

**TO: Chairman and Members**

**DATE: November 8, 2012**

**SUBJECT: FY 2012-2013 Budget Allocation of \$20,000,000  
To City Police Departments**

**AGENDA ITEM: M**

**ACTION: X  
INFORMATION:**

**RESOURCE PERSON: Patricia Mazzilli**

---

**Summary:**

As part of the 2012-2013 Budget Act, Section 5227-102-0001, for Local Assistance, \$20, 000,000 was allocated for city police departments, with the allocation formula to be developed by the Board of State and Community Corrections in consultation with the Department of Finance. The California Police Chiefs Association (CPCA) has proposed a funding allocation formula based upon regional needs in consultation with their membership (Attachment A). The BSCC recognizes the importance of local agencies being able to best identify their own needs with regard to the use of resources.

**Background:**

As part of the 2012-2013 Budget Act, Section 5227-102-0001, for Local Assistance, \$20,000,000 was allocated for city police departments, with the allocation formula to be developed by the BSCC in consultation with the Department of Finance. Due to the steady erosion of funding for city police agencies over the last several years, local communities have experienced significant public safety impacts. The Governor's 2012-2013 Budget recognized the need for funding for city law enforcement to be able to provide front-line intervention services. The 2012-2013 Budget allocation to city police departments will yield benefits of increased confidence by the public in their personal safety and increased public safety through strategic, intelligence-led intervention against potentially serious, violent and habitual criminals who are resistant to or who seek to avoid rehabilitation.

The California Police Chiefs Association has proposed the attached funding allocation formula based upon regional needs in consultation with their membership. CPCA evaluated the impact of budget cuts on city police departments, local and regional crime trends, workplace burdens, as well as the capacity of agencies to make smart use of these resources by sharing them with other agencies or for cross-jurisdictional task forces. A City Police Department would need to be identified in each county as the fiduciary entity responsible for these funds, obligating this City Police agency to distribute the funds within each county based on the collective decision of the city police departments in that county.

The Department of Finance will also address the Board regarding recommended performance outcome and accountability measures for this funding.

---

**Recommendation/Action Needed:**

It is recommended that the BSCC Board adopt the proposed allocation formula and direct staff to coordinate with the Department of Finance to identify a City Police Department in each county as the responsible fiduciary entity to receive the allocation.

<b>County</b>	<b>Recommended Distribution</b>
<b>Alameda</b>	<b>\$359,498</b>
<b>Amador</b>	<b>\$22,552</b>
<b>Butte</b>	<b>\$175,106</b>
<b>Calaveras</b>	<b>\$18,572</b>
<b>Colusa</b>	<b>\$3,316</b>
<b>Contra Costa</b>	<b>\$190,361</b>
<b>Del Norte</b>	<b>\$13,929</b>
<b>El Dorado</b>	<b>\$46,430</b>
<b>Fresno</b>	<b>\$849,662</b>
<b>Glenn</b>	<b>\$14,592</b>
<b>Humboldt</b>	<b>\$102,145</b>
<b>Imperial</b>	<b>\$61,022</b>
<b>Inyo</b>	<b>\$2,653</b>
<b>Kern</b>	<b>\$977,675</b>
<b>Kings</b>	<b>\$160,514</b>
<b>Lake</b>	<b>\$50,409</b>
<b>Lassen</b>	<b>\$20,562</b>
<b>Los Angeles</b>	<b>\$5,823,054</b>
<b>Madera</b>	<b>\$92,196</b>
<b>Marin</b>	<b>\$27,858</b>
<b>Mendocino</b>	<b>\$33,164</b>
<b>Merced</b>	<b>\$120,054</b>
<b>Modoc</b>	<b>\$1,990</b>
<b>Mono</b>	<b>\$3,316</b>
<b>Monterey</b>	<b>\$185,718</b>
<b>Napa</b>	<b>\$37,807</b>
<b>Nevada</b>	<b>\$9,286</b>
<b>Orange</b>	<b>\$1,197,220</b>
<b>Placer</b>	<b>\$100,819</b>
<b>Riverside</b>	<b>\$1,280,130</b>
<b>Sacramento</b>	<b>\$850,988</b>
<b>San Benito</b>	<b>\$22,552</b>
<b>San Bernardino</b>	<b>\$1,997,799</b>
<b>San Diego</b>	<b>\$1,415,440</b>
<b>San Francisco</b>	<b>\$212,913</b>
<b>San Joaquin</b>	<b>\$445,724</b>
<b>San Luis Obispo</b>	<b>\$100,155</b>
<b>San Mateo</b>	<b>\$169,136</b>
<b>Santa Barbara</b>	<b>\$218,882</b>
<b>Santa Clara</b>	<b>\$555,165</b>
<b>Santa Cruz</b>	<b>\$53,062</b>
<b>Shasta</b>	<b>\$196,331</b>
<b>Siskiyou</b>	<b>\$21,888</b>

<b>Solano</b>	<b>\$210,260</b>
<b>Sonoma</b>	<b>\$142,605</b>
<b>Stanislaus</b>	<b>\$422,509</b>
<b>Sutter</b>	<b>\$78,267</b>
<b>Tehama</b>	<b>\$76,277</b>
<b>Tulare</b>	<b>\$322,354</b>
<b>Tuolumne</b>	<b>\$22,552</b>
<b>Ventura</b>	<b>\$260,669</b>
<b>Yolo</b>	<b>\$137,962</b>
<b>Yuba</b>	<b>\$84,900</b>
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$20,000,000</b>