



Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program

Fiscal Year 2014
Project Cycle: 10/1/14-12/31/17

REQUEST FOR APPLICATION

Applicant: California Department of Justice

*Released September 15, 2014
Due to BSCC November 21, 2014*

In addition to the grant application, this Request for Application (RFA) packet includes important information about funding provisions, grant eligibility, and application submission requirements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| CONTACT INFORMATION | 1 |
| APPLICATION DUE DATE..... | 1 |
| BACKGROUND INFORMATION..... | 1 |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION..... | 3 |
| FUNDING..... | 6 |
| GRANT REQUIREMENTS..... | 6 |
| EVIDENCE-BASED, PROMISING AND INNOVATIVE STRATEGIES | 8 |
| DATA COLLECTION, REPORTING AND EVALUATION REQUIREMENTS | 12 |
| RFA PROCESS | 14 |
| GUIDING PRINCIPLES FOR ALL GRANT PROGRAMS | 15 |
| JAG APPLICATION CHECKLIST | 17 |
| APPLICATION INSTRUCTIONS | 18 |
| APPENDICES..... | 26 |

- Appendix A – JAG Three-Year Strategy*
- Appendix B – JAG Steering Committee Member Roster*
- Appendix C – Sample Letter of Agreement*
- Appendix D – Sample Operational Agreement*
- Appendix E – List of Other Grant Funding Sources*
- Appendix F – Definition of Terms
- Appendix G – Key Federal Assurances

**Required attachment*

CONTACT INFORMATION

This Request for Application (RFA) provides the information necessary to prepare an application to the Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2014 grant funds available through the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program. Questions concerning this RFA should be directed to:

Daryle McDaniel, Field Representative
Corrections Planning and Programs Division
Phone: (916) 341-7392
Email: daryle.mcdaniel@bscc.ca.gov

or

Colleen Curtin, Field Representative
Corrections Planning and Programs Division
Phone: (916) 445-8066
Email: colleen.curtin@bscc.ca.gov

APPLICATION DUE DATE

Please submit one original and two copies of the application packet to the BSCC's Corrections Planning and Programs Division by **Friday, November 21, 2014**. Submit materials to the following address:

Board of State and Community Corrections
Corrections Planning and Programs Division
600 Bercut Drive
Sacramento, CA 95811
Attn: Brian Wise, Program Analyst

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program (42 U.S. Code § 3751(a)) is the primary provider of federal criminal justice funding to state and local jurisdictions. The JAG Program provides critical funding necessary to support state and local initiatives, to include: technical assistance, strategic planning, research and evaluation (including forensics), data collection, training, personnel, equipment, forensic laboratories, supplies, contractual support, and criminal justice information systems.

The JAG Program supports seven Program Purpose Areas designated by federal statute. These include:

- (1) Law enforcement programs.
- (2) Prosecution and court programs, including indigent defense.
- (3) Prevention and education programs.
- (4) Corrections and community corrections programs.
- (5) Drug treatment and enforcement programs.
- (6) Planning, evaluation and technology improvement programs.
- (7) Crime victim and witness programs (other than compensation).

Historically, funding for the JAG Program in California had been allocated directly to counties through a non-competitive process. The majority of funds were passed through to local law enforcement agencies to fund multi-jurisdictional task forces related to narcotics suppression. In fact, in 2012, 98 percent of JAG funds were allocated to Program Purpose Area (1) – Law enforcement programs.

On July 1, 2012, California state law transferred the administration of the JAG Program from the California Emergency Management Agency (now the California Office of Emergency Services) to the Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC). With this transfer, BSCC became the State Administering Agency (SAA) responsible for oversight of Byrne JAG funding in California.

Around this same time, the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA), the federal agency that administers the JAG Program, placed a greater emphasis on the role of comprehensive strategic planning by the states. California embraced this change, recognizing that a reassessment of funding priorities was overdue. After assuming responsibility for the JAG Program, members of the BSCC (the Board) expressed a desire to take a closer look at JAG funding in California, to explore whether the State could or should be investing in any of the other JAG Program Purpose Areas.

To that end, California conducted a comprehensive strategic planning process and gathered input from all criminal justice stakeholders in order to develop a more comprehensive Multi-Year State Strategy for the JAG Program. The BSCC formed an Executive Steering Committee (ESC), comprised of high-level executives from small, medium and large counties, representing the public, private and non-profit sectors. The JAG ESC led the planning process, which included a web-based survey of 890 stakeholders, three public comment sessions throughout the state, discussions with other criminal justice stakeholders, and an examination of other criminal justice financial resources designed to address public safety and victim assistance concerns.

As a result of this planning process, the JAG ESC developed a Multi-Year State Strategy, which was subsequently approved by the full Board. With this latest round of JAG funding, California will implement this new strategy, representing a major change in the way it administers the JAG Program. While maintaining law enforcement programs as a priority, California's new strategy places an equal emphasis on prevention and education programs, as well as on court, prosecution and defense strategies. The table on the following page lays out California's Multi-Year Strategy for the Byrne JAG Program.

Multi-Year Strategy for the Byrne JAG Program

- (1) Will honor responses from California stakeholders in the 2013 Byrne JAG Stakeholder Survey, with priority given to the survey supported Program Purpose Areas of:
 - a. *Education and Prevention*
 - b. *Law Enforcement*
 - c. *Prosecution, Courts and Defense*
- (2) The needs of small, medium and large counties will be taken into account.
- (3) Funding will be based on local flexibility, on the needs of the juvenile and adult criminal justice communities and on input from a balanced array of stakeholders.
- (4) Applicants must demonstrate a collaborative strategy based on the community engagement model that involves multiple stakeholders in the project or problem addressed.
- (5) Some emphasis will be given to the development of innovative and/or promising strategies to reduce recidivism.

Important to note: California is required to pass through to local governments a designated portion of California's JAG allocation. The BSCC will release a Request for Proposal to address this requirement. A separate portion of California's JAG funds must be passed to, "...State police departments that provide criminal justice services to units of local government..." (U.S. Code § 3755(e)(2)). California has identified the California Department of Justice (DOJ) as the state police department that shall receive the JAG funds according to this statute. DOJ has a long history of supporting smaller municipalities through the use of multi-jurisdictional law enforcement task forces. The BSCC will allocate these funds to DOJ through this Request for Application.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Eligibility

Only the California Department of Justice (DOJ) is eligible to apply. As a part of the application process, DOJ must develop a JAG Steering Committee (see "Stakeholder Collaboration," below) comprised of both state and local stakeholders to oversee the planning and implementation of JAG-funded projects.

Grant Cycle

The funding cycle for DOJ will differ from that of local jurisdictions for the first 15 months. In order to avoid a break in service, first year funds will cover a 15-month period, from October 1, 2014 through December 31, 2015. After the first year, DOJ will move to a calendar year cycle, to bring its funding in line with local pass-through funds.

- FY 2015 funds will be available for the period of January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016.
- FY 2016 funds will be made available for the period of January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017.

This application is for FY 2014 funds only. The applicant will be required to submit an application prior to years two and three to show that it has made substantial progress against its JAG strategy. Funding levels for years two and three will be dependent on California's JAG allocations for FY 2015 and FY 2016.

