research is to explain, predict and/or control events through focused collection of numerical data, while the purpose of qualitative research is to explain and gain insight and understanding of events through intensive collection of narrative data.

Data Collection, Reporting and Evaluation Requirements

Projects selected for funding will be required to submit the following to the BSCC:

- Quarterly Progress Reports
- A Local Evaluation Plan (due **March 31, 2025**) and
- A Local Evaluation Report (due **June 30, 2028**).

Required Set-Aside for Evaluation Efforts

To ensure that grantees can comply with BSCC's data collection and reporting requirements in a meaningful way that benefits the applicants, their communities, and the State of California, grantees are required to budget **a minimum of 5 percent (or \$50,000, whichever is greater), but not more than 10 percent**, of the total grant award for data collection and evaluation efforts. These efforts include development of the Local Evaluation Plan, completion of Quarterly Progress Reports and completion of Local Evaluation Report.

Applicants are encouraged, but not required, to use outside evaluators to ensure objective and impartial evaluations. Specifically, applicants are encouraged to partner with institutions of higher learning universities, state universities and community colleges. See **Attachment D** for key definitions related to project evaluation.

Applicants are also strongly encouraged to identify research partners early on and include them in the development of the proposal, so that the goals and objectives listed in the Proposition 47 Project Work Plan are measurable.

Quarterly Progress Reports

Grant recipients are required to submit Quarterly Progress Reports (QPRs) to the BSCC. Progress reports are a critical element in BSCC's monitoring and oversight process. Grantees that are unable to demonstrate that they are making sufficient progress toward project goals and objectives and that funds are being spent in accordance with the Grant Agreement and Proposition 47 Work Plan could be subject to the withholding of funds. Once grants are awarded, BSCC staff will work with grantees to create custom progress reports. Applicable forms and instructions will be available to grantees on the BSCC's website after the Grantee Orientation.

As part of the QPRs, grantees will be required to submit de-identified individual level data in a Microsoft Excel file located in a secure cloud storage platform. **BSCC will not request or retain personal identifying information.** Grantees will be required to provide the following information for each participant:

- Age at enrollment
- Gender
- Race/Ethnicity
- Level of education at enrollment
- Employment and housing status at enrollment
- Employment and housing status at completion
- Prior arrests and convictions (yes/no responses)
- Probation, Parole, or PRCS status at enrollment
- Participation status (e.g., active participant, received one-time intervention services, exited program without completing program requirements, completed program requirements, etc.)
- Number of days between program enrollment and program completion (for participants who complete program requirements)
- Services the participant received during the quarter (checkbox).
- Recidivism
 - Due to the complexity of obtaining recidivism information for many grantees, this information will only be requested annually, instead of quarterly.
 - The definition of recidivism, as established in AB 1056, will be used for reporting purposes.
 - Recidivism data must be obtained from a reliable source, such as local law enforcement. Self-report will not be accepted. Note that obtaining this information from a reliable source may require the establishment of data sharing agreements.
 - Grantees will be required to report the following recidivism information:
 - Number of days between program enrollment/services received and the recidivating event.
 - Whether the conviction was a misdemeanor or felony

Local Evaluation Plan

The purpose of the Local Evaluation Plan is to ensure that projects funded by the BSCC can be evaluated. Grantees will be expected to include a detailed description of how the public agency applicant will assess the effectiveness of the proposed program in relationship to each of its goals and objectives. A relationship between the goals and objectives identified in the Proposition 47 Project Work Plan should be apparent in the Local Evaluation Plan.

The Local Evaluation Plan should describe the evaluation design or model that will be used to evaluate the effectiveness of the project component(s), with the project goals and the project objectives clearly stated. Public agency applicants should include criteria for both process and outcome evaluations. Once submitted, any modifications to the Local Evaluation Plan must be approved in advance by the BSCC. More detailed instructions on the Local Evaluation plan will be made available to successful applicants.

Local Evaluation Report

Following project completion, grantees are required to complete a Local Evaluation Report. The Local Evaluation Report must be in a format prescribed by the BSCC. More detailed instructions on the Local Evaluation Plan will be made available to successful applicants. The purpose of the Final Local Evaluation Report is to determine whether the overall project (including each individual component) was effective in meeting the goals laid out in the Local Evaluation Plan. To do this, the grantee must assess and document the effectiveness of the activities that were implemented within each individual project component. These activities should have been identified in the previously submitted Local Evaluation Plan. More detailed instructions on the Local Evaluation Report will be made available to successful applicants.

Evaluation Dissemination

The BSCC will make public the Local Evaluation Plan and Local Evaluation Report from each grantee. Reports may be posted to the BSCC website and information from them may be incorporated into a Statewide Evaluation Report to be shared with the Administration, the Legislature, and the public.

Projects selected for funding are encouraged to make public (e.g., post online, disseminate, share at meetings) the Local Evaluation Report to the community and the grantee's Governing Board (e.g., Board of Supervisors, City Council, etc.).

If the grantee plans to publish the Local Evaluation Report, it must be submitted to the BSCC for review prior to publication.

General Grant Requirements

Governing Board Resolution

Successful applicants will be required to submit a Resolution from their Governing Boards before the grant award can be finalized and funds awarded. A signed resolution is not required at the time of proposal submission, but public agency applicants are advised that no financial invoices will be processed for reimbursement until the Governing Board Resolution has been received by the BSCC. A sample Governing Board Resolution can be found in **Attachment G**.

Grant Agreement

Public agency applicants approved for funding by the BSCC Board are required to enter into a Grant Agreement with the BSCC. Grantees must agree to comply with all terms and conditions of the Grant Agreement. A sample BSCC Grant Agreement can be found on the BSCC [Proposition 47 website](#).

The Grant Agreement start date is expected to be **October 1, 2024**. Grant Agreements are considered fully executed only after they are signed by both the Grantee and the BSCC, and the BSCC is in receipt of all required attachments including documentation of signing authority (i.e., Governing Board Resolution). Work, services, and encumbrances cannot begin prior to the Grant Agreement start date. Any work, services, and encumbrances that occur after the start date but prior to Grant Agreement execution may not be reimbursed. Grantees and all subgrantees are responsible for maintaining their Grant Agreement, all invoices, records, and relevant documentation for at least three (3) years after the final payment under the Grant Agreement.

Debarment, Fraud, Theft or Embezzlement

It is the policy of the BSCC to protect grant funds from unreasonable risks of fraudulent, criminal, or other improper use. As such, the Board will not enter into contracts or provide reimbursement to applicants that have been:

1. Debarred by any federal, state, or local government entities during the period of debarment; or
2. Convicted of fraud, theft, or embezzlement of federal, state, or local government grant funds for a period of three years following conviction.

Furthermore, the BSCC requires grant recipients to provide an assurance that there has been no applicable debarment, disqualification, suspension, or removal from a federal, state, or local grant program on the part of the grantee at the time of application and that the grantee will immediately notify the BSCC should such debarment or conviction occur during the term of the Grant contract.

BSCC also requires that all grant recipients include, as a condition of award to a subgrantee or subcontractor, a requirement that the subgrantee or subcontractor will provide the same assurances to the grant recipient. If a grant recipient wishes to consider a subgrantee or subcontractor that has been debarred or convicted, the grant recipient must submit a written request for exception to the BSCC along with supporting documentation.

All applicants must complete **Appendix C** certifying they are in compliance with the BSCC's policies on debarment, fraud, theft, and embezzlement.

Criteria for Non-Governmental Organizations Receiving Grant Funds

This RFP includes requirements that apply to non-governmental organizations² that receive funds under this grant. All grantees are responsible for ensuring that any contracted third parties continually meet these requirements as a condition of receiving grant funds. These requirements are described in the box below:

Eligibility Criteria for Non-Governmental Organizations Providing Services with BSCC Grant Funds

Any non-governmental organization (NGO) that receives Proposition 47 grant funds (as either a direct grantee, subgrantee, or subcontractor) must:

- Have been duly organized, in existence, and in good standing for at least six (6) months prior to the effective date of its Grant Agreement with the BSCC or with the start date of the grantee's subcontract agreement;

Note: Non-governmental entities that have recently reorganized or have merged with other qualified non-governmental entities that were in existence prior to the six (6) month date are also eligible, provided all necessary agreements have been executed and filed with the [California Secretary of State](#) prior to the start date of the Grant Agreement with the BSCC or the start date of the grantee's subcontract agreement.

- Be registered with the [California Secretary of State's Office](#), if applicable;
- Be registered with the [California Office of the Attorney General, Registry of Charitable Trusts](#), if applicable;
- Have a valid Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Taxpayer ID (if sole proprietorship);
- Have a valid business license, if applicable;
- Have no outstanding civil judgments or liens; and
- Have any other state or local licenses or certifications necessary to provide the services requested (e.g., facility licensing by the Department of Health Care Services), if applicable.

In addition to the administrative criteria listed above, any non-governmental, community-based organization service provider that receives Proposition 47 grant funds must have a proven track record working with the target population and the capacity to support data collection and evaluation efforts.

² Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) include community-based organizations, faith-based organizations, non-profit organizations/501(c)(3)s, for profit service providers, evaluators (except government institutions such as universities), grant management companies and any other non-governmental agency or individual.

NOTE: These criteria do not apply to government organizations (e.g., counties, cities, school districts, etc.).

