

JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

2003, 2nd QUARTER SURVEY RESULTS

FACILITIES STANDARDS AND OPERATIONS DIVISION

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
600 Bercut Drive
Sacramento, CA 95814-0185
(916) 445-5073
www.bdcorr.ca.gov

JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

EXPLANATION OF RESULTS

The following pages contain the Jail Profile Survey results for the second quarter of 2003. Page 1 contains the quarterly totals based upon the monthly and quarterly data submitted to us by the participating jurisdictions. Pages 2 and 3 show the trend data for variables we have been tracking for the last ten years. The remainder of this page provides explanations and clarifications of the data presented.

Page 1

- Unless otherwise noted, "projected totals" are based upon the average per day for the variable in question. For example, there was an average of 75,257 inmates per day in local jails (excluding Type I's) during the three-month span of April to June 2003.
- For some variables (other than ADP), we did not receive data from all jurisdictions. We compute the percentage of the ADP that was housed in the jurisdictions that did supply their data for the variable in question. Next, we increase the received number for the variable in question by an amount that estimates the statewide total. For example, if 90% of the state's ADP was housed in jurisdictions that provided us with the number of Three Strike inmates, we would increase that number by $1/9^{\text{th}}$ to estimate the state total.
- The number for "Bookings" is the average of the monthly totals for April, May, and June.
- For the following variables, the numbers presented are the totals as of the mid-quarter (i.e., May 15, 2003): Pretrial Release, Early Release, 2 and 3 Strikes, Felony Warrants, Misdemeanor Warrants, Juveniles in Custody and Undocumented Aliens.
- Assaults is the total number of serious inmate assaults on staff (resulting in a crime report), statewide, during the second quarter of 2003.

Pages 2 and 3

- The data in the 10-Year Summary tables are based upon survey data which the Board has gathered since the early 1980's.
- Prior to 1995, yearly averages were reported to the Board on an annual basis. Yearly averages from 1996 on have been the average across the 12 calendar months. In 1995, the yearly figure reported is the fourth quarter average, since we do not have data from the first three quarters.

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

Reporting Period: April to June, 2003

ADP ^a	75,257	b
Holding Areas	1,651	
Average "Under the Roof" ^c	76,908	d
Highest One Day Count ^e	80,842	e

Felony	58,307
Misdemeanor	16,950
Total	75,257
Non-Sentenced Males	43,162
Non-Sentenced Females	5,926
Sentenced Males	22,547
Sentenced Females	3,622
Total	75,257
Maximum Security Inmates	22,173
Medium Security Inmates	34,829
Minimum Security Inmates	18,255
Total	75,257

Housed on Federal Contract	3,724
Housed on Contract with CDC	2,781
From Other Counties on Contract	109
Awaiting Transport	1,495
Total	8,109

Medical Beds	932
Mental Health Beds	3,693

Pretrial Release	6,235	f
Early Release	8,245	f
Total	14,480	

2 Strike Inmates	3,186	g
3 Strike Inmates	1,599	g
Total	4,785	

Felony Warrants	272,337	g
Misdemeanor Warrants	2,071,972	g
Total	2,344,309	

Bookings Per Month	103,966	f
Juveniles in Custody	86	f
Criminal / Illegal Aliens	9,004	
Assaults On Staff	218	

a. The Average Daily Population for all jurisdictions (excluding Type 1's) does not include inmates in holding areas.
 b. Unless otherwise indicated, the numbers reported are the average across the days in the quarter.
 c. "Under the Roof" is the sum of the Average Daily Population plus the average daily number of inmates in Holding Areas.
 d. Totals may not be the exact sum of the subtotals due to rounding.
 e. The sum of all Highest One Day inmate population counts from all jurisdictions.
 f. These data are collected on a monthly basis, this figure is an average of the total numbers collected each month during this quarter.
 g. These data are one-day snapshots collected at the end of the quarter.



Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female
California County Jails - For Months Of April - June 2003