Priority Program Purpose Areas

As mentioned in the previous section, the BSCC undertook a comprehensive JAG Stakeholder Survey and planning process in order to determine the focus of the JAG Program in California. The BSCC received 890 survey responses from a broad array of criminal justice stakeholders. Responses to the survey were grouped into seven stakeholder categories: 1) Law Enforcement, 2) Corrections and Community Corrections, 3) Administration and Policy, 4) Courts (including prosecution and defense), 5) Victims, 6) Social Services (including community-based organizations, mental health and public health agencies), and 7) Education and Juvenile Justice.

As a result of these efforts, California developed a new three-year strategy for JAG funding. This strategy focuses on the three Program Purpose Areas deemed top priorities by a majority of survey respondents (across all seven stakeholder categories).

The applicant must develop a proposal that addresses one or more of these three Program Purpose Areas (see table below).

Within each of these Program Purpose Areas (PPAs), respondents to the JAG Stakeholder Survey were also asked to rank in order of importance a list of "areas of need." Responses were again grouped into the seven stakeholder categories. The survey report identified the top three priority Areas of Need for each of the seven stakeholder categories, as listed in the table below. (Note that because there were ties within all three of the PPAs, there are more than three Priority Areas of Need listed for each.)

The applicant is restricted to the development of proposals that address one or more of the three main PPAs, and within each PPA selected, one or more of the Need Areas, as listed in the table on the following page.

JAG Priority Program Purpose Areas (PPA) and Priority Areas of Need

| | |
|--------------------------------|---|
| JAG PPA Ranked #1: | Prevention and Education Programs |
| <i>Priority Areas of Need:</i> | <i>(1) Gang Initiatives</i> |
| | <i>(2) Juvenile Delinquency</i> |
| | <i>(2) Substance Abuse (tie)</i> |
| | <i>(3) School Violence</i> |
| JAG PPA Ranked #2: | Law Enforcement Programs |
| <i>Priority Areas of Need:</i> | <i>(1) Gang Violence Reduction</i> |
| | <i>(2) Violent Crime Reduction Initiatives</i> |
| | <i>(3) Drug Enforcement</i> |
| | <i>(3) Gun Violence Reduction (tie)</i> |
| JAG PPA Ranked #3: | Courts, Prosecution, Defense and Indigent Defense |
| <i>Priority Areas of Need:</i> | <i>(1) Problem Solving Courts (i.e. Mental Health, Veterans, Drug, Reentry)</i> |
| | <i>(2) Gun/Gang Prosecution</i> |
| | <i>(2) Violent Crime Prosecution and Defense (tie)</i> |
| | <i>(3) Court-Based Restorative Justice Initiatives</i> |
| | <i>(3) Innovations in Indigent Defense (tie)</i> |

Within these PPAs and Priority Areas of Need, the applicant is free to implement one or more projects that best fit the needs of the local jurisdictions it will serve, as determined by its JAG Steering Committee.

Prohibited Uses

No JAG funds may be expended outside of the three priority JAG PPAs. Even within these PPAs, however, JAG funds cannot be used directly or indirectly for security enhancements or equipment for nongovernmental entities not engaged in criminal justice or public safety. Additionally, JAG funds may not be used directly or indirectly to pay for any of the following items (per federal grant guidelines):

- Indirect costs.
- Vehicles, vessels, or aircraft (with the exception of police cruisers, police boats and police helicopters).
- Unmanned aerial vehicles/unmanned aircraft, aircraft system, or aerial vehicles.
- Luxury items.
- Real estate.
- Construction projects (other than penal or correctional institutions).
- Any similar items.

FUNDING

Fund Source

The JAG Program is a federally-funded grant program, with funds allocated by the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA).

Funding for the California Department of Justice

For Fiscal Year 2014, California's JAG Local Assistance Allocation is \$17,756,951. A portion of these funds – **\$1,087,521** – will be allocated directly to the California Department of Justice through this application process, as per U.S. Code § 3755 (e)(2), to support local units of government.

The grant period is for October 1, 2014 through December 31, 2017. This application is for FY 2014 funds only (October 1, 2014 through December 31, 2015), though the applicant must submit a budget for the entire grant period. The second and third year budgets can be estimates, however there should be a plan to spend down all funds requested. At this time, the applicant should apply for the same annual allocation for all three years. There will be a subsequent application process at the start of the second and third years, and at that time, the applicant will have the opportunity to make adjustments to its budgets. Funding for years two and three will be dependent on California's JAG allocations for FY 2015 and FY 2016.

Matching Funds

The JAG Program has NO match requirement.

Supplanting

Supplanting is prohibited under JAG. The applicant cannot replace or supplant non-federal funds that have been appropriated for the same purpose. See the 2014 JAG Frequently Asked Questions on BJA's web site for examples of supplanting (<https://www.bja.gov/Funding/JAGFAQ.pdf>).

Leveraging of Grant Funds

Although supplanting is prohibited, the leveraging of federal funding is encouraged. For example, the applicant may use JAG funds along with other federal funds, to fund different portions of the same project. In instances where leveraging occurs, all federal grant funds must be tracked and reported on separately and may not be used to fund the same line items. Additionally, federal funds cannot be used as match for other federal awards.

GRANT REQUIREMENTS

Stakeholder Collaboration

In order to apply for JAG funding, the applicant must form a JAG Steering Committee comprised of stakeholders representing diverse disciplines who have experience and expertise in the prospective problem areas to be addressed by the JAG proposal. This will help meet the federal mandate that requires community engagement for the

deployment of JAG funds. The steering committee will determine the community needs and develop a three-year JAG strategy in one-year increments, using the identified priorities (see **Appendix A** for Three-Year JAG Strategy Overview).

The JAG Steering Committee will represent a significant cross-section of the juvenile and criminal justice stakeholder communities within the applicant county. The JAG Steering Committee will be diverse in its composition; to include a balanced representation of both traditional and non-traditional stakeholders. Examples of traditional stakeholders could include law enforcement, probation, courts, and other city and county departments. Examples of non-traditional stakeholders could include community- and faith-based organizations, educators, social service providers, job developers, advocacy groups, or citizens. The applicant will determine the total number of members to serve on the JAG Steering Committee.

Stakeholders identified for membership on the JAG steering committee shall possess a working knowledge of the problem areas being discussed within the identified JAG priorities. The applicant must describe the process that took place to engage membership for the JAG Steering Committee as well as any working relationships that existed with members prior to the development of the steering committee. The JAG steering committee will work collaboratively to identify the needs of the community as they relate to the JAG priorities and to create and develop a comprehensive project plan with the overall goal of reducing violent crime and recidivism within their county. The applicant must describe how they ensured full and balanced participation and voting rights for all members of the committee throughout this process. The applicant may use an existing group, or a subcommittee of an existing group, but must address all the requirements listed in this section. The applicant must attach a member roster containing the names, titles, organizational affiliations, and contact information for each JAG Steering Committee member (see **Appendix B**).