All applicants must complete, sign, and submit the *Criteria for Non-Governmental Organizations Receiving BSCC Grant Subawards* form (see **Appendix A**), even if there are no plans to subcontract at the time of submission or if the name of the subcontracted party is unknown. A signature on this form provides an assurance to BSCC that the signing authority has read and acknowledged these terms, should the applicant choose to enter into an agreement with an NGO at a later date.

Once under contract, grantees must submit an updated *Criteria for Non-Governmental Organizations Receiving BSCC Grant Funds* form throughout the life of the grant agreement for any additional NGOs that receive funds through subcontracts after awards are made. The BSCC will not reimburse for costs incurred by NGOs that do not meet the BSCC's requirements.

Audit Requirement

Grantees are required to provide the BSCC with a program-specific compliance audit that covers the service delivery period of the grant. The audit report will be due no later than **June 30, 2028**. The program-specific compliance audit shall be performed by a Certified Public Accountant or a participating county or city auditor that is organizationally independent from the participating county or city's project financial management functions. Expenses for the final audit may be reimbursed for actual costs up to \$25,000.

In addition, BSCC reserves the right to call for a program or financial audit at any time between the execution of the grant agreement and three (3) years following the end of the grant period. The Department of General Services, State Controller³, the California State Auditor, the Department of Finance, or their designated representative shall have the right to review and to copy any records and supporting documentation pertaining to the performance of this grant.

Grantee Orientation

Following the start of the grant period, BSCC staff will conduct a mandatory Virtual Grantee Orientation (date to be determined). The purpose of this mandatory session is to review the program requirements, invoicing, and budget modification processes, data collection and reporting requirements, as well as other grant management and monitoring activities. Typically, the Project Director, Financial Officer, and Day-to-Day Contact must attend. Grantees are also strongly encouraged to include the individual tasked with Data Collection and Evaluation and a minimum of one community partner.

Invoicing for Grant Expenditures

Disbursement of grant funds occurs on a reimbursement basis for costs incurred during a reporting period. The State Controller's Office (SCO) will issue the warrant (check) to the individual designated as the Financial Officer for the grant. Grantees will submit invoices to the BSCC on quarterly basis through an online process no later than 45 days following the end of the invoicing period (**grantees wishing to invoice on a monthly basis must request an exception prior to entering into Grant Agreement**).

³ The State Controller has independent authority to audit Proposition 47 grant programs administered by the BSCC. (Gov. Code, § 7599.2, subd. (c).)

Grantees must maintain adequate supporting documentation for all costs claimed on invoices. BSCC staff will conduct a desk review process which requires grantees to submit electronic documentation to support all grant funds claimed during the invoicing period. In addition, BSCC staff will conduct on-site monitoring visits that will include a review of documentation maintained as substantiation for project expenditures with grant funds.

Additional information about invoicing can be found in the BSCC Grant Administration Guide located on the BSCC [website](#).

Travel

Travel is usually warranted when personal contact by project staff is the most appropriate method of conducting project-related business. Travel to and from training conferences may also be allowed. The most economical method of transportation, in terms of direct expenses to the project and the employee's time away from the project, must be used. Projects are required to include sufficient per diem and travel allocations for project-related personnel, as outlined in the Grant Award, to attend any mandated BSCC training conferences or workshops outlined in the terms of the program.

- **Units of Government**

Units of government may follow either their own written travel and per diem policy or the State's policy. Units of government that plan to use cars from a state, county, city, district carpool, or garage may budget either the mileage rate established by the carpool or garage, or the state mileage rate, not to exceed the leasing agency rate.

- **Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs)**

An NGO receiving BSCC funds must use the State travel and per diem policy, unless the Grantee's written travel policy is more restrictive than the State's, in which case it must be used. Reimbursement is allowed for the cost of commercial carrier fares, parking, bridge, and road tolls, as well as necessary taxi, bus, and streetcar fares.

- **Out-of-State Travel**

Out-of-state travel is restricted and only allowed in exceptional situations. Grantees must receive written BSCC approval prior to incurring expenses for out-of-state travel. Even if previously authorized in the Grant Award, Grantees must submit to the BSCC a separate formal request (on Grantee letterhead) for approval. Out-of-state travel requests must include a detailed justification and budget information.

Compliance Monitoring Visits

The BSCC staff will monitor each project to assess whether the project is in compliance with grant requirements and making progress toward grant objectives. As needed, monitoring visits may also occur to provide technical assistance on fiscal, programmatic, evaluative, and administrative requirements. For your reference, a sample Comprehensive Monitoring Visit checklist can be found on the Corrections Planning and Grant Programs [website](#).

Overview of the RFP Process

Confirmation of Receipt of Proposal

Upon submission of a proposal in the BSCC Submittable Application Portal, applicants will receive a confirmation email from the BSCC stating that the proposal has been received.

Disqualification

Disqualification” means the proposal will not move forward to the Scoring Panel for the Proposal Rating Process and, therefore, will NOT be considered for funding under this grant.

■■■■ STOP ■■■■ PLEASE READ CAREFULLY!

The following will result in an automatic disqualification:

- Proposal submission is not received by **5:00 P.M. (PST) Monday, June 10, 2024.** *(Allow sufficient time to upload all required documents in the BSCC Submittable Application portal. Do not wait until the last minute!)*
- Proposal is not submitted via the BSCC Submittable portal. **Email submissions will not be accepted.**
- Applicant is not a public agency as defined in Penal Code section 6046.1, subdivision (c).
- Proposal does not address one or more of the program areas required by Proposition 47: mental health services, substance use disorder treatment, and/or diversion.
- Budget Attachment (Excel attachment) is not submitted through the BSCC Submittable Portal.
- Budget Attachment does not clearly show the mandatory 50 percent pass-through to one or more community-based organizations.
- Funding request exceeds allowable amount in the Large Scope funding category.
- Applicant is proposing to provide services in a custodial setting (with the exception of in-reach and reentry planning).
- Attachments are illegible.
- Attachments will not open or the files are corrupted.

Proposal Rating Process

Unless disqualified, proposals will advance to the Scoring Panel for the Proposal Rating Process. Proposals will be evaluated in accordance with the BSCC's [Grant Proposal Evaluation Process](#) and as described below. The Scoring Panel will read and assign ratings to each proposal in accordance with the prescribed rating factors listed in the table below. Scoring Panel members will base their points on how well an applicant addresses the criteria listed under each rating factor within the Proposal Narrative and Budget Sections. Scoring Panel ratings, once submitted to the BSCC, will be final.

At the conclusion of this process, applicants will be notified of the Scoring Panel's funding recommendations. It is anticipated that the Board will act on the recommendations at its meeting in September 2024. Applicants and partners are not to contact members of the Scoring Panel or the BSCC Board to discuss proposals.

Rating Factors

The Rating Factors that will be used and the maximum points allocated to each factor are shown in the table below. Applicants are asked to address each of these factors as part of their proposal. The Proposition 47 ESC assigned a percent value to each Rating Factor, correlating to its importance within the overall project (see Percent of Total Value column).

	Rating Factors	Point Range	Percent of Total Value	Weighted Rating Factor Score
1	Project Need	0 - 5	25%	50
2	Community Engagement	0 - 5	15%	30
3	Project Description	0 - 5	30%	60
4	Data Collection and Evaluation	0 - 5	15%	30
5	Project Budget	0 - 5	15%	30
Total Score (before Preference Points):			100%	200
Preference Points: Applicants are required to dedicate a minimum of 50 percent of the grant funds requested to subcontracts with non-governmental, community-based organizations. Additional points will be added to the final score if an applicant dedicates 60 percent or more, as follows: <div>60-69 percent of grant funds = 2 additional points 70+ percent of grant funds = 4 additional points</div>				
Maximum Possible Score with Preference Points:				204

Scoring Panel members will rate an applicant's response to each Rating Factor on a scale from 0 to 5, according to the Six-Point Rating Scale shown below. For each Rating Factor, the rating point received is then weighted according to the "Percent of Total Value" column associated with the Rating Factor to arrive at the final Weighted Rating Factor Score. The Weighted Rating Factor Scores are then added together for a Total Score. Preference points are added to the Total Score, as applicable. The Maximum Possible Proposal Score is **204**.

Preference Points

An applicant may earn up to four additional points based on the amount of grant funds that are dedicated to non-governmental, community-based organizations. Specifically, applicants are required to dedicate a minimum of 50 percent of their grant funds to subcontracts with non-governmental, community-based organizations. An additional two points will be added to the final score if an applicant dedicates 60 to 69 percent of grant funds to a non-governmental, community-based organization, and an additional four points if the applicant dedicates 70 percent or more.

Six Point Rating Scale

Not Responsive 0	Poor 1	Fair 2	Satisfactory 3	Good 4	Excellent 5
The response fails to address the criteria.	The response addresses the criteria in a very inadequate way.	The response addresses the criteria in a non-specific or unsatisfactory way.	The response addresses the criteria in an adequate way.	The response addresses the criteria in a substantial way.	The response addresses the criteria in an outstanding way.

Minimum Scoring Threshold

To be considered for funding, a proposal must meet a threshold of **65 percent (65%)**, or a minimum Proposal Score of **130** total points.

Funding Decisions

Applicants will compete for funds within either the Small or Large Scope category. Once the proposals have been scored and ranked, BSCC will move down the ranked lists to fund all qualified applicants (i.e., proposals that meet the scoring threshold requirements) in each category until all funds in that category are exhausted. Applicants that fall at the cut-off point may be offered a partial award if there are not sufficient remaining funds to make a full award.