Jurisdiction	Non-sentenced				Sentenced				Total #				
	Male		Female		Male		Female						
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
1. Alameda Sheriff's Dept.	2,669	91%	279	9%	2,947	73%	910	83%	184	17%	1,095	27%	4,042
2. Amador Sheriff's Dept.	25	85%	4	15%	29	41%	37	88%	5	12%	43	59%	72
3. Butte Sheriff's Dept.	265	86%	43	14%	308	60%	181	88%	25	12%	206	40%	514
4. Calaveras Sheriff's Dept.	39	86%	6	14%	45	58%	28	86%	5	14%	32	42%	77
5. Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	21	89%	3	11%	23	45%	26	91%	3	9%	28	55%	52
6. Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.	879	87%	133	13%	1,012	64%	524	91%	55	9%	579	36%	1,591
7. Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.	46	85%	8	15%	54	44%	51	75%	17	25%	68	56%	122
8. El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.	131	88%	17	12%	149	50%	128	87%	18	13%	147	50%	295
9. Fresno Sheriff's Dept.	2,186	89%	263	11%	2,448	81%	496	86%	81	14%	577	19%	3,025
10. Glenn Sheriff's Dept.	89	87%	13	13%	102	74%	32	92%	3	8%	35	26%	137
11. Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.	226	86%	35	14%	261	69%	107	90%	12	10%	119	31%	380
12. Imperial Sheriff's Dept.	194	85%	34	15%	228	57%	162	95%	9	5%	171	43%	399
13. Inyo Sheriff's Dept.	23	81%	5	19%	29	42%	34	84%	6	16%	40	58%	69
14. Kern Sheriff's Dept.	1,279	87%	199	13%	1,478	67%	581	79%	151	21%	732	33%	2,211
15. Kings Sheriff's Dept.	91	87%	14	13%	105	36%	160	85%	27	15%	187	64%	292
16. Lake Sheriff's Dept.	121	84%	22	16%	143	70%	47	78%	13	22%	61	30%	204
17. Lassen Sheriff's Dept.	46	79%	12	21%	58	48%	45	72%	17	28%	62	52%	120
18. Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.	10,837	89%	1,355	11%	12,192	71%	4,422	88%	590	12%	5,012	29%	17,204
19. Madera Corrections Dept.	238	91%	23	9%	262	74%	79	84%	15	16%	93	26%	355
20. Marin Sheriff's Dept.	214	85%	38	15%	252	88%	26	75%	8	25%	34	12%	286
21. Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.	17	94%	1	6%	18	49%	15	82%	3	18%	19	51%	37
22. Mendocino Sheriff's Dept.	119	91%	12	9%	130	59%	81	88%	11	12%	92	41%	222
23. Merced Sheriff's Dept.	441	90%	51	10%	493	78%	123	87%	18	13%	142	22%	634
24. Modoc Sheriff's Dept.	12	78%	3	22%	15	47%	11	66%	6	34%	17	53%	32
25. Mono Sheriff's Dept.	14	86%	2	14%	16	57%	10	81%	2	19%	12	43%	29
26. Monterey Sheriff's Dept.	399	89%	48	11%	447	43%	524	89%	66	11%	590	57%	1,037
27. Napa Corrections Dept.	50	89%	6	11%	56	24%	156	89%	20	11%	176	76%	232
28. Nevada Sheriff's Dept.	80	85%	14	15%	94	57%	61	84%	11	16%	72	43%	166
29. Oakland Police Dept.	82	81%	19	19%	101	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	101
30. Orange Sheriff's Dept.	2,107	89%	249	11%	2,356	47%	2,274	86%	369	14%	2,644	53%	5,000
31. Placer Sheriff's Dept.	244	90%	27	10%	271	56%	180	83%	36	17%	217	44%	487
32. Plumas Sheriff's Dept.	23	81%	5	19%	28	53%	21	84%	4	16%	25	47%	54
33. Riverside Sheriff's Dept.	2,066	88%	275	12%	2,341	71%	811	86%	128	14%	938	29%	3,280
34. Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.	1,358	87%	206	13%	1,563	47%	1,558	89%	199	11%	1,757	53%	3,320
35. San Benito Sheriff's Dept.	49	93%	4	7%	53	51%	46	90%	5	10%	51	49%	104

Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female

California County Jails - For Months Of April - June 2003


Jurisdiction	Non-sentenced				Sentenced				Total #		
	Male #	Male %	Female #	Female %	Total #	Total %	Male #	Female #		Total #	Total %
36. San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	3,340	88%	455	12%	3,795	73%	1,173	256	1,429	27%	5,224
37. San Diego Sheriff's Dept.	2,622	85%	457	15%	3,079	63%	1,517	268	1,786	37%	4,865
38. San Diego Work Furlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	217	19	236	100%	236
39. San Francisco Sheriff's Dept.	1,311	90%	153	10%	1,464	77%	385	65	449	23%	1,914
40. San Joaquin Sheriff's Dept.	618	87%	94	13%	712	55%	509	82	591	45%	1,303
41. San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept.	145	85%	26	15%	171	40%	224	35	259	60%	430
42. San Mateo Sheriff's Dept.	498	91%	49	9%	548	51%	436	83	519	49%	1,067
43. Santa Ana Police Dept.	270	79%	73	21%	342	96%	12	3	15	4%	357
44. Santa Barbara Sheriff's Dept.	438	85%	78	15%	516	58%	325	47	372	42%	887
45. Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	2,416	88%	336	12%	2,753	70%	1,051	144	1,196	30%	3,948
46. Santa Clara Probation Dept.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	162	40	201	100%	201
47. Santa Cruz Sheriff's Dept.	296	90%	32	10%	328	53%	256	37	293	47%	621
48. Shasta Sheriff's Dept.	206	85%	34	14%	240	70%	84	21	104	30%	344
49. Sierra Sheriff's Dept.	4	85%	1	14%	5	78%	1	0	1	22%	6
50. Siskiyou Sheriff's Dept.	68	91%	7	9%	75	74%	24	2	26	26%	101
51. Solano Sheriff's Dept.	629	85%	115	15%	744	69%	292	47	339	31%	1,084
52. Sonoma Sheriff's Dept.	523	88%	74	12%	597	57%	394	64	459	43%	1,056
53. Stanislaus Sheriff's Dept.	633	87%	92	13%	725	64%	341	64	405	36%	1,130
54. Sutter Sheriff's Dept.	142	86%	24	14%	166	69%	58	17	75	31%	241
55. Tehama Sheriff's Dept.	72	88%	10	12%	83	48%	77	11	89	52%	171
56. Trinity Sheriff's Dept.	.21	83%	4	17%	26	63%	12	3	15	37%	41
57. Tulare Sheriff's Dept.	639	88%	83	12%	722	60%	414	64	478	40%	1,200
58. Tuolumne Sheriff's Dept.	54	83%	11	17%	65	49%	61	9	69	51%	135
59. Ventura Sheriff's Dept.	942	85%	164	15%	1,105	70%	410	64	474	30%	1,579
60. Ventura Work Furlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	76	19	95	100%	95
61. Yolo Sheriff's Dept.	301	84%	57	16%	359	86%	48	12	60	14%	419
62. Yuba Sheriff's Dept.	294	82%	65	18%	360	86%	36	23	59	14%	419
Statewide	43,162	88%	5,926	12%	49,088	65%	22,547	3,622	26,169	35%	75,257

Jail Profile Survey

2003

Average Daily Population

10 Year Summary											
	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
	67,576	69,233	71,107	72,007	76,894	79,143	76,311	74,868	73,824	75,156	




The statewide total ADP was 73,824 in 2001, and 75,156 in 2002. We expected the ADP to continue to increase in 2003. Instead the results were mixed. Two jurisdictions had no change in ADP. Forty of the 63 jurisdictions experienced increases in ADP (a total of 2,992 inmates higher than in the 2nd Quarter of 2002). Twenty-one of the jurisdictions experienced decreases totaling 3,339 inmates (including Los Angeles Sheriff's Department where the ADP decreased by 2,483). We have reason to believe that the majority of the decline in ADP was due to budgetary constraints. Given that the number of persons not incarcerated or released early from their sentences due solely to lack of jail space has reached over 14,000 per month (up from 12,000 per month one year ago), we conclude that budgetary constraints are significantly, and artificially, limiting the statewide jail population. We believe that the statewide ADP will begin to increase again when resources permit.

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	73,869	75,604	75,544	75,581	75,156
2003	75,553	75,257			75,404

Non-sentenced ADP

10 Year Summary										
	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
	35,859	39,122	42,237	42,539	44,593	45,303	44,493	44,943	45,204	46,817




One of the most consistent trends identified by the Jail Profile Survey is the increase in the non-sentenced ADP. Record highs were recorded in five of the last six quarters. The non-sentenced ADP reached 49,088 inmates this quarter (double the number recorded in 1985).

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	46,341	46,941	47,438	46,541	46,817
2003	48,296	49,088			48,694

Sentenced ADP

10 Year Summary										
	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
	31,677	30,142	28,870	29,468	32,301	33,841	31,819	29,925	28,620	28,338



While the non-sentenced ADP was doubling in size (between 1985 and the present), the sentenced ADP has remained virtually unchanged. The 2nd Quarter 2003 sentenced-inmate ADP was 26,169, compared to 25,674 in 1985. As recently as 1998, the sentenced ADP was almost 9,000 inmates higher than it is today. Does this mean that effective alternatives to incarceration are being used, or that inmates are being released from their sentences due to lack of jail space? The latter explanation is more consistent with our Jail Profile Survey data. In the 2nd Quarter of 2003, over 8,000 inmates per month were released early from their sentences due solely to lack of jail space.