Letters of Agreement and Operational Agreements

As part of the necessary collaboration that must occur for the JAG Program to be successful, The applicant must engage a wide range of stakeholders. There may be two levels of participation within a JAG Program. The level of participation will determine what type of documentation must be included with the application:

(1) Letter of Agreement (less formal)

For each partner agency that participates as a part of the JAG Steering Committee, and/or partners that provide in-kind services, the applicant must include a **signed** Letter of Agreement. This shall serve as an acknowledgement of the partnership that will exist, wherein no funds will be exchanged. A sample Letter of Agreement can be found in **Appendix C**.

(2) Operational Agreement (more formal)

For each subcontractor, consultant or service provider that will be paid for services under the grant agreement – including community- or faith-based organizations – the applicant must include a **draft** Operational Agreement. This shall serve as a formal agreement between the two parties indicating that there will be some type of contract or interagency agreement for services and acknowledging the exchange of funds.

An Operational Agreement should include: (a) a description of the agencies commitment to demonstrate a formal system of networking and coordination with other agencies and the applicant; (b) the names of anticipated project staff; (c) original signatures, titles, and the agency name for both parties; (d) effective performance period dates; and (e) the amount of JAG funds designated to the agencies. Signatures may be obtained after the proposal due date. A sample Operational Agreement can be found in **Appendix D**.

Audit

DOJ must provide to the BSCC an electronic copy of (or a link to) an annual audit of expenditures (either grant-specific or as part of the California Single State Audit) covering the grant period.

Invoices

Disbursement of grant funds occurs on a reimbursement basis for costs incurred during a reporting period. The grantee must submit invoices to the BSCC on a quarterly basis, within 45 days following the end of the reporting period via the on-line process. The grantee must maintain adequate supporting documentation for all costs claimed on invoices for reimbursement.

For additional information, refer to the BSCC's Grant Administration and Audit Guide, July 2012 at:

http://www.bscc.ca.gov/downloads/Grant_Administration_Guide_July_2012.pdf

Outside Grant Funds

The applicant must complete the "List of Other Grant Funding Sources" form (see **Appendix E**) and submit it with the proposal packet.

EVIDENCE-BASED, INNOVATIVE AND PROMISING STRATEGIES

Evidence-Based Practice (EBP)

The concept of evidence-based practice was developed outside of criminal justice and is commonly used in other applied fields such as medicine, nursing, and social work. Because there are numerous definitions of evidence-based practice, for the purpose of this RFP, evidence-based practice consists of three basic principles:

1. Evidence that the intervention is likely to work, i.e., produce a desired benefit;
2. Evidence that the intervention is being carried out as intended; and
3. Evidence that allows an evaluation of whether the intervention worked.

In discussions of evidence-based practice in criminal justice, it is common to distinguish between *programs* and *strategies*.

Programs are designed to change the behavior of individuals in the criminal justice system and are measured by individual level outcomes. Programs aiming to reduce substance use and antisocial behavior, for example, include Cognitive Behavioral Therapy, Behavioral Programs; Social Skills Training; and Family Crisis Counseling.

Although *strategies* may include programs to change individual behavior, this term is generally used for interventions to promote community level policy objectives. Such strategies may be evaluated for effects on overall service delivery or use of jail beds rather than in terms of recidivism alone.

- Some interventions are “brand-name programs,” which have already been tested and found effective in a variety of settings: for example, Nurse Family Partnership, Functional Family Therapy, and Life Skills Training.
- Brand name programs offer the advantages of detailed training and implementation protocols available from the developer.
- Whether a brand name program is suited to the particular circumstances of an agency or setting should be determined in advance, because effectiveness can be compromised when brand name programs are altered.¹

For these reasons, one cannot rely simply on the brand, but must apply the principles of evidence-based practice to an agency’s particular circumstances. Depending on that review, applicants may wish to adopt a brand-name program, adapt non-branded interventions developed elsewhere, or develop a new program or strategy (see “Innovative and/or Promising Strategies,” below).

Showing that a program or strategy is likely to work in a local setting requires not only evidence of effectiveness but evidence of relevance.² Applicants should determine what kind of evidence is available and the reasoning that indicates the proposed practice is likely to succeed and will be effective in the local community and with the population being served. In addition, applicants should identify any lessons learned that have been applied in planning for the intervention in the local setting.

Innovative and/or Promising Strategies

The State Strategy for the JAG Program adopted by the BSCC states that “some emphasis shall be given to innovative and/or promising strategies to reduce crime and recidivism.” Based on this, applicants are encouraged to identify innovative or promising strategies in their applications for JAG funds.

“Innovative,” for purposes of this grant application, shall be broadly construed to include programs or strategies that are “new” in the county or area where applied or represent expanded or reconfigured programs targeting additional populations or needs in the

¹Peter Greenwood, Ph.D. “Preventing and Reducing Youth Crime and Violence: Using Evidence-Based Practices,” January 2010.

² Nancy Cartwright and Jeremy Hardie, “Evidence-Based Policy A Practical Guide to Doing it Better,” Oxford University Press, 2012.

applicant county. Innovative programs or strategies described in the proposal must be linked to one or more components of an evidence-based practice.

“Promising,” for purposes of this grant application, shall be broadly construed to include crime- and recidivism-reduction programs or strategies that have been implemented elsewhere with evidence of success, but with evidence that is not yet strong enough to conclude that the success was due to the program, or that it is highly likely to work if carried out in the applicant’s circumstances. The difference between evidence-based and promising approaches is a difference in degree that depends on the number of situations in which a program or strategy has been tested and the rigor of the evaluation methods that were used. Applicants seeking to implement “promising” programs or strategies should be able to describe the documentation, data and evidence available to support the approach and why it is best suited to the needs and objectives described in the proposal.

Evidence, which may vary in terms of its novelty or its strength, is relevant to the assessment of a program’s potential benefits, whether described as innovative, promising, or evidence-based.

Requirements for All JAG Programs

1. The applicant must show, in the grant proposal, that the proposed intervention(s)³ (whether evidence-based, innovative or promising) are likely to achieve benefits desired in the local setting. To do this, the applicant must:
 - Describe the intervention(s) being proposed for implementation;
 - Discuss any evidence (research, outcome evaluations, etc.) that indicates the intervention or its components have been effective elsewhere;
 - Describe the population(s) for which each intervention has been shown to be or is likely to be effective; and show that it is appropriate for the proposed target population; and
 - Discuss what has been done to ensure that the support factors (e.g., inter-agency partnerships, certified trainers, auxiliary services, suitable criteria for participation, program materials, etc.) required or necessary for the intervention can be mobilized in the local setting.

Documentation of effectiveness can take the form of research or literature review, or reference to reviews of program effectiveness conducted by policy shops, some of which are listed below. Descriptions of local needs and agency capacities, in light of the factors that supported an intervention elsewhere, can be applied to an assessment of relevance.

³ For purposes of this section, the term “intervention” includes both programs and/or strategies.