If there are not sufficient qualified applicants to exhaust all funds in one category, those funds will be recommended for qualified applicants in the other category. Any funds remaining after all possible qualified applicants have been funded will be held for the next Proposition 47 Request for Proposal.

Summary of Key Dates

The following table shows a timeline of key dates related to the Proposition 47 Cohort 4 Grant Program.

Activity	Tentative Timeline
Release Request for Proposals	April 12, 2024
Optional “Letter of Intent to Apply” Due	May 10, 2024
Rater Panel Recruitment and Formation	April to June 2024
Grant Information Session for Prospective Applicants	April 30, 2024
Proposals Due to the BSCC	June 10, 2024
Proposal Rating Process and Development of Funding Recommendations	June to August 2024
BSCC Board Considers Funding Recommendation	September 12, 2024
Grant Period Begins	October 1, 2024
Mandatory New Grantee Orientation	TBD, October or November 2024
Grant Service Period Ends	March 31, 2028
Final Evaluation Report and Audit Report Due and Grant End Date	June 30, 2028

PART II: PROPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS

The following section contains pertinent information on how to complete the Proposition 47 Grant Proposal Package. The proposal and all required attachments are provided on the BSCC [Proposition 47 website](#).

Proposal Narrative and Budget Instructions

The five rating factors will be addressed in two separate parts, the Proposal Narrative and the Proposal Budget Section, as shown here:

Section	Rating Factors	Percent of Total Value	Addressed in:
1	Project Need	25%	Proposal Narrative
2	Community Engagement	15%	
3	Project Description	30%	
4	Data Collection and Evaluation	15%	
5	Project Budget	15%	Budget Attachment (Excel Attachment)

Proposal Narrative Instructions

Applicants will complete the Proposal Narrative by accessing the BSCC Submittable Application Portal (see Submittable Instructions on page 2) and responding to a series of prompts. The Proposal Narrative section must address Rating Factors 1-4, as listed in the table above. Within each section, address the Rating Criteria (found on the following pages) in a cohesive, comprehensive narrative format.

Within the Proposal Narrative, each Rating Factor has a character limit as shown below:

Rating Factor		Total Characters	Microsoft Word Equivalent*
1	Project Need	8,948	Up to four (4) pages
2	Community Engagement	6,711	Up to three (3) pages
3	Project Description	11,185	Up to five (5) pages
4	Data Collection and Evaluation	6,711	Up to three (3) pages

**Assumes text is in a Microsoft Word document in Arial 12-point font with one-inch margins on all four sides and at 1.5-line spacing.*

Character Counter

The BSCC Submittable Application portal includes an automatically enabled character counter. This feature shows the number of characters used and the remaining number of characters before the limit is met. If the limit is exceeded, a red prompt will appear with the message "You have exceeded the character limit." The Submittable Application portal will not allow applicants to submit the Proposition 47 Cohort 4 Proposal Narrative until they comply with all character limit requirements.

Bibliography

Applicants may, but are not required to, include a bibliography containing citations, using either the Modern Language Association (MLA) or American Psychological Association (APA) style in the "OPTIONAL Bibliography" field on the BSCC Submittable Application page. The bibliography may not exceed **2,218 total characters** (includes punctuation, numbers, spaces, and any text). In Microsoft Word, this is approximately one (1) page in Arial 12-point font with one-inch margins on all four sides and at 1.5-line spacing.

Required Attachments

In addition to the Proposal Narrative, the following attachments, located on the BSCC [Proposition 47 website](#), must be completed and uploaded in the identified fields in the BSCC Submittable portal at the time of submission (unless noted as "if applicable" below):

- Budget Attachment (Project Budget Table and Budget Narrative)
- Proposition 47 Cohort 4 Work Plan (**Attachment H**)
- Criteria for Non-Governmental Organizations Receiving BSCC Grant Subawards (**Appendix B**)
- Certification of Compliance with BSCC Policies on Debarment, Fraud, Theft, and Embezzlement (**Appendix C**)
- Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee Membership Roster (**Attachment E**)
- Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee Letter of Agreement (**Attachment F**)
- Governing Board Resolution – Sample (*not required at time of submission; however, must be submitted if awarded grant funds*) (**Attachment G**)

Note: Letters of general support (i.e., from elected officials, community members, etc.) from individuals not actually working on the grant project will not be accepted. If these are uploaded to Submittable, they will be discarded.

Proposal Narrative Rating Factors

Section 1: Project Need (Percent Value – 25%)

Within this section, address the criteria that defines the Project Need Rating Factor (see table below) in a cohesive, comprehensive, and concise narrative format.

Project Need: The applicant described a community need that is pertinent to the intent of the grant program. The elements that comprise the Rating Factor are listed below. Addressing each element does not in itself merit a high rating; rather, although each element is to be addressed (when applicable), it is the quality of the response to each that will be evaluated. The response will be evaluated with a single rating based on a scale of 0-5.	
1.1	Identify and describe the need to be addressed by the Proposition 47 program. Include: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Quantitative and qualitative data to support the need,• Gaps in services that contribute to the need, and• Citations of data sources.
1.2	Identify and describe the target population. The description should: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Demonstrate that the target population is measurable,• How it correlates to the need,• Include quantitative and qualitative data to support the description, and• Include citations of data sources.
1.3	Describe the steps that will be taken to address the needs of underserved populations in the community, including disparities based on race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, immigration status, etc.
1.4	Describe how the need(s) and target population align with the intent of Proposition 47 (see Attachment A).

Section 2: Community Engagement (Percent Value – 15%)

Within this section address the criteria that defines the Community Engagement Rating Factor (see table below) in a cohesive, comprehensive, and concise narrative format.

Community Engagement: The applicant provided a description of the community engagement process that is related to the need(s) and intent of the grant. The elements that comprise the Rating Factor are listed below. Addressing each element does not in itself merit a high rating; rather, although each element is to be addressed, it is the quality of the response to each that will be evaluated. The response will be evaluated with a single rating based on a scale of 0-5.	
2.1	<p>The Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee Membership Roster (Attachment E), as is the Letter of Agreement (Attachment F) signed by all members. The make-up of the committee should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Include a diverse group of stakeholders and other interested parties, and• Reflect the make-up and culture of the community and identified need.
2.2	<p>Describe the engagement process used to solicit membership to the Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee. The description should address:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• How input was obtained from a cross-section of stakeholders and other interested parties,• How and why certain community partners were selected, and• Steps taken to ensure the process was fair, inclusive, comprehensive, and transparent.
2.3	<p>Describe the process of ensuring the Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee meetings are sufficiently noticed, accessible to the public and include opportunities for participation.</p>

Section 3: Project Description (Percent Value – 30%)

Within this section address the criteria that defines the Project Description Rating Factor (see table below) in a cohesive, comprehensive, and concise narrative format.

Project Description: The applicant provided a description of the project that is related to the need(s) and intent of the grant. The elements that comprise the Rating factor are listed below. Addressing each element does not in itself merit a high rating; rather, although each element is to be addressed, it is the quality of the response to each that will be evaluated. The response will be evaluated with a single rating based on a scale of 0-5.	
3.1	<p>Describe the proposed program goals, objectives and impacts that includes the relationship to the need and intent of the Prop 47 program. In addition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Complete the Project Work Plan (Attachment H), identifying the top three goals and objectives of the project and how these will be achieved in terms of the activities, responsible staff/partner agencies, outcome measures, data sources and start and end dates.
3.2	<p>Describe how the target population will be identified according to the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Referral process,• Risk/needs assessments, and• Having a mental health or substance use disorder need.
3.3	<p>Describe the types of service, sources of service, and method of delivery that will be made available to the target population, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The plan for selecting the types and kinds of services to be provided to each participant (e.g., risk and needs assessments).• The projected number of the target population to be served and a plan for ensuring that individuals who have been most impacted by Proposition 47, with an emphasis on racial and ethnic disparities, receive the proposed services.• How the services will be delivered, including length and duration.• How the design and implementation plan of the project demonstrates value in community partnerships and collaboration.• The roles, responsibilities and activities of the case managers, system navigators or other staff delivering services.
3.4	<p>Describe how the service delivery approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Is culturally competent and responsive, trauma-informed, gender responsive, and provides for accessibility,• Advances principles of Restorative Justice, and• Acknowledges and addresses known barriers to serving target populations.

Section 3: Project Description (continued)

3.5	<p>Describe the process used to determine who will provide services, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• How providers address the needs and interests of the target population• How the providers' administration (staff, leadership, etc.) involves people with lived experience, have been system impacted, or have varying educational levels and life experiences.
3.6	<p>Describe the plan to minimize start-up time so that services can be delivered as soon as possible.</p>
3.7	<p>Describe how the project meets the spirit and intent behind the statute and the Proposition 47 Guiding Principles.</p>
3.8	<p>If your agency plans to leverage outside funds, include a brief description of which "other federal, state, and local funds or other social investments" will be leveraged and how they will contribute toward the success of the proposed project. If you do not plan to leverage outside funds, explain why.</p>

Section 4: Project Evaluation and Monitoring (Percent Value – 15%)

Within this section, address the criteria that define the Project Evaluation and Monitoring Rating Factor (see table below) in a cohesive, comprehensive, and concise narrative format.