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	27,529	28,663	28,107	29,041	28,338
2003	27,257	26,169			26,710


Jail Profile Survey

2003

Percentage Non-sentenced

10 Year Summary										
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
53%	57%	59%	59%	58%	57%	58%	60%	61%	62%	62%

Quarterly Results				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	63%	62%	63%	62%
2003	64%	65%		65%




Since 1985, virtually all of the increase in the statewide ADP (from an ADP about 50,000 to 75,000) has been non-sentenced inmates. During the same time period, the sentenced ADP increased by a mere 495 inmates! This disparity has shifted the percentage of non-sentenced inmates in the statewide ADP from 49% in 1985 to 65% this quarter. The current percentage of non-sentenced inmates is the highest ever recorded. At the current rate of growth, non-sentenced inmates will constitute 80% of the statewide ADP by the year 2020.

Average Number of Persons Booked Per Month

10 Year Summary										
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
105,593	103,966	97,589	101,942	99,182	98,860	97,008	98,754	96,695	98,668	98,668

Quarterly Results				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	97,628	98,417	100,008	98,668
2003	97,465	103,966		100,734




The average number of persons booked per month increased significantly in the 2nd Quarter of 2003 (from 97,465 in the 1st Quarter to 103,966 in the 2nd Quarter). This quarter's number of bookings per month is the highest since the 3rd Quarter of 1997 (105,096 bookings). Increased bookings will likely result in increases in the number of individuals not incarcerated, or released early from their sentences, due solely to lack of jail space.

Average Length Of Stay

10 Year Summary										
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
										32.3

Quarterly Results				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	38.8	30.8	29.1	30.7
2003	23.7	30.7		27.2



Average Length of Stay (ALS) has proven to be a difficult variable to measure. We are currently experimenting with a new procedure that suggests that the current statewide ALS for all inmates is about 30 days. The previous measurement method produced an ALS estimate of about 23 days. Hopefully we will have a reliable and accurate estimate of the ALS in the near future. Until then, please use caution when interpreting these data.