2. The applicant must also describe how they will track operations to assess whether an intervention is being carried out as intended. This task is often referred to as a *process* evaluation; *formative* evaluation is a related term also found in the literature. (See “Local Evaluation Plan” within the Data Collection, Reporting and Evaluation Requirements section, page 12).
3. Finally, the applicant must address their plans for outcome evaluation, i.e., how they will assess what happened as a result of the intervention and whether it produced its intended benefits. (See “Final Local Evaluation” within the Data Collection, Reporting and Evaluation Requirements section, page 13).

EBP Informational Resources

The list of websites provided below may be useful to applicants in the proposal development process. This is not an exhaustive list; it is offered as an informational resource only.

- Board of State and Community Corrections
[http://www.bscc.ca.gov/s_evidence-basedpractices\(ebp\).php](http://www.bscc.ca.gov/s_evidence-basedpractices(ebp).php)
- Office of Justice Programs
<http://www.CrimeSolutions.gov>
- Blueprints for Violence Prevention
<http://www.colorado.edu/cspv/blueprints/index.html>
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA)
National Registry of Evidence-Based Programs and Practices
<http://www.nrepp.samhsa.gov>
- Washington State Institute for Public Policy
<http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/>
- Promising Practices Network
<http://www.promisingpractices.net/>
- National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS)
“Preventing and Reducing Youth Crime and Violence: Using Evidence-Based Practice.” A report prepared by Peter Greenwood, Ph.D., for the California Governor’s Office of Gang and Youth Violence Policy, 2010.
<https://www.ncjrs.gov/App/Publications/abstract.aspx?ID=255934>
- Find Youth Information
<http://www.FindYouthInfo.gov/>
- National Reentry Resource Center
<http://nationalreentryresourcecenter.org/>

- National Institute of Corrections
<http://nicic.gov/Library/>
- California Institute for Behavioral Health Solutions
<http://www.cimh.org/evidence-based-practices-0>
- Coalition for Evidence-Based Policy (“Top Tier”)
<http://coalition4evidence.org/>
- National Criminal Justice Association
<http://www.ncja.org/>
- Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Model Program Guide
<http://www.ojjdp.gov/mpg/>
- Peabody Research Institute, Vanderbilt University, Director Mark Lipsey
<http://peabody.vanderbilt.edu/research/pri/publications.php>
- Association for the Advancement of Evidence-Based Practice
“Implementing Proven Programs for Juvenile Offenders: Assessing States’ Progress.” A report prepared by Peter Greenwood, Ph.D., 2011.
<http://www.advancingebp.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/01/AEBP-assessment.pdf>

DATA COLLECTION, REPORTING AND EVALUATION REQUIREMENTS

All grantees are required to submit a (1) **Local Evaluation Plan** to the BSCC by June 30, 2015, (2) **Quarterly Progress Reports**, and (3) a **Final Local Evaluation** by March 31, 2018.

The applicant are required to set aside a minimum of 5 to 10 percent of the grant funds for the development of the Local Evaluation Plan, data collection efforts, and submission of the final Local Evaluation. Depending on the complexity and size of a proposed project(s), some applicants may benefit from using a local college, university or consultant to help develop and execute the Local Evaluation.

(1) Local Evaluation Plan

The purpose of the Local Evaluation Plan is to ensure that programs funded by the BSCC can be evaluated. The applicant will be expected to submit a detailed description of how the applicant will assess the effectiveness of the proposed program, including all individual project components. The Local Evaluation Plan can be submitted in either a narrative or bulleted format. The Plan should describe the research design that will be used to evaluate the effectiveness of the project component(s), with the project goals (i.e. the expected benefits to participants or the community) and the project objectives (i.e. specific measurable accomplishments intended to advance project goals) clearly stated.

In addition, the applicant should address two components: the process evaluation and the outcome evaluation, outlined in more detail below:

- a) **Process Evaluation:** The purpose of the process evaluation is to identify how the program activities will be carried out. A process evaluation should describe the types of data that will be collected and typically includes, but is not limited to, such measures as:
- Estimated number of participants in each component of the planned program.
 - A plan for tracking participants' progress in the program(s); e.g. start dates, attendance logs, dropouts, successful completions, etc.
 - A plan to document the services provided to each participant.
 - A plan to document the activities performed by staff who conducted the program.

Since each JAG project is unique in its approach and the intended results may vary, not all measures in the process evaluation, as stated above, may apply. For example, if an applicant plans to use a portion of the JAG funds towards Information System upgrades, a different set of measures may be used to explain how the program activities will be carried out.

- b) **Outcome Evaluation:** The purpose of the outcome evaluation is to identify how the applicant will determine if the program “worked” in terms of achieving the goals set for the program. The outcome evaluation should list the outcome measures that will be tracked and describe the method by which the impact of the program on the outcome measures will be determined.

(2) Quarterly Progress Reports

The purpose of a Quarterly Progress Report is to provide BSCC with an update on the process evaluation, as stated in the (1) Local Evaluation Plan. Grantees must have the ability to collect the specified program activity data (e.g. number of participants, events, etc.) and report it to the BSCC on quarterly progress reports during the term of the grant performance period. The report form and instructions will be available to grantees on the BSCC's website. Progress Reports will be due no later than 15 days following the end of each quarter.

(3) Final Local Evaluation

The purpose of the Final Local Evaluation is to determine whether or not the overall program (including each project component) was effective in meeting the goals laid out in the (1) Local Evaluation Plan. To do this, the grantee must assess and document the effectiveness of the activities that were implemented within each individual project component. These activities should have been documented in the previously submitted (1) Local Evaluation Plan.

The Final Local Evaluation must also describe the research design, as laid out in the (1) Local Evaluation Plan. Most importantly, the final Local Evaluation will describe the final outcomes of the program (for each individual project

component), including a determination of the degree of effectiveness and/or ineffectiveness. For example, if the goal of a program was to reduce gang-related crime in a specific area, an applicant should specify the following:

- a) A strategy for determining whether or not incidents of gang-related crime were fewer at the end of the program as compared to before it began.
- b) A rationale for inferring that the reduction in gang-related crime was directly related to the program and not other factors unrelated to the program.

RFA PROCESS

Application Submission

As stated above, the JAG application must be submitted to the BSCC by November 21, 2014. The applicant must submit one original and two copies of the application packet (i.e., Application Information form, Proposal Narrative, Proposal Budget and all other required attachments). The application may be mailed or hand delivered to the attention of Brian Wise, Program Analyst at:

Board of State and Community Corrections
 Corrections Planning and Programs Division
 600 Bercut Drive
 Sacramento, CA 95811
 Attn: Brian Wise, Program Analyst

Application Review

The JAG Executive Steering Committee (ESC) will have an opportunity to review the application submitted in response to this RFA, to check for compliance with grant guidelines. Should the ESC recommend modifications, BSCC staff will work with the applicant to make the necessary changes.

The ESC will convene in January to review all JAG proposals. At that time, it will develop funding recommendations for California’s entire JAG allocation. These recommendations will be presented to the Board for approval at its February meeting. (Note that since this grant period begins October 1, 2014, the assumption is that the grantee will be reimbursed for grant-funded expenditures incurred as of that date, even though the ESC will not have completed its funding recommendations to the Board.)