Project Evaluation and Monitoring: The applicant described how it will monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed project. The elements that comprise the Rating Factor are listed below. Addressing each element does not in itself merit a high rating; rather, although each element is to be addressed, it is the quality of the response to each that will be evaluated. The response will be evaluated with a single rating based on a scale of 0-5.	
4.1	Describe the plan to determine the staff and/or entity that will conduct the project evaluation and how monitoring activities to ensure that interventions are implemented as intended will be incorporated in the various phases of the project; for example, start-up, implementation, service delivery period, etc.
4.2	Identify the process and outcome measures that are quantifiable and in line with the intent of Proposition 47, the proposed project, and the goals and objectives listed in the Work Plan. Recidivism, as defined by the BSCC, must be included as an outcome measure.
4.3	Describe the preliminary research plan for how the applicant will collect and evaluate baseline and outcome data related to the process and outcome indicators identified in 4.2. Describe a plan for entering into data sharing agreements, including agreements to obtain recidivism data.

Proposal Budget Instructions

As part of the application process, applicants are required to complete and upload a Proposal Budget with Budget Narrative (“Proposition 47 Budget Attachment”) in the identified field on the BSCC Submittable Application portal. The Proposition 47 Budget Attachment (an Excel workbook) is provided on the BSCC [Proposition 47 website](#).

- *Detailed instructions for completing the Budget Attachment are listed in the **Instructions tab** of the Excel workbook.*
- *Remember: Grantees are required to budget a minimum of 5 percent (or \$50,000, whichever is greater), but not more than 10 percent, of the total grant funds requested in the Data Collection and Evaluation budget category.*

Applicants are solely responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the information entered in the Budget Attachment. All project costs must be directly related to the objectives and activities in the project. **Do not submit an annual budget; the grant funds requested in the Budget Attachment must cover the entire grant period.**

Generally, once an award is approved by the Board, the proposed budget becomes the approved grant budget and will be incorporated in the Standard Grant Agreement. However, applicants should be aware that budgets *will be subject to review and approval by the BSCC staff to ensure all proposed costs listed within the Proposal Budget are allowable and eligible for reimbursement*. In these situations, the revised grant budget will be used for the Grant Agreement. For additional guidance related to grant budgets, refer to the July 2023 [BSCC Grant Administration Guide](#).

Proposal Budget Rating Factor

The following items are rated as part of this section and must be addressed by the applicant in the Budget Attachment.

Section 5: Budget Attachment (Percent Value – 15%)

Proposal Budget: The applicant provided a complete Budget Attachment (Budget Table with Budget Narrative) for the proposed project. The elements against which the Budget Attachment will be rated are listed below. Addressing each element does not itself merit a high rating; rather, although each element is to be addressed, it is the quality of the response to each that will be evaluated. The response will be evaluated with a single rating based on a scale of 0-5.	
5.1	Provide complete and detailed budget information in each section of the Proposition 47 Budget Attachment that includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• A brief explanation supporting each expense.• Expenses that are appropriate for the project’s goals and planned activities.
5.2	In the “Leveraged Funds” column, show outside funds if any, including “other federal, state, and local funds or other social investments” that you plan to include as a part of the proposed grant project.

PART III: ATTACHMENTS and APPENDICES

This section includes the following Attachments and Appendices:

- **Attachment A:** Proposition 47 Ballot Measure (*reference only*)
- **Attachment B:** Assembly Bill 1056 (2015) (*reference only*)
- **Attachment C:** Proposition 47 Cohort 3 Grantees (*reference only*)
- **Attachment D:** Glossary of Key Terms (*reference only*)
- **Attachment E:** Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee Membership Roster **(REQUIRED)**
- **Attachment F:** Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee Letter of Agreement, signed by all members **(REQUIRED)**
- **Attachment G:** Sample Governing Board Resolution (*must be submitted once grant funds are awarded*)
- **Attachment H:** Proposition 47 Project Work Plan **(REQUIRED)**
- **Appendix A:** Proposition 47 Executive Steering Committee Roster (*reference only*)
- **Appendix B:** Criteria for Non-Governmental Organizations Receiving BSCC Grant Subawards **(REQUIRED)**
- **Appendix C:** Certification of Compliance with BSCC Policies Regarding Debarment, Fraud, Theft, and Embezzlement **(REQUIRED)**

Attachment A: Proposition 47 Ballot Measure

Proposition 47 – In Pertinent Part

THE SAFE NEIGHBORHOODS AND SCHOOLS ACT

SEC. 4. Chapter 33 (commencing with Section 7599) is added to Division 7 of Title 1 of the Government Code, to read:

Chapter 33. Creation of Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Fund

7599. (a) A fund to be known as the "Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Fund" is hereby created within the State Treasury and, notwithstanding Section 13340 of the Government Code, is continuously appropriated without regard to fiscal year for carrying out the purposes of this chapter. (b) For purposes of the calculations required by Section 8 of Article XVI of the California Constitution, funds transferred to the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Fund shall be considered General Fund revenues which may be appropriated pursuant to Article XIII B.

7599.1. Funding Appropriation.

(a) On or before July 31, 2016, and on or before July 31 of each fiscal year thereafter, the Director of Finance shall calculate the savings that accrued to the state from the implementation of the act adding this chapter ("this act") during the fiscal year ending June 30, as compared to the fiscal year preceding the enactment of this act. In making the calculation required by this subdivision, the Director of Finance shall use actual data or best available estimates where actual data is not available. The calculation shall be final and shall not be adjusted for any subsequent changes in the underlying data. The Director of Finance shall certify the results of the calculation to the Controller no later than August 1 of each fiscal year.

(b) Before August 15, 2016, and before August 15 of each fiscal year thereafter, the Controller shall transfer from the General Fund to the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Fund the total amount calculated pursuant to subdivision (a).

c) Moneys in the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Fund shall be continuously appropriated for the purposes of this act. Funds transferred to the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Fund shall be used exclusively for the purposes of this act and shall not be subject to appropriation or transfer by the Legislature for any other purpose. The funds in the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Fund may be used without regard to fiscal year.

7599.2. Distribution of Moneys from the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Fund.

(a) By August 15 of each fiscal year beginning in 2016, the Controller shall disburse moneys deposited in the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Fund as follows:

(1) Twenty-five percent to the State Department of Education, to administer a grant program to public agencies aimed at improving outcomes for public school pupils in kindergarten and grades 1 to 12, inclusive, by reducing truancy and supporting students who are at risk of dropping out of school or are victims of crime.

(2) Ten percent to the California Victim Compensation and Government Claims Board, to make grants to trauma recovery centers to provide services to victims of crime pursuant to Section 13963.1 of the Government Code.

(3) Sixty-five percent to the Board of State and Community Corrections, to administer a grant program to public agencies aimed at supporting mental health treatment, substance abuse treatment, and diversion programs for people in the criminal justice system, with an emphasis on programs that reduce recidivism of people convicted of less serious crimes, such as those covered by this measure, and those who have substance abuse and mental health problems.

(b) For each program set forth in paragraphs (1) to (3), inclusive, of subdivision (a), the agency responsible for administering the programs shall not spend more than 5 percent of the total

funds it receives from the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Fund on an annual basis for administrative costs.

(c) Every two years, the Controller shall conduct an audit of the grant programs operated by the agencies specified in paragraphs (1) to (3), inclusive, of subdivision (a) to ensure the funds are disbursed and expended solely according to this chapter and shall report his or her findings to the Legislature and the public.

(d) Any costs incurred by the Controller and the Director of Finance in connection with the administration of the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Fund, including the costs of the calculation required by Section 7599.1 and the audit required by subdivision (c), as determined by the Director of Finance, shall be deducted from the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Fund before the funds are disbursed pursuant to subdivision (a).

(e) The funding established pursuant to this act shall be used to expand programs for public school pupils in kindergarten and grades 1 to 12, inclusive, victims of crime, and mental health and substance abuse treatment and diversion programs for people in the criminal justice system. These funds shall not be used to supplant existing state or local funds utilized for these purposes.

(f) Local agencies shall not be obligated to provide programs or levels of service described in this chapter above the level for which funding has been provided.

Attachment B: Assembly Bill 1056 (2015)

[Approved by Governor October 02, 2015. Filed with Secretary of State October 02, 2015.]

AB 1056, Atkins. Second Chance Program.

(1) Existing law, until January 1, 2020, establishes the Social Innovation Financing Program, and requires the Board of State and Community Corrections to administer the program. Existing law, among other things, authorizes the board, upon appropriation of funds by the Legislature for deposit into the Recidivism Reduction Fund, to award grants in amounts of not less than \$500,000 and not more than \$2,000,000 to each of 3 counties, selected as specified, for the purpose of entering into a pay for success or social innovation financing contract, pursuant to which private investors agree to provide financing to service providers to achieve social outcomes agreed upon in advance and the government agency that is a party to the contractual agreement agrees to pay a return on the investment to the investors if successful programmatic outcomes are achieved by the service provider. Existing law limits the total amount of the grants awarded to \$5,000,000. Existing law requires each county receiving an award to report annually to the Governor and Legislature on the status of its program. Existing law requires the board to compile the county reports and submit a summary report to the Governor and the Legislature annually.

This bill would extend the operation of that program and the reporting requirements until January 1, 2022.

