FY 2022-23 Community Corrections Partnership Survey

Sierra County

CCP Membership

Charles Henson	Vickie Clark
Chief Probation Officer	Department of Social Services
Ann Mendez	Lea Salas
Presiding Judge or designee	Department of Mental Health
Sharon Dryden	Agency Representative
County Supervisor or Chief Administrator	Department of Employment
Sandra Groven	Lea Salas
District Attorney	Alcohol and Substance Abuse Programs
J. Lon Cooper	James Berardi
Public Defender	Head of the County Office of Education
Michael Fisher	Agency Representative
Sheriff	Community-Based Organization
N/A	Sandy Marshal
Chief of Police	Victims' Interests

How often does the CCP meet?

Semi-annually

How often does the Executive Committee of the CCP meet?

Semi-annually

Does the CCP have subcommittees or working groups

No



Goals, Objectives, and Outcome Measures FY 2021-22

Goal	To continue to maintain and enhance public safety.		
Objective	Appropriately house the in custody population.		
Objective	Monitor realigned higher risk offenders in the community.		
Outcome	During the 2021-2022 FY the CCP allocated funding to contract with a		
Measure	neighboring county jail for comprehensive housing services, inmate health		
	coverage, and contracting with CDCR.		
Outcome	During the 2021-2022 FY the successful completion rates and recidivism rates		
Measure	for all offenders on any type of supervision were tracked. 0% of targeted		
	offenders suffered a fresh felony and 7% recidivated with a misdemeanor. Only		
	3.6% of targeted offenders did not successfully complete supervision.		
Progress	During the 2021-2022 FY the allotted funds for secure housing were fully utilized		
toward	ensuring the public safety for those higher risk offenders. Additional funding was		
stated goal	sought and subsequently approved to address a shortage due to an increase in		
	longer term inmates.		


To ensure quality effective supervision of all offenders.		
Assess offenders for risk and needs.		
Prepare comprehensive reports that include an offender's individual risk and criminogenic needs/protective factors to assist in decision-making.		
Provide the proper level of individualized supervision to each offender.		
Ensure every targeted offender is assessed for risk and needs and those are identified.		
Ensure every targeted offender has a full interview and a detailed report of the		
offender's static and dynamic factors is prepared.		
Ensure case staffing properly addresses risk/needs, responsivity, dosage, and treatment on an ongoing basis.		
During the 2021-2022 FY all targeted offenders received a risk assessment and in addition to the assessment all offenders received an interview and detailed study of the offender's history which identified needs. During the FY all targeted offenders received periodic review interviews of their needs and services were adjusted accordingly.		

Goal	To ensure an equal opportunity for all offenders to participate in appropriate treatment and services.		
Objective	All services will center on a common goal to achieve a safe and successful reintegration of offenders back into the community.		
Objective	With consideration to Evidence Based Practices and availability, all offenders will be afforded treatment and other supportive services, including but not limited to; residential drug and alcohol treatment; transitional living; outpatient services; mental health management services; medical evaluations; educational, and employment services.		
Objective	Continued commitment in supporting the Sierra County Drug Court Program, Reentry Court, and other Collaborative Court programs that target higher risk offenders.		
Outcome Measure	Offenders will be reassessed periodically throughout their period of supervision when there are significant changes in their situation and/or at the discretion of the supervising officer and/or treatment professional(s). Targeted interventions will evolve with the ongoing assessments of offenders.		
Outcome Measure	During the FY no offenders who were order to participate in treatment or who wished to seek treatment on their own were denied treatment due to costs.		
Outcome Measure	During the FY one collaborative court participant did not successfully complete supervision.		
Progress Toward Stated Goal	Through initial assessments and ongoing review, all eligible offenders were offered/provided services when appropriate. AB 109 funding directly contributed to filling the gaps in other funding to ensure that no appropriate offender was denied services due to a lack of funding or resources.		


The Sierra County CCP reports it will use the same goals, objectives, and outcome measures identified above in FY 2021-22.

FY 2021-2022 and FY 2022-23 Allocation Comparison

FY 21-22 and 22-23 Allocations



FY 21-22 and 22-23 Allocations to Public Agencies for Programs & Services



FY 21-22 and 22-23 Allocations to Non-Public Agencies for Programs & Services

Optional Questions

Describe the process the CCP uses to determine potential programs and/or services for local implementation using Realignment funds?

N/A

Does the county evaluate the effectiveness (as defined locally) of programs and/or services funded with its Public Safety Realignment allocation?

N/A

If yes, how?

N/A

Does the county consider evaluation results when funding programs and/or services?

N/A

If yes, how?

N/A

Does the county use <u>BSCC definitions</u> (average daily population, conviction, length of stay, recidivism, and/or treatment program completion rates) when collecting data?

Yes	No	
		Average daily population
		Conviction
		Length of stay
		Recidivism
		Treatment program completion rates

What percentage of the Public Safety Realignment allocation is used for evidence-based programming (as defined locally)?

N/A

We would like to better understand your county's capacity to offer mental health, substance use disorder, behavioral health treatment programs, and/or other services. What type and level of services are now available?

N/A

What challenges does your county face in meeting these program and service needs?

N/A

What programmatic changes and/or course corrections have you made in the implementation of Public Safety Realignment that you believe other counties would find helpful?

N/A

Describe a local best practice or promising program that has produced positive results. If data exists to support the results, please share.

N/A