Key Dates

Key dates in the RFA and grant implementation process are shown in the table below:

| ACTIVITY | TIMELINE |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Release Request for Application (RFA) | September 15, 2014 |
| Grant Period Begins | October 1, 2014 |
| Application Due to BSCC | November 21, 2014 |

| ACTIVITY | TIMELINE |
|--|--|
| ESC Review | November 24, 2014– January 30, 2015 |
| BSCC Board Considers All JAG Funding Recommendations | February 12, 2015 |
| New Grantee Orientation (Mandatory) | TBD (March 2015) |
| Local Evaluation Plan Due to BSCC | June 30, 2015 |
| Reapplication Process for Year Two | TBD (December 2015) |
| Reapplication Process for Year Three | TBD (December 2016) |
| Grant End Date | December 31, 2017 |
| Final Local Evaluation Due to BSCC | March 31, 2018 |

GUIDING PRINCIPLES FOR ALL GRANT PROGRAMS

The following information is provided to all prospective BSCC grantees. The applicant is not required to address this section within its JAG proposal, but should spend time in consideration of how this information may impact grant activities.

Reducing Racial and Ethnic Disparity

Research shows that youth of color are significantly overrepresented in the juvenile justice system in California. In 2011, Black youth were four times as likely to be arrested as White youth, nearly seven times more likely to be securely detained, and six times as likely to be committed to a correctional facility. Latino youth are nearly twice as likely to be arrested and securely detained and almost three times as likely to be committed to a correctional facility. These disparities are the result of numerous interrelated factors; some of which exist within the structures of the current juvenile justice system, and some of which are influenced by unconscious biases. Whatever the cause, BSCC believes that the overrepresentation of people of color in the criminal justice system can be addressed through meaningful dialogue, increased awareness, evaluation feedback and policy reforms intended to reduce structural inequality.

To that end, California is committed as a state to examining service delivery within the criminal justice system for perceived inequities and actual disparities that might exist at the state and local level. In fact, California is required to demonstrate a good faith effort to address the federal initiative known as *Reducing Racial and Ethnic Disparity* (formerly Disproportionate Minority Contact, or DMC), which refers to the disproportionate rate at which youth of color come into contact with the juvenile justice system (at all points, from arrest through confinement), relative to their numbers in the general population. In an effort to comply with this requirement, the BSCC has undertaken a number of activities to ensure that California addresses this concern, to include trainings, access to and support of structured decision-making tools, and funding opportunities.

JAG recipients will be invited to attend a one day Reducing Racial and Ethnic Disparity (R.E.D.) training for project directors and other interested staff which will be provided

during the grant term. The Consortium for Police Leadership in Equity states, “equity is important because it shapes legitimacy within the community.” In preparation for this training, we have included questions below that you may want to consider in relation to equity within your proposed program. These questions focus on the primary domain of Community, in which equity issues can be most significantly impacted and responded to, and which will be the focus of the training offered by the BSCC, in support of grantee success.

- *How are you measuring your effectiveness with underserved communities?*
- *How does your organization deal with issues of linguistic diversity?*
- *What is the nature of your organization’s relationship to the community relative to the proposed program?*
- *Does the proposed program reflect the specific needs of the diverse communities served?*

JAG funding may be used to reimburse agencies for travel related expenditures such as mileage, meals, lodging if required, and other per diem costs. Applicants should include these costs in the budget section of their application. Registration information regarding the date, time and location of the regional trainings will be sent to all project directors.

Additional information about R.E.D. can be found on the BSCC’s website at www.bscc.ca.gov or applicants may contact California’s R.E.D. Coordinator, Shalinee Hunter, at (916)322-8081 or shalinee.hunter@bscc.ca.gov.

JAG APPLICATION CHECKLIST

A complete JAG Application must contain the following:

| | |
|--|---|
| REQUIRED DOCUMENTS | ✓ |
| Applicant Information Form (Section I) | |
| Proposal Narrative (Sections II–VIII) | |
| Proposal Budget (Section IX) A. Budget Line-Items (one for each of the three years) B. Budget Line Item Detail (i.e. Budget Narrative) | |
| JAG Three-Year Strategy (Appendix A) | |
| JAG Steering Committee Member Roster (Appendix B) | |
| Letters of Agreement for JAG Steering Committee Members and Other Partners Listed on the Grant (Appendix C) | |
| Draft Operational Agreements (Appendix D) for Partners Receiving Grant Funds | |
| List of Other Grant Funding Sources (Appendix E) | |

APPLICATION INSTRUCTIONS

SECTION I: How to complete the Applicant Information Form (on next page)

- 1.1. **State Applicant Agency:** Complete the required information (including federal identification number) for the applicant.
- 1.2. **JAG Program Title:** List the title of the overall program.
- 1.3. **JAG Program Purpose Areas:** Of the three eligible Program Purpose Areas, list with one(s) were selected.
- 1.4. **Amount of Funds Requested:** List only the amount of grant funds requested for the first 16 months of the grant period (September 30, 2014-December 31, 2015), not to exceed **\$1,087,521**.
- 1.5. **Summary of Proposal:** Provide a brief description (3-5 sentences) of the overall JAG program, to be supported by the grant funds requested. Note: This information may be posted to the BSCC's website for informational purposes.
- 1.6. **Day-to-Day Contact Person:** Provide the required information for the individual with whom BSCC staff will work on a daily basis during the grant period.
- 1.7. **Designated Financial Officer:** Provide the required information for the individual who will approve invoices and be responsible for the overall fiscal management of the grant. Reimbursement checks are mailed to the Designated Financial Officer. Please be sure to include the payment mailing address.
- 1.8. **Applicant Agreement:** The person signing here must be authorized by the applicant agency to enter into grant award agreement on behalf of the applicant.

SECTIONS II – VII: Proposal Narrative

Sections II through VII make up the Proposal Narrative. The Proposal Narrative must be submitted in Arial 12 point font with one-inch margins on all four sides. The narrative may be single or double spaced, but should not exceed **20 pages** in length.

Note: These 20 pages do not include the "Applicant Information" form (Section I), the "Proposal Budget" (Section IX), or other required attachments (see Appendices).

SECTION VIII: Proposal Budget

Section VIII, Parts A and B, make up the Proposal Budget. Please see instructions beginning on page 23.