This bill would also require the board to administer a competitive grant program that focuses on community-based solutions for reducing recidivism. The bill would establish minimum criteria for the grant program and would require the board to establish an executive steering committee, as specified, to make recommendations regarding the design, efficacy, and viability of proposals and to make recommendations on guidelines for the submission of proposals for the grant program, including threshold or scoring criteria, or both. Among other things, the bill would require those guidelines to prioritize proposals that advance principles of restorative justice while demonstrating a capacity to reduce recidivism, and that leverage certain other federal, state, and local funds or social investments. The bill would define recidivism, for the purposes of these provisions, as a conviction of a new felony or misdemeanor committed within 3 years of release from custody or committed within 3 years of placement on supervision for a previous criminal conviction.

(2) The Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act establishes within the State Treasury the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Fund to receive moneys transferred from the General Fund in an amount equal to the savings resulting from the implementation of the act, as specified. The act requires that 65% of the moneys in the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Fund be allocated the Board of State and Community Corrections to administer a grant program to public agencies aimed at supporting specified types of programs, including diversion programs, for people in the criminal justice system with an emphasis on programs that reduce recidivism, as specified.

This bill would create the Second Chance Fund in the State Treasury for the purpose of funding the above-described recidivism reduction program. The bill would require the Controller, upon order of the Director of Finance, to transfer the moneys available to the Board of State and Community Corrections from the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Fund into the Second Chance Fund. The bill would also authorize the Second Chance Fund to receive moneys from any other federal, state, or local grant, or from any private donation. The bill would prohibit the board from using the moneys in the fund to supplant existing programs and from spending more than 5% per year of the total moneys in the fund for administrative purposes.

The bill would require the board to administer these provisions, and moneys in the fund would be continuously appropriated to the board for expenditure for these purposes. By creating a continuously appropriated fund, this bill would make an appropriation.

(3) The Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act provides that its provisions may be amended by a statute, passed by a $\frac{2}{3}$ vote of each house of the Legislature and signed by the Governor, that is consistent with and furthers the intent of the act.

This bill would declare that its provisions further the intent of the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act.

BILL TEXT

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1.

The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:

(a) California voters approved Proposition 47, known as the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act of 2014. The measure was enacted to ensure that prison spending is focused on violent and serious offenses, to maximize alternatives for nonviolent and nonserious crime, and to invest the resulting savings into prevention and support programs.

(b) Research has shown that people in the criminal justice system disproportionately suffer from mental health issues and substance use disorders. Nationally, over one-half of all people in prisons or jails have experienced a mental health issue within the last year, and over one-half of women and 44 percent of men in jail have a drug or alcohol dependency.

(c) People in the criminal justice system and formerly incarcerated individuals have difficulty securing housing and employment following their incarceration. These challenges are compounded for people living with mental health issues or substance use disorders. As a result, many formerly incarcerated people, especially those with mental health issues or substance abuse disorders experience homelessness. Experiencing homelessness greatly increases the likelihood that a formerly incarcerated person will recidivate.

(d) Offering people in the criminal justice system and formerly incarcerated individuals meaningful access to mental health services, substance use treatment services, housing, housing-related job assistance, job skills training, and other community-based supportive services has been shown to decrease the likelihood of future contact with law enforcement and the criminal justice system.

(e) Prioritizing the state savings realized by the implementation of the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act of 2014 for projects that combine mental health services, substance use treatment services, housing, housing-related job assistance, job skills training, and other community-based supportive services will help the state meaningfully reduce recidivism.

(f) By prioritizing projects that offer comprehensive interventions, the Legislature intends for public agencies, nonprofits, and other community-based providers of services to people in the criminal justice system and formerly incarcerated individuals to leverage additional federal, state, and local funds for social investment resources.

(g) The Legislature intends to promote the use of restorative justice principles in addressing recidivism.

SEC. 2.

Section 97013 of the Government Code is amended to read:

97013.

(a) Each county receiving an award shall report annually to the board on the status of its ongoing social innovation financing program. The report shall also contain an accounting of the moneys awarded.

(b) The board shall compile the county reports and submit a summary report to the Governor and Legislature annually.

(c) A report made pursuant to this section shall be made in accordance with the requirements of Section 9795.

(d) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2022, and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that is enacted before January 1, 2022, deletes or extends that date.

SEC. 3.

Section 97015 of the Government Code is amended to read:

97015.

This title shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2022, and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that is enacted before January 1, 2022, deletes or extends that date.

SEC. 4.

Article 5 (commencing with Section 6046) is added to Chapter 5 of Title 7 of Part 3 of the Penal Code, to read:

Article 5. Second Chance Program

6046.

(a) The purpose of this article is to build safer communities by investing in community-based programs, services, and initiatives for formerly incarcerated individuals in need of mental health and substance use treatment services.

(b) The program established pursuant to this article shall be restricted to supporting mental health treatment, substance use treatment, and diversion programs for persons in the criminal justice system, with an emphasis on programs that reduce recidivism of persons convicted of less serious crimes, such as those covered by the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act of 2014, and those who have substance use and mental health problems.

(c) The Board of State and Community Corrections shall administer a grant program established pursuant to this article.

6046.1.

For the purposes of this article, the following definitions shall apply:

(a) "Board" means the Board of State and Community Corrections.

(b) "Fund" means the Second Chance Fund established pursuant to Section

6046.2.

(c) "Public agency" means a county, city, whether a general law city or a chartered city, or city and county, the duly constituted governing body of an Indian reservation or rancheria, a school district, municipal corporation, district, political subdivision, or any board, commission, or agency thereof, entities that are legislative bodies of a local agency pursuant to subdivision (c) or (d) of Section 54952 of the Government Code, a housing authority organized pursuant to Part 2 (commencing with Section 34200) of Division 24 of the Health and Safety Code, a state agency, public district, or other political subdivision of the state, or any instrumentality thereof, which is authorized to engage in or assist in the development or operation of housing for persons and families of low or moderate income.

(d) "Recidivism" means a conviction of a new felony or misdemeanor committed within three years of release from custody or committed within three years of placement on supervision for a previous criminal conviction.

6046.2.

(a) The Second Chance Fund is hereby created in the State Treasury. The board shall be responsible for administering the fund. Moneys in the fund are hereby continuously appropriated without regard to fiscal year for the purposes of this article.

(b) (1) The Controller, upon order of the Director of Finance, shall transfer moneys available to the Board of State and Community Corrections pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) of Section 7599.2 of the Government Code into the Second Chance Fund.

(2) The Second Chance Fund may receive moneys from any other federal, state, or local grant, or from any private donation or grant, for the purposes of this article.

(c) The board shall not spend more than 5 percent annually of the moneys in the fund for administrative costs.

6046.3.

(a) The board shall administer a competitive grant program to carry out the purposes of this article that focuses on community-based solutions for reducing recidivism. The grant program shall, at minimum, do all of the following:

(1) Restrict eligibility to proposals designed to serve people who have been arrested, charged with, or convicted of a criminal offense and have a history of mental health or substance use disorders.

(2) Restrict eligibility to proposals that offer mental health services, substance use disorder treatment services, misdemeanor diversion programs, or some combination thereof.

(3) Restrict eligibility to proposals that have a public agency as the lead applicant.

(b) The board shall form an executive steering committee that includes, but is not limited to, a balanced and diverse membership from relevant state and local government entities, community-based treatment and service providers, and the formerly incarcerated community. The committee shall have expertise in homelessness and housing, behavioral health and substance abuse treatment, and effective rehabilitative treatment for adults and juveniles. The committee shall make recommendations regarding the design, efficacy, and viability of proposals, and make recommendations on guidelines for the submission of proposals, including threshold or scoring criteria, or both, that do all of the following:

(1) Prioritize proposals that advance principles of restorative justice while demonstrating a capacity to reduce recidivism.

(2) Prioritize proposals that leverage other federal, state, and local funds or other social investments, such as the following sources of funding:

(A) The Drug Medi-Cal Treatment Program (22 Cal. Code Regs. 51341.1, 51490.1, and 51516.1).

(B) The Mental Health Services Act, enacted by Proposition 63 at the November 2, 2004, general election, as amended.

(C) Funds provided for in connection with the implementation of Chapter 15 of the Statutes of 2011.

(D) The Community Corrections Performance Incentives Act (Stats. 2009, Ch. 608; Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 1228) of Title 8 of Part 2).

(E) The tax credits established pursuant to Sections 12209, 17053.57, and 23657 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.

(F) The federal Department of Housing and Urban Development funds, such as the Emergency Solutions Grant program (42 U.S.C. Sec. 11371 et seq.).

(G) The federal Department of Veterans Affairs Supportive Services for Veteran Families program (38 U.S.C. Sec. 2044).

(H) Social Innovation Funds established by the Corporation for National and Community Service pursuant to Section 12653k of Title 42 of the United States Code.

(I) The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program (42 U.S.C. Sec. 3750 et seq.).

(3) Prioritize proposals that provide for all of the following:

(A) Mental health services, substance use disorder treatment services, misdemeanor diversion programs, or some combination thereof.

(B) Housing-related assistance that utilizes evidence-based models, including, but not limited to, those recommended by the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development. Housing-related assistance may include, but is not limited to, the following:

(i) Financial assistance, including security deposits, utility payments, moving-cost assistance, and up to 24 months of rental assistance.

(ii) Housing stabilization assistance, including case management, relocation assistance, outreach and engagement, landlord recruitment, housing navigation and placement, and credit repair.

(C) Other community-based supportive services, such as job skills training, case management, and civil legal services.

(4) Prioritize proposals that leverage existing contracts, partnerships, memoranda of understanding, or other formal relationships to provide one or more of the services prioritized in paragraph (3).