Jail Populations: Quarter 2, 2002 Versus Quarter 2, 2003

Jurisdiction	Qtr 2, 2002	Qtr 2, 2003	Minus Qtr 2, 2003 Qtr 2, 2002	Qtr 2, 2002 To Qtr 2, 2003 %	+/-	% of Total Increase or Decrease	Cum %	Cum Total
Fresno Sheriff's Dept.	2,181	3,025	844	38.7%	844	28.21%		844
Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.	2,967	3,320	353	11.9%	353	40.01%		1,197
Orange Sheriff's Dept.	4,769	5,000	231	4.8%	231	47.73%		1,428
Ventura Sheriff's Dept.	1,364	1,578	214	15.7%	214	7.2%		1,642
San Diego Sheriff's Dept.	4,719	4,865	146	3.1%	146	59.76%		1,788
Alameda Sheriff's Dept.	3,912	4,042	130	3.3%	130	64.10%		1,918
San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	5,094	5,224	130	2.6%	130	68.45%		2,048
San Joaquin Sheriff's Dept.	1,175	1,303	128	10.9%	128	72.73%		2,176
Monterey Sheriff's Dept.	982	1,038	86	9.0%	86	75.60%		2,262
Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	3,884	3,948	64	1.6%	64	77.74%		2,326
Santa Barbara Sheriff's Dept.	826	888	62	7.5%	62	79.81%		2,388
Santa Cruz Sheriff's Dept.	562	622	60	10.7%	60	81.82%		2,448
Glenn Sheriff's Dept.	79	137	58	73.4%	58	83.76%		2,506
Santa Ana Police Dept.	302	367	55	18.2%	55	85.59%		2,561
Sonoma Sheriff's Dept.	1,004	1,055	51	5.1%	51	87.30%		2,612
San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept.	380	430	50	13.2%	50	88.97%		2,662
Butte Sheriff's Dept.	473	513	40	8.5%	40	90.31%		2,702
Madera Corrections Dept.	324	355	31	9.6%	31	91.34%		2,733
San Diego Work Furlough	211	236	25	11.8%	25	92.18%		2,758
Solano Sheriff's Dept.	1,060	1,084	24	2.3%	24	92.98%		2,782
Riverside Sheriff's Dept.	3,258	3,280	22	0.7%	22	93.72%		2,804
Siskiyou Sheriff's Dept.	80	101	21	26.2%	21	94.42%		2,825
Merced Sheriff's Dept.	614	634	20	3.3%	20	95.09%		2,845
San Mateo Sheriff's Dept.	1,048	1,067	19	1.8%	19	95.72%		2,864
El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.	277	296	19	6.9%	19	96.36%		2,883
Kern Sheriff's Dept.	2,195	2,211	16	0.7%	16	96.89%		2,899
Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.	107	123	16	15.0%	16	97.43%		2,915
Nevada Sheriff's Dept.	153	165	12	7.8%	12	97.83%		2,927
Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.	368	380	12	3.3%	12	98.23%		2,939
Tuolumne Sheriff's Dept.	125	135	10	8.0%	10	98.56%		2,949
Lassen Sheriff's Dept.	112	120	8	7.1%	8	98.83%		2,957
Pumas Sheriff's Dept.	46	54	8	17.4%	8	99.10%		2,965
Lake Sheriff's Dept.	199	204	5	2.5%	5	99.26%		2,970
Amador Sheriff's Dept.	67	72	5	7.5%	5	99.43%		2,975
Catalaveras Sheriff's Dept.	198	201	3	6.9%	3	99.60%		2,980
Santa Clara Probation Dept.	198	201	3	1.5%	3	99.70%		2,983
Modoc Sheriff's Dept.	30	33	3	10.0%	3	99.80%		2,986
Mono Sheriff's Dept.	27	29	2	7.4%	2	99.87%		2,988
Ventura Work Furlough	93	95	2	2.2%	2	99.93%		2,990
Inyo Sheriff's Dept.	487	487	0	0.0%	0	100.00%		2,992
Placer Sheriff's Dept.	6	6	0	0.0%	0	100.00%		2,992
Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	52	51	-1	-1.9%	-1	0.0%		-1
Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	234	232	-2	-0.9%	-2	-0.09%		-3
Sutter Sheriff's Dept.	245	241	-4	-1.6%	-4	-0.21%		-7
Trinity Sheriff's Dept.	47	41	-6	-12.8%	-6	-0.39%		-13
Yuba Sheriff's Dept.	426	419	-7	-1.4%	-7	-0.57%		-19
San Benito Sheriff's Dept.	110	103	-7	-6.4%	-7	-0.78%		-26
Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.	44	37	-7	-15.9%	-7	-0.99%		-33
Stanislaus Sheriff's Dept.	1,137	1,130	-7	-0.6%	-7	-0.2%		-40
Marin Sheriff's Dept.	294	286	-8	-2.7%	-8	-1.44%		-48
Yolo Sheriff's Dept.	428	418	-10	-2.3%	-10	-1.74%		-58
Tehama Sheriff's Dept.	184	172	-12	-6.5%	-12	-2.10%		-70
Imperial Sheriff's Dept.	414	399	-15	-3.6%	-15	-2.55%		-85
Oakland Police Dept.	121	101	-20	-16.5%	-20	-3.14%		-105
Kings Sheriff's Dept.	319	292	-27	-8.5%	-27	-3.95%		-132
Tulare Sheriff's Dept.	1,234	1,200	-34	-2.8%	-34	-4.97%		-166
Mandocino Sheriff's Dept.	261	222	-39	-14.9%	-39	-6.14%		-205
Shasta Sheriff's Dept.	400	344	-56	-14.0%	-56	-7.82%		-261
San Francisco Sheriff's Dept.	2,098	1,914	-184	-8.8%	-184	-10.33%		-445
Scapular House	194	194	0	0.0%	0	19.14%		-639
Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.	1,808	1,591	-217	-12.0%	-217	-25.64%		-856
Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.	19,687	17,204	-2,483	-12.6%	-2,483	-74.4%		-3,339

Note: Totals subject to slight variation due to rounding

Total Increase: 2,992
Total Decrease: -3,339

**Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 2nd Quarter '02 versus 2nd Quarter '03**

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 63 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column C);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column B);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column D);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column E); lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column G);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column H); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 2,992) and "total decrease" in ADP (-3,339). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 2,992 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 3,339 ADP. Subtracting 2,992 from 3,339 produces the overall decrease of 347 between the second quarter of 2002 and the second quarter of 2003.
2. The Fresno ADP increase of 844 is 28.2% of the total increase of 2,992. Forty jurisdictions had increases (down to Inyo Sheriff's Department) with two jurisdictions reporting no increase (Placer and Sierra Sheriff's Departments). When you get to Sierra Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 2,992).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Colusa Sheriff's Department to Los Angeles Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 3,339 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Fresno Sheriff's Department to Ventura Sheriff's Department) is 54.88%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for about 55% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (Scapular House, Contra Costa and Los Angeles Sheriff's Departments) account for about 87% of the decreases. Please note that Scapular House will not be reporting on the Jail Profile Survey for 2003, which accounts for approximately 6% of the total decrease.