**Board of State and Community Corrections
EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT (JAG) PROGRAM
CFDA #16.738**

SECTION I: APPLICANT INFORMATION FORM

1.1. STATE AGENCY APPLICANT

| | | |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| STATE AGENCY | NAME AND TITLE OF DEPARTMENT/AGENCY HEAD | FEDERAL EMPLOYER ID NUMBER) |
| NAME AND TITLE OF PROJECT DIRECTOR | TELEPHONE NUMBER | |
| STREET ADDRESS | CITY | STATE ZIP CODE FAX NUMBER |
| MAILING ADDRESS (if different) | CITY | STATE ZIP CODE E-MAIL ADDRESS |

1.2. PROJECT TITLE

1.3. JAG PROGRAM PURPOSE AREA(S)

1.4. AMOUNT OF FUNDS REQUESTED

| | | |
|--|--|-------------------|
| | | \$ (FY 2014 only) |
|--|--|-------------------|

1.5.. SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

1.6. DAY-TO-DAY CONTACT PERSON

| | |
|----------------|-------------------------------|
| NAME AND TITLE | TELEPHONE NUMBER |
| STREET ADDRESS | FAX NUMBER |
| CITY | STATE ZIP CODE E-MAIL ADDRESS |

1.7. DESIGNATED FINANCIAL OFFICER

| | |
|--|------------------------------------|
| NAME AND TITLE | TELEPHONE NUMBER |
| STREET ADDRESS | CITY STATE ZIP CODE FAX NUMBER |
| PAYMENT MAILING ADDRESS (if different) | CITY STATE ZIP CODE E-MAIL ADDRESS |

1.8. APPLICANT AGREEMENT

By signing this application, I certify that I am vested by the Applicant agency with the authority to enter into contract with the BSCC. I certify that all funds received pursuant to this Grant Agreement will be spent exclusively on the purposes specified in this Application. I further assure that the Applicant will administer the grant program in accordance with the Grant Agreement as well as any and all applicable state and federal laws, audit requirements, and state and/or federal program guidelines.

| | |
|--|------|
| NAME AND TITLE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER (PERSON WITH LEGAL AUTHORITY TO SIGN) | |
| APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE (blue ink only) | DATE |

SECTION II: PROJECT NEED

Address the following in narrative form:

- 2.1. Demonstrate a clear and convincing project need.
- 2.2. Demonstrate the need(s) is related to any or all of the three priority Program Purpose Areas and corresponding Priority Need Areas.
- 2.3. Demonstrate a compelling justification for the grant funds.
- 2.4. Demonstrate the relationship between need(s) and grant goals with supporting local data.
- 2.5. Demonstrate why current need is not met with existing resources.

SECTION III: PROJECT DESCRIPTION, GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Address the following in narrative form:

- 3.1. Describe the 3-year project strategy in narrative form. In addition, complete “Three-Year JAG Strategy” (see Appendix B).
- 3.2. Describe how the proposed project will address the needs described in the Project Need Section.
- 3.3. Describe how the proposed project links to one or more of the three priority JAG Program Purpose Areas and corresponding Priority Areas of Need.
- 3.4. List project partners that will provide services (agencies, contractors, stakeholders, private and/or public), include a description of the services to be provided; the partners' credentials; involved personnel; justification for choice; and the value the partners add to the proposed project.
- 3.5. List the project goals and measurable objectives that will be implemented to achieve goals (include baseline data to help determine goals and objectives).
- 3.6. Describe staff allocations and assignments for the separate project components.
- 3.7. Define the target population (e.g., gender, age, offense history, criminogenic factors) including why and how it was selected.
- 3.8. Describe the process for determining which services a participant will receive (if applicable).
- 3.9. Provide a timeline of major project activities for the entire project period that is reasonable given the nature and scope of the project.
- 3.10. Describe management structure and decision-making process for the project.
- 3.11. Describe management's approach to ensuring program components are being monitored, assessed and adjusted as necessary.
- 3.12. Provide documentation of the organization's readiness to start project(s) beginning September 30, 2014.

SECTION IV: COLLABORATION

Address the following in narrative form (see RFP, page 6, *Stakeholder Collaboration*):

- 4.1. Provide a roster for the JAG Steering Committee, to include names, titles and organizational affiliations. Include a Letter of Agreement for each member.
- 4.2. Describe the process used to identify, recruit and engage steering committee members.
- 4.3. Describe each member selected for the JAG Steering Committee, including their experience and expertise as related to the Project Need.
- 4.4. Demonstrate that there is full and balanced representation from both traditional and non-traditional stakeholder groups as related to the Project Need.
- 4.5. Describe prior working relationships with members, if any.
- 4.6. Describe process used to identify the problem area(s) and develop the strategy.
- 4.7. Describe how full participation and voting rights were ensured for all members throughout the process.
- 4.8. Describe the applicant's history of collaboration, if any.
- 4.9. Steps to establish and maintain collaboration as it relates to supporting this proposed project.
- 4.10. Describe the steering committee's ongoing role throughout the project.

SECTION V: EVIDENCE-BASED, PROMISING AND INNOVATIVE STRATEGIES

Address the following in narrative form (see RFP, *Evidence-Based, Promising and Innovative Strategies*, page 8-12):

- 5.1. Describe the intervention(s) being proposed for implementation, including whether the intervention is evidence-based, innovative or promising (according to the definitions provided on pages 9-11).
- 5.2. Discuss any evidence (e.g., research, outcome evaluations, etc.) or support (for “promising” or “innovative”) that indicates the proposed intervention or one or more of its components have been effective elsewhere.
- 5.3. Discuss how the outcomes achieved elsewhere support using the proposed practice(s) in the applicant’s jurisdiction to achieve the goals and objectives described in the proposal.
- 5.4. Describe the population(s) for which each intervention has been shown to be effective; show that the intervention is appropriate for the proposed target population.
- 5.5. Describe what has been done to ensure that the support factors required or necessary for the intervention can be mobilized in the local setting.

SECTION VI: DATA COLLECTION AND EVALUATION

Address the following in narrative form (see RFP, page 12, *Data Collection, Reporting and Evaluation Requirements*):

Local Evaluation Plan

- 6.1. Clearly state the program goals (i.e. the expected benefits to the participants and or the community).
- 6.2. Clearly state the program objectives (i.e. specific measurable accomplishments intended to advance program goals).
- 6.3. Provide a detailed plan for assessing the effectiveness of the overall JAG Strategy, including all individual program components.
- 6.4. Describe the research design that will be used to complete the evaluation.

Process Evaluation

- 6.5. Provide the estimated number of participants in each individual program component.
- 6.6. Describe the plan for tracking participants in terms of progress in the program, for example start dates, attendance logs, dropouts, successful completions, etc.
- 6.7. Describe the plan to document the services provided to each participant.
- 6.8. Describe the plan to document the activities performed by staff who conducted the program.

Outcome Evaluation

- 6.9. Identify method of determining if the program "worked" in terms of achieving the program set goals.
- 6.10. List outcome variables that will be tracked.
- 6.11. List the outcomes that will be tracked.
- 6.12. List criteria for determining participant success/failure in the project.

SECTION VII: CAPABILITY AND QUALIFICATIONS TO PROVIDE SERVICES

Address the following in narrative form:

- 7.1. Describe applicant's ability to conduct the proposed project(s).
- 7.2. Describe applicant's/partners' experience and capability to conduct the project(s).
- 7.3. Describe the experience and qualifications of key project staff to provide and manage services.

SECTION VIII: PROPOSAL BUDGET (COST EFFECTIVENESS AND BUDGET REVIEW)

Project costs must be directly related to the objectives and activities of the project. The budget section must cover the entire three-year grant period (recognizing that the budgets for years two and three will be estimates, subject to change based on unforeseen developments and available federal grant funds).