(5) Prioritize proposals put forth by a public agency in partnership with a philanthropic or nonprofit organization.

(6) Prioritize proposals that promote interagency and regional collaborations.

(7) Consider ways to promote services for people with offenses identical or similar to those addressed by the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act of 2014, without precluding assistance to a person with other offenses in his or her criminal history.

(8) Consider geographic diversity.

(9) Consider appropriate limits for administrative costs and overhead.

(10) Consider proposals that provide services to juveniles.

(11) Permit proposals to expand the capacity of an existing program and prohibit proposals from using the fund to supplant funding for an existing program.

SEC. 5.

The Legislature finds and declares that this act furthers the intent of the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act enacted by Proposition 47 at the November 4, 2014, general election.

Attachment C: Proposition 47 Cohort 3 Grantees

The following Public Agencies are current Proposition 47 Cohort 3 Grantees and are INELIGIBLE to apply for Proposition 47 Cohort 4 Grant Funds:

Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
Contra Costa County Office of the Public Defender
Corona-Norco Unified School District
Kern County Behavioral Health & Recovery Services
Los Angeles, City of, City Attorney's Office
Los Angeles, City of, Mayor's Office of Economic Opportunity
Los Angeles County – All County Public Agencies*
Marin County Department of Health and Human Services
Merced County Probation Department
Monterey County Health Department
Pasadena, City of, Public Health Department
Placer County Health & Human Services
San Diego County Chief Administrative Office
San Francisco Department of Public Health
Santa Barbara County Department of Behavioral Wellness
Santa Clara County Behavioral Health Services Department
Santa Cruz County Probation Department
Siskiyou County Health and Human Services Agency
Solano County Department of Health & Social Services
Sonoma County Health Department
Tehama County Department of Education
Vallejo City Police Department
Yolo County District Attorney
Yolo County Health & Human Services Agency

**While LA County public agencies are ineligible, city public agencies and non-county public agencies within Los Angeles County are eligible. Please contact the BSCC with questions.*

Attachment D: Glossary of Key Terms

Behavioral Health Services

The promotion of mental health, resilience, and wellbeing; the treatment of mental and substance use disorders; and the support of those who experience and/or are in recovery from these conditions, along with their families and communities.

Case Management

A collaborative process which assesses, plans, implements, coordinates, monitors, and evaluates the options and services required to meet an individual's health needs, using communications and available resources to promote quality, cost effective outcomes⁴.

Community Defined Practices

A set of practices that communities have used and determined by community consensus over time and which may or may not have been measured empirically but have reached a level of acceptance by the community (Martinez, 2008). CDE practices are bottom-up/ground-up practices that come from the community and the organizations or providers who serve them. Unlike most EBPs, CDE practices are developed specifically to address the unmet needs and strengths of a cultural group; they are rooted in the community's worldview and its historical and social contexts (Community Defined Evidence Project [CDEP] Preliminary Quantitative and Qualitative Findings, 2009). CDEPs often incorporate cultural activities, cultural education, and exploration of strengths and skill development, rather than focusing solely or primarily on symptoms and health challenges (Swart, Friesen, Holman, & Aue, 2009)⁵.

Cultural Competence

Cultural competency is a developmental process in which one achieves increasing levels of awareness, knowledge, and skills along a continuum, improving one's capacity to work and communicate effectively in cross-cultural situations. Strategies for practicing cultural competency include:

- Learning about your own and others' cultural identities
- Combating bias and stereotypes
- Respecting others' beliefs, values, and communication preferences
- Adapting your services to each patient's unique needs
- Gaining new cultural experiences

Cultural Humility

Cultural humility is a reflective process of understanding one's biases and privileges, managing power imbalances, and maintaining a stance that is open to others in relation to aspects of their cultural identity that are most important to them⁶.

Strategies for practicing cultural humility include:

- Practicing self-reflection, including awareness of your beliefs, values, and implicit biases
- Recognizing what you don't know and being open to learning as much as you can

⁴ Source: Case Management Society of America

⁵ <https://cars-rp.org/MHTTC/docs/CDE-Evaluation-Resource-Compendium-PS-MHTTC.pdf>

⁶ <https://thinkculturalhealth.hhs.gov/assets/pdfs/resource-library/clas-clc-ch.pdf>

- Being open to other people's identities and empathizing with their life experiences
 - Acknowledging that the patient is their own best authority, not you
 - Learning and growing from people whose beliefs, values, and worldviews differ from yours

Crisis Residential Treatment Programs

A short-term residential program that provides a less restrictive alternative hospitalization. Provides treatment for adults with mental health crisis that require 24-hour support in order to return to community living.

Diversion Programs

In the context of criminal law, diversion refers to diverting an individual out of the criminal justice system by having them complete a diversion program rather than be incarcerated or serve another alternative sentence. Criminal charges are typically dropped when an individual successfully completes a diversion program. The purpose of a diversion program is to effect rehabilitation while avoiding the stigma of a criminal conviction.

A diversion program allows the individual to avoid prosecution by completing various requirements for the program. These requirements could include:

1. Education aimed at preventing future offenses by the offender;
2. Restitution to victims of the offense;
3. Completion of community service hours;
4. Avoiding situations for a specified period of time in the future that may lead to committing another such offense.

Diversion programs are usually only available to individuals charged with misdemeanors and nonviolent felonies involving drugs or alcohol. In some jurisdictions, diversion may be available to individuals charged with domestic violence, child abuse or neglect, traffic-related offenses, or even writing bad checks. Diversion programs are primarily governed by state laws, which vary by state.

Goals and Objectives

Goals and objectives are terms in common use, sometimes used interchangeably because both refer to the intended results of program activities. Goals are longer-term than objectives, more broadly stated and govern the specific objectives to which program activities are directed.

In proposals, goals are defined by broad statements of what the program intends to accomplish, representing the long-term intended outcome of the program⁷.

Examples of goal statements⁸:

- To reduce the number of serious and chronic juvenile offenders.
- To divert nonviolent juvenile offenders from state juvenile correctional institutions.
- To restore the losses suffered by the victims of crimes.

⁷ Justice Research and Statistics Association, Juvenile Justice Evaluation Center. (2003, June). *Juvenile Justice Program Evaluation: An overview (Second Edition)*. Retrieved from <http://www.jrsa.org/nijec/publications/program-evaluation.pdf>. See also New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. *A Guide to Developing Goals and Objectives for Your Program*. Retrieved from <http://www.criminaljustice.ny.gov/ofpa/goalwrite.htm>.

⁸ *Id.* at p. 4.

Objectives are defined by statements of specific, measurable aims of program activities⁹. Objectives detail the tasks that must be completed to achieve goals¹⁰. Descriptions of objectives in the proposals should include three elements¹¹:

1. Direction – the expected change or accomplishment (e.g., improve, maintain);
2. Timeframe – when the objective will be achieved; and
3. Target Population– who is affected by the objective.

Examples of program objectives¹²:

- By the end of the program, young, drug-addicted juveniles will recognize the long-term consequences of drug use.
 - To place eligible juveniles in an intensive supervision program within two weeks of adjudication to ensure offender accountability and community safety.
- To ensure that juvenile offenders carry out all of the terms of the mediation agreements they have worked out with their victims by program completion.

Housing Models (Examples)

A. Bridge Housing

Transitional housing that is used as a short-term stay when an individual has been offered and accepted a permanent housing intervention, but access to that permanent housing is still being arranged.

Source: Department of Veterans Affairs

B. Housing First

An approach that centers on providing homeless people with housing quickly and then providing services as needed. What differentiates a Housing First approach from other strategies is that there is an immediate and primary focus on helping individuals and families quickly access and sustain permanent housing. This approach has the benefit of being consistent with what most people experiencing homelessness want and seek help to achieve. Housing First programs share critical elements.

- There is a focus on helping individuals and families access and sustain rental housing *as quickly as possible and the housing is not time-limited*;
- A variety of services are delivered primarily following a housing placement to promote housing stability and individual well-being;
- Such services are time-limited or long-term depending upon individual need; and
- Housing is not contingent on compliance with services – instead, participants must comply with a standard lease agreement and are provided with the services and supports that are necessary to help them do so successfully.

Source: National Alliance to End Homelessness

C. Permanent Supportive Housing

⁹ National Center for Justice Planning. Overview of Strategic Planning. *Where Do We Want to Be? Goals and Objectives*. Retrieved from <http://ncjp.org/strategic-planning/overview/where-do-we-want-be/goals-objectives>.

¹⁰ *Id.*; see *supra* fn 1.

¹¹ Justice Research and Statistics Association, Juvenile Justice Evaluation Center. (2003, June). *Juvenile Justice Program Evaluation: An overview (Second Edition)* p. 5. Retrieved from <http://www.jrsa.org/njjec/publications/program-evaluation.pdf>.

¹² *Id.*

Supportive housing is an evidence-based housing intervention that combines non-time-limited affordable housing assistance with wrap-around supportive services for people experiencing homelessness, as well as other people with disabilities. Research has proven that supportive housing is a cost-effective solution to homelessness, particularly for people experiencing chronic homelessness. Study after study has shown that supportive housing not only resolves homelessness and increases housing stability, but also improves health and lowers public costs by reducing the use of publicly funded crisis services, including shelters, hospitals, psychiatric centers, jails, and prisons.

Source: U.S. Interagency Council on Homelessness

D. Rapid Rehousing

Rapid re-housing is an intervention designed to help individuals and families quickly exit homelessness and return to permanent housing. Rapid re-housing assistance is offered without preconditions — like employment, income, absence of criminal record, or sobriety — and the resources and services provided are tailored to the unique needs of the household.

- Rapid re-housing has the following core components:
 - Housing Identification
 - Recruit landlords to provide housing opportunities for individuals and families experiencing homelessness.
 - Address potential barriers to landlord participation such as concern about short term nature of rental assistance and tenant qualifications.
 - Assist households to find and secure appropriate rental housing.
 - Rent and Move-In Assistance
 - Provide assistance to cover move-in costs, deposits, and the rental and/or utility assistance (typically six months or less) necessary to allow individuals and families to move immediately out of homelessness and to stabilize in permanent housing.
 - Rapid Re-Housing Case Management and Services
 - Help individuals and families experiencing homelessness identify and select among various permanent housing options based on their unique needs, preferences, and financial resources.
 - Help individuals and families experiencing homelessness address issues that may impede access to housing (such as credit history, arrears, and legal issues).
 - Help individuals and families negotiate manageable and appropriate lease agreements with landlords.
 - Make appropriate and time-limited services and supports available to families and individuals to allow them to stabilize quickly in permanent housing.
 - Monitor participants' housing stability and be available to resolve crises, at a minimum during the time rapid re-housing assistance is provided.
 - Provide or assist the household with connections to resources that help them improve their safety and well-being and achieve their long-term goals. This includes providing or ensuring that the household has access to resources related to benefits, employment, and community-based services (if needed and appropriate), so that they can sustain rent payments independently when rental assistance ends.

- Ensure that services provided are client-directed, respectful of individuals' right to self-determination, and voluntary. Unless basic program-related case management is required by statute or regulation, participation in services should not be required to receive rapid re-housing assistance.
Source: U.S. Interagency Council on Homelessness

E. Recovery Residence:

For the purposes of this section, "recovery residence" means a residential dwelling that provides primary housing for individuals who seek a cooperative living arrangement that supports personal recovery from a substance use disorder and that does not require licensure by the department or does not provide licensable services. A recovery residence may include, but is not limited to, residential dwellings commonly referred to as "sober living homes," "sober living environments," or "unlicensed alcohol and drug free residences." *** (HSC 11833.05. (c))

F. Sober Living Homes

A supportive alcohol and drug free living environment for individuals attempting to maintain abstinence from alcohol or drugs in their life. Such programs do not mandate treatment but strongly encourage participation in 12-step support groups. These group living environments offer transitional space for people living incarceration, formal addiction treatment centers or other residential placement. They typically employ house rules which may include curfews, house chores or duties and other rules related to conduct. The participant is generally responsible for their rent and encouraged to work and engage in all other normal life functions from within a peer-supported environment.

G. Transitional Housing

Transitional Housing: a project that is designed to provide housing and appropriate supportive services to homeless persons to facilitate movement to independent living. The housing is short-term, typically less than 24 months. In addition to providing safe housing for those in need, other services are available to help participants become self-sufficient.

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Process Evaluation¹³

The purpose of the process evaluation is to assess how program activities are being carried out in accordance with goals and objectives. Process measures are designed to answer the question: "What is the program actually doing and is this what we planned it to do?"

Examples of process measures could include:

- Project staff have been recruited, hired and trained according to the proposal.
- Activities/strategies have been implemented on time according to the proposal.
- Number of interagency agreements entered into by the program compared to the number planned.
- Number of trainings conducted.
- Number of neighborhood meetings conducted.

¹³Justice Research and Statistics Association, Juvenile Justice Evaluation Center. (2003, June). *Juvenile Justice Program Evaluation: An overview (Second Edition)* p. 7. Retrieved from <http://www.jrsa.org/njjec/publications/program-evaluation.pdf>.

Outcome Evaluation¹⁴

The purpose of the outcome evaluation is to identify whether the program “worked” in terms of achieving its goals and objectives. Outcome measures are designed to answer the question: “What results did the program produce?”

Examples of outcome measures include:

- Results of pre/post surveys (e.g., changes in the reported confidence/trust in law enforcement among community members).
- Implementation of regular, ongoing community forums where law enforcement/community dialogue takes place.
- Changes in policies at the Lead Agency level to reflect procedural justice principles.

In an evidence-based practice approach, outcome evaluations must include not only the measures but also analysis of the extent to which the measured results can be attributed to the program rather than to coincidence or alternative explanations

Mental Health Services (Examples)

- Outreach and Engagement
- Precrisis and Crisis Services.
- Comprehensive Evaluation and Assessment.
- Individual Service Plan.
- Medication Education and Management.
- Case Management.
- Twenty-four Hour Treatment Services.
- Rehabilitation and Support Services.
- Individual and Group Counseling
- Day treatment programs
- Collateral services
- Peer support specialist services
- Community health worker services
- Vocational Rehabilitation.
- Inpatient and residential Services, including adult residential, crisis residential, and peer residential services.

Recidivism

Recidivism is defined as conviction of a new felony or misdemeanor committed within three years of release from custody or committed within three years of placement on supervision for a previous criminal conviction.¹⁵

Restorative Justice

Restorative practice is a social science that studies how to improve and repair relationships between people and communities. The purpose is to build healthy communities, increase

¹⁴ *Id* at pp. 7-8.

¹⁵ Pen. Code, § 6046.1 subd. (d). “Committed” refers to the date of offense, not the date of conviction.

social capital, decrease crime and antisocial behavior, repair harm and restore relationships.¹⁶

Substance Use Disorder Treatment (Examples)

According to the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, a treatment system for substance use disorders could be comprised of multiple service components, including, but not limited to the following:

- Individual and group counseling
- Inpatient and residential treatment
- Intensive outpatient treatment
- Partial hospital programs
- Narcotic treatment program/opioid treatment program
- Contingency management
- Case or care management
- Medication
- Recovery support services
- 12-Step fellowship
- Peer supports

Other services that may qualify could include:

- Withdrawal management
- Culturally rooted community healing practices

Trauma-Informed Care¹⁷

According to the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, “A program, organization, or system that is trauma-informed:

- Realizes the widespread impact of trauma and understands potential paths for recovery;
- Recognizes the signs and symptoms of trauma in clients, families, staff, and others involved with the system;
- Responds by fully integrating knowledge about trauma into policies, procedures, and practices; and
- Seeks to actively resist re-traumatization

SAMHSA’s concept of trauma-informed care is guided by six key principles:

- Safety
- Trustworthiness and transparency
- Peer support
- Collaboration and mutuality
- Empowerment, voice and choice
- Cultural, historical, and gender issues

¹⁶ Braithwaite, John (2004-01-01). "Restorative Justice and De-Professionalization". The Good Society. 13 (1): 28–31. doi:10.1353/gso.2004.0023. ISSN 1538-9731. S2CID 143707224.

¹⁷ Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Trauma-informed Approach and Trauma-Specific Interventions*. Retrieved July 22, 2016, from <http://www.samhsa.gov/hctict/trauma-interventions>

Attachment E: Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee Membership Roster

Required Attachment: Applicants will be prompted to upload this document from the BSCC [Proposition 47 webpage](#) to the BSCC Submittable Application Portal.

Lead Public Agency:

Individual Name	Job Title	Agency/Organization

Attachment F: Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee Letter of Agreement

Required Attachment: Applicants will be prompted to upload this document from the BSCC [Proposition 47 webpage](#) to the BSCC Submittable Application Portal.

Note: This letter is to be signed by Lead Agency and all members of the Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee. Photocopies of signatures and/or e-signatures are acceptable. Include additional signature lines as necessary.

(Date)

This is a letter of agreement between **(Lead Agency)** and all organizations listed herein for the purposes of applying for the Proposition 47 Grant. All organizations listed herein agree to participate on the local **Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee** led by **(Lead Agency)** using a collaborative approach. This advisory body will, at a minimum, advise the Lead Agency on:

- How to identify and prioritize the most pressing needs to be addressed (to include target population, target area, etc.);
- How to identify the strategies, programs and/or services to be undertaken to address those needs;
- The development of the grant project; and
- Ongoing implementation of the grant project.

(Note: Applicants may provide additional information; e.g., explain the detail of collaboration, list the services or support, provide dates and timelines, etc.)

Signed in mutual agreement,

LEAD PUBLIC AGENCY SIGNATURE

X _____

Signature

Name, Title

Name of Lead Agency

Address

PROPOSITION 47 LOCAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBER SIGNATURES

X _____

Signature

Name, Title

Name of Partner Organization

Address

X _____

Signature

Name, Title

Name of Partner Organization

Address

Attachment G: Sample Governing Board Resolution

Instructions: Before grant funds can be reimbursed, a prospective grantee must either (1) submit a resolution from its Governing Board that delegates authority to the individual authorized to execute the grant agreement or (2) provide sufficient documentation indicating that the prospective grantee has been vested with plenary authority to execute grant agreements (e.g., a municipal ordinance or county ordinance/charter delegating such authority to a city manager or county executive officer or other governing board resolution delegating authority).

Below is assurance language that, at a minimum, must be included in the resolution submitted to the Board of State and Community Corrections.

A Governing Board Resolution does not have to be uploaded at the time of submission but must be submitted in order for the Grant Agreement to be executed.