The following items should be addressed by the Applicant in Parts A and B below):

- 8.1. Provide a description of the factors considered and the reasons behind the budget allocations and the extent to which this budget will allow the applicant to achieve its stated goals.
- 8.2. List the cost per each project component(s).
- 8.3. List each staff person assigned to the program, including title, responsibilities and percentage of time allocated to program.
- 8.4. Provide the number of individuals that will receive services, if applicable.
- 8.5. List the cost per participant in the project(s) (per capita), if applicable.
- 8.6. Provide the direct and indirect costs.
- 8.7. Describe the project's cost effectiveness.
- 8.8. Provide complete and detailed budget information in each section.
- 8.9. Letters of Agreement are included for partners providing in-kind services; draft Operational Agreements are included for all contracted (paid) service providers.

A. Budget Line Item Totals

Complete the following table for the grant funds being requested. Complete one table for each of the three years. Report amounts in whole dollars. While recognizing that agencies may use different line items in the budget process, these are the categories used by the BSCC on its invoices. Please check your calculations as figures in the table to not auto-calculate.

All funds shall be used consistent with the requirements of the BSCC's Grant Administration and Audit Guide, July 2012:

http://www.bscc.ca.gov/downloads/Grant_Administration_Guide_July_2012.pdf

| LINE ITEM | GRANT FUNDS |
|---|-------------|
| 1. Salaries and Benefits | \$ |
| 2. Services and Supplies | \$ |
| 3. Professional Services (Sub-Contractors/Consultants) | \$ |
| 4. Community-Based Organization (CBO) Contracts | \$ |
| 5. Data Collection, Reporting and Evaluation Efforts <i>(minimum 5-10% of grant funds)</i> | \$ |
| 6. Fixed Assets/Equipment | \$ |
| 7. Other (Including Training, Travel, etc.) | \$ |
| TOTAL | \$ |

B. Budget Line Item Detail (i.e. Budget Narrative)

Provide a narrative detail in each category below to sufficiently explain how the requested grant funds and local match will be used (based on the budget tables submitted). Match funds may be expended in any line item and must be identified in their respective cash or in-kind dollar amounts.

The 'other' category funds should be budgeted for travel purposes for one mandatory grantee briefing meeting (*to be held in Sacramento, date TBA*) as well as any other travel. Please note that out-of-state travel must be approved by BSCC.

The Budget Narrative must be submitted in Arial 12 point font with one-inch margins on all four sides. The narrative may be single or double spaced, but should not total more than **5 pages** in length.

- 1. SALARIES AND BENEFITS:** Provide the number of staff and percentage of time, classification/title, hourly rates of all project staff and benefits.
- 2. SERVICES AND SUPPLIES:** (e.g., office supplies, training costs; itemize the services/supplies)
- 3. PROFESSIONAL SERVICES:** (e.g., contracts with expert consultants or other governmental entities).

4. **COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATION (CBO) CONTRACTS:** Provide name of CBO(s), itemize nature of services that will be received and show funds allocated. Show hours and billing rates of all CBO staff.

5. **DATA COLLECTION, REPORTING AND EVALUATION EFFORTS:** Applicant must dedicate a minimum of 5-10 percent of the total grant funds requested (for all three years) toward Data Collection and Evaluation efforts (e.g. costs associated with collection of required data and evaluation plan). This cost can be spread across the three years of the project in a way that makes sense to the applicant (i.e., does not have to be 10/10/10.)

6. **FIXED ASSETS/EQUIPMENT:** (e.g., computers, and other office equipment necessary to perform project activities)

7. **OTHER:** (e.g., travel and training expenses)

DRAFT

APPENDIX A
Three-Year JAG Strategy

Instructions: This form is a required attachment to the JAG Proposal. It is intended to serve as a supplement to the Proposal Narrative, providing an at-a-glance summary of the overall program strategy. BSCC staff will use this form when conducting site visits and in compiling information for reports. The grantee may be asked to use it as a part of the quarterly progress report. To complete the form: Select a JAG Program Purpose Area (PPA) from the drop-down box. For each PPA selected, select a corresponding Priority Need Area from the drop-down box. In the table, list each unique project component or activity planned to address that Priority Need Area. Also list the agency responsible for implementation, the expected outcome(s), how progress will be tracked (i.e. methodology for data collection), and timeline information (e.g., expected date of implementation, benchmarks for data collection, etc.).

Three-Year JAG Strategy for the California Department of Justice

Year One: October 1, 2014 – December 31, 2015

JAG Program Purpose Area: Choose an item.

Priority Need Area: Choose an item.

| Project Component / Activity | Agency / Organization Responsible | Expected Outcome (Measurable) | How Progress will be Tracked (i.e. data collection) | Timeline / Benchmarks |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

JAG Program Purpose Area: Choose an item.

Priority Need Area: Choose an item.

| Project Component / Activity | Agency / Organization Responsible | Expected Outcome (Measurable) | How Progress will be Tracked (i.e. data collection) | Timeline / Benchmarks |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

JAG Program Purpose Area: Choose an item.

Priority Need Area: Choose an item.

| Project Component / Activity | Agency / Organization Responsible | Expected Outcome (Measurable) | How Progress will be Tracked (i.e. data collection) | Timeline / Benchmarks |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Three-Year JAG Strategy for the California Department of Justice

Year Two: January 1, 2016 – December 31, 2016

JAG Program Purpose Area: Choose an item.

Priority Need Area: Choose an item.

| Project Component / Activity | Agency / Organization Responsible | Expected Outcome (Measurable) | How Progress will be Tracked (i.e. data collection) | Timeline / Benchmarks |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

JAG Program Purpose Area: Choose an item.

Priority Need Area: Choose an item.

| Project Component / Activity | Agency / Organization Responsible | Expected Outcome (Measurable) | How Progress will be Tracked (i.e. data collection) | Timeline / Benchmarks |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

JAG Program Purpose Area: Choose an item.

Priority Need Area: Choose an item.

| Project Component / Activity | Agency / Organization Responsible | Expected Outcome (Measurable) | How Progress will be Tracked (i.e. data collection) | Timeline / Benchmarks |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Three-Year JAG Strategy for the California Department of Justice

Year Three: January 1, 2017 – December 31, 2017

JAG Program Purpose Area: Choose an item.

Priority Need Area: Choose an item.

| Project Component / Activity | Agency / Organization Responsible | Expected Outcome (Measurable) | How Progress will be Tracked (i.e. data collection) | Timeline / Benchmarks |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

JAG Program Purpose Area: Choose an item.

Priority Need Area: Choose an item.

| Project Component / Activity | Agency / Organization Responsible | Expected Outcome (Measurable) | How Progress will be Tracked (i.e. data collection) | Timeline / Benchmarks |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

JAG Program Purpose Area: Choose an item.

Priority Need Area: Choose an item.

| Project Component / Activity | Agency / Organization Responsible | Expected Outcome (Measurable) | How Progress will be Tracked (i.e. data collection) | Timeline / Benchmarks |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

APPENDIX C
Sample Letter of Agreement

Sample only

*To be used for agencies/organizations listed as members of the JAG Steering Committee
and/or that will provide in-kind services via partnership
(no funds exchanged)*

Date

[Partners Name]
[Partners Address]

[Recipients Name]
[City of]
[Address]

Dear [City Official]

This letter is letter of agreement between [Partners Name] and [Applicant] that explains the support and services provided for the proposed JAG project, including (membership on the JAG Steering Committee, a partnership to include..., etc.).

[Explain JAG Steering Committee membership, services or support, dates, timelines, etc.],

Regards,

Signature

APPENDIX D
Sample Operational Agreement

Sample only

*To be used for subcontractors, consultants and/or community-based organizations
identified in the budget pages
(funds exchanged)*

Draft only – signatures not required at time of proposal submission

This Operational Agreement stands as evidence that the **(Applicant Agency)** and the **(Partner Agency)** intend to work together toward the goals outlined in the JAG Three-Year Strategy. Both agencies believe that implementation of the **(Name of JAG Program)**, as described within this application, will further these goals. Each agency agrees to participate in the JAG Program, if selected for funding, as outlined herein.

The **(Applicant Agency)** project will closely coordinate JAG services and activities with the **(Partner Agency)** through:

- Project staff being readily available to **(Partner Agency)** for service provision through describe arrangements with the Agency.
- Regularly scheduled meetings (how often) between (persons/positions) to discuss strategies, timetables and implementation of mandated services. Specifically:
 - (List specific activities that will be undertaken between the two agencies or other specifics of the agreement.)
 - xxx
 - xxx
- Effective grant performance period dates.
- Amount of JAG state funds designated to the Partner Agency.

We the undersigned, as authorized representatives of **(Applicant Agency)** and **(Partner Agency)** do hereby approve this document.

Name and Title
Agency Name

Date

Name and Title
Agency Name

Date

APPENDIX E
List of Other Grant Funding Sources

Please complete this form, listing all other criminal justice grant funds (state and/or federal) that the applicant agency will receive during the 2015 calendar year.

| State or Federal Administering Agency | Name of Grant Program | Funding Amount | Brief Project Description |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

APPENDIX F Definition of Terms

Collaboration

The basic manner in which different and potentially competing agencies will work together to complete the grant application process. Counties must rely on the collaborative process – in the form of the JAG Steering Committee – to determine the distribution of how funding will be allocated between programs and strategy that serve one or more of the JAG priorities.

Steering Committee

A working group of professional individuals from diverse disciplines who use critical thinking skills and compromise to work toward common goals.

Goal versus Objective

Goals and objectives are terms in common use, sometimes used interchangeably because both refer to the intended results of program activities. Goals are longer-term than objectives, more broadly stated, and govern the specific objectives to which program activities are directed.

In proposals, goals are defined by broad statements of what the program intends to accomplish, representing long-term intended outcome of the program⁴.

Examples of goal statements⁴:

- To reduce the number of serious and chronic juvenile offenders.
- To divert nonviolent juvenile offenders from state juvenile correctional institutions.

Objectives are defined by statements of specific, measurable aims of program activities⁵. Objectives detail the tasks that must be completed to achieve goals⁶. Descriptions of objectives in the proposals should include three elements⁴:

- 1) Direction – the expected change or accomplishment (e.g., improve, maintain);
- 2) Timeframe – when the objective will be achieved; and
- 3) Target population – who is affected by the objective.

Examples of program objectives⁴:

- By the end of the program, young, drug-addicted juveniles will recognize the long-term consequences of drug use.

⁴ Justice Research and Statistics Association, Juvenile Justice Evaluation Center. (2003, June). *Juvenile justice program evaluation: An overview (2nd ed.)*. Retrieved from <http://www.irs.org/nijec/publications/program-evaluation.pdf>.

⁵ New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. *A guide to Developing Goals and Objectives for Your Program*. Retrieved from <http://www.criminaljustice.ny.gov/ofpa/goalwrite.htm>.

⁶ National Center for Justice Planning. Overview of Strategic Planning. *Where do we want to be? Goals and Objectives*. Retrieved from <http://ncjp.org/strategic-planning/overview/where-do-we-want-be/goals-objectives>.

- By program completion, juvenile offenders will have carried out all of the terms of mediation agreements with their victims

Process Evaluation versus Outcome Evaluation

Process Evaluation⁴

The purpose of the process evaluation is to assess how program activities are being carried out in accordance with goals and objectives. Process measures are designed to answer the question: “What is the program actually doing and is this what we planned it to do?”

Examples of process measures include:

- the number of juveniles who received counseling services, which may be compared to the number expected to receive services;
- the average caseload per probation officer, which may be compared to the average caseload expected;
- the number of interagency agreements entered into by the program, which may be compared to the number planned.

Outcome Evaluation⁴

The purpose of the outcome evaluation is to whether the program “worked” in terms of achieving its goals and objectives. Outcome measures are designed to answer the question: “What results did the program produce?” Examples of outcome measures include:

- changes in the reading and math scores of juveniles who completed the program;
- changes in self-reported drug and alcohol use;
- the number of juveniles who have subsequent contacts with police after leaving the program.

In an evidence-based practice approach, outcome evaluations must include not only the measures but analysis of the extent to which the measured results can be attributed to the program rather than to coincidence or alternative explanations.

⁴Justice Research and Statistics Association, Juvenile Justice Evaluation Center. (2003, June). *Juvenile justice program evaluation: An overview (2nd ed.)*. Retrieved from <http://www.jrsa.org/njiec/publications/program-evaluation.pdf>.

APPENDIX G

Key Federal Assurances

Applicable state and federal laws and guidelines will be covered in greater detail in subsequent contract language. For purposes of this application, the Applicant will agree to abide by the following federal laws and guidelines.

Overview of Civil Rights Obligations

The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant is a federal grant program, administered by the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ). As such, it falls under the jurisdiction of the U.S. DOJ's Office of Civil Rights. The Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) is the State Administering Agency for JAG funding in the State of California. BSCC has the following civil rights obligations:

- BSCC must ensure compliance with applicable civil rights laws within the agency.
- BSCC must ensure compliance with applicable civil rights laws by all grantees ("sub-recipients"), vendors, and contractors.

Federally-protected classes include:

- Race
- Color
- National Origin
- Sex
- Religion
- Disability
- Age
- Sexual Orientation
- Gender Identity

Cross-cutting Federal civil rights laws:

- Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
- Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973
- Title II of the American With Disabilities Act of 1990
- The Age Discrimination Act of 1975
- Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972

Additional JAG Sub-Recipient Certifications

- Formulation of an Equal Employment Opportunity Program (EEOP)
- Establishment of a Civil Rights Coordinator
- Development and Implementation of Formal Grievance Procedures
- Compliance with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act
- Compliance with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)

- Compliance with Title IX of the Education Amendments
- Compliance with the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act

Sub-recipients with 50 or more employees that receive \$25,000 or more in DOJ funding are required to:

- Designate a Disability Coordinator
- Adopt Disability Grievance Procedures
- Provide Notice of Non-Discrimination Based on Disability

Title II of the ADA requires that public entities with 50 or more employees that receive federal funding (regardless of the amount):

- Designate a Disability Coordinator
- Adopt Disability Grievance Procedures

DRAFT