WHEREAS the ***(insert name of Lead Agency)*** desires to participate in the Proposition 47 Grant administered by the Board of State and Community Corrections (hereafter referred to as BSCC).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the ***(insert title of designated official)*** be authorized on behalf of the ***(insert name of Governing Board)*** to submit the grant proposal for this funding and sign the Grant Agreement with the BSCC, including any amendments thereof.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that grant funds received hereunder shall not be used to supplant expenditures controlled by this body.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the ***(insert name of Lead Agency)*** agrees to abide by the terms and conditions of the Grant Agreement as set forth by the BSCC.

Passed, approved, and adopted by the ***(insert name of Governing Board)*** in a meeting thereof held on ***(insert date)*** by the following:

Ayes:

Notes:

Absent:

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Typed Name and Title: _____

ATTEST: Signature: _____ Date: _____

Typed Name and Title: _____

Attachment H: Proposition 47 Project Work Plan

Required Attachment: Applicants will be prompted to upload this document from the BSCC [Proposition 47 webpage](#) to the BSCC Submittable Application Portal.

Instructions: Applicants must complete a Project Work Plan, using the format below. Completed Project Work Plans should (1) identify the project's top goals and objectives; (2) identify how the top goals will be achieved in terms of the activities, responsible staff/partners, and start and end dates; and (3) provide goals, objectives, and measures with a clear relationship to the need and intent of the grant. A minimum of three goal and corresponding objectives, process measures, etc. must be identified.

(1) Goal:	>		
Objectives (A., B., etc.)	>		
Process Measures and Outcome Measures:	>		
Project activities that support the identified goal and objectives:	Responsible staff/partners:	Timeline	
		Start Date	End Date
>	>	>	>
List data and sources to be used to measure outcomes: >			

(2) Goal:	>
Objectives (A., B., etc.)	>

Process Measures and Outcome Measures:	>		
Project activities that support the identified goal and objectives:	Responsible staff/partners:	Timeline	
		Start Date	End Date
>	>	>	>
List data and sources to be used to measure outcomes: >			

(3) Goal:	>		
Objectives (A., B., etc.)	>		
Process Measures and Outcome Measures:	>		
Project activities that support the identified goal and objectives:	Responsible staff/partners:	Timeline	
		Start Date	End Date
>	>	>	>
List data and sources to be used to measure outcomes: >			

Appendix A: Proposition 47 Executive Steering Committee Roster

Proposition 47 Executive Steering Committee

	Name	Title / Organization
1	Gaard, Janet	Retired Judge, BSCC Board Member, Chair
2	Barnes-Lopez, Naomi	Mental Health CSU, Orange County, Team Lead/Clinician II
3	Brooks, D'Andre	The Children's Initiative, San Diego, Juvenile Justice Associate
4	Brown-Taylor, Christine	San Diego County Sheriff's Department, Retired
5	Cabrera, Michelle	Behavioral Health Directors Association of CA, Sacramento, Executive Director
6	Dzubay, Jeremy	Monterey County Public Defender's Office, Assistance Public Defender
7	Hanna, Sylvia	Tulare County Superior Court, Judge
8	Jenkins, Mack	Council on Criminal Justice & Behavioral Health, Retired Probation Chief
9	Kuhns, Richard	County of Trinity, County Administrative Office (CAO)
10	McClain, Kevin	Community Housing Partnership, Sacramento, Exec Administrative Manager
11	Miramontes, Amber	Tulare County Public Defender's office, Supervising Attorney
12	Villamil, Denise	Southern California Crossroads, Executive Director
13	White, Dorothea	Valley State Prison, CDCR, SSMI, Employee Relations officer

Appendix B: Criteria for Non-Governmental Organizations Receiving BSCC Grant Subawards

Required Attachment: Applicants will be prompted to upload this document from the BSCC [Proposition 47 webpage](#) to the BSCC Submittable Application Portal.

Instructions: The form on the following page must be submitted with the proposal even if there are no plans to subcontract at the time of submission, or if the name of the subcontract party is unknown. In either of these cases, the applicant should write “N/A” in the Name of Subcontracted Party column and complete the signature box. A signature on this form provides an assurance to BSCC that the signing authority has read and acknowledged these terms.

The Proposition 47 Request for Proposals (RFP) includes requirements that apply to non-governmental organizations (NGOs)¹⁸ providing services with grant funds. Grantees are responsible for ensuring that all contracted third parties continually meet these requirements as a condition of receiving any Proposition 47 funds. The RFP describes these requirements as follows:

Any non-governmental organization that receives Proposition 47 grant funds (as either a direct grantee, subgrantee, or subcontractor) must:

- Have been duly organized, in existence, and in good standing for at least six (6) months prior to the effective date of its MAT Grant Agreement with the BSCC or with the start date of the grantee’s subcontract agreement;
 - Non-governmental entities that have recently reorganized or have merged with other qualified non-governmental entities that were in existence prior to the six (6) month date are also eligible, provided all necessary agreements have been executed and filed with the [California Secretary of State](#) prior to the start date of the Grant Agreement with the BSCC or the start date of the grantee’s subcontract agreement;
- Be registered with the [California Secretary of State’s Office](#), if applicable;
- Be registered with the [California Office of the Attorney General, Registry of Charitable Trusts](#), if applicable;
- Have a valid Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Taxpayer ID (if sole proprietorship);
- Have a valid business license, if applicable;
- Have no outstanding civil judgments or liens; and
- Have any other state or local licenses or certifications necessary to provide the services requested (e.g., facility licensing by the Department of Health Care Services), if applicable.

Completing the NGO Assurance

1. Provide the name of the Applicant Agency (the Grantee).
2. List all contracted parties (if known*).
3. Check Yes or No to indicate if each contracted part meets the requirements.
4. Sign and submit with the proposal.

***Note:** If the name of the contracted part is unknown, write TBD in the “Name of Contracted Party” field and sign the document.

¹⁸ Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) include community-based organizations, faith-based organizations, non-profit organizations/501(c)(3)s, for profit service providers, evaluators (except government institutions such as universities), grant management companies and any other non-governmental agency or individual.

NOTE: These criteria do not apply to government organizations (e.g., counties, cities, school districts, etc.).

In addition to the administrative criteria listed above, any non-governmental, community-based organization that receives Proposition 47 grant funds must have a proven track record working with the target population and the capacity to support data collection and evaluation efforts.

In the table below, provide the name of the Lead Public Agency (the Grantee) and list all contracted parties (if known).

Proposition 47 Grant Program Non-Governmental Organization Assurances			
Name of Applicant:			
Name of Subcontracted Party	Address	Email/Phone	Meets All Requirements
			Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
			Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
			Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
			Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

Grantees are required to update this list and submit it to BSCC any time a new third-party contract is executed after the initial assurance date. Grantees shall retain (on-site) applicable source documentation for each contracted party that verifies compliance with the requirements listed in the RFP. These records will be subject to the records and retention language found in the Standard Agreement.

The BSCC will not reimburse for costs incurred by any third party that does not meet the requirements listed above and for which the BSCC does not have a signed grantee assurance on file.

A signature below is an assurance that all requirements listed above have been met.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE This document must be signed by the person who is authorized to sign the Grant Agreement.			
NAME OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER	TITLE	TELEPHONE NUMBER	EMAIL ADDRESS
STREET ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE (e-signature acceptable) X			DATE

Appendix C: Certification of Compliance with BSCC Policies Regarding Debarment, Fraud, Theft, and Embezzlement

Required Attachment: Applicants will be prompted to upload this document from the BSCC [Proposition 47 webpage](#) to the BSCC Submittable Application Portal

It is the policy of the BSCC to protect grant funds from unreasonable risks of fraudulent, criminal, or other improper use. As such, the Board will not enter into contracts or provide reimbursement to applicants that have been:

1. debarred by any federal, state, or local government entities during the period of debarment; or
2. convicted of fraud, theft, or embezzlement of federal, state, or local government grant funds for a period of three years following conviction.

Furthermore, the BSCC requires grant recipients to provide an assurance that there has been no applicable debarment, disqualification, suspension, or removal from a federal, state or local grant program on the part of the grantee at the time of application and that the grantee will immediately notify the BSCC should such debarment or conviction occur during the term of the Grant contract.

BSCC also requires that all grant recipients include, as a condition of award to a subgrantee or subcontractor, a requirement that the subgrantee or subcontractor will provide the same assurances to the grant recipient. If a grant recipient wishes to consider a subgrantee or subcontractor that has been debarred or convicted, the grant recipient must submit a written request for exception to the BSCC along with supporting documentation.

By checking the following boxes and signing below, applicant affirms that:

☐ I/We are not currently debarred by any federal, state, or local entity from applying for or receiving federal, state, or local grant funds.

☐ I/We have not been convicted of any crime involving theft, fraud, or embezzlement of federal, state, or local grant funds within the last three years. We will notify the BSCC should such debarment or conviction occur during the term of the Grant contract.

☐ I/We will hold subgrantees and subcontractors to these same requirements.

A grantee may make a request in writing to the Executive Director of the BSCC for an exception to the debarment policy. Any determination made by the Executive Director shall be made in writing.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE <small>(This document must be signed by the person who is authorized to sign the Grant Agreement.)</small>			
NAME OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER	TITLE	TELEPHONE NUMBER	EMAIL ADDRESS
STREET ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE (Blue Ink Only) <div style="font-size: 1.5em; font-weight: bold; margin-top: 10px;">X</div>			